

Board of Commissioners of Cook County

118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL



Journal - Final

Wednesday, February 19, 2014

11:00 AM

Cook County Building, Board Room, 118 North Clark Street, Chicago, Illinois

Board of Commissioners

TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT

JERRY BUTLER
EARLEAN COLLINS
JOHN P. DALEY
JOHN A. FRITCHEY
BRIDGET GAINER
JESUS G. GARCIA
ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN
GREGG GOSLIN
STANLEY MOORE

JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY
EDWIN REYES
TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER
PETER N. SILVESTRI
DEBORAH SIMS
ROBERT B. STEELE
LARRY SUFFREDIN
JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI

DAVID ORR
COUNTY CLERK

Board met pursuant to law and pursuant to Resolution 14-0007.

OFFICIAL RECORD

President Preckwinkle in the Chair.

CALL TO ORDER

At 11:00 A.M., being the hour appointed for the meeting, the President called the Board to order.

QUORUM

County Clerk David Orr called the roll of members and there was found to be a quorum present.

ROLL CALL

Present: Commissioners Butler, Collins, Daley, Fritchey, Gainer, García, Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Sims, Suffredin and Tobolski (16)

Absent: Commissioner Murphy (1)

INVOCATION

Reverend Charles A. Woolery, Sr. Pastor of Wesley United Methodist Church of Chicago gave the Invocation.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

Pursuant to Cook County Code Section 2-107(dd) Public Testimony, public testimony will be permitted at regular and special meetings of the Board. Duly authorized public speakers shall be called upon at this time to deliver testimony germane to a specific item(s) on the meeting agenda, and the testimony must not exceed three (3) minutes. The names of duly authorized speakers shall be published in the Post Board Action Agenda and Journal of Proceedings as prepared by the Clerk of the Board.

1. Joel Africk, President/CEO Respiratory Health Association
2. George Blakemore, Concerned Citizen
3. Eugene "Gene" Williams, Mayor, Village of Lynwood
4. Ernest Paul Jones, Lobbyist, Trucking Chamber of Commerce
5. Sharon Meroni, Director Judge Association

CONSENT CALENDAR

14-0875

RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT, PETER N. SILVESTRI,
JOHN P. DALEY, ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, JERRY BUTLER,
EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESUS G. GARCIA,**

**GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE, EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,
DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT B. STEELE, LARRY SUFFREDIN
AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**HONORING RALPH DEBARTOLO ON HIS RETIREMENT FROM
THE COOK COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT**

WHEREAS, Assistant Chief Ralph DeBartolo is retiring from the Cook County Sheriff's Department after twenty four years of service; and

WHEREAS, Ralph DeBartolo has a long history of putting his life on the line for the safety of others, starting when he served 18 months as a soldier in Korea; and

WHEREAS, always knowing he wanted a career in law enforcement, Mr. DeBartolo applied for a position as a police officer with the Chicago Police Department and was hired in 1957 and stayed with the department until 1989; and

WHEREAS, on December 1, 1958, on his way home from completing an overnight shift, he encountered the horrific fire at Our Lady of Angels School, and without a moment's thought to his own safety, heroically followed firefighters into the building to assist them; and

WHEREAS, upon leaving the Chicago Police Department in 1989, Ralph DeBartolo joined the Cook County Sheriff's Department as a deputy sheriff. He was promoted to an Assistant Chief in 2000 and served in that position at both the Skokie and Rolling Meadows courthouses until his retirement this year; and

WHEREAS, early in his career, he was subjected to discrimination because of his Italian heritage. He became a champion against this type of bias, being instrumental in the forming of the Italian American Police Officers Association. He has been awarded recognition from the Order of the Sons of Italy in America, the Italian American Police Officers Association and was the 2010 recipient of the Law Enforcement Award from the Illinois State Bar Association; and

WHEREAS, in addition to the respect and admiration he has earned from fellow law enforcement professionals and supervisors, Ralph DeBartolo is much loved by his family, including wife Helen, sons Tony and wife Kim; Danny and wife Melissa; and his adored grandchildren, Gina and Brett and his countless friends.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President and Board of Commissioners of Cook County do hereby extend their best wishes on a happy, healthy and much deserved retirement and their thanks for his dedication and selflessness to the residents of Cook County; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be prepared for presentation to Assistant Chief Ralph DeBartolo as evidence of the esteem in which he is held by this Honorable Body.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

14-1088

RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT
AND STANLEY MOORE, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

IN HONOR OF FOUR HEROIC CHAPLAINS

WHEREAS, February 2, 2014 marks the 71st anniversary of one of the most inspiring acts of heroism during World War II; and

WHEREAS, in a final act of love and dedication, four (4) chaplains, representing the Methodist, Roman Catholic, Jewish and Dutch Reformed faiths, gave their own life jackets to four (4) American servicemen and directed the young soldiers to life boats; and

WHEREAS, the four (4) United States Army chaplains, arms linked about each other while praying, then sank into the cold waters of the North Atlantic with the torpedoed U.S.A.T. Dorchester, an army transport ship; and

WHEREAS, every year hence, residents across our country have remembered the courage and extraordinary generosity of these four (4) heroic men of faith; and

WHEREAS, this year's memorial program is hosted by the Polish Legion of American Veterans, and is sponsored annually by the Combined Veterans Association of Illinois.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners of Cook County does hereby designate Sunday, February 2, 2014 to be "Four Chaplains Sunday" in Cook County, and urge all Cook County residents to preserve the memory of these individuals who demonstrated their boundless love for others.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

14-1338

RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE LARRY SUFFREDIN, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE,
JERRY BUTLER, EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY,
BRIDGET GAINER, JESUS G. GARCIA, ELIZABETH “LIZ” DOODY GORMAN,
GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE, JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, EDWIN REYES,
TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS,
ROBERT B. STEELE AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI,
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

IN MEMORY OF JOHN A. “COACH” SCHNEITER

WHEREAS, John A. Schneiter, a dedicated and inspirational coach to innumerable students in Cook County passed away on January 21, 2014; and

WHEREAS, Coach Schneiter was an elected member of both the Illinois Basketball Coaches Association Hall of Fame as well as the Illinois High School Tennis Hall of Fame. Coach Schneiter has the distinction of being the only individual honored in both; and

WHEREAS, John A. Schneiter was head coach at Oblong and Stephen Decatur High Schools. Later, Coach Schneiter became legendary as the long-time basketball coach at New Trier High School. Coach Schneiter retired from teaching in 1997 but the hall-of-fame coach was later lured out of retirement and asked to teach the game of basketball at North Shore Country Day. His coaching there marked the start of a sweeping transition that provided instruction at a more competitive level for the school; and

WHEREAS, Coach Schneiter inspired his athletes to personal and competitive greatness, amassing over 770 basketball wins and eight (8) State Tennis Championships; and

WHEREAS, John A. Schneiter’s coaching skills were frequently singled out for distinction by his peers. Coach Schneiter was a past winner of the Buzzy O’Conner Award, an award that is considered to be the highest honor in Illinois for coaching basketball; and

WHEREAS, John A. Schneiter was a devoted husband to his wife of almost 45 years Suzanne Schneiter; and was cherished by his four (4) children, Jill Schneiter, Steve Schneiter, Joanna (Jeff) King; and Clay (Marla) Schneiter; and was a doting grandfather of Avery and Carly King; and Maddie and John Payton Schneiter; and

WHEREAS, John A. Schneiter distinguished himself at Millikin University earning both a Bachelor's Degree as well as an Master of Science in Psychology at Millikin. He was chosen to be a member of the Millikin 100 and can be found in the Millikin Hall of Fame, an award that recognizes alumni who have demonstrated exceptional athletic achievements; and

WHEREAS, John A. “Coach” Schneiter leaves behind numerous students, friends, neighbors and many others whose lives were touched by his inspiration and sense of humor.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County that the Board on behalf of the nearly the 5.2 million residents of Cook County commemorates the extraordinary life of John A. Schneiter, and herewith expresses its sincere gratitude for the invaluable contributions he has made to the Citizens

of Cook County, Illinois; and does hereby offer its deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the students, coworkers, family and many friends of Coach John A. Schneiter and joins them in sorrow at the loss of this remarkable and accomplished teacher and coach; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body and a suitable copy of same be tendered to the family of John A. Schneiter, that his memory may be so honored.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried by the following vote:

**14-1404
RESOLUTION
Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE GREGG GOSLIN, JERRY BUTLER, JOHN A. FRITCHEY,
ELIZABETH “LIZ” DOODY GORMAN, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,
ROBERT B. STEELE, LARRY SUFFREDIN, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE,
EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN P. DALEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESUS G. GARCIA,
STANLEY MOORE, EDWIN REYES, PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS
AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**HONORING FORMER STATE REPRESENTATIVE
EUGENE “GENE” SCHLICKMAN**

WHEREAS, Almighty God in His infinite wisdom has called Eugene “Gene” Schlickman from our midst; and

WHEREAS, Eugene “Gene” Schlickman began his career in public service as a trustee in the Village of Arlington Heights; and

WHEREAS, Eugene “Gene” Schlickman was elected to State Representative from Arlington Heights in 1964 and served his district proudly for almost 20 years from 1964 to 1981; and

WHEREAS, Eugene “Gene” Schlickman was respected on both sides of the aisle and is remembered for his willingness to reach out to his democratic colleagues in the name of good policy; and

WHEREAS, during his tenure in the State Legislature Eugene “Gene” Schlickman is admired for his work on

prison reform to get funding for art and education for the prison system and championing disability rights; and

WHEREAS, Eugene “Gene” Schlickman was also known for advocating for better communication and an integrated approach to regional transportation in the RTA’s infancy; and

WHEREAS, upon retirement from the State Legislature Eugene “Gene” Schlickman continued to practice law and co-wrote the first biography on Supreme Court Justice John Paul Stevens; and

WHEREAS, Eugene “Gene” Schlickman continued to serve his community throughout his life raising funds through his church for earthquake ravaged Haiti despite failing health; and

WHEREAS, all who knew Eugene “Gene” Schlickman and had the privilege to work with him can attest to the fact that he was a kind and compassionate man and was admired and respected for his service to people.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners of Cook County does hereby offer its deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathies to the family and friends of Eugene “Gene” Schlickman; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body, and a suitable copy of this Resolution be tendered to the family of Eugene “Gene” Schlickman, that his memory may be so honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**14-1445
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN,
PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE, JERRY BUTLER, EARLEAN COLLINS,
JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA,
GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE, JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, EDWIN REYES,
TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS,
ROBERT B. STEELE, LARRY SUFFREDIN AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI,
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**CONGRATULATING MOTHER MCAULEY VOLLEYBALL TEAM
ON WINNING STATE CHAMPIONSHIP**

WHEREAS, the members of the Cook County Board of Commissioners are proud to recognize excellence in school sports; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners recognizes the importance of team sports in developing the qualities of teamwork, respect, hard work and dedication in young adults; and

WHEREAS, the Mother McAuley Volleyball team displayed those qualities and worked together with Head Coach Jen DeJarld and Assistant Coaches Meg Gardner, Erica Cahill, Kristyn Carmody, Emily McGinnis, Caitlin Balsam and Desiree Aramburu to achieve a great season and earning the Mighty Macs the 2013 IHSA Girls Volleyball State Championship; and

WHEREAS, the Mighty Macs finished the regular season with an impressive 34-2 before defeating Benet High School to clinch their fourteenth State Championship; and

WHEREAS, the Mighty Macs are currently ranked number 7 in the nation by PrepVolleyball.com and finished 2nd at the Prestigious National Tournament the “ASCIS Challenge”; and

WHEREAS, teammates Kennedy Arundel, Jenny Phelan, Ryann Dejarld, Mallory Maxwell, Carla Cahill, Amber Casey, Courtney Joyce, Maggie Scanlon, Caeley Hynes, Claire Martin, Lauren Carlson, Maddie Meyer, Kayla Caffey, Kelsey Clark, Molly Geary, Gabrielle Ennis and Manager Moira Ryan are to be congratulated on leading the Mother McAuley Mighty Macs Volleyball team through a great season and impressive championship we are all proud of.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the members of the Cook County Board of Commissioners join their family, friends and community in congratulating the coaching staff and team members of the Mother McAuley Mighty Macs Volleyball team on their great achievements and their sense of teamwork, respect, hard work and dedication; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution be spread across the official text of the proceedings of this Honorable Body and that suitable copies hereof be presented to the coaching staff and team members.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE EARLEAN COLLINS, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE,
JERRY BUTLER, JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER,
JESUS G. GARCIA, ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, GREGG GOSLIN,
STANLEY MOORE, EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,
PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT B. STEELE,
LARRY SUFFREDIN AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI,
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

IN LOVING MEMORY OF CYLIYAH MONIQUE YARBROUGH

WHEREAS, on Sunday, January 26, 2014, God in his infinite wisdom has called home Cyliyah Monique Yarbrough; and

WHEREAS, Cyliyah Monique Yarbrough was born July 6, 2009 to her loving parents Candis Rush and Henderson Yarbrough, III; and

WHEREAS, Cyliyah Monique Yarbrough was a fun-loving, energetic and a happy child. Cyliyah loved her family, she loved to play and you would always see her with a big smile; and

WHEREAS, Cyliyah Monique Yarbrough leaves to cherish her memory her parents: Candis Rush and Henderson Yarbrough, III; siblings: Henderson Yarbrough, IV and Zhiya A. Yarbrough; grandparents: Henderson Yarbrough, Jr. and Sharrell McKennie; and Charles and Earlene Meeks, Jr.; great-grandparents: Henderson and Karen Yarbrough, Sr.; and Charles and Patricia Meeks, Sr.; and a host of aunts, uncles, cousins, family and friends.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President and the Board of Commissioners of Cook County hereby expresses its sincere sorrow and extends its deepest sympathy to the family and friends of Cyliyah Monique Yarbrough; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of the Cook County Board of Commissioners and that a suitable copy shall be provided to the family of Cyliyah Monique Yarbrough that her memory be forever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**14-1500
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE JOHN P. DALEY, COUNTY COMMISSIONER
AND PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE**

IN MEMORIAM OF EDWARD HIGGINS

WHEREAS, Almighty God in His infinite wisdom has called Edward J. Higgins from our midst; and

WHEREAS, Edward J. Higgins was the beloved husband and best friend of Diane (nee Famera); and

WHEREAS, Edward J. Higgins was the devoted father of Susan (Patrick) Dever, Lisa (fiance, Ken Wilkerson) Higgins, Edward A. (Doreen M. Carroll) Higgins and Seana Anne Higgins; and

WHEREAS, Edward J. Higgins was the cherished grandpa of Christopher (Kate), Jody, Patrick, Jennifer, Kevin, Christian, Tony, Courtney, Jon, Tara and loving "great-papa" of Cora; and

WHEREAS, Edward J. Higgins was the dear brother of Elizabeth Novak, Rose Russell, Alice Modeen, Patrice Swiontek and the late Mary Bolisenga and Danny Higgins; and

WHEREAS, Edward J. Higgins was a Retired Detective for the Chicago Police Department, and proud Drum Sergeant of the Emerald Society of the Chicago Police Pipes and Drums; and

WHEREAS, all who knew him will attest that Edward J. Higgins was a kind and compassionate man, virtuous of character and gentle in spirit, admired and respected by his many friends and neighbors, and dearly loved by his family.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County that the Board does hereby offer its deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the family and many friends of Edward J. Higgins, and joins them in sorrow at this time of loss; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body, and a suitable copy of same be tendered to the family of Edward J. Higgins, that his memory may be so honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

14-1501

RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE JOHN P. DALEY, COUNTY COMMISSIONER

AND PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE

IN MEMORIAM OF PATRICK CARROLL

WHEREAS, Almighty God in His infinite wisdom has called Patrick J. "Jim" Carroll, from our midst; and

WHEREAS, Patrick J. "Jim" Carroll was the beloved husband of 50 years to Dorothy (nee Joiner); and

WHEREAS, Patrick J. "Jim" Carroll was the devoted father of James, Timothy (Theresa) and Michael (Tracy); and

WHEREAS, Patrick J. "Jim" Carroll was the devoted grandfather of Timothy, Kelly (Will) Clarke, Holly, Nicholas, Matthew and Michael; and

WHEREAS, Patrick J. "Jim" Carroll was the dearest son of the late Roger of County Offaly, Ireland, and Nora (nee Flood) of County Limerick, Ireland; and

WHEREAS, Patrick J. "Jim" Carroll was the dear brother of Mary Theresa (Joe) Trendl, Roger (the late Pat), Patricia (the late Eugene) Dunterman, the late John (Marge), Margaret (the late Fred) Falk, William, Coletta (the late John) Duh, Rita Hickey and Dorothy (Edward) Harrington; and

WHEREAS, Patrick J. "Jim" Carroll was a Veteran of the United States Army; and

WHEREAS, Patrick J. "Jim" Carroll was a 3rd Degree member of the Knights of Columbus-Madonna Council No. 1135 and a longtime resident of Chicago's Canaryville neighborhood; and

WHEREAS, all who knew him will attest that Patrick J. "Jim" Carroll was a kind and compassionate man, virtuous of character and gentle in spirit, admired and respected by his many friends and neighbors, and dearly loved by his family.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County that the Board does hereby offer its deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the family and many friends of Patrick J. "Jim" Carroll, and joins them in sorrow at this time of loss; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body, and a suitable copy of same be tendered to the family of Patrick J. "Jim" Carroll, that his memory may be so honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President

Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**14-1502
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE JOHN P. DALEY, COUNTY COMMISSIONER
AND PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE**

IN MEMORIAM OF WILLIAM POWER

WHEREAS, Almighty God in His infinite wisdom has called William R. "Billy" Power from our midst; and

WHEREAS, William R. "Billy" Power was the beloved husband of 34 years to Judith (nee Malabarbara); and

WHEREAS, William R. "Billy" Power was the devoted father of William Jr., John, Michael, Margaret; and

WHEREAS, William R. "Billy" Power was the loving grandfather of Michael Gabriel, and the dearest son of the late Joseph and Mary (nee Cavanaugh); and

WHEREAS, William R. "Billy" Power was the dear brother of Margaret, Catherine (Tim) Weaver, Jean (Tom) Curtin, Joseph (Sue), Tom, Carole (Bill) Hillgamyer and the late Mary Ellen and Maureen; and

WHEREAS, William R. "Billy" Power was a lifelong Canaryville resident, and kinsman and lifelong friend of Joe Kilroy, John Kirby and many others; and

WHEREAS, William R. "Billy" Power was a member of the Canaryville FLAGS Club, and 3rd degree member of the Knights of Columbus-Madonna Council No. 1135; and

WHEREAS, William R. "Billy" Power was an avid booster and Alum of Leo High School and the University of Illinois; and

WHEREAS, all who knew him will attest that William R. "Billy" Power was a kind and compassionate man, virtuous of character and gentle in spirit, admired and respected by his many friends and neighbors, and dearly loved by his family.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County that the Board does hereby offer its deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the family and many friends of William R. "Billy" Power, and joins them in sorrow at this time of loss; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body,

and a suitable copy of same be tendered to the family of William R. "Billy" Power, that his memory may be so honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**14-1503
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE JOHN P. DALEY, COUNTY COMMISSIONER
AND PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE**

IN MEMORIAM OF VIRGINIA DYBAS

WHEREAS, Almighty God in His infinite wisdom has called Virginia Dybas from our midst; and

WHEREAS, Virginia Dybas (nee Gliwa) was the beloved wife of the late Albert Dybas; and

WHEREAS, Virginia Dybas was the mother of Terry (Wanda); and

WHEREAS, Virginia Dybas was the grandmother of Terry, Jr. (Mary Meghan), the proud great-grandmother of Maggie and Billy; and

WHEREAS, Virginia Dybas was the sister of the late Edward, Lorraine (late Julian) Kwiatkowski and Bernadine (Bob) Burke; the beloved aunt, great-aunt and cousin of many; and

WHEREAS, Virginia Dybas was a proud lifelong member of the SS. Peter and Paul choir, and

WHEREAS, Virginia Dybas was a member of the SS. Peter and Paul Altar and Rosary Society and the Friendship Club, member of the McKinley Park Library, the Our Lady of Good Counsel Ladies Auxiliary and the Seniors Club; and

WHEREAS, Virginia Dybas was gifted with a beautiful voice and sang in more than 33 churches during the course of her life; and

WHEREAS, all who knew her will attest that Virginia Dybas was a kind and compassionate woman, virtuous of character and gentle in spirit, admired and respected by her many friends and neighbors, and dearly loved by her family.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County that the Board does hereby offer its deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the family and many friends of Virginia Dybas, and joins them in sorrow at this time of loss; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body, and a suitable copy of same be tendered to the family of Virginia Dybas, that her memory may be so honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**14-1504
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE JOHN P. DALEY, COUNTY COMMISSIONER
AND PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE**

IN MEMORIAM OF JACK CALLAHAN

WHEREAS, Almighty God in His infinite wisdom has called John P. "Jack" Callahan from our midst; and

WHEREAS, John P. "Jack" Callahan was the loving husband and best friend of Nancy (nee Hughes); and

WHEREAS, John P. "Jack" Callahan was the devoted father of Colleen (Greg) Koerner, Jack (Joanne), Patti (Tom) Skalitzky, Matthew (Deanne) and Terry (Emily) Callahan; and

WHEREAS, John P. "Jack" Callahan was the proud grandfather of Molly, Patrick, Megan and Grace Koerner, the late Jack, Kevin and Mary Kate Callahan, Tommy, Owen, Michael, Brendan, Billy and Sarah Skalitzky, Kelsey, Claire and Mathew Callahan, Abby, T.J., Mary and Connor Callahan; and

WHEREAS, John P. "Jack" Callahan was the loving son of the late Eugene and Dorothy Callahan; and

WHEREAS, John P. "Jack" Callahan was the fond brother of Gene (Kay), Bill (Pat), the late Jerry (Peggy), Jim (Kate) Callahan and Kathy (Phil) Mikaitis, and the dear brother-in-law of Roger (Roberta), Jack (Peggy) Hughes and Mary Alice (Dave) Enright; and

WHEREAS, John P. "Jack" Callahan was a graduate of St. Rita ('56) and the University of Notre Dame ('60), and was a loyal and lifelong fan of the Fighting Irish; and

WHEREAS, all who knew him will attest that John P. "Jack" Callahan was a kind and compassionate man, virtuous of character and gentle in spirit, admired and respected by his many friends and neighbors, and dearly loved by his family.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County that the Board does hereby offer its deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the family and many friends of John P. "Jack" Callahan, and joins them in sorrow at this time of loss; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body, and a suitable copy of same be tendered to the family of John P. "Jack" Callahan, that his memory may be so honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**14-1583
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE,
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

HONORING THE LIFE OF JAMES MCKEE, JR.

WHEREAS, Almighty God, in His infinite wisdom, has called from our midst an individual who was a loving family man and who, through hard work, dedication and faith, championed the Chicago neighborhood of Grand Boulevard; and

WHEREAS, born on April 5, 1946, James McKee, Jr. was the oldest of ten children; and

WHEREAS, Mr. McKee learned the ironworking trade while working at Ryerson Steele Company, next worked construction on the Sears Tower skyscraper, and finally worked in the employ of the CTA for many years until retiring; and

WHEREAS, Mr. McKee was able to see the potential beauty in an abandoned property on the 4900 block of

South St. Lawrence Avenue; he bought the property through the Chicago Abandon Property Program; he renovated it and moved into the neighborhood in December 1994; and

WHEREAS, from this point forward, Mr. McKee immersed himself in a mission whose sole objective was to better the Grand Boulevard neighborhood; and

WHEREAS, Mr. McKee joined the Grand Boulevard Home Owners' Association; he worked with neighbors to place a referendum on the ballot that would vote problem areas dry; he was active in the community policing initiative, was active in the Judicial Advocacy Committee, and he attended court proceedings to support residents who had been victims of crime; additionally, Mr. McKee took part in a rapid response group which met with the police immediately when crimes took place; and

WHEREAS, Mr. McKee went that extra mile for his neighbors and neighborhood, often helping to clean vacant lots, remove graffiti and trim shrubbery that obstructed views and posed safety hazards to residents; he was the type of neighbor who would help out another neighbor with a home improvement project; and

WHEREAS, Mr. McKee was involved on the community Advisory Boards for the development of Willard Square Apartments, an 80 unit low-income housing project, Vision House, a 25 apartment complex which housed individuals and families affected by HIV/AIDS, and Quad Communities Development Corporation (QCDC), an umbrella organization which improved the quality of life of the neighborhood; and

WHEREAS, Mr. McKee also spent the majority of election days volunteering at the nearby polling places, and he availed his services to a number of political campaigns; and

WHEREAS, Mr. McKee was an inspiring and giving father, son, brother and uncle; and

WHEREAS, Mr. McKee will be remembered by the many individuals whose hearts he touched.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners, on behalf of the residents of Cook County, does offer its most sincere condolences during this time of loss and mourning to the family and friends of James McKee, Jr., and may a copy of this Resolution be tendered to the family of James McKee, Jr., so that his memory may be honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**14-1585
RESOLUTION
Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT, JERRY BUTLER,
EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER,
JESÚS G. GARCÍA, ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, GREGG GOSLIN,
STANLEY MOORE, JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, EDWIN REYES,
TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS,
ROBERT B. STEELE, LARRY SUFFREDIN AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI,
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**HONORING THE LIFE AND ACHIEVEMENTS OF
DEBRA S. PARRISH-HOOKS, Ed.D.**

WHEREAS, Almighty God in His infinite wisdom has called a profoundly giving and a deeply beloved woman, Debra S. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. from our midst; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. earned a master's degree in Clinical Counseling from Pepperdine University, a master's degree in Educational Administration from Governors State University and a Ph.D. in Educational Leadership from National Louis University; and

WHEREAS, as a servant and leader, Mrs. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. sought higher education which, in particular, would prepare her to have the most significant impact upon students' academic lives, and which would allow her to enrich the lives of individuals, families and communities; and

WHEREAS, for over 30 years, Mrs. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. dedicated herself to the field of education, working as a teacher, counselor, psychologist and administrator in a variety of settings, including rural, urban and suburban; and

WHEREAS, at the time of her death, Mrs. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. had been serving, since 2011, as Executive Director of ECHO Joint Agreement, a south suburban based, special education cooperative which serves 17 school districts; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. also served as an adjunct instructor for Lewis University in 2004 and for National Louis University in 2009. Additionally, she provided professional workshops for many years; and

WHEREAS, of the many professional presentations which she delivered, Mrs. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. was most proud of those given at the American Education Research Association Conference in New York in 2008 and the International Sociological Association in Barcelona, Spain in September 2008; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. was very proud to be affiliated with the following organizations: South Suburban Chicago Chapter of Links Incorporated (for which she served as Arts Chair); Governors State University Nathan Manilow Sculpture Park; the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development; and the Illinois Alliance of Administrators of Special Education; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. met her husband, the Honorable Judge William Hooks, while both were college students at DePaul University in Chicago; their marriage continued to blossom for over 39 years; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. was a devoted mother, wife, grandmother and daughter; her family brought her immense joy; nurturing her daughters, in particular, was her passion; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. was a very emotionally giving person to her friends and family; she never lost her concern about the well-being of those around her, even as she suffered and neared death; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. was known as a highly ethical individual; she held her friends, family and colleagues to the same high standards; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. will be remembered in all of the hearts of those individuals whom she touched and loved; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. leaves to mourn her passing of her husband, the Honorable Judge William Hooks; mother, Grace C. Parrish; daughters, Mariah S. LeBlanc (Andrew J.) and Ashley Hooks Williams (Kyle T.); grandchildren, Hooks C. and Xavier M. LeBlanc; and extended family and friends, all of whom who loved her deeply.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President and Board of Commissioners of Cook County do hereby offer their deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the family and many friends of Debra S. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D. and join them in sorrow at this time of profound loss, and may and a suitable copy of this Resolution be tendered to the family of Debra S. Parrish-Hooks, Ed.D., that her memory may be so honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**14-1617
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE JESÚS G. GARCÍA, COUNTY COMMISSIONER

**CONGRATULATING THE UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT
CHICAGO DEPARTMENT OF URBAN PLANNING AND POLICY ON
ITS 40TH ANNIVERSARY**

WHEREAS, the University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC) Department of Urban Planning and Policy is celebrating its 40th anniversary; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Task Force of the UIC College of Urban Sciences delivered recommendations on February 6, 1973 to its new Dean, Charles Orlebeke, to open the Master of Urban Planning and Policy Academic Program; and

WHEREAS, that fall the college consisted of two (2) units: the Academic Program leading to the Master of Urban Planning and Policy and the Center for Urban Studies focusing on research and public service activities; and

WHEREAS, the UIC Department of Urban Planning and Policy has since grown to one of the largest graduate planning programs in the nation and the only accredited planning program in the Chicago area, boasting more than 2000 students and alumni; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Urban Planning and Policy's Masters in Urban Planning and Policy (MUPP) Program ranks in the top tier nationally in the Planetizen Guide to Graduate Urban Planning Programs, and is a recognized leader in urban planning and policy education in the world; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Urban Planning and Policy is now housed in the UIC College of Urban Planning and Public Affairs, a college established through the UIC Great Cities Initiative to provide innovative urban planning and public management education that puts engaged research to purposeful use at home and abroad; and

WHEREAS, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics projects faster than average employment growth for urban and regional planners; and

WHEREAS, there are few investments more important than those we make in our education and improving the human condition.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners does hereby congratulate the UIC Department of Urban Planning and Policy on its 40th anniversary and extends its best wishes for continued excellence in education and research to foster the growth and improvement of our communities; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this text be spread upon the proceedings of this Honorable Body and that a suitable copy of this Resolution be presented to the UIC Department of Urban Planning and Policy.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**14-1618
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE LARRY SUFFREDIN, COUNTY COMMISSIONER

**HONORING THE 150th ANNIVERSARY OF THE FOUNDING OF
SAINT MARK'S EPISCOPAL CHURCH IN EVANSTON ON
APRIL 25, 2014 AND PROCLAIMING APRIL 5, 2014
SAINT MARK'S DAY IN COOK COUNTY**

WHEREAS, on April 25, 2014 Saint Mark's Episcopal Church in Evanston will celebrate its Sesquicentennial;
and

WHEREAS, Saint Mark's Episcopal Church was founded on April 25, 1864 on the day that honors Saint Mark;
and

WHEREAS, Saint Mark's Episcopal Church is located in the Historic Ridge District at 1509 Ridge Avenue in Evanston, Illinois; and

WHEREAS, Saint Mark's is the oldest Episcopal Church in Evanston and as Evanston grew, Saint Mark's helped found additional Episcopal churches in Evanston, Saint Matthew's, Saint Luke's and Saint Augustine's;
and

WHEREAS, Saint Mark's is listed in the National Register of Historic Places; and

WHEREAS, Debra Bullock, the present rector, is the 18th rector of Saint Mark's; and

WHEREAS, under the guidance of The Second Century Committee“, to restore what is essential and to add what is good” the Church Sanctuary was completely restored beginning in 1994 and ending in 2006; and

WHEREAS, as Saint Mark's enters its 150th year, its motto is: “Being in Place...Growing in Faith...Living from the Center”; and

WHEREAS, the congregation and church of Saint Mark's continues to thrive under the leadership of the Reverend Debra Bullock, Rector and the Reverend Joan Barr Smith, Deacon.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners of Cook County, on behalf of the 5.2 million residents of Cook County takes great pleasure in honoring and celebrating the 150th anniversary of the founding of St. Mark's Episcopal Church and herewith expresses its sincere gratitude for the contributions the church has made to the citizens of Cook County, Illinois; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that April 25, 2014 be proclaimed Saint Mark's Day in Cook County; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body and that an official copy of the same be tendered to Saint Mark's Episcopal Church.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**14-1619
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE JESÚS G. GARCÍA, COUNTY COMMISSIONER

**HONORING CARMEN VELÁSQUEZ UPON HER RETIREMENT
FROM ALIVIO MEDICAL CENTER**

WHEREAS, Carmen Velásquez, was born in Chicago to Arturo, a Mexican immigrant who would move to Chicago and start a successful jukebox business and Shirley Velásquez, and sister to Arthur Raymond, Maria Elena and Edward; and

WHEREAS, Carmen earned a Bachelor of Science in Social Science from Loyola University Chicago in 1963 and a Master of Education in Spanish and Mexican Literature and History from the University of the Americas in Puebla, México in 1973; and

WHEREAS, Carmen has been a passionate and tireless leader in the Latino community on the issues of comprehensive immigration reform, universal health care, workforce development and human rights; and

WHEREAS, Carmen has dedicated her life to social change as a community organizer, social worker and bilingual education specialist; and

WHEREAS, Carmen's immigrant and life experience fueled the fire within her to fight for basic health care services for her community, especially for those most vulnerable, the uninsured and undocumented; and

WHEREAS, Carmen founded Alivio Medical Center in 1989 as a bilingual, bicultural, non-profit community health center by raising \$2.1 million to build the first Alivio Medical Center Clinic at 2355 South Western Avenue; and

WHEREAS, Carmen's leadership has guided the growth of Alivio Medical Center for 25 years and now serves more than 28,000 patients annually at its two clinics in Pilsen, one clinic in Cicero, and three school-based community health clinics located in the Little Village Lawndale High School, the John Spry Community School & Community Links High School and the José Clemente Orozco Community Academy; and

WHEREAS, Carmen's passion and leadership for the underserved led to her appointment to the Cook County Health and Hospitals System Board of Directors on June 19, 2012; and

WHEREAS, Carmen has received many awards throughout her impressive service including the Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund *Lifetime Achievement Award*; the National Council of La Raza

Helen Rodriguez-Trias Health Award; the Campaign for Better Health Care Social Justice Award; the Puerto Rican Cultural Center Juan Antonio Corretje 2013 Bartolo Hernández de Jesús Lifetime Achievement Award; Hispanic Business The 100 Influential Thought Leaders Award; American College of Physicians Richard & Hinda Rosenthal Foundation Award; and, the Premio Ohtli, the highest honor bestowed by the Government of México on an individual for outstanding contribution to Mexicans living abroad; and

WHEREAS, Carmen was honored by hundreds of supporters, colleagues, friends, and community members at the Alivio Medical Center 25th Anniversary Gala on Friday, January 24, 2014.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners does hereby congratulate Carmen Velásquez for 25 years at the helm of Alivio Medical Center and an impressive and extraordinary life of service and commitment to the most vulnerable members of the community; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this text be spread upon the proceedings of this Honorable Body and that a suitable copy of this Resolution be presented to Carmen Velásquez with our thanks and sincere wishes for good health and happiness during her retirement.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**14-1622
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH “LIZ” DOODY GORMAN,
PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE, JERRY BUTLER, EARLEAN COLLINS,
JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESUS G. GARCIA,
GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE, EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,
PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT B. STEELE,
LARRY SUFFREDIN AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI,
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

HONORING ANDREW HOLMES

WHEREAS, Andrew Holmes who was a survivor of gun violence, has dedicated his life to rid all communities including his hometown of Chicago of senseless gun violence; and

WHEREAS, Andrew Holmes began his mission while working on behalf of a State Representative distributing flyers and information door to door to combat and prevent elder abuse; and

WHEREAS, Andrew Holmes mission has evolved to an even higher level focusing on ridding violence and drug abuse within all communities and focusing particularly among the youth; and

WHEREAS, Andrew Holmes has established an anonymous tip line [1-800-UTELLUS] working along with a nationwide missing persons unit and continues the most personal form of community policing, again going door to door investigating leads and asking questions in order to help solve and prevent crime; and

WHEREAS, Andrew Holmes continues to work with the FBI and the Chicago Police Department's Human Trafficking Division and has been credited with rescuing more than 50 young victims of kidnapping and human trafficking and aiding in an arrest linked to over a dozen high-profile murder cases; and

WHEREAS, Chicago Mayor Rahm Emanuel has proclaimed February 26, 2014 to be Andrew Holmes Day in Chicago in recognition of Andrew Holmes; and

WHEREAS, Andrew Holmes is a shining example of true citizenship and his personal commitment to all communities working tirelessly to make our neighborhoods safer and better places to protect the most vulnerable of our society.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners do hereby recognize and thank Andrew Holmes for his tireless work in making our communities safer and reuniting families.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

14-1624

RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE LARRY SUFFREDIN, COUNTY COMMISSIONER

**HONORING THE 125th ANNIVERSARY OF THE FOUNDING
OF THE WOMEN'S CLUB OF EVANSTON**

WHEREAS, on Sunday March 23, the Women's Club in Evanston will celebrate its 125th anniversary; and

WHEREAS, for 125 years, the Women’s Club of Evanston has been raising funds and providing volunteers for local nonprofit organizations dedicated to enhancing the lives of individuals and families in Evanston; and

WHEREAS, the Community Outreach Committee of the Woman’s Club of Evanston coordinates, manages and implements community service projects and every member is asked to serve in at least two community service events. As a Club, their members give more than 5000 hours of community service a year to various Evanston projects; and

WHEREAS, the Women’s Club of Evanston has pioneered many vital programs that benefit the community; one of their signature projects is the Dreams Delivered Project that provides prom attire to seniors at Evanston Township High School free of charge. In seven years, Dreams Delivered has outfitted nearly 425 Evanston Township High School senior girls for prom, a joint project with Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority; and

WHEREAS, another signature project of the Women’s Club of Evanston is the Sewing Circle a project that has members make items for babies in need, for breast cancer patients and for the critical needs of returning injured Iraqi war veterans. Welfare Sewing was an original project of the organization 125 years ago when members sewed baby blankets among other items and the tradition continues today; and

WHEREAS, The Women’s Club of Evanston is dedicated to community service, philanthropic work and friendship and boasts more than 400 members from the North Shore, Chicago and surrounding communities.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners of Cook County, on behalf of the 5.2 million residents of Cook County takes great pleasure in honoring and celebrating the 125th anniversary of the Women’s Club of Evanston and herewith expresses its sincere gratitude for the contributions the organization and its members have made to the citizens of Cook County, Illinois; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body and that an official copy of the same be tendered to the Women’s Club of Evanston.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**14-1625
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE LARRY SUFFREDIN, COUNTY COMMISSIONER

**COMMEMORATING THE LIFE, MANY ACCOMPLISHMENTS
AND MEMORY OF THE ROBERT J. O'CONNELL**

WHEREAS, Robert J. O'Connell, was a distinguished law professor of Marquette University, Illinois Institute of Technology (IIT) Chicago-Kent College of Law and John Marshall Law School; and

WHEREAS, Robert J. O'Connell was a veteran of the United States Army and served in the Korean War; and

WHEREAS, Robert J. O'Connell was the beloved brother of the late Franklin, Jr., M.D. (the late Mary Lou O'Connell, the late Jerome, M.D. (Ellen) O'Connell and the late Regina (the late James, Sr.) Nash; dear uncle of Thomas (Terri) O'Connell, Deirdre (Edmond) deVlam, Meg (Michael) Hawkins, John O'Connell, Anne O'Connell, M.D. (Ethan Kunz), Patricia O'Connell (Stephen Bell), Edward (Julie) O'Connell, Peter O'Connell, Catherine Nash, James, Jr. (Nancy) Nash, Maura (Ted) Kennedy and Regina Nash; and

WHEREAS Robert J. O'Connell was a popular law professor, inspiring and influencing many young lawyers at Marquette University, IIT, Chicago Kent and John Marshall Law schools; and

WHEREAS, Robert J. O'Connell was a cherished friend to many and fond great-uncle who enjoyed piloting airplanes; and

WHEREAS, Robert J. O'Connell will be greatly missed by friends in Finland, Northern Wisconsin, Alaska and the Amish Community.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners of Cook County, on behalf of the 5.2 million residents of Cook County commemorates the life of Robert J. O'Connell, and herewith expresses its sincere gratitude for the invaluable contributions he has made to the Citizens of Cook County, Illinois through the training of hundreds of lawyers; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body and that an official copy of the same be tendered to the family of Robert J. O'Connell.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**14-1644
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE JOHN P. DALEY, COUNTY COMMISSIONER
AND PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE**

IN MEMORIAM OF ELMER MESTROVIC

WHEREAS, Almighty God in His infinite wisdom has called Elmer Mestrovic from our midst; and

WHEREAS, Elmer Mestrovic was known with affection as “Big E” by his many friends and family members; and

WHEREAS, Elmer Mestrovic was the beloved husband of the late Rita Mestrovic; and

WHEREAS, Elmer Mestrovic was the loving father of Johanne (Jerry) Lakomiak and Elmer (the late Patti); and

WHEREAS, Elmer Mestrovic was the best friend of Mary Akers, Mary (Bill) Murrthy and Rita (Massimo) Grassano; and

WHEREAS, Elmer Mestrovic was the proud grampa and best papa of Jake, Jerry, Mary, Matthew, Billy, Rita and Jack; and

WHEREAS, Elmer Mestrovic was the dear brother of Carl, and the late Frank (the late Eve), Robert and Beverly; and

WHEREAS, Elmer Mestrovic bravely answered the call of his country to defend the cause of freedom in the United States Navy where he served with valor and distinction; and

WHEREAS, all who knew him will attest that Elmer Mestrovic was a kind and compassionate man, virtuous of character and gentle in spirit, admired and respected by his many friends and neighbors, and dearly loved by his family.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County that the Board does hereby offer its deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the family and many friends of Elmer “Big E” Mestrovic and joins them in sorrow at this time of loss; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body, and a suitable copy of same be tendered to the family of Elmer Mestrovic that his memory may be so honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

PRESIDENT

14-0692

ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE,
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

COOK COUNTY VIOLENCE PREVENTION, INTERVENTION
AND REDUCTION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 2 Administration, Article VI Boards, Commissions and Committees, Division 4 Cook County Violence Prevention and Reduction Advisory Committee, Sections 2-526 through 2-527 is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 2-526. Cook County Violence Prevention, Intervention and Reduction Advisory Committee.

(a) There shall be created a Cook County Violence Prevention, Intervention and Reduction Advisory Committee ("Advisory Committee") made up of the President of the Cook County Board of Commissioners or his or her designee and six other members appointed by the President. The Advisory Committee shall be in place for the Cook County 2013 Fiscal Year. Members of the Advisory Committee shall include:

- (1) The President or his or her designee; and
- (2) Three members of the Cook County Board of Commissioners; and
- (3) One member with extensive law enforcement experience; and
- (4) Two members with extensive social service and community outreach experience.

(b) The members of this Advisory Committee shall serve without pay.

(c) The purpose of the Advisory Committee is to establish an advocacy and resource group regarding various violence prevention programs or ideas that can be administered or outsourced by the Cook County Justice Advisory Council for the Cook County 2013 Fiscal Year. The Advisory Committee shall cease its duties at the end of the Cook County 2013 Fiscal Year, thereafter, the violence prevention, intervention and reduction strategies and programs undertaken by the Advisory Committee shall be the responsibility of the Justice Advisory Council Department and its Director.

(d) The members of the Advisory Committee shall meet quarterly or as designated by the President or his or her designee during Cook County Fiscal Year 2013.

(e) In addition to studying various violence prevention programs, the Advisory Committee shall review existing gun court models and best practices; the Advisory Committee shall present a recommendation regarding the creation of a Cook County Gun Court to the Cook County Board of Commissioners in such time as to facilitate the implementation of said Court by July 1, 2013.

(f) The Advisory Committee with the assistance of the Director of the Justice Advisory Council shall prepare an annual report describing the work undertaken in Cook County Fiscal Year 2013. The annual report shall be distributed to the Cook County Board of Commissioners, on or before November 1st of each year. The report shall include minutes of meetings of the Advisory Committee over the past year and a description of the types of programs that have been implemented or outsourced. The Justice Advisory Council shall provide administrative support as necessary.

Sec. 2-527. Cook County Violence Prevention, Intervention and Reduction Programs.

(a) Appropriations dedicated to the Justice Advisory Council for violence prevention, intervention and reduction programs shall be administered by the Justice Advisory Council as recommended by the Advisory Committee in Cook County’s 2013 Fiscal Year. The Advisory Committee shall designate at least \$100,000.00 of the appropriated funds annually for education and outreach related to the prevention of the straw purchases of firearms; funds allocated for education and outreach may be allocated for internal programs, grants or valid procurements subject to the approval of the Cook County Board of Commissioners. Funds allocated in Cook County Fiscal Year 2014 and thereafter for violence prevention, intervention and reduction programs shall be administered by the Justice Advisory Council Department.

(b) Violence prevention, intervention and reduction programs approved by the Advisory Committee may be administered as a grant to qualified 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations selected following a grant application process, request for proposal or request for qualification issued by the Justice Advisory Council. To be a qualified 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organization, said organization must have been in existence for at least 3 years and have previous violence prevention, intervention, reduction and community outreach experience. Grants issued to qualified 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations shall be selected by the Advisory Committee subject to the approval of the Cook County Board of Commissioners. The Justice Advisory Council shall be authorized to issue the grant application process, request for proposal or request for qualification and shall be authorized to execute said grant agreements as approved by the Cook County Board of Commissioners. In Cook County Fiscal Year 2014 and thereafter, the Director of the Justice Advisory Council shall be authorized to issue the grant application process, request for proposals or request for qualifications and shall be authorized to execute said grant or contract agreements in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code.

Effective date: This Ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

*This item was deferred at the 1/15/2014 meeting of the Board of Commissioners.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Reyes, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Ordinance Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

Commissioners Fritchey and Suffredin voted “no”.

14-1232

Submitting a Proposed Ordinance Amendment sponsored by

TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT, JERRY BUTLER, JOHN P. DALEY, JESÚS G. GARCÍA, GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE, JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, EDWIN REYES, PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT B. STEELE and JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

REVISING PROCUREMENT CODE

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 34 Finance, Article IV Procurement Code, Division 1 General Provisions through Division 10 Invoices for Services Rendered of the Cook County Code, is hereby amended as follows:

DIVISION 1. GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sec. 34-120. Short title.

Chapter 34, Article IV of this Code shall be known and may be cited as the "Cook County Procurement Code".

Sec. 34-121. Definitions.

Unless defined elsewhere in this Procurement Code or in Chapter 1, Section 1-3 of the County Code, ~~capitalized~~ terms used in this Procurement Code shall have the meanings set forth below.

Affiliate. An "Affiliate" of, or a Person "Affiliated" with, a specified Person shall mean any Person that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, Controls, is Controlled by, or is under common Control with, the Person specified.

Applicant means a person who submits documents and information seeking certification, continued certification, or re-certification as an MBE, WBE, VBE, or SDVBE to the Office of Contract Compliance.

Assessor means the Assessor of Cook County.

Auditing Services means the formal examination of accounting records or financial statements for compliance with financial accounting standards applicable to governmental entities, which functions are generally exclusively performed or supervised by Persons licensed and authorized to do business as public accountants in the State. The term "Auditing Services" shall also include any independent reports and management recommendations derived or resulting from the performance of auditing services and which reports and recommendations are included within the scope of the Contract for Auditing Services.

Bid means a response to a Bid Notice containing all Bid Documents and any other documents or information the Bidder is required to provide.

Bid Documents means the documents, specifications, forms and other information necessary required for a Bid.

Bid Notice means the notice from the CPO regarding a Procurement which shall include: a general description of the Procurement; information necessary to obtain the Bid Documents; and the date, time and place for both the submission of Bids and the opening of the Bids.

Bid Price means the dollar amount set forth in a Bid.

Bidder means any Person who submits a Bid.

Certified or Certification means the granting of Minority Business Enterprise ("MBE"), Women's Business Enterprise ("WBE"), Veteran Business Enterprise ("VBE") or Service Disabled Veteran Business Enterprise ("SDVBE") status to a Person.

Chief Financial Officer or *CFO* means the Chief Financial Officer of Cook County.

Chief Information Officer or *CIO* means the Chief Information Officer of Cook County.

Chief Procurement Officer or *CPO* means the Chief Procurement Officer of Cook County. References in this Procurement Code to actions required to be taken by the CPO shall be deemed to include designees or staff of the CPO.

Consulting Services means the rendering of analysis and advice requiring specialized expertise in a particular subject area or field. Such expertise may have been gained by education or experience in the area or field. The term "Consulting Services" expressly excludes auditing services.

Contract shall include any written document to make Procurements by or on behalf of Cook County.

Contract Compliance Director or *CCD* means the County Contract Compliance Director.

Contractor means the Person that enters into a Contract with the County.

~~*Control.* The term "Control", "is Controlled by", or is "under common Control with" shall mean a Person that has the power to directly or indirectly affect the management or the policies of the other through ownership of voting securities or voting rights, by contract or otherwise. means the unfettered authority to directly or indirectly manage governance, administration, work, and all other aspects of a business. exclusive, ultimate, majority, or sole control of the business, including but not limited to capital investment and all other financial matters, property, acquisitions, contract negotiations, legal matters, officer director employee selection and comprehensive hiring, operation responsibilities, cost control matters, income and dividend matters, financial transactions, and rights of other shareholders or joint partners. Control shall be real, substantial, and continuing, not pro forma. Control shall include the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of the business and to make the day to day as well as major decisions in matters of policy, management, and operations. Control shall be exemplified by possessing the requisite knowledge and expertise to run the particular business, and control shall not include simple majority or absentee ownership.~~

Court Ordered Child Support Arrearage means that the Circuit Court of Cook County has issued an order declaring the respondent in arrearage on child support obligations in a specific amount as of the date of that order, or that another Illinois or non-Illinois court of competent jurisdiction has issued such an order.

Covered Services means janitorial cleaning services, window cleaning services, elevator operator and starter services, and security services.

Distributor means a Person supplying a product with written confirmation of its authorized distributor relationship with a manufacturer. Such distributor may be stocking (maintaining inventory) or non-stocking.

Elected Official means the President and Commissioners of the Cook County Board, Assessor, Board of Review, Chief Judge, Clerk of the Circuit Court, County Clerk, Recorder of Deeds, Sheriff, State's Attorney, Treasurer, and any other elected official included in the Cook County Appropriations Ordinance.

Employee means any individual working on a full-time basis, and providing services for an Employer under a Contract. "Employees" shall not include workers required to be paid the prevailing wage pursuant to Section 34-161.

Employer means any Person that employs one or more full-time Employees.

Execution means to sign a Contract, after it has been approved by the CPO or the Board, as required by this Procurement Code.

Joint Venture means an association of two or more Persons proposing to perform a for-profit business enterprise. Joint Ventures must have an agreement in writing specifying the terms and conditions of the relationship between the partners and their relationship and respective responsibility for the Contract.

Living Wage means those amounts established from time to time by the CFO, and posted on the CPO's website pursuant to Section 34-160.

Local business means a Person, including a foreign corporation authorized to transact business in Illinois, having a bona fide establishment located within the County at which it is transacting business on the date when a Bid is submitted to the County, and which employs the majority of its regular, full-time work force within the County. A Joint Venture shall constitute a Local Business if one or more Persons that qualify as a "Local Business" hold interests totaling over 50 percent in the Joint Venture, even if the Joint Venture does not, at the time of the Bid submittal, have such a bona fide establishment within the County.

Local Small Business means a Local Business which is also a Small Business.

Not-for-Profit Organization means an entity having tax exempt status under the United States Internal Revenue Code.

Person or *Persons* means any individual, corporation, partnership, Joint Venture, trust, association, limited liability company, sole proprietorship or other legal entity.

Procurement. The term "Procurement", "Procurements" or "Procuring" means obtaining supplies, equipment, goods or services of any kind.

Professional Social Service Contracts or *Professional Social Service Agreements* means any contract or agreement with a social service provider, including other governmental agencies, nonprofit organizations, or for profit business enterprises engaged in the field of and providing social services, juvenile justice, mental health treatment, alternative sentencing, offender rehabilitation, recidivism reduction, violence reduction, foster care, substance abuse treatment, domestic violence services, community transitioning services, intervention, or such other similar services which provide mental, social or physical treatment and services to individuals. Said Professional Social Service Contracts or Professional Social Service Agreements do not include CCHHS managed care contracts that CCHHS may enter into with health care providers.

Procurement Code means Chapter 34, Article IV.

Proposal means a response to an RFP.

Proposer means a Person submitting a Proposal.

Public Works means all fixed works constructed or demolished by the County, or paid for wholly or in part out of public funds administered by the County. "Public Works" as defined herein includes all projects financed in whole or in part with bonds, grants, loans, or other funds made available by or through federal or State government, or the County. "Public Works" does not include projects undertaken by the owner at an owner-occupied single-family residence or at an owner-occupied unit of a multifamily residence. "Public Works" includes any maintenance, repair, assembly, or disassembly work performed on equipment whether owned, leased, or rented.

Response means response to an RFQ.

Respondent. The term "Respondent" means a Person responding to an RFQ.

Responsible means a Person that has the capability in all respects to perform fully a Contract or to provide the required supplies, equipment, goods or services to the County, and the integrity and reliability that will assure good faith performance. Factors taken into consideration in determining whether a Person is Responsible may include quality, financial capacity, past performance, experience, adequacy of staff, equipment, and the ability to perform within the time frame required for the Procurement.

Responsive means a Bid, a Response or a Proposal is in compliance in all material respects with all the terms, conditions and requirements set forth in the Bid Documents, RFP, RFQ, request for quotations or other terms required for a Procurement, including, but not limited to, completion and timely submittal of all required affidavits, statements, certifications, bid deposits, insurance, performance and payment bonds and other County requirements.

RFP means a Request for Proposals issued pursuant to this Procurement Code.

RFQ means a Request for Qualifications issued to obtain the qualifications of interested parties.

Small Business means a small business as defined by the U.S. Small Business Administration, pursuant to the business size standards found in 13 CFR Part 121, as related to the nature of the work the Person seeks to perform on Contracts. A Person is not an eligible small business enterprise in any calendar fiscal year in which its gross receipts, averaged over the Person's previous five fiscal years, exceed the size standards of 13 CFR Part 121.

Using Agency means the departments or agencies within Cook County government, including Elected Officials.

Utilization Plan means a plan for utilization of VBE's, SDVBE's, and PCEs.

Wage. The term "Wage" means compensation due to an Employee by reason of employment, including allowances for gratuities and for meals and lodging that are furnished by the Employer and actually used by the Employee.

Sec. 34-122. Procurements and contracts.

All Procurements for or by any Using Agency of Cook County, regardless of the source of the funds used to pay for such Procurement, shall be made by the CPO, and in accordance with this Procurement Code and the procedures promulgated pursuant hereto. All Contracts shall be in a form determined by the CPO. Contracts shall be approved and executed as set forth in the procedures promulgated pursuant to and in this Procurement Code. Notwithstanding, the above provision or any other provision in this Procurement Code, the Board of Directors of the Cook County Health and Hospitals System ("System Board") shall have the authority over Procurements and Contracts for the Cook County Health and Hospitals System as provided in the Ordinance establishing the CCHHS. The System Board shall adopt written rules, regulations and procedures in accordance and consistent with this Procurement Code and provisions set forth herein.

Sec 34-123. No power to act for procurements or expenditures of \$150,000.00 or more.

The CPO shall have the authority to approve Procurements, execute Contracts and execute Contract amendments up to an amount less than \$150,000.00 without Board approval; provided, however, that Board approval shall be required for any Procurement of the same or similar supplies, goods, equipment or services which would result in the aggregate amount of such Procurements from the same vendor by the same Using Agency equaling or exceeding \$150,000.00 in any fiscal year. Notwithstanding, the CPO shall have the authority

to execute Contract amendments on Contracts approved by the Board; provided, however, that the total of such amendments does not increase the original amount of such Contract by more than \$150,000.00 during the term of the Contract. The "amount" of a Contract shall mean the maximum amount payable under such Contract.

No Person has the power or authority to approve, authorize or execute a Procurement, a Contract, Contract amendment or the expenditure of public money in the amount of \$150,000.00 or more without approval of the County Board, except in the following instances: the payment of public utility bills, the payment of rent pursuant to the provisions of a lease previously approved by the County Board, payment of insurance premiums, payment of any amount pursuant to the provisions of a Contract, the execution of which was approved by the Board pursuant to this Section 34-123 above, or other Board-authorized transactions. Any action in violation of this section shall be null and void.

Sec. 34-124. Chief Procurement Officer.

The President, with the consent of the Board, shall appoint a Chief Procurement Officer who shall serve as the purchasing agent for Cook County and shall be responsible for making all Procurements for all Using Agencies and for managing the County's Procurement Process in accordance with this Procurement Code. Any individual so appointed shall have at least three years' experience in an executive capacity in the purchasing office of a private or public entity with procurements reasonably comparable in size and nature to those of the County.

Sec. 34-125. Powers and duties of the Chief Procurement Officer.

The Chief Procurement Officer shall:

- (a) Make all Procurements and conduct all activities related to the Procurement Process in accordance with the Procurement Code and any procedures promulgated pursuant hereto;
- (b) Establish and maintain procurement policies and procedures, and standardized documents and forms to implement the Procurement Code;
- (c) Cooperate with the Contracts Compliance Director to coordinate the procurement process with the Minority- and Women-Owned Business Program established pursuant to Division 8 of this Procurement Code;
- (d) Develop and maintain procedures for disseminating information and notice of procurement opportunities;
- (e) Have authority to implement innovative procurement methods and processes pursuant to this Procurement Code;
- (f) Have authority to approve and execute an assignment of or an amendment to a Contract; provided that any such amendment does not extend the Contract by more than one year, and further provided that the total cost of all such amendments does not increase the amount of the Contract beyond the authority of the CPO granted in Section 34-123;
- (g) Have authority to establish the commencement and expiration dates of any Contract as necessary to permit the Contract period to commence upon the date of Execution of the Contract by the County, unless another commencement date is specified in the Contract;
- (h) ~~Within the CPO's authority, a~~ Approve and execute Contracts within his or her authority, or as directed by the Board;
- (i) Ensure that all certifications, statements and affidavits required by this Procurement Code are submitted;

(j) Determine when supplies, materials and equipment are obsolete or unusable, and trade in, sell or dispose of such property, except for such property which is the responsibility of the Cook County Health and Hospitals System;

(k) Compile and maintain information for all Procurements, including those Procurements and Contract amendments which do not require Board approval. The CPO shall submit a report to the Board on a monthly basis listing the Procurements and Procurement amendments executed by the CPO that do not require Board approval, including a list of each Person from whom the County makes such a Procurement and the method of Procurement applied, as well as Procurements that authorize the advance payment for services. Such reports shall include:

- (1) The name of the Vendor;
- (2) A brief description of the product or service provided;
- (3) The name of the Using Department and budgetary account from which the funds are being drawn; and
- (4) The amount and term of the Procurement; and
- (5) The amount and/or extension period of the amendment, if applicable.

Such report shall be provided to the Board of Commissioners in an electronic format-;

(l) ~~The CPO shall w~~Work with the Comptroller to provide a monthly report of the individual and total aggregate amount disbursements made for Procurements that do not require Board approval. The Comptroller shall provide to the Board of Commissioners a report of all payments made pursuant to contracts for supplies, materials and equipment and for professional and managerial services for Cook County, including the separately elected Officials, which involve an expenditure of \$150,000.00 or more, within two weeks of being made. Such reports shall include:

- (1) The name of the Vendor;
- (2) A brief description of the product or service provided;
- (3) The name of the Using Department and budgetary account from which the funds are being drawn; and
- (4) The contract number under which the payment is being made.

Such report shall be provided to the Board of Commissioners in an electronic format-;

(m) Make available on the County's website information related to all Procurements, including, but not limited to, a list of Contracts and a list of Contractors and subcontractors;

(n) Keep a record of any Person who has been disqualified under Division 4, Disqualification; Penalties, and shall provide such record to the Cook County Health and Hospitals System;

(o) Have authority to terminate a Contract in accordance with its terms;

(p) Issue notices of violation to enforce the provisions of this Code, as applicable, and institute enforcement proceedings under Chapter 2, Article IX, as appropriate;

(q) Work with the Comptroller to assure that Contractors are not paid in advance of performance, unless such advance payment is provided for and properly justified in the Contract; ~~and~~

(r) Have charge of such other Procurement activities as may be assigned by the President or the Board-; and

(s) Have the authority to require the CIO to review and approve all technology related Procurements, Contracts or Contract amendments in advance of CPO and/or Board approval; notice of the CIO's approval or disapproval shall be provided by the CIO to the CPO and/or Board prior to approval in order for the CPO and/or Board to make an informed decision on the requested technology related Procurement, Contract or Contract amendment; technology related Procurements, Contracts or Contract amendments include but are not limited to computer hardware, software, software and hardware maintenance, software and hardware service costs, information technology services, telecommunications services and telecommunications equipment Procurements, Contracts or Contract amendments.

Secs. 34-126-34-134. Reserved.

DIVISION 2. PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES

Sec. 34-135. Procurement methods.

All County Procurements shall be made pursuant to the appropriate procurement method set forth below and described in the applicable Section.

- Sec. 34-136. Competitive Bidding;
- Sec. 34-137. Small Procurements;
- Sec. 34-138. Requests for Qualifications or Proposals;
- Sec. 34-139. Sole Source Procurements;
- Sec. 34-140. Comparable Government Procurement;
- Sec. 33-141. Emergency Procurements;
- Sec. 34-142. Joint Procurements;
- Sec. 34-143. Consortium and Group Procurements;
- Sec. 34-144. Innovative Procurement; and
- Sec. 34-145. Responsible Bidder Process for Public Works Construction, Maintenance and Repair Contracts.

Sec. 34-136. Competitive bidding.

Procurements of supplies, materials, equipment, and services shall be made by the competitive bidding process as set forth in this section, unless such Procurements meet the criteria for another procurement method set forth in this division. The CPO shall follow the procedures set forth below for competitive bidding.

- (a) *Development and approval of Bid Documents.* The Using Agency shall provide to the CPO all information required by the CPO to prepare the Bid Documents, including minimum qualifications, specifications and any special conditions.
- (b) *Bid Notice.* Upon request by a Using Agency, the CPO shall publish a Bid Notice on the County's website at least five days before the date for the submission of Bids.
- (c) *Pre-Bid conference or site inspection.* The Bid Documents shall include details of any pre-Bid conference or site inspection, including whether any such pre-Bid conference or site inspection is mandatory. The CPO shall keep a record of all Persons who request Bid Documents. The CPO will notify all Persons recorded as having requested Bid Documents of any changes with respect to such conference or inspection no later than at least 24 hours prior to the original scheduled date and no less than two business days prior to any newly scheduled date for such conference or inspection.
- (d) *Communications with the County regarding competitive bidding process.* From the time the Bid Notice is issued until the successful Bidder has been recommended to the Board by the CPO, all communications to the County relating to the Bid must be directed in writing (which may be electronic) only to the CPO, or as otherwise

specified in the Bid Documents. Upon receipt of such a request, the CPO will determine if a response will be provided. Any such response shall be provided in an addendum to all Persons requesting the Bid Documents. Notwithstanding the foregoing, communications may be made to the Office of Contract Compliance in writing for the purpose of complying with Division 8, Minority and Women-Owned Business Enterprise Program.

(e) *No changes to Bids.* No Bid may be changed, amended or supplemented in any way after the date and time for submission of Bids.

(f) *Bid opening.* All Bids shall be opened and a record of such Bids shall be made on the date, and at the time and location as stated in the Bid Notice or as prescribed in an addendum issued by the CPO. All Bids shall be opened, and the name of the Bidder and the Bid Price shall be read publicly. If it is determined that an error was made in the public reading of the Bids, the CPO shall notify all Bidders of such error and reconvene the Bid opening to correct the record as soon as reasonably possible. If the Bids are submitted electronically, no public reading shall be required so long as a record of the Bids opened is publicly available immediately after the Bids are opened.

(g) *If only one Bid is received.* If only one Bid has been submitted, the CPO will determine whether to open the Bid or return the Bid to the Bidder via certified mail and reissue the Bid Notice or use a different method to make the Procurement. If the Bid was submitted electronically, and is not opened, it shall be deleted from the electronic procurement system.

(h) *Evaluation of Bids.* The CPO shall review, evaluate and tabulate Responsive Bids. In determining the apparent low Bid, the CPO shall consider the Responsibility of the Bidder and all applicable preferences and incentives provided in this Procurement Code. The CPO shall then direct the Bids along with the tabulation to the Using Agency for review. Upon full review of the Bids and Bid tabulations, the Using Agency shall notify the CPO in writing of its Procurement recommendation, with justification supporting such recommendation. The CPO shall review the recommendation of the Using Agency, and shall post on the County's website the CPO's recommended Bid for award.

(i) *Bid protest.* Any Bidder who reasonably believes that the recommended Bidder is not the lowest Responsive and Responsible Bidder, or has a complaint about the bid process, may submit a bid protest, in writing, and directed to the CPO. For all Contracts requiring Board approval, any bid protest must be submitted no later than three business days after the date upon which the CPO posts the recommended Bid for award. For all Contracts which can be executed by the CPO, any bid protest must be submitted no later than three business days after the date upon which the CPO posts the recommended Bid for execution. The bid protest must specify why the protester believes the recommended Bidder is not the lowest Responsive and Responsible Bidder, or why the protester believes the bid procedure was unfair, including a statement of how the alleged unfairness prejudiced the protesting Bidder and the action requested of the CPO. A bid protest based on an issue which could have been clarified through a request for clarification or information pursuant to Section 34-136(d), Communications with the County regarding competitive bidding process, will not be considered if the protesting Bidder failed to make such request. When a bid protest has been submitted, no further action shall be taken on the Procurement until the CPO makes a decision concerning the bid protest, unless the Using Agency responds in writing and sufficiently demonstrates that (i) the item to be procured is urgently required and (ii) failure to make the award promptly will unduly delay delivery or performance or cause other undue harm.

The CPO shall issue a written decision on the bid protest to the protesting Bidder and to any other Bidder affected by such decision as soon as reasonably practicable. If the bid protest is upheld based on a lack of fairness in the bid procedure, the CPO shall re-bid the procurement. If the CPO determines that the recommended Bidder was not Responsive and Responsible, that Bidder shall be disqualified and the CPO may either recommend the lowest Responsive and Responsible Bidder or re-bid.

(j) *Board Action.* Upon resolution of any bid protests, or expiration of the three-day protest period with no protests, the CPO shall either execute the Contract if within his/her authority, or submit the Contract to the Board, through its Finance Committee, for approval and authorization for the CPO to Execute the Contract. Once the Contract has been approved by the Board, or executed by the CPO, the CPO shall post on the CPO's website information regarding the Procurement.

(k) *Right to reject Bids.* The County shall have the right to reject any and all Bids. The CPO is authorized to exercise this right on behalf of the Board. The CPO shall include a provision in the Bid Documents reserving the right to reject any and all Bids.

(l) *Procurements Under \$150,000.00.* The competitive bidding process for procurements greater than \$5,000.00 and less than \$150,000.00 may consist of a solicitation posted on the CPO's website. The CPO shall promulgate policies and procedures to implement such Procurements. The CPO is not required to read or announce such Bids publicly. The CPO shall select the lowest Responsive Bid made by a Responsible Person, and shall post on the CPO's website information regarding the Procurement.

Sec. 34-137. Small procurements.

Procurements of the same or similar supplies, goods, equipment or services by a Using Agency in an aggregate amount from the same vendor of less than \$5,000.00 in the same fiscal year do not require a competitive method. The CPO shall promulgate policies and procedures to implement such Procurements.

Sec. 34-138. Requests for qualifications or proposals.

(a) *Criteria for use of request for qualifications or proposals.* The CPO in consultation with the Using Agency may determine that it is in the best interest of the County to make a Procurement utilizing the Request for Qualifications or Request for Proposals process. Examples of Procurements for which an RFQ or RFP process is appropriate include, but are not limited to: Procurements involving services requiring a high degree of professional skill where the ability or fitness of the Person plays an important part; Procurements where the requirements are not clearly known; Procurements where quality rather than quantity is a primary factor; and Procurements where it is not in the best interest of the County to make price a primary determinative factor. An RFP process is a competitive process under this Procurement Code, and a Person selected through an RFP process is not considered a "sole source".

(b) *Content of RFQs and RFPs.* The CPO shall determine what provisions RFQs and RFPs should contain in consultation with the requesting Using Agency and will incorporate the necessary details, provisions and requirements for the RFQ or RFP. RFQs and RFPs shall include a provision stating that the County may negotiate a Procurement with one or more Respondents or Proposers.

(c) *Issuance.* The CPO shall issue an RFQ or RFP after receiving a written request from the Using Agency and approval from the Using Agency regarding the contents of the RFQ or RFP. Notice of all RFQs and RFPs shall be posted on the CPO's website.

(d) *Opening of Responses.* The Responses or Proposals shall be opened in the presence of one or more witnesses after the designated date and time for submission. A representative of the Using Agency may be present at the opening but shall not be required to attend the opening. The names of the Respondents or Proposers shall be available to the public after the Procurement has been completed.

(e) *Evaluation and Selection for Contract Negotiation.* The CPO in coordination with the Using Agency shall develop evaluation criteria which are included in the RFQ or RFP. These criteria may include, but are not limited to, experience and qualifications of the Respondent or Proposer, the quality, content and completeness of the Response or Proposal, the demonstrated willingness and ability of the Respondent or Proposer to satisfy the requirements as described in the RFQ or RFP, and, if applicable, the cost proposal. The evaluation shall be

performed by a committee chaired by the CPO or a designee of the CPO with representatives of the Using Agency and other persons designated by the CPO. Respondents or Proposers shall be accorded fair treatment with regard to evaluation of their Responses or Proposals. Any or all Respondents or Proposers may be requested to make presentations and/or submit clarifications or revisions to their Responses or Proposals for the purpose of obtaining best and final Responses or Proposals. The Using Agency shall document the results of the evaluation. The contents of the Responses or Proposals shall not be disclosed to competing Respondents or Proposers during the evaluation process or any discussions.

(f) *Contract negotiation, approval and Execution.* The Using Agency may send its recommendation to the CPO, setting forth the reasons for such recommendation, which shall be based upon the evaluation criteria. Board approval is not required to negotiate a Contract. Negotiation of a Contract's terms shall take place between the prospective Contractor(s) and representatives of the Purchasing Department and the Using Agency. After a Contract is negotiated, the CPO shall either Execute the Contract, if within the CPO's authority, or forward the Contract to the Board for approval and authorization for the CPO to execute the Contract. Such request shall include the justification for the Contract and the selection of the Contractor.

(g) RFP or RFQ protest. Any interested party who has a complaint about the RFP or RFQ process may submit a protest in writing and directed to the CPO. For all Contracts requiring Board approval, any protest must be submitted no later than three business days after the date upon which the CPO posts the recommended contract for award. For all Contracts which can be executed by the CPO, any protest must be submitted no later than three business days after the date upon which the CPO posts the recommended Contract for execution. The subject of the protest for any RFP or RFQ shall concern fraud, corruption or illegal acts undermining the objectives and integrity of the procurement process. Any RFP or RFQ protest must be submitted no later than three business days after the date upon which the CPO posts the recommended Response or Proposal for award. The protest must contain a detailed statement of the factual and legal grounds of the protest, including all relevant documents and exhibits that demonstrate fraud, corruption or illegal acts having the effect of undermining the integrity of the procurement process and the action requested of the CPO. A protest based on an issue which could have been clarified through a request for clarification or information, will not be considered if the protestor failed to make such request. When a protest has been submitted, no further action shall be taken on the Procurement until the CPO makes a decision. The CPO shall issue a written decision on the protest to the protestor and to any other Respondent or Proposer affected by such decision as soon as reasonably practicable. If the protest is upheld, the CPO shall consult with the Using Agency, and may exercise any of the following remedies: cancel the procurement; recommend commencing contractual negotiations to the next qualified Respondent or Proposer, or re-issue the RFP or RFQ.

(h) Board or CPO related action. Upon resolution of any protests, or expiration of the three-day protest period with no protests, the CPO shall either execute the contract if within his/her authority, or submit the Contract to the Board, through its Finance Committee, for approval and authorization for the CPO to Execute the Contract. Once the Contract has been approved by the Board or executed by the CPO, the CPO shall post on the CPO's website information regarding the Procurement.

Sec. 34-139. Sole source procurements.

Procurements of supplies, equipment, goods or services may be made without use of one of the competitive processes if there is either only one source or there is a need for the unique or specialized skill, experience, or ability possessed by a particular source. The Using Agency must submit a letter to the CPO justifying the sole source Procurement, and provide any other documents or information required by the CPO.

Sec. 34-140. Comparable government procurement.

If a governmental agency has awarded a contract through a competitive method for the same or similar supplies, equipment, goods or services as that sought by the County, the Procurement may be made from that

vendor at a price or rate at least as favorable as that obtained by that government agency without utilizing a competitive procurement method set forth in this Procurement Code.

Sec. 34-141. Emergency procurements.

The CPO may make Procurements and execute Contracts without use of one of the competitive processes set forth in this Procurement Code and without prior approval of the Board, when such Procurements are necessary (i) due to a threat to public health or safety, (ii) for repairs to County property in order to protect against further loss or damage, (iii) to prevent or minimize serious disruption in County services, (iv) to ensure the integrity of County records, or (v) in the reasonable opinion of the CPO, for the best interests of the County. If practicable under the circumstances, the CPO shall obtain quotations or Proposals from at least three Persons. The CPO shall report the basis for the emergency Procurement and reasons for the selection of the Contractor to the Finance Committee of the Board within five business days of making an emergency Procurement.

Sec. 34-142. Joint procurements.

Procurements may be made pursuant to the Governmental Joint Purchasing Act, 30 ILCS 525.

Sec. 34-143. Consortium and group procurements.

Procurements may be made pursuant to the County's membership or participation in a purchasing consortium, provided that the Board has approved such membership or participation, for, at least in part, the purpose of obtaining advantageous pricing and other efficiencies for the County. Procurements made through a purchasing consortium shall be approved and executed as set forth in this Procurement Code.

Sec. 34-144. Innovative procurement.

(a) The CPO may make a Procurement using innovative methods of procurement, including, but not limited to, electronic procurement, reverse auctions, electronic bidding, electronic auctions, prequalification and pilot procurement programs that have no cost to the County. In order to implement innovative methods of procurement, either directly or through a service provider, the CPO must make a determination that such process is competitive and in the best interest of the County.

(b) As an alternative or in addition to directly conducting procurement using innovative methods, the CPO may make a Procurement of electronic procurement services for conducting reverse auctions, electronic auctions, or provide an on-line or electronic forum for competitive Bids, Requests for Qualifications and Requests for Proposals and other types of innovative methods of procurement on the County's behalf. The Contract for such Procurement may contain such terms as the CPO deems necessary, including, but not limited to, terms that specify the source and amount of the compensation. With respect to Procurements made pursuant to this section, the CPO is authorized to charge a reasonable service fee to the Contractors from which Procurements are made in order to cover part or all of the County's costs associated with such electronic procurement, including the costs of engaging a service provider. Such service fee shall be paid as directed by the CPO.

(c) The CPO shall have authority to adopt rules and regulations for the proper administration and enforcement of the provisions of this section, including the authority to modify the requirements of this Procurement Code as necessary to implement such innovative or electronic procurement method.

(d) Any document, affidavit, certification or form required by the Procurement Code or submitted in connection with any Procurement may be accepted by the CPO in electronic format subject to compliance with accepted means and methods of verification and authentication of electronic signatures.

Sec. 34-145. Responsible bidder process for public works construction, maintenance and repair contracts.

For purposes of evaluating whether a Bidder for a Public Works Contract is Responsible, the CPO shall determine that the Bidder:

- (a) Is authorized to do business in Illinois and the County;
- (b) Has, as applicable, a Federal Employer Identification Number or Social Security Number;
- (c) Meets any applicable insurance requirements in the Bid Document;
- (d) Has certified that it is in compliance with all provisions of the Illinois Prevailing Wage Act, and State and Federal equal employment opportunity laws;
- (e) Has certified that it participates in active apprenticeship and training programs approved and registered with the United States Department of Labor Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training for each of the trades of work contemplated under the awarded Contract;
- (f) Contractually requires any subcontractor to participate in active apprenticeship and training programs approved and registered with the United States Department of Labor Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training for each of the trades of work contemplated under the awarded Contract; and
- (g) Has agreed to provide Certified payrolls as specified in the Illinois Prevailing Wage Act.

For purposes of this Section 34-145, the terms, "Public Works" and "Construction" shall have the meanings set forth in the Illinois Prevailing Wage Act, 820 ILCS 130/2.

Sec. 34-146. Performance and Accountability in Professional Social Service Contracts and Agreements.

All Professional Social Service Contracts and Professional Social Service Agreements entered into must include within its terms or general conditions a requirement that the contractor or provider of such social services submit an annual performance report to the Using Agency that includes, but is not limited to, relevant statistics, an empirical analysis where applicable, and a written narrative describing the goals and objectives of the contract or agreement and programmatic outcomes. The Chief Procurement Officer shall be responsible for ensuring that this requirement is included in said Professional Social Service Contracts and Professional Social Service Agreements. The annual performance report shall be provided and reported to the Cook County Board of Commissioners by the applicable Using Agency within 45 days of receipt. Failure of the contractor or provider to provide an annual performance report will be considered a breach of contract or agreement by the contractor or provider, and may result in termination of the contract or agreement.

Secs. 34-147—34-159. Reserved.

DIVISION 3. WAGE REQUIREMENTS

Sec. 34-160. Living wage.

- (a) Unless expressly waived by the Board, any Contract requiring the use of full-time non-County Employees to provide services or labor under the Contract shall include a provision requiring that the Contractor shall pay not less than the Living Wage to such Employees, unless such Employees' Wages are governed by Federal or State law. The Contractor shall require all subcontractors to comply with this section. This Section shall not apply to Contracts with not-for-profit organizations or Contracts funded by Federal grants or loans.

- (b) If a Contractor or any of its subcontractors is found to be in violation of this section, such Contractor be required to pay back pay to each affected Employee, and may also be fined by the County up to \$100.00 for each affected Employee for each day paid at less than the Living Wage. Such penalties will not be imposed on any Person except after a hearing pursuant to Chapter 2, Article IX, Administrative Hearings.
- (c) If a Contractor or any of its subcontractors is found to have retaliated against an affected Employee, the Contractor may be held to be in breach of the Contract and the Contract may be terminated unless such Contractor or the subcontractor appropriately reinstates or compensates such Employee.
- (d) The CPO shall require that any such Contractor certify that it will comply with this section.
- (e) Pursuant to County Code Chapter 2, Article V, Division 3, Subdivision I, Section 2-408, the CFO shall annually determine the Living Wage.
- (f) The CPO shall post the current Living Wage on the CPO's website.
- (g) Every Contractor and subcontractor required to pay the Living Wage shall notify its Employees of the Living Wage requirement and shall notify all of its Employees annually of any adjustment to the Living Wage. In addition, the Employer shall notify its Employees that if any Employee contends that the Employer is not paying a Living Wage or has otherwise violated this section, that Employee may file a complaint with the Cook County Commission on Human Rights ("Commission"). If at the conclusion of the Commission's investigation, the Commission finds that the Employer has violated this section, it shall (1) in the case of an Employer receiving a property tax incentive, notify the Assessor; or (2) in the case of a Contractor or a subcontractor required to pay the Living Wage, notify the CPO, who shall exercise such remedies as are in the best interest of the County, including ordering the Employer to pay back pay and penalties, as provided in this section.

Sec. 34-161. Illinois prevailing wage.

- (a) To the extent required by the Illinois Prevailing Wage Act (820 ILCS 130/0.01 et seq.) (the "Prevailing Wage Act"), the general prevailing rate of Wages in this locality for laborers, mechanics and other workers engaged in the construction of Public Works coming under the jurisdiction of this County shall be the same as the prevailing rate of Wages for construction work in the Cook County area as determined from time to time by the Department of Labor of the State of Illinois. The definition of any terms used in this section which are also used in the Prevailing Wage Act shall be the same as in said Act.
- (b) Nothing herein contained shall be construed to apply the general prevailing rate of Wages to any work or employment except Public Works of this County and only to the extent required by the Prevailing Wage Act.
- (c) The CPO shall include in the Bid Notice for any Public Works Contract, and shall include in the Bid Documents, a requirement that not less than the prevailing rate of Wages as found by the County or the Department of Labor or determined by the court on review shall be paid to all laborers, workers and mechanics performing work under such Public Works Contract.
- (d) Prior to awarding any Public Works Contract, the CPO shall ascertain whether the Bidder is debarred pursuant to the Prevailing Wage Act.

Sec. 34-162. Federal prevailing wage.

If a Procurement will be paid for using federal funds, and if such federal funding requires compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. 276a-276a-7), then the Contract shall contain provisions requiring that the Contractor and any subcontractors shall pay the Federal Prevailing Wage.

Sec. 34-163. Prevailing wages for covered services.

(a) Not less than the prevailing rate of Wages shall be paid and prevailing working conditions shall be provided to any laborer, worker and mechanic providing Covered Services under a Contract.

(b) In order to be considered a Responsive Bidder for any Contract for Covered Services, the Bidder shall certify that Wages paid to its employees will be no less, and fringe benefits and working conditions of such employees shall be no less favorable, than those prevailing in the locality in which the Covered Services are to be performed, as determined by the Chief of the Bureau of Human Resources and posted on the website.

(c) The CPO of Cook County shall include in the Bid Notice for any Contract for Covered Services, and shall include in the specifications for any such Contract a provision that (i) not less than the prevailing rate of Wages shall be paid, and prevailing working conditions shall be provided, to all laborers, workers and mechanics performing Covered Services and (ii) all bonds required under such Contract shall include such provisions as will guarantee the faithful performance of such provision in the Contract.

Sec. 34-164. Prompt payments to subcontractors for non-public works.

When a Contractor doing business with the County receives any payment from the County for any goods, services, or equipment it has provided to the County pursuant to Contract, the Contractor must make payment to its subcontractors within 15 days after receipt of payment from the County, provided that such subcontractor has satisfactorily provided goods or services or completed its work or services in accordance with the Contract and provided the Contractor with all of the documents and information required of the Contractor. The Contractor may delay or postpone payment to a subcontractor when the subcontractor's work or materials do not comply with the requirements of the Contract, the Contractor is acting in good faith, and not in retaliation for a subcontractor exercising legal or contractual rights.

Sec. 34-165. Prompt payments to subcontractors and material suppliers for public works.

When a Contractor doing business with the County receives any payment from the County pursuant to a Public Works Contract, the Contractor shall pay each subcontractor and material supplier in proportion to the work completed by each subcontractor and material supplier less any retention within 15 days after receipt of payment from the County. If the Contractor receives less than the full payment due under the Public Works Contract, the Contractor shall be obligated to disburse on a pro rata basis those funds received with the Contractor, subcontractors and material suppliers, each receiving a prorated portion based on the amount of funds received. When, however, the County does not release the full payment due under the Contract because there are specific areas of work or materials the Contractor is rejecting or because the Contractor has otherwise determined such areas are not suitable for payment, then those specific subcontractors or suppliers involved shall not be paid for that portion of the work rejected or deemed not suitable for payment and all other subcontractors and suppliers shall be paid on a pro rata basis from the funds received, and shall receive full payment if funds are sufficient.

Secs. 34-164~~6~~—34-169. Reserved.

DIVISION 4. DISQUALIFICATION, AND PENALTIES

Sec. 34-170. Disqualification due to contract default or termination.

(a) If a Person has had a Contract terminated for cause by the County, or if a Person has failed to cure a default within any cure period provided by the Contract, such Person shall be ineligible to enter into a Contract with the County for a period of 24 months from the date of termination or notice of default.

(b) When a Contract has been terminated for cause or when an uncured default exists under a Contract, the Contractor may submit a request to the CPO for a reduction or waiver of the ineligibility period. The request shall be in writing and shall include documentation that one or more of the following actions have been taken:

- (1) There has been a bona fide change in ownership or Control of the ineligible Person;
- (2) Disciplinary action has been taken against the individual(s) responsible for the acts giving rise to the termination or default; or
- (3) Remedial action has been taken to prevent a recurrence of the acts giving rise to the termination or default.

The CPO shall review the documentation, make any inquiries deemed necessary, and determines whether a reduction or waiver is appropriate.

(c) A Using Agency may request an exception to such period of ineligibility, by submitting a written request to the CPO, supported by facts that establish that it is in the best interests of the County that the Procurement be made from such ineligible Person. The CPO shall review the documentation, make any inquiries deemed necessary, and determine whether the request should be approved. If an exception is granted, such exception shall apply to that Procurement only and the period of ineligibility shall continue for its full term as to any other Procurements.

Sec. 34-171. Disqualification ~~for~~ due to County tax or debt delinquency or obligation default.

(a) A Person that is (i) delinquent in the payment of any tax (including real estate tax) or fees administered by the County; (ii) delinquent in the payment of any debt to the County; (iii) ~~is~~ in default of any obligation to the County; or (iv) ~~is~~ a "predatory lender," as determined pursuant to the Cook County Predatory Lending Ordinance, shall be ineligible to enter into a Contract with the County. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Person shall not be ineligible, nor shall the County exercise any rights to set-off or other remedies set forth in this Division ~~Section 34-196~~ against a Person, if such Person is contesting liability for the delinquency in a pending administrative or judicial proceeding, or contesting the existence of a default, and shows proof of the contest; or if such Person has entered into an agreement for the payment of such delinquency and verifies compliance with the agreement.

(b) The CPO shall obtain a written certification from every Person from whom the County seeks to make a Procurement that such Person meets the requirements of Subsection (a).

(c) The County shall not be prohibited from making a Procurement from, and shall not exercise rights to set-off or other remedies set forth in this Division ~~Section 34-196~~ against a Person who is contesting liability for the delinquency, in a pending administrative or judicial proceeding, or contesting the existence of a default, and shows proof of the contest; from a Person who has entered into an agreement for the payment of such delinquency and verifies compliance with the agreement.

(d) A Using Agency may request an exception to such ineligibility by submitting a written request to the CPO, supported by facts that establish that it is in the best interests of the County that the Procurement be made from such ineligible Person. The CPO shall review the documentation, make any inquiries deemed necessary, and determine whether the request should be approved.

Sec. 34-172. Disqualification ~~for~~ due to noncompliance with child support orders.

(a) A Person shall be ineligible to enter into a Contract with the County if such Person or a Substantial Owner (as defined in Part I, Chapter 34, Article V, Section 34-367) of the County's Code is delinquent in the payment of a Court-Ordered Child Support Arrearage.

(b) The CPO shall obtain an affidavit from every Person from whom the County seeks to make a Procurement that such Person meets the requirements of Subsection (a).

~~(b)(c)~~ If the County becomes aware that a Person or Substantial Owner becomes delinquent in payments under a Court-Ordered Child Support Arrearage after the County has entered into a Contract with such Person or Substantial Owner; then, after notice from the County of such noncompliance and a 30-day opportunity to pay such delinquency, such delinquency ~~of such Person or Substantial Owner~~ shall constitute a default under the Contract. Such Person or Substantial Owner shall provide sufficient evidence to the CPO of payment of such delinquency.

Sec. 34-173. Disqualification for illegal activity.

(a) *Disqualifying Acts.* A Person who has been convicted of, entered a plea of *nolo contendere* as to, or made an admission of guilt, pursuant to the laws of any Federal, State or local jurisdiction, for any of the following, shall be ineligible to enter into a Contract for a period of five years from the date of conviction, entry of a plea or admission of guilt:

- (1) Bribing or attempting to bribe;
- (2) Bid-rigging, attempting to rig bids;
- (3) Price fixing or attempting to fix prices; or
- (4) Defrauding or attempting to defraud.

(b) *Disqualification due to acts of owner, partner or shareholder.* A Person shall be ineligible to enter into a Contract if an individual who Controls such Person would be disqualified under any provision of this section 34-173.

Sec. 34-174. Disqualification for willful violation of Cook County Independent Inspector General Ordinance.

A Person found to have willfully failed to cooperate in an investigation by the Cook County Independent Inspector General or to report to the Independent Inspector General any and all information concerning conduct which they know to involve corruption, or other criminal activity, by another County employee or official, which concerns his or her office of employment or County related transaction in accordance with Part I, Chapter 2, Article IV, Division 5, Section 2-285 of the County's Code shall be subject to disqualification as provided in Part I, Chapter 2, Article IV, Division 5, Section 2-291 of the County's Code.

Sec. 34-175. Penalty for false statements.

Any Person ~~determined by the CPO to have who knowingly makes~~ made a false statement of material fact to Cook County in writing in connection with any aspect of a Procurement is liable to the County for a penalty of \$2,500.00, and may be subject to termination of any Contract and disqualification for a period of up to five years from the date of such finding, in addition to any other remedy provided for in the Procurement Code or at law or in equity, ~~including termination of any Contract or disqualification.~~ Any person determined by the CCD to have made a false statement of material fact to Cook County in writing regarding the status or contractual participation of a MBE, WBE, VBE or SDVBE is liable to the County for a penalty of \$2,500.00, and may be subject to termination of any Contract and disqualification for a period of up to five years from the date of such finding in addition to any other remedy provided for in the Procurement Code or at law or in equity. No fine will be imposed on any Person except after any applicable proceeding pursuant to Chapter 2, Article IX, Administrative Hearings.

Sec. 34-176. Penalty for failure to meet commitments.

In the event that the CCD determines that a Person failed to fulfill in good faith a project specific goal, including but not limited to MBE, WBE, VBE, or SDVBE participation commitments reflected in a Utilization Plan, as may be amended through change orders or otherwise over the term of the Contract, the CPO may declare said Person to be in material breach of the Contract, and may withhold payments under the Contract, and recover contractual penalties, in addition to disqualification and any other remedy provided for in the Procurement Code at law or in equity. A contractual penalty for failure to meet MBE, WBE, VBE, or SDVBE participation commitments pursuant to this Section shall be in the amount of the discrepancy between actual MBE, WBE, VBE, or SDVBE participation, and the goal set forth in the Utilization Plan, as may be amended through change orders or otherwise over the term of the Contract.

~~Sec. 34-176.~~ Sec. 34-177. Penalties for failure to pay Cook County taxes and fees.

The CPO shall include in every Contract a provision that entitles the County to set off and subtract from the Contract price a sum equal to any fines and penalties, including interest, for each tax or fee delinquency and any debt or obligation owed by the Contractor to the County.

~~Sec. 34-177.~~ Sec. 34-178. Uniform penalties, interest and procedures.

Violations of this Article and the assessment of any fine pursuant to this Article shall be adjudicated pursuant to Part I, Chapter 2, Administration, Article IX, Administrative Hearings, of this Code. The determination as to whether a Person is disqualified under any provision of this Division 4 or has made a false statement, shall be subject to said Person's petition for review in a proceeding ~~made~~ pursuant to Part I, Chapter 2, Article IX, Administrative Hearings, and the Administrative Rules promulgated thereunder.

~~Secs. 34-178~~ 179—34-189. Reserved.**DIVISION 5. PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES AND POLICIES
FOR CERTAIN PROCUREMENTS****Sec. 34-190. Percentage of work of public works projects to be performed by county residents.**

For any Public Works Contract having an estimated contract price of \$100,000.00 or more, where not otherwise prohibited by Federal or State law, at least 50 percent of the total hours worked on the site by employees of the Contractor and subcontractors shall be performed by residents of the County.

Sec. 34-191. Green construction.

For all competitive Bids for Public Works Contracts budgeted for \$2,000,000.00 or more, the Bid Documents shall comply with the requirements of Chapter 30, Environment, Article IX, Green Construction, Section 30-952, Emission Reduction, and any Contract resulting therefrom shall include all provisions required by Chapter 30, Article IX, Section 30-955.

Sec. 34-192. Predatory lenders.

With each Bid or Response submitted by a financial institution for any Contract, the following certification shall be signed by the chairman of the board, chief executive officer, or other officer of the financial institution acceptable to the Chief Financial Officer.

We pledge that we are not and will not become a predatory lender as defined in Cook County's Predatory Lending Ordinance. We further pledge that none of our affiliates is, and none of them will become, a predatory lender as defined in this Ordinance. We understand that becoming a predatory lender or becoming an affiliate of a predatory lender may result in the loss of the privilege of doing business with the County.

Sec. 34-193. Contracts for consulting and auditing services.

(a) The County will not enter into any Contract for Auditing Services, nor shall it consent to a subcontract for such Auditing Services, with any Person, if such Person, or any Affiliate of such Person, has a Contract or subcontract for consulting services for or with the County. Additionally, the County will not enter into any Contract for Consulting Services, nor shall it consent to a subcontract for such Consulting Services, with any Person, if such Person, or any Affiliate of such Person, has a Contract or subcontract for Auditing Services for or with the County. For purposes of this provision, "County" shall refer only to offices which are administered by the President of the County Board and shall not refer to offices which are administered by Elected Officials.

(b) The County shall not enter into any Contract for Consulting Services on behalf of an Elected Official, nor shall it consent to a subcontract for such Consulting Services on behalf of an Elected Official, with any Person, if such Person, or any Affiliate of such Person, has a Contract or subcontract to provide Auditing Services for the Elected Official.

(c) The CPO shall require the Contractor in each Contract for Auditing or Consulting Services for the County (as defined in this section) to provide a certification acceptable to the CPO that neither the Contractor nor any Affiliate of the Contractor has a Contract or a subcontract to provide Consulting or Auditing Services for the County which is prohibited under Subsection (a) of this section. In addition, the CPO shall require the Contractor in each Contract to provide Consulting Services for an Elected Official to provide a certification acceptable to the CPO that neither the Contractor nor any Affiliate of the Contractor has a Contract or a subcontract to provide Auditing Services for the Elected Official which is prohibited under Subsection (b) of this section.

Secs. 34-194—34-199. Reserved.

Subdivision I. Selection of Professional Services for Debt Transactions and Management of Bond Proceeds; Continued Participation of MBEs, WBEs, VBEs, and SDVBEs.

Sec. 34-200. Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this subdivision shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Broker-Dealer means a financial services Person who is registered as a broker-dealer with and in good standing with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission and the State of Illinois.

~~Co-managers means underwriting firms responsible for participating in the underwriting and the marketing of bonds issued by the County.~~

Financial advisor means a Person registered and in good standing as a municipal advisor with the United States Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board and the United States Securities and Exchange Commission.

Investment Bank means a Person responsible for participating in the underwriting and the marketing of bonds issued by the County or in the remarketing of short-term securities on behalf of the County.

~~Senior managers means underwriting firms responsible for assisting with the development of the financial plan and managing the underwriting and the marketing of the bonds.~~

Sec. 34-201. Competitive process for legal counsel and finance teams for debt transactions.

(a) ~~The Chief Financial Officer or may request that~~ the CPO, on request of the Chief Financial Officer, may issue an RFQ at least once every three years for selection of legal counsel and finance professionals required for debt transactions, including but not limited to bond counsel, pension disclosure counsel, and underwriters counsel; investment banks; and financial advisors.

(b) The RFQ for legal counsel shall request at least the following information:

- (1) ~~Descriptive information about the law each firm, including the experience of the attorneys within the law firm having expertise in the areas of municipal finance law and or Federal tax law pertaining to tax exempt bonds;~~
- (2) ~~The manner in and degree to which the law firm operates or is otherwise present in the County or State;~~
- (3) Whether and by whom the law firm is certified as a MBE, WBE, VBE or SDVBE;
- (4) ~~The manner in and degree to which the law firm is owned by minority, or female woman, or veteran attorneys;~~
- (5) ~~The manner in and degree to which the law firm employs minority, or female woman, or veteran attorneys and promotes or incubates the participation of minority, or female woman, or veteran attorneys in public finance initiatives;~~
- (6) ~~The law firm's written policies regarding sexual harassment; and~~
- (7) ~~Other special areas of expertise or strength.~~

~~A "qualified" list shall be developed by the Chief Financial Officer for each type of financing.~~

(c) The RFQ for ~~investment banks underwriters and other professionals~~ shall request at least the following information, as applicable:

- (1) Experience and expertise in structuring and marketing bonds and notes, detailing experience with various types of issuances, including general obligation bonds, revenue bonds, variable rate demand bonds, commercial paper, and tax anticipation notes, and other debt instruments being contemplated by the County, and including examples of similar financings on which the firm investment bank has been senior manager or co-manager;
- (2) Financial strength of the ~~firm~~ investment bank, particularly its capital allocated to underwriting ~~governmental~~ municipal bonds;
- (3) Degree of corporate investment or "presence" in the County ~~and State~~, including the location of corporate offices, brokerage offices, or back-office operations;
- (4) The number and qualifications of personnel associated with efforts to sell municipal bonds, and the regular trading inventory of the investment bank with respect to municipal bonds;

- (5) Whether and by whom the investment bank is certified as a MBE, WBE, VBE or SDVBE;
 - ~~(6)~~ (4) The manner in and degree to which the ~~firm~~ investment bank is owned by minorities, ~~or females~~ women, or veterans;
 - (7) The manner and degree to which the ~~firm~~ investment bank employs minority, ~~or female~~ woman, or veteran finance professionals;
 - (8) The manner in and degree to which the ~~firm~~ investment bank promotes or incubates the participation of minority ~~or female~~ woman, or veteran finance professionals in public finance initiatives; and
 - (9) The ~~firm~~ investment bank's written policies regarding sexual harassment; and
 - ~~(10)~~ 5) Other special areas of expertise or strength.
- (d) The RFQ for financial advisors shall request at least the following information, as applicable:
- (1) Experience and expertise in structuring bonds and notes, detailing experience with various types of issuances, including general obligation bonds, revenue bonds, variable rate demand bonds, commercial paper, tax anticipation notes, and other debt transactions being contemplated by the County, including examples of similar financing initiatives as financial advisor.
 - (2) Degree of corporate investment or presence in the County, including the location of corporate offices;
 - (3) Whether and by whom the financial advisor is certified as a MBE, WBE, VBE or SDBVE;
 - (4) The manner in and degree to which the financial advisor is owned by minorities, women, or veterans;
 - (5) The manner in and degree to which the financial advisor employs minority, woman, or veteran finance professionals and promotes or incubates the participation of minority, woman, or veteran professionals in public finance initiatives;
 - (6) The financial advisor's written policies regarding sexual harassment; and
 - (7) Other specialized areas of expertise or strength.
- (e) A "qualified" list of law firms, investment banks, underwriters and financial advisors and other professionals shall be developed by the Chief Financial Officer for each type of financing, applicable to a term of no more than three years per each RFQ.

Sec. 34-202. Selection.

- (a) For each debt transaction or for multiple debt transactions the Chief Financial Officer shall select three or more firms from the qualified list for each of the following, as required for the transaction: bond counsel, ~~co-bond counsel~~, underwriter and ~~co-underwriter~~ counsel, special tax counsel, pension-disclosure counsel, ~~senior manager and co-manager~~ and financial advisor. ~~The Chief Financial Officer shall request that each of the firms under consideration submit a Proposal which shall include at least the following, as applicable: experience with the particular type of financing; a recommended strategy for identifying and targeting Procurers of the bonds experience with tax issues; knowledge of the County; the key personnel to be assigned for the engagement, including their qualifications and experience; the proposed fees for the engagement; and the manner in and degree to which female and minority professionals will provide services in connection with the transaction.~~

~~(b) The Chief Financial Officer shall recommend to the President the selection of counsel, professionals and underwriters based upon the Proposals. In making the recommendation the Chief Financial Officer shall consider a rotation to give each firm a fair opportunity to participate in County bond sales. The selection shall be made by the President upon the recommendation of the Chief Financial Officer, and shall be submitted to the County Board for approval. The President shall report to the County Board the reasons for selection of the firm assigned the work. The Proposals shall be available for review by members of the County Board. The President shall report to the County Board the reasons for making the selections. The Chief Financial Officer shall request that each of the law firms under consideration to provide legal services submit a Proposal which shall include at least the following: experience with the relevant type of financing; knowledge of the County; the key personnel to be assigned for the engagement, including their qualifications and experience; the proposed fees or fee structure for the engagement; the firm's capacity for, experience in, and commitment to providing continuing legal advice and support in such areas as compliance and taxation; and the manner and degree to which the firm will use an engagement on the contemplated financing initiative to promote or incubate the participation of minorities, women, and veterans as finance professionals, on the potential engagement.~~

(c) The Chief Financial Officer shall request that each of the firms under consideration for investment banking services submit a Proposal which shall include at least the following: experience with the particular type of financing; a recommended strategy for identifying and targeting investors in the bonds or notes; knowledge of the County; the key personnel to be assigned for the engagement, including their qualifications and experience; the proposed fees or fee structure for the engagement; and the manner in and degree to which the firm will use an engagement on the contemplated financing initiative to promote or incubate the participation of minorities and women as finance professionals on any potential engagement.

(d) The Chief Financial Officer shall request that each of the financial advisors under consideration provide financial-advisory services submit a Proposal which shall include at least the following: experience with the particular type of financing; knowledge of the County; the key personnel to be assigned for the engagement, including their qualifications and experience; the proposed fees for the engagement; the firm's capacity for, experience in, and commitment to providing advice and support in such areas as pricing and marketing of municipal bonds; and the manner in and degree to which the firm will use an engagement on the contemplated financing to promote or incubate the participation of minorities, women, and veterans as finance professionals, on the potential engagement.

(e) To redress the historical under-representation of minority and woman-owned firms in the financial services arena, in the selection of law firms, investment banks, and financial advisors associated with bond issuances, it shall be the continued goal of the County that (1) no less than 35 percent of the cumulative remunerated portion of legal services and financial-advisory services associated with a financing initiative shall be provided by law firms that are certified as MBEs or WBEs under Cook County's Minority- and Women-Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance and financial-advisor firms that are at least 51 percent owned, controlled, and managed by one or more persons who are either a minority or a woman and that consistently maintain and staff a functional commercial presence and office in the County; and (2) 35 percent of the cumulative underwriting liability in a financing initiative shall be undertaken by investment banks that consistently maintain and staff a functional commercial presence and office in the County and are at least 51 percent owned, controlled, and managed by minority individuals or women.

(f) The Chief Financial Officer shall recommend to the President the selection of legal counsel, investment banks, financial advisors, and other professionals based upon the proposals provided per this subdivision. In making the recommendation, the Chief Financial Officer shall consider methods a rotation to give each multiple firms a fair opportunity to compete for and participate in County bond sales. The selection shall be made by the President upon the recommendation of the Chief Financial Officer, and shall be submitted to the County Board for approval. The Chief Financial Officer shall report to the County Board a summary of the rationale of any proposed financing initiative; the financial benefits of a proposed financing approach with regards to the County's long-term fiscal health; a summary of the financing team proposed to work on the financing initiative; an identification of any firms that are certified as MBEs or WBEs or 51 percent owned, controlled, and managed by minority individuals or women; the total estimated participation of such firms as a percentage of professional services (comprised of legal and financial advisory services) and as a percentage of underwriting liability; and, on financing initiatives where circumstances are such that the 35 percent goals set forth in Subsection (e) cannot be prudently reached, an explanation of such circumstances. The Proposals by firms selected to work on a financing initiative shall be available for review by members of the County Board.

Sec. 34-203. Specific bond underwriter requirements.

Each Contract between the County and any bond underwriters shall include the following:

- (1) The underwriter shall use its best efforts to assure that the County meets its objectives in the fair and reasonable allocation of bond selling commissions to members of the underwriting syndicate, particularly to Cook County and minority- and women-owned firms;
- (2) The underwriter shall report the allocation of bond selling commissions and fees received by each member of the underwriting syndicate to the Chief Financial Officer within 30 days of closing of the bond issue; and
- (3) The underwriter shall comply with all limitations or disclosure requirements concerning political contributions that are or may be imposed by the Municipal Securities Regulatory Board or the Securities and Exchange Commission. Failure by the underwriter to comply with this provision shall not void the sale, but the underwriter may be subject to disqualification as set forth in Division 54.

Sec. 34-204. Continued Management of Bond Proceeds.

(a) When permissible and practicable under related bond ordinances, debt instruments, and debt agreements, the Chief Financial Officer shall maintain investment of bond proceeds in accord with (1) the County Taxpayers' Interest Assurance Ordinance; (2) the Illinois Public Funds Investment Act; or (3) such policies as are promulgated by the Chief Financial Officer, following submission to the County Board.

(b) In selecting financial institutions to serve as broker-dealers in acquiring investments of bond proceeds under this Section, the Chief Financial Officer shall, for each contemplated investment, elicit proposals from at least three broker-dealers deemed qualified under policies and procedures promulgated by the Chief Financial Officer, the State of Illinois, or the City of Chicago.

(c) In the selection of broker-dealers under this Section, it shall be the continued goal of the County that no less than 35 percent of the cumulative value of the proposed investment purchased in a given fiscal year shall be consummated by one or more broker-dealers that consistently maintain and staff a functional commercial presence and office in the County and are at least 51 percent owned, controlled, and managed by minority individuals or women.

Secs. 34-~~2054~~—34-214. Reserved.

Subdivision II. Recycled Products

Sec. 34-215. Purpose.

This subdivision shall be known as the "Cook County Recycled Product Procurement Policy".

Its purpose is to promote market development of recycled products, recyclable products, and equipment capable of using such materials by establishing preferential purchasing programs applicable to all Using Agencies and Contractors, thereby diverting materials from the solid waste stream.

Sec. 34-216. Policies.

- (a) All Using Agencies shall whenever practicable use recycled products, recyclable products and reusable products to meet their demands.
- (b) Using Agencies and the CPO shall, whenever practicable, specify in the Contract Documents the use of recycled products and recyclable products.
- (c) In procuring designated products pursuant to this division, the CPO shall require recovered material and/or post-consumer material content to be factors in determining the lowest Responsive Bid in any competitive bidding procurement process.

Sec. 34-217. Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this subdivision shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Chlorine-free paper or paper products means recycled paper in which the virgin content is unbleached or bleached without chlorine or chlorine derivatives, or virgin paper which is unbleached or processed with a sequence that includes no chlorine or chlorine derivatives.

Designated products means all products that have been or may be identified pursuant to Section 34-218 as products that can be procured with significant levels of recovered materials.

Minimum content standards means standards set by the County Board, or in its absence, standards or guidelines currently promulgated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency, specifying the minimum level of recovered materials and/or post-consumer material necessary for designated products to qualify as recycled products.

Paper and paper products means all items manufactured from paper or paperboard.

Post-consumer material means only those products generated by a business or consumer which have served their intended end uses, and which have been separated or diverted from the solid waste stream for the purposes of collection, recycling, and disposition.

Post-consumer paper material means paper, paperboard, and fibrous waste including corrugated boxes, newspapers, magazines, mixed waste paper, tabulating cards and used cordage after the point at which they have passed through their end use as consumer items.

Practicable means:

- (1) Able to perform in accordance with applicable specifications;
- (2) Offered as the low Bid under the procedures in Section 34-219(b), herein;
- (3) Available within a reasonable period of time; and
- (4) Maintaining a satisfactory level of competition.

Recovered material means material and byproducts which have been recovered or diverted from solid waste, but does not include those materials and byproducts generated from, and commonly reused within, an original manufacturing process (such as mill broke or home scrap).

Recovered paper material means paper waste generated after the completion of a paper making process, such as post-consumer material, envelope cuttings, bindery trimmings, printing waste, cutting and other converting waste, butt rolls, and mill wrappers, obsolete inventories, and rejected unused stock. Recovered paper material, however, shall not include fibrous waste generated during the manufacturing process such as fibers recovered from waste water or trimmings of paper machine rolls (mill broke), or fibrous byproducts of harvesting, extractive or woodcutting processes, or forest residue such as bark.

Recyclable means that the product is technically capable of being recycled, and that economic markets for collecting and recycling the product exist within a reasonable distance, including steel and plastic.

Recycle or *recycling* means any process by which materials that would otherwise become municipal waste are collected, separated or processed and returned to the economic mainstream in the form of new, reused or reconstituted products, but does not include the recovery of materials for fuel in combustion or energy production processes. For lubricating oil, the term recycling is to be synonymous with re-refining. For toner cartridges, the term recycling is to be synonymous with re-manufacture.

Recycled designated product means a product designated in or pursuant to Section 34-218 that meets or surpasses the County's minimum content standards, and all other criteria for qualification as specified in this division.

Reusable product means a product that can be used several times for an intended end use before being discarded, such as a washable food or beverage container or a refillable ball point pen.

Sec. 34-218. Designated products and recycled designated products.

For all purposes of this subdivision, the products listed in this section or added pursuant to it are designated as products that can be readily procured with significant levels of recovered materials. Designated products shall qualify as recycled designated products if they meet minimum content standards established in this subdivision. Designated products shall include:

- (1) Paper and paper products.
- (2) Compost products.
- (3) Horticultural mulch made with recycled land clearing and other wood debris.
- (4) Construction aggregates made with recycled cement concrete, tire rubber, glass or asphalt.
- (5) Cement and asphalt concrete containing glass cullet, recycled fiber or plastic, or tire rubber.
- (6) Antifreeze.
- (7) Recycled plastic products, including lumber shapes, refuse carts, traffic cones, insulation, receptacle liners and recycling bins, traffic barriers and office products.
- (8) Retreaded tires and products made from recycled tire rubber, including rubber mats and playfield surfaces.
- (9) Toner cartridges for computer printers.
- (10) Lubricating oil and hydraulic oil with re-refined oil content.

- (11) Insulation products.
- (12) Paint.
- (13) Wood products containing 50 percent or more reused or deconstructed wood.
- (14) Carpeting made from recycled fibers.
- (15) Office furniture.
- (16) All steel products.
- (17) Other products as designated by the CPO.

Sec. 34-219. Requirements for procurements.

(a) Bid Notices and requests for Quotations for the procurement of tangible supplies, equipment, or goods shall contain no terms, requirements or specifications prohibiting or discouraging post-consumer or recovered material content, unless a Using Agency provides the CPO with satisfactory evidence that, for technical reasons and for a particular end use, a product containing such materials will not meet reasonable performance standards.

(b) In determining the lowest Responsive and Responsible Bid for the Procurement of designated products, the CPO shall use the procedures and evaluation criteria specified in this subdivision. If the lowest price offered for a recycled designated product is not more than the specified percentage higher than the lowest offered price for that same designated product that is not recycled, the offered price for the recycled designated product shall be considered the low Bid if such Bidder is otherwise Responsive and Responsible. The specified percentage will not be less than ten percent. However, nothing contained in this division shall preclude Using Agencies from requiring post-consumer or recovered material content as a bid specification.

(c) Each Contractor supplying the County with recycled designated products shall provide acceptable certification from all product manufacturers that the products being supplied meet or surpass County minimum content standards, and shall agree to reasonable verification procedures specified by the CPO.

(d) Bid Notices for designated products, whether recycled or not, shall require the successful Bidder to provide quarterly summaries of the quantities Procured by Using Agencies, unless the CPO determines that this requirement would significantly reduce the number of Bids received by Cook County.

(e) The County shall not Procure any item whose original manufacturer places restrictions on the remanufacturing of such item by other businesses.

Sec. 34-220. Procurement of paper and printing services.

(a) The County recycled paper procurement goal for Using Agencies (expressed as percentage of the total volume of paper Procured) shall be 60 percent. Each department shall be responsible for making its best effort to meet or surpass these goals.

(b) All paper Procured by the County shall be recycled paper, and all printed materials Procured by the County shall be on recycled paper, containing at least 30 percent post-consumer content, unless use of such recycled paper is not practicable. For all other paper products, the CPO shall adopt minimum content standards for recycled paper products which shall, at minimum, be consistent with standards presently promulgated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

(c) Printing services provided by the County or Procured by the County from an outside vendor shall utilize soy or other vegetable-based inks. If lithographic ink is used in printing performed by the County or in printing services Procured by the County from an outside vendor, the ink shall contain not less than the following percentages of vegetable oil:

- (1) News ink, 40 percent;

- (2) Sheet-fed and forms ink, 20 percent;
- (3) Heat-set ink, ten percent.

High quality color process printing on high speed heat-set presses is excepted when slow drying time significantly increases production costs.

- (d) Departments shall publicize the County's use of recycled paper by printing the words "Printed on Recycled Paper" on all letterhead paper and on the title page of all reports printed on recycled paper.
- (e) To reduce the volume of paper Procured, departments shall use both sides of paper sheets whenever practicable. If possible, copies shall be made by photo-copying from one computer-generated original, such that two-sided copies can be produced, rather than printing multiple one-sided originals.
- (f) The CPO may enter into joint purchasing with other local and State agencies to reduce the cost of recycled paper product Procurements.
- (g) All Bids for new equipment and services shall include language that will encourage the use of recycled paper and paper products, wherever practicable.
- (h) Contracts shall contain provisions requiring all reports submitted by the Contractor shall use recycled paper, except where the specialized nature of certain materials (such as photographs) requires otherwise, and shall be printed two-sided unless two-sided printing is not practicable.

Sec. 34-221. Responsibilities and reporting requirements CPO.

The CPO is responsible for:

- (1) Revising or amending standard Bid Documents and contract language where necessary to implement this division.
- (2) Working with Using Agencies and the Department of Environmental Control, adopt and update minimum content standards or other specifications for designated recycled products.

Sec. 34-222. Exemptions.

Nothing in this division shall be construed as requiring a department or contractor to procure products that do not perform adequately for their intended end use or are not available at a reasonable price in a reasonable period of time.

Secs. 34-223—34-2289. Reserved.

DIVISION 6. BID INCENTIVES AND PREFERENCES

Sec. 34-229. Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this Division shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning. Terms not defined in this section are defined in Division I of this Procurement Code, or in Section 1-3 of the County Code.:

Armed forces of the United States means the United States Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Coast Guard, or service in active duty as defined under 38 U.S.C. Section 101. Service in the Merchant Marine that constitutes active duty under Section 401 of federal Public Act 95-202 shall also be considered service in the armed forces for purposes of this division.

Committee means Cook County Re-entry Employment Committee.

Earned Credit means the amount allocated to a Contractor upon completion of a Qualifying Contract through which the Contractor met or exceeded the goals for the utilization of Former Offenders.

Eligible Veteran means a person who (i) has been either a member of the armed forces of the United States or, while a citizen of the United States, was a member of the armed forces of allies of the United States in time of hostilities with a foreign country and (ii) has served under one or more of the following conditions: (a) the veteran served a total of at least 6 months; (b) the veteran served for the duration of hostilities regardless of the length of the engagement; (c) the veteran was discharged on the basis of hardship; or (d) the veteran was released from active duty because of a service connected disability and was discharged under honorable conditions.

Former Offenders means adults who are residents of the County and who have been convicted of a crime.

Labor hours means the total hours of workers receiving an hourly Wage who are directly employed at the work site. "Labor hours" shall include hours performed by workers employed by the contractor and all subcontractors working at the work site. "Labor hours" shall not include hours worked by nonworking foremen, superintendents, owners and workers who are not subject to prevailing Wage requirements.

Qualifying Contract means a Contract for Public Works with a Bid Price in excess of \$100,000.00, for which the Contractor is eligible for Earned Credits.

Service-connected disability means a disability incurred in the line of duty in the active military, naval, or air service as described in 38 U.S.C. 101(16).

Service-Disabled Veteran means an Eligible Veteran who has been found to have 10 percent or more service-connected disability by the United States Department of Veterans Affairs or the United States Department of Defense.

Service-Disabled Veteran-owned Business Enterprise (SDVBE) means a small business (i) that is at least 51 percent owned, controlled, and managed by one or more qualified service-disabled veterans or, in the case of a corporation, at least 51 percent or more of the stock of which is owned, controlled, and managed by one or more Service Disabled Veterans; (ii) that has its home office in Illinois, as certified by the CCD under policies and procedures promulgated by the CCD.

Time of hostilities with a foreign country means any period of time in the past, present, or future during which a declaration of war by the United States Congress has been or is in effect or during which an emergency condition has been or is in effect that is recognized by the issuance of a Presidential proclamation or a Presidential executive order and in which the armed forces expeditionary medal or other campaign service medals are awarded according to Presidential executive order.

Veteran-owned Business Enterprise (VBE) means a small business (i) that is at least 51 percent owned, controlled, and managed by one or more Eligible Veterans or, in the case of a corporation, at least 51 percent or more of the stock of which is owned, controlled, and managed by one or more Eligible Veterans; (ii) that has its home office in Illinois, as certified by the CCD under policies and procedures promulgated by the CCD.

Sec. 34-230. Local business preference; all contracts.

The CPO shall recommend award of the Procurement to the lowest Responsible and Responsive Bidder which is a Local Business, so long as the Bid of such Bidder does not exceed the Bid of the lowest Responsible and Responsive Bidder by more than five percent.

Sec. 34-231. Re-entry employment bid incentive; public works contracts only.

There is hereby established the Cook County Re-entry Employment Bid Incentive Ordinance, with the goal of working in conjunction with the Cook County Re-entry Employment Project to assist adults who are residents of the County and who are former offenders, in finding employment opportunities. This Ordinance is intended to increase public safety and reduce recidivism. For all Public Works Contracts with an estimated Bid Price of \$100,000.00 or more, advertised after the effective date of this Ordinance, the CPO shall include the Bid Incentive provision in all such advertisements.

Sec. 34-232. Definitions.

~~For purposes of this division only, the following definitions apply:~~

~~Committee means Cook County Re-entry Employment Committee.~~

~~Earned Credit means the amount allocated to a Contractor upon completion of a Qualifying Contract through which the Contractor met or exceeded the goals for the utilization of Former Offenders.~~

~~Former Offenders means adults who are residents of the County and who have been convicted of a crime.~~

~~Labor hours means the total hours of workers receiving an hourly Wage who are directly employed at the work site. "Labor hours" shall include hours performed by workers employed by the contractor and all subcontractors working at the work site. "Labor hours" shall not include hours worked by nonworking foremen, superintendents, owners and workers who are not subject to prevailing Wage requirements.~~

~~Qualifying Contract means a Contract for Public Works with a Bid Price in excess of \$100,000.00, for which the Contractor is eligible for Earned Credits.~~

Sec. 34-233. Re-entry employment committee.

(a) The President shall appoint the members of the Committee which will consist of:

- (1) A representative of the Cook County Bureau of Human Resources;
- (2) A representative of the Justice Advisory Council; ~~President's Office of Employment Training~~;
- (3) A representative of the Office of Contract Compliance;
- (4) A representative of a nonprofit organization whose mission is to reintegrate former Offenders into society;
- (5) Two representatives appointed by the President, one of whom shall be a representative of organized labor, and one of whom shall be a Commissioner.

(b) The Committee shall work with appropriate organizations to identify Former Offenders for participation in this program.

Sec. 34-234. Re-entry eEmployment plan.

A Contractor may qualify for Earned Credits by utilizing Former Offenders for work under a Qualifying Contract. In order to so qualify, a Bidder must include in its Bid for such Qualifying Contract an employment plan for Former Offenders by Contractor or any subcontractors. Bidders may request from the Committee a list of candidates. If the Bidder or any subcontractor employs Former Offenders or identifies potential candidates on its own, such candidates may be submitted to the Committee to determine if they are Former Offenders, as defined in this division.

Sec. 34-2354. Re-entry employment eEarned credits.

(a) Upon the completion of a Qualifying Contract, a Contractor may apply to the CPO for Earned Credits, on such forms and including such information as required by the CPO. If the Contractor met or exceeded the Former Offender employment goals established in the Qualifying Contract. If the CPO determines that the Contractor has successfully met or exceeded its employment plan in the Qualifying Contract, the CPO shall issue an Earned Credit Certificate that evidences the amount of Earned Credits calculated as set forth below. The Contractor may utilize the Earned Credits as set forth in this division in a future Bid for a Contract for Public Works of equal or greater value as the Qualifying Contract, by including a copy of the Earned Credit Certificate with its Bid.

(b) For any Qualifying Contract, the CPO shall determine the Earned Credits, as follows. And issue an Earned Credit Certificate, which shall be valid for three years from the date of issuance.

Percentage of Total Labor Hours Performed by Former Offenders	Earned Credit
5-10%	½% of Bid price
Over 10%	1% of Bid price

(c) For purposes of calculating the lowest Responsive and Responsible Bidder only, the CPO shall deduct from the Bid Price the amount of Earned Credit set forth on the Earned Credit Certificate submitted by a Bidder. If the Bidder is awarded the Contract, such Earned Credit Certificate may not be used again in a future Bid.

Sec. 34-2365. Re-entry employment cContractor's records.

A Contractor shall retain all records supporting any Certificate of Earned Credits issued to such Contractor for a period of at least three years after issuance of such Certificate. A Contractor shall impose this requirement by contract with any subcontractors included in the employment plan. The Office of the CPO shall have access to the Contractor's and such subcontractors' records.

Sec. 34-2376. Eligible Veterans' preference, definitions.

~~It is the policy of the County to provide an incentive for Contractors for Public Works Contracts when such Contractors utilize veterans for at least five percent of the hours worked under such Contract, as hereinafter set forth. For purposes of this Division, "Eligible Veterans" shall mean persons (a) who have been either members of the armed forces of the United States or while citizens of the United States, have been members of the armed forces of allies of the United States, (b) were members of such armed forces in time of hostilities occurring after September 11, 2001, and (c) have served (i) a total of at least six months; or (ii) for the duration of hostilities regardless of the length of engagement; or (iii) in the theater of operations for less than six months but was discharged on the basis of a hardship; or the veteran was released from active duty because of a service connected disability and was honorably discharged. The preference provided for in this section will be one percent.~~

(a) Veterans' Workplace Preference. The CPO shall give a preference of one percent of the amount of the Contract to a Responsible and Responsive Contractor for a Public Works Contract when such Contractor has committed by affidavit to utilize Eligible Veterans for at least five percent of the hours worked under such Contract. Failure to utilize Eligible Veterans in accordance with the affidavit will result in breach of contract.

(b) Veteran-owned Business and Service Disabled Veteran-owned Business preference . The CPO shall give a preference of five percent of the amount of the Contract to a Responsible and Responsive VBE or SDVBE.

The CPO shall develop procedures for implementation of this section. ~~This Section shall take effect six months after the date of adoption.~~

Sec. 34-2387. Qualified vVeteran-owned businesses incentive.

~~(a) *Qualified Veteran Owned Business* means a business entity that is 51 percent or more owned by one or more Eligible Veterans as defined in Section 34-237~~

~~(b) The CPO shall give a preference of five percent of the amount of the Contract to a Responsible and Responsive Qualified Veteran Owned Business. The CPO shall develop procedures for implementation of this section 34-238(b). This section shall take effect six months after adoption.~~

~~(c) It is the goal of the County to award each year not less than fivethree percent of its total expenditures for supplies, materials, equipment and services to Qualified Veteran Owned BusinessesVBEs and SDVBEs. The Contract Compliance Director may count toward its fivethree percent yearly goal that portion of all Contracts in which the Contractor subcontracts with a Qualified Veteran Owned BusinessVBE or SDVBE. Each year, the CCD shall report to the County Board on all of the following for the immediately preceding 12-month period:~~

- ~~(1) The number of Qualified Veteran Owned Businesses VBEs and SDVBEs that ~~who~~ submitted a Bid.~~
- ~~(2) The number of Qualified Veteran Owned BusinessesVBEs and SDVBEs that ~~who~~ entered into Contracts and the total value of those Contracts.~~
- ~~(3) Whether the County achieved the goal described in this subsection.~~

~~(b)(4)Each year, the CCD shall review the fivethree percent goal with input from countywide veterans' service organizations and from the business community including Qualified Veteran Owned BusinessesVBEs and SDVBEs, and shall make recommendations to the County Board regarding continuation, increases, or decreases in the percentage goal. The recommendations shall be based upon the number of Qualified Veteran Owned Businesses VBEs and SDVBEs and on the continued need to encourage and promote businesses owned by qualified veterans.~~

~~(c)(5)The CPO will make best efforts to recruit and solicit bids and make procurements from VBEs and SDVBEs.~~

~~(d) The above-stated goal shall not be treated as a quota nor shall it be used to discriminate against any person or business enterprise on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion or sex.~~

~~(e) The CCD, in consultation with the Using Agency, shall establish contract specific goals for each contract. In establishing a contract specific goal, the CCD shall consider the availability of sufficient certified VBEs and SDVBEs for supplies, materials and equipment, or services required as part of the procurement.~~

~~(f) The provisions of this Division 6, Section 34-238(c) shall be effective as of July 1, 2013. The Contract Compliance Director shall ~~by such date~~as soon as practicable develop and implement procedures and any corresponding regulations for certifying and monitoring utilization of VBEs and SDVBEs ~~determining the availability of eligible veteran-owned businesses and shall report back to the County Board on the appropriateness of the five percent goal, based on such availability.~~~~

Sec. 34-2398. VBE/SDVBE Certification. Qualified service-disabled veteran businesses incentive.

(a) The CCD shall certify a Person as a VBE when it is a small business (i) that is at least 51 percent owned, controlled, and managed by one or more Eligible Veterans; and (ii) that has its home office in Illinois.

(b) The CCD shall certify Person as a SDVBE when it is a small business (i) that is at least 51 percent owned, controlled, and managed by one or more qualified service-disabled veterans; and (ii) that has its home office in Illinois.

(c) In lieu of conducting its own certifications, the CCD by rule may accept formal certifications by other entities, provided that Cook County's requirements are met.

~~(a) — Definitions. For the purposes of this section the following terms are defined below: *Service Disabled Veteran* means an Eligible Veteran who became disabled in the line of duty while serving the United States Armed Forces, and who received an other than dishonorable discharge. *Service Disabled Veteran Business* means a Small Business (as defined in Division 8):~~

~~(1) Not less than 51 percent of which is owned by one or more Service Disabled Veterans; and~~

~~(2) The management and daily business operations of which are controlled by one or more Service Disabled Veterans or, in the case of an Eligible Veteran with permanent and severe disability, the spouse of such veteran.~~

~~(b) — In addition to the goals established pursuant to Section 34-238, it is the goal of the County to award each year not less than three percent of its total expenditures for supplies, materials, equipment and services to qualified Service Disabled Veteran Owned Businesses.~~

~~(c) — The CPO will make best efforts to recruit and solicit bids and make procurements from qualified Service Disabled Veteran Owned Businesses.~~

~~(d) — The above stated goal shall not be treated as a quota nor shall it be used to discriminate against any person or business enterprise on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion or sex.~~

~~(e) — The provisions of this Division 6, Section 34-239 shall be effective as of July 1, 2013. The Contract Compliance Director shall by such date develop procedures for determining the availability of Service Disabled Veteran Owned Businesses and shall report back to the County Board on the appropriateness of the three percent goal, based on such availability.~~

Secs. 34-24039—34-249. Reserved.

DIVISION 7. INTEGRITY IN THE PROCUREMENT PROCESS**Sec. 34-250. Reporting ~~irregularities~~ suspected or known fraudulent activity.**

~~The Board encourages~~ Any Person involved in the Cook County Procurement process, including employees, contractors, and those seeking to do business with the County, shall ~~to~~ report directly and without any undue delay, any suspected or known irregularities fraudulent activity in the County's procurement process to the County Compliance Officer of the Office of the Cook County Inspector General. In addition to any applicable laws protecting whistleblowers, the County shall ensure that a report made in good faith will not result in any adverse action taken by the Board or the County against the Person making such a report. The CPO's procedures will include a mechanism to publish this provision to all appropriate Persons.

Sec. 34-251. Communications.

For all Procurements, the CPO shall establish procedures to ensure that communications from individuals outside the County regarding a Procurement shall be memorialized and maintained in the procurement file. Communications about a Procurement from or on behalf of an Elected Official or a Using Agency shall also be memorialized and maintained in the Procurement file.

Secs. 34-252—34-259. Reserved.**DIVISION 8. MINORITY- AND WOMAN-OWNED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES***Subdivision I. General Provisions***Sec. 34-260. Short title.**

This subdivision shall be known and may be cited as the Cook County Minority-and Women-Owned Business Enterprise General Ordinance. This subdivision is applicable to all Contracts, except Public Works Contracts which are governed by Subdivision II of this Division 8.

Sec. 34-261. Findings.

- (a) The County has heretofore adopted a Minority Business Enterprise Ordinance to ensure that minority and women's businesses are provided full and equal opportunity to participate in Contracts.
- (b) The Supreme Court of the United States in *City of Richmond v. Croson*, 488 U.S. 469 (1989), has enunciated certain standards which are necessary to maintain effective affirmative action programs in compliance with constitutional requirements.
- (c) The County is committed to implementing its affirmative action program in conformance with the United States Supreme Court's decision in *City of Richmond v. Croson*.
- (d) In furtherance of this commitment, and at the direction of the Board, County staff and consultants conducted an investigation into the scope of any discrimination in County Procurements, and in the award of and participation in contracts in the metropolitan Chicago economy, the extent to which such discrimination or the effects thereof has denied and continues to deny minority and women's business enterprises equal opportunity to participate in Procurements and to recommend the appropriate affirmative action steps to be taken to eliminate any such discrimination and its continuing effects.
- (e) Minority and women's businesses continue to be awarded prime contracts and subcontracts in dollar amounts that are disproportionately lower than the availability of such businesses willing and able to perform Contracts.
- (f) The County's procurement practices in the past have contributed to the above identified underutilization of minority and women's businesses on Contracts.
- (g) Minority and women's businesses continue to be disadvantaged by discriminatory practices in the local construction industry and economy when competing for Contracts and in seeking subcontracting opportunities on such Contracts.
- (h) The County was a passive participant in the discriminatory practices of businesses which discriminate against minority and women's businesses by entering into contracts with such businesses.

- (i) Despite its good faith efforts and implementation of previous affirmative action programs, minority and women's businesses remain at a competitive disadvantage in competing for Contracts and subcontracts.
- (j) Race and gender neutral measures or affirmative action programs without numerical goals have not and are not likely to eliminate the competitive disadvantage of minority and women's businesses in participating in Contracts due to discrimination in the local economy.
- (k) The numerical goals for the participation of minority and women's businesses in Contracts are commensurate with the availability of minority and women's businesses willing and able to perform County work.

Sec. 34-262. Policy and purpose.

Based on the foregoing findings, the policy and purpose of this division are as follows:

- (a) It is the public policy of the County to strive to achieve the full and equitable participation of minority-and ~~female~~woman-owned businesses in the County's procurement process as both prime and subcontractors.
- (b) The County is committed to a policy of preventing discrimination in making Procurements, and eliminating arbitrary barriers to participation in Procurements by all persons, regardless of race, sex, or ethnicity.
- (c) The purpose of this division is to establish and implement goals for participation of PCEs in Procurements, in compliance with all applicable laws.

Sec. 34-263. Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this ~~Subdivision I~~Division, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, ~~except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning.~~ Capitalized ~~¶~~Terms not defined in this section are defined in Division 1 of this Procurement Code, or in Section 1-3 of the County Code. Additional terms applicable to Subdivision II are set forth in such subdivision.

Affiliate. An "Affiliate" of or a Person "Affiliated" with, a specified Person shall mean any Person that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, Controls, is Controlled by, or is under common Control with, the Person Specified. Affiliates shall be considered together in determining whether a firm is a small business.

Broker means a Person who or which neither manufactures the supplies, equipment or goods supplied ~~nor~~ owns or operates a store, warehouse or other establishment (and related distribution equipment) in which it maintains, consistent with industry standards, an inventory of the supplies, equipment or goods, materials or supplies required for performance of the Contract for sale in the normal course of business. A Broker provides no substantial service other than acting as a conduit between his or her supplier and his or her customer.

~~*Certified or Certification* means registration of the Minority Business Enterprises or Women's Business Enterprise status of a business in the County's Directory of Minority Business Enterprises, Women's Business Enterprises and Disadvantaged Business Enterprises ("PCE Directory")~~

Commercially Useful Function means the performance of a distinct element of work required for the Procurement, with the requisite skill and expertise shall have the meaning set forth in Section 34-383.

~~*Contract* means, for purposes of this Division 8,~~ any Procurement or Contract (as defined in Section 34-121) in an amount exceeding \$25,000.00.

Contract Specific Goals means the goals established under Section 34-267 that are based upon relevant factors, including, but not limited to, the availability of MBEs or WBEs in the scopes of work of the Project.

County Marketplace means the six-county region, currently the counties of Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will.

Economically Disadvantaged means an individual with a Personal Net Worth less than \$2,000,000.00 indexed annually for the Chicago Metro Area Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Standards, beginning January 2011.

Expertise means demonstrated skills, knowledge or ability to perform, as defined by normal industry practices, including licensure where required, in a field.

Good Faith Efforts shall have the meaning set forth in Section 34-271.

~~*Joint Venture* means an association formed by two or more Persons to carry out a single business enterprise, for which purpose they combine their expertise, property, capital, efforts, and skills.~~

Manufacturer means a Person that operates or maintains a factory or establishment that produces, on the premises, the materials, supplies, articles, or equipment required for a Procurement and of the general character described by the specifications.

Minority Business Enterprise or *MBE* mean a Local Small Business, including a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity:

- (1) Which is at least 51 percent owned by one or more Minority Individuals, or in the case of a publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of all classes of the stock of which is owned by one or more Minority Individuals;
- (2) Whose management, policies, major decisions and daily business operations are independently managed and Controlled by one or more such Minority Individuals; and
- (3) Which has its principal place of business and a majority of its regular, full-time workforce located within the County's Marketplace.

Minority Individual means an individual in one of the following groups:

- (1) African-Americans or Blacks, which includes persons having origins in any of the Black racial groups of Africa;
- (2) Hispanic-Americans, which includes persons ~~of~~who are Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Caribbean, Dominican, Central or South American, regardless of race;
- (3) Native-Americans, which includes persons who are American Indians, Eskimos, Aleuts, or Native Hawaiians; or
- (4) Asian-Americans (persons whose origins are in any of the original peoples of the Far East Asia, Southeast Asia, the islands of the Pacific or the Northern Marianas, or the Indian Subcontinent); or
- (5) Other groups, including, but not limited to, Arab-Americans, found by the County to be socially disadvantaged by having suffered racial or ethnic prejudice or cultural bias within American society,

without regard to individual qualities, resulting in decreased opportunities to compete in the County's Marketplace.

Owned means having all the customary incidents of ownership, including the right of disposition, and the sharing in all risks and profits commensurate with the degree of ownership interest.

Personal Net Worth means the net value of the assets of an individual after total liabilities are deducted. An individual's personal net worth does not include the individual's ownership interest in an applicant or other Certified MBE or WBE, provided that the other Person is certified by a governmental agency that meets the County's eligibility criteria or the individual's equity in his or her primary place or residence. As to assets held jointly with a spouse, an individual's Personal Net Worth includes only that individual's share of such assets. An individual's Personal Net Worth also includes the present value of the individual's interest in any vested pension plans, individual retirement accounts, or other retirement savings or investment programs less the tax and interest penalties that would be imposed if the asset were distributed at the present time.

Program means the Minority-and Women-Owned Businesses Enterprise Program established in this division, and shall include the Public Works Participation Program.

Program Goals means the goals set forth in Section 34-267.

Protected Class Enterprise or *PCE* shall mean those Persons qualifying under the definitions of *Minority Business Enterprise* and *Women's Business Enterprise* contained in this section.

Public Works Participation Program means the program established pursuant to Subdivision II.

Regular Dealer means a Person that owns, operates, or maintains a store, warehouse, or other establishment in which the materials, supplies, articles, equipment, or commodities (excluding software licenses) of the general character required for the Procurement are bought, kept in stock, and regularly sold or leased in the usual course of business. To be a Regular Dealer, the Person must be an established business that engages, as its principal business and under its own name, in the Procurement and sale or lease of the products in question. A Person may be a Regular Dealer in such bulk items as petroleum products, steel, cement, gravel, stone, or asphalt without owning, operating, or maintaining a place of business if the Person both owns and operates distribution equipment for the products. Any supplementing of such Person's distribution equipment shall be by a long-term lease agreement and not on an ad hoc or contract-by-contract basis. Packagers, manufacturer representatives, or other Persons who arrange or expedite transactions are not Regular Dealers.

~~*Small Business* means a small business as defined by the U.S. Small Business Administration, pursuant to the business size standards found in 13 CFR Part 121, relevant to the scope(s) of work the Person seeks to perform on Contracts. A Person is not an eligible small business enterprise in any calendar fiscal year in which its gross receipts, averaged over the Person's previous five fiscal years, exceed the size standards of 13 CFR Part 121.~~

Socially Disadvantaged means an individual who has been subjected to racial, ethnic or gender prejudice or cultural bias within American society because of his or her identity as a member of a group and without regard to individual qualities. Social disadvantage must stem from circumstances beyond the individual's control. A Socially Disadvantaged individual must be a citizen or lawfully admitted permanent resident of the United States.

~~*Utilization Plan* means a plan for utilization of PCEs described in Section 34-383.~~

Woman means a person of the female gender.

Woman Owned Business Enterprise or *WBE* means a Local Small Business, including a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity:

- (1) Which is at least 51 percent Owned by one or more Women, or in the case of a publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of all classes of the stock of which is Owned by one or more Women;
- (2) Whose management, policies, major decisions and daily business operations are independently managed and Controlled by one or more such Women; and
- (3) Which has its principal place of business and a majority of its regular, full-time work force located within the County's Marketplace.

Sec. 34-264. Race- and gender-neutral measures to implement the program.

The County shall use measures such as the following in implementing the Program.

- (a) Establishing schedules for submitting Bids and Quotations with adequate time frames for identifying and contacting PCEs qualified to participate in the Procurement;
- (b) Segmenting Procurements to facilitate the participation of MBEs, WBEs and other Small Businesses;
- (c) Providing timely information on contracting procedures, Bid preparation and specific contracting opportunities;
- (d) Holding pre-Bid conferences, where appropriate, to explain the projects and to encourage Contractors to use available qualified PCEs;
- (e) Reviewing retainage, bonding and insurance requirements to eliminate unnecessary barriers to contracting with the County;
- (f) Collecting information from all Contractors detailing the Bids or proposals received from all subcontractors for Procurements and the expenditures to PCEs;
- (g) At the discretion of the CCD, in cooperation with the CPO, periodically entering into a procurement process without Program Goals or Project Specific Goals in order to determine MBE and WBE utilization in the absence of such goals;
- (h) Referring complaints of discrimination to Cook County's Commission on Human Rights, or other appropriate authority, for investigation.

Sec. 34-265. Program administration.

- (a) The Office of Contract Compliance, under the direction of the CCD, who shall report to the President, shall administer the Program. The duties of the CCD shall include:
 - (1) Formulating, proposing and implementing rules and regulations for the development, implementation and monitoring of the Program, certification process, recertification process, and no-change affidavits, including time limitations for the submission of documents and information regarding certification applications and contract participation. The CCD is authorized to collect certification and recertification processing fees in the amount of \$250.00 per Application; the collection of said processing fees shall be transacted by the CCD through the Bureau of Finance.

- (2) Providing information and assistance to PCEs and Small Businesses relating to the Program, and serve as a liaison to community, contractor, professional and supplier groups, and associations and organizations.
 - (3) Establishing uniform procedures and criteria for certifying, recertifying and decertifying Persons as PCEs, accepting certifications by other agencies, and maintaining a directory of Certified PCEs. Such procedures and criteria shall include non-certification or decertification for the willful submission of false or inaccurate material information and the failure to submit complete and accurate material information to the CCD regarding certification or a Procurement on a timely basis, and shall relate to both PCEs and PCE owners.
 - (4) Establishing Contract Specific Goals based upon the availability of PCEs to provide the supplies, materials and equipment or services required by the Contract.
 - (5) Monitoring Contracts to evaluate compliance with Contract Specific Goals and commitments.
 - (6) Cooperating with and providing assistance to Using Agencies to facilitate participation by PCEs in Procurements.
 - (7) Reviewing, approving or rejecting Utilization Plans for achievement of Contract Specific Goals, and evaluating the extent to which goals were achieved.
 - (8) Monitoring contracts to ensure compliance with Section 34-388, Prompt Payment of PCEs.
 - (9) Receiving, reviewing, and acting upon complaints and suggestions concerning the Program.
 - (10) Evaluating the effectiveness and utility of the Program.
 - (11) Monitoring the Program and the County's progress towards the Program Goals. The CCD shall report on a quarterly and annual basis to the President on the Program.
 - (12) ~~The CCD shall~~ Reporting to the CCC, at its request, information regarding the administration of the Program and its progress toward achieving the Program Goals.
- (b) Using Agencies shall cooperate with the CCD in the administration of the Program, specifically including assisting the CCD with setting Contract Specific Goals and assisting in the identification of available MBEs and WBEs.

Sec. 34-266. Contract compliance committee.

The Contract Compliance Committee ("CCC") shall be a Standing Committee of the Board, consisting of seven members of the Board selected as set forth in Chapter 2, Article III, Section 2-105 of the Code. The CCC shall review procedures, proposed modifications to the Program or this Division 8, and complaints as referred by the CCD or the CPO.

Sec. 34-267. Program goals.

- (a) The County aspires to the following annual Program Goals: A goal of 25 percent of the annual total dollar amount of Contracts other than Public Works Contracts to MBEs, and ten percent of the total dollar amount of such Contracts to WBEs. In addition, the County sets an annual "best efforts" goal of 35 percent PCE participation for the total professional services and consulting services utilized by the County.

(b) The CCD, in consultation with the CPO and the Using Agency shall establish Contract Specific Goals for each Contract. In establishing a Contract Specific Goal, the CCD shall consider the availability of sufficient Certified MBEs and WBEs for the supplies, materials and equipment, and the CCD shall consider the availability of sufficient Certified PCEs for the~~or~~ services required as part of the Procurement.

(c) No goal shall be treated as a quota nor shall it be used to discriminate against any Person on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion or sex.

Sec. 34-268. Certification Criteria.

~~The CCD shall Certify only Persons that meet all the following criteria:~~

(a) ~~The Person must be either an MBE or a WBE, or must establish that such Person has individually suffered bias such that his or her opportunities to form and operate a successful business have been substantially diminished because of race, ethnicity, culture or disability. Only Persons that meet the criteria for certification as an MBE or WBE may participate in the Program. The applicant has the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence.~~

(b) The Person must be either an individual who is Socially and Economically Disadvantaged or 51 percent Owned by one or more individuals who are Socially and Economically Disadvantaged.

(1) The Ownership by a Socially and Economically Disadvantaged Person must be real, substantial, and continuing, going beyond pro forma ownership of the Person as reflected in Ownership documents.

(2) The contributions to acquire the Ownership interest must be real and substantial, and in accord with generally accepted industry standards. If ~~e~~Expertise is part of the contribution, the Expertise must be of the requisite quality generally recognized in a specialized field, necessary to the Person's potential success, specific to the type of work the Person performs and documented in the Person's records.

(c) The Person must be managed and ~~c~~Controlled by one or more Socially and Economically Disadvantaged individual.

(1) There must not be any formal or informal restrictions that limit the customary discretion of the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged individual(s). There can be no restrictions through corporate charter provisions, by-law provisions, contracts or any other formal or informal devices that prevent the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged individual(s), without the cooperation or vote of any non-Socially and Economically Disadvantaged individuals, from making any business decision of the Person, including the making of obligations or the dispersing of funds.

(2) The Socially and Economically Disadvantaged individual(s) must possess the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of the Person and to make day-to-day as well as long-term decisions on management, policy, operations and work.

(3) The Socially and Economically Disadvantaged individual(s) may delegate various areas of the management or daily operations of the Person to individuals [who] are not Socially and Economically Disadvantaged. Such delegations of authority must be revocable, and the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged individual(s) must retain the power to hire and fire any such Person. The Socially and Economically Disadvantaged individual(s) must actually exercise control over the Person's operations, work, management and policy.

- (4) The Socially and Economically Disadvantaged individual(s) must have an overall understanding of, and managerial and technical competence, experience and Expertise, directly related to the Person's operations and work. The Socially and Economically Disadvantaged individual(s) must have the ability to intelligently and critically evaluate information presented by other participants in the Person's activities and to make independent decisions concerning the Person's daily operations, work, management, and policymaking.
 - (5) If federal, state or local laws, regulations or statutes require a particular license or other credential to own or control a certain type of Person, then the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) must possess the license or credential. If state law, County ordinance or other law regulations or statute does not require that the owner possess the license or credential, then the fact that the owner(s) lacks such license or credential is a factor, but is not dispositive, in determining whether the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) actually controls the firm.
 - (6) A Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner cannot engage in outside employment or other business interests that conflict with the management of the Person or prevent the owner from devoting sufficient time and attention to manage and Control the Person's day to day activities.
- (d) Only an independent Person may be certified as a MBE or WBE. An independent Person is one whose viability does not depend on its relationship with another Person, and who has the capacity or ability to successfully undertake and complete the relevant work. Recognition of an applicant as a separate entity for tax or corporate purposes is not necessarily sufficient to demonstrate that a Person is independent. In determining whether an applicant is an independent business, the CCD will:
- (1) Scrutinize relationships with non-Certified Persons in such areas as personnel, facilities, equipment, financial and/or bonding support, and other resources.
 - (2) Consider whether present or recent employer/employee relationships between the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) of the applicant and non-Certified Persons associated with non-Certified Firms compromise the applicant's independence.
 - (3) Examine the applicant's relationships with non-Certified Persons to determine whether a pattern of exclusive or primary dealings with non-Certified Persons compromises the applicant's independence.
 - (4) Consider the consistency of relationships between the applicant and non-Certified Persons with normal industry practice.
- (e) ~~The Person~~ The CCD shall certify only Persons that meet all the above criteria. An applicant shall be ~~C~~certified only for specific supplies, equipment, goods or services, or for types of work in which the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) has the ability and Expertise to manage and ~~C~~control the Person's operations and work.
- (f) The County shall certify the eligibility of Joint Ventures involving MBEs or WBEs and non-Certified Firms, provided that the Joint Venture meets the criteria for certification as an MBE or WBE. To be considered an eligible Joint Venture, at least one partner of the Joint Venture must be a Certified Firm, with a share in the capital contribution, control, management, risks, and profits of the Joint Venture which is equal to its ownership interest. Each Certified Firm partner must contribute property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge and be responsible for a distinct, clearly defined portion of the work of the contract. Joint Ventures must have an agreement in writing specifying the terms and conditions of the relationships between the partners and their relationship and responsibility to the contract.

~~(fg)~~ In lieu of conducting its own certifications, the CCD by rule may accept formal certifications by other entities, provided that Cook County's requirements are met. ~~In lieu of conducting its own certifications, the CCD by rule may accept formal certifications by other entities as meeting the requirements of the Program, if the CCD determines that the certification standards of such entities are comparable to those set forth herein.~~

(gh) The certification status of all MBEs and WBEs shall be reviewed periodically by the Office of Contract Compliance. Failure of the Person to seek recertification by filing the necessary documentation with the CCD as provided by rule may result in decertification.

(hi) It is the responsibility of the Certified PCE to notify the CCD of any change in its circumstances affecting its continued eligibility for the Program. Failure to do so may result in the PCE's decertification.

(ij) The CCD shall decertify a PCE that does not continuously meet the eligibility criteria.

(k) Disqualification pursuant to Division 4 of the Procurement Code shall create a prima facie case for decertification by the County. The challenged PCE shall have the burden of proving that its County certification should be maintained.

(jl) Decertification by another agency shall create a prima facie case for decertification by the County. The challenged PCE shall have the burden of proving that its County certification should be maintained.

~~(km)~~ A Person that has been denied certification or recertification or has been decertified may protest the denial or decertification ~~as provided by rule~~, by submitting a petition for review in a proceeding made pursuant to Part I, Chapter 2, Article IX, Administrative Hearings, and the Administrative Rules promulgated thereunder.

(ln) A Person found to be ineligible may not apply for certification for ~~six months~~ one year after the effective date of the final decision.

~~(mo)~~ A third party may challenge the eligibility of an applicant for Certification or a Certified PCE pursuant to procedures established by the CCD. Such challenges shall be signed and sworn by the individual challenging the eligibility of an applicant for Certification or a Certified PCE. The burden of proof shall rest with the complainant. Such challenges to eligibility shall be subject to an appeal. The CCD shall be the final arbiter of all challenges. The presumption that the challenged PCE is eligible shall remain in effect until the CCD renders a final decision.

Sec. 34-269. Utilization plan; commercially useful function.

(a) ~~Utilization Plan required.~~ The CPO shall include in Contract Documents for Contracts covered by this Division, a requirement that a Utilization Plan be submitted which either: (i) commits to PCE participation equal to or greater than the applicable Program Goals or Contract Specific Goals, or (ii) requests a waiver of all or a portion of a Program Goal or Contract Specific Goal.

(2) The Utilization Plan shall be in such form and contain such information as is required by the CCD, and may include such components as direct PCE participation, indirect PCE participation, and a mentoring relationship with one or more PCEs.

(3) The Utilization Plan shall be due at the time the bid/proposal is due. Failure to include a Utilization Plan will render the submission not Responsive.

(4) The CCD shall review and either approve or reject the Utilization Plan. For purposes of evaluation a Utilization Plan, only PCEs which perform a Commercially Useful Function relative to the supplies, equipment, goods, services, or types of work for which the PCE has been certified shall be considered.

(5) Once a Utilization Plan has been approved, the Contractor cannot make changes to the Utilization Plan, including substituting PCEs named in the Utilization Plan, without the prior written approval of the CCD, CPO and the Using Agency. The CCD shall promulgate procedures for changes to the Utilization Plan.

(b) *Commercially Useful Function.* To be considered in meeting Goals, a PCE must perform a Commercially Useful Function, ~~as determined pursuant to this Subsection 34-269(b).~~ "Commercially Useful Function" means the performance of a distinct element of work required for the Procurement, with the requisite skill and Expertise.

(1) In the case of a Procurement of goods or equipment, ordering from a manufacturer or distributor for delivery directly to the Using Agency is not a Commercially Useful Function; provided, however, that to the extent such practice is consistent with normal industry practices, a PCE subcontractor may enter into second tier subcontracts. However, if a PCE Contractor or subcontractor subcontracts a significantly greater portion of the work of the Contract than would be expected on the basis of normal industry practices, the PCE shall be presumed not to be performing a Commercially Useful Function.

(2) In the case of a Procurement of services, a Person which subcontracts with another Person to perform the services required does not perform a Commercially Useful Function unless such Person also performs significant supervisory or management responsibilities. A Broker does not fulfill a Commercially Useful Function. In the case of a Joint Venture partner, each Joint Venture partner must perform a Commercially Useful Function.

~~(e 3)~~ A PCE does not perform a Commercially Useful Function if its role is limited to that of an extra participant in the Contract through which funds are passed in order to obtain the appearance of PCE participation.

~~(e 4)~~ When a PCE is presumed not to be performing a Commercially Useful Function, the Certified PCE and the Person seeking to include that PCE in its Utilization Plan, may present evidence to rebut this presumption.

~~(e) Once a Utilization Plan has been approved, the Contractor cannot make changes to the Utilization Plan, including substituting PCEs named in the Utilization Plan, without the prior written approval of the CCD, CPO and the Using Agency. The CCD shall promulgate procedures for changes to the Utilization Plan.~~

Sec. 34-270. Methods to achieve goals and compliance.

A Person may achieve the applicable Contract Specific Goals in any one or more of the methods set forth below. The same PCE, whether as a contractor, subcontractor or supplier, cannot be utilized as both a MBE and a WBE on the same Contract.

(1) *PCE as prime Contractor.* An MBE or WBE may count its own participation toward the achievement of the applicable MBE or WBE goal. Such PCE will be required to meet the other goals by another method described herein. If a WBE is also a MBE, such WBE's participation may count toward either the MBE or WBE Goal but not both.

(2) *Joint Venture with one or more PCE.* Where a Person engages in a Joint Venture with one or more PCEs, the Utilization Plan shall include a written agreement at least the information set forth in Subsection 34-384(ii)1 through 4 [(2)a. through d.] below. The CCD shall consider the following in determining whether the proposed Utilization Plan satisfies the Program Goals based upon such written Joint Venture agreement and the Utilization Plan.

a. Each Joint Venture partner's initial capital investment;

- b. The extent to which the PCE's proposed participation in the performance of the Contract constitutes a Commercially Useful Function;
 - c. Whether the PCE's share in the risks and profits of the Joint Venture is proportional to their ownership interest; and
 - d. Whether the PCE will have duties, responsibilities, management Control and risk with respect to the Joint Venture in proportion to its ownership interest.
- (3) *Subcontracting.* A Person may achieve the Contract Specific Goals by means of subcontracting with, or purchasing from one or more PCEs.

Sec. 34-271. Request for a total or partial waiver; good faith efforts.

(a) In reviewing a partial or total request for waiver of a Goal, the CCD shall determine whether a Person has made good faith efforts to meet the applicable Goals and to what extent the waiver request should be granted. In determining whether a Person has made Good faith efforts, the CCD will consider whether the Person has taken the following actions:

- (1) Review lists of PCEs maintained by the County and other State and local governments and agencies to identify qualified PCEs for solicitation for Bids;
- (2) Divide Procurement requirements into small tasks or quantities. This shall include, where appropriate, breaking out Contract work items into economically feasible units, consistent with the availability of PCEs, to facilitate PCE participation, even when the Contractor would otherwise prefer to perform these work items with its own forces;
- (3) Adjust any insurance requirements imposed by the Person seeking PCEs, or otherwise assist PCEs in obtaining any required insurance, where economically feasible, to encourage participation by PCEs;
- (4) Make timely attempts to contact PCEs providing the type of supplies, equipment, goods or services required for the Procurement; and provide them with a convenient and timely opportunity to obtain and review all information concerning the Procurement necessary to enable such PCE to respond;
- (5) Follow up initial contacts of PCEs to determine if they are interested participating in the Procurement;
- (6) Negotiate in good faith and on a timely basis with PCEs to enable them to participate in the Procurement. Evidence of such negotiation includes the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of PCEs that were contacted; a description of the information provided regarding the plans and specifications for the work selected for subcontracting; and why agreements could not be reached. The Contractor may not reject PCEs as being unqualified without sound reasons. That there may be some additional costs involved in finding and using PCEs is not in itself sufficient reason for a Contractor's failure to meet the Goals, as long as such costs are reasonable;
- (7) Make efforts to assist interested PCEs in obtaining necessary equipment, supplies, materials, or related assistance or services, where appropriate; provided, however, that such efforts shall not be inconsistent with the requirement that the PCE be responsible for actually obtaining and paying for such items;
- (8) Establish delivery schedules which will encourage participation by PCEs, where the requirements of the Procurement permit;
- (9) Use the services and assistance of the CCD's staff, the Small Business Administration, the Office of Minority Business Enterprises of the U.S. Department of Commerce;

- (10) Timely notify appropriate community and minority and women's business organizations identified as assist agencies of the opportunity for participation in the Procurement; and
- (11) Demonstrate to the CCD that no PCE exists with which a mentor/protege relationship could be established, as described in Section 34-271.

(b) In determining whether a Bidder or Respondent has made Good Faith Efforts, the levels of participation by PCEs set forth in Utilization Plans submitted by other Persons for the same Procurement may be considered. For example, if the apparent successful Bidder or Respondent fails to meet the Contract Specific Goals, but meets or exceeds the average PCE participation obtained by other Bidders or Respondents, this may be evidence that the apparent successful Bidder or Respondent made Good Faith Efforts.

(c) Where the County requires professional services, the County must be able to call upon those professionals whose particular training and experience are most beneficial to the County.

- ~~(1) The County sets an annual "best efforts" goal of 35 percent PCE participation for the total professional services and consulting services utilized by the County.~~
- ~~(2) A Utilization Plan shall be required, and if a waiver or partial waiver is requested, "good faith" efforts shall be demonstrated as set forth in Section 34-271; provided, however, that such Persons shall not be required to attempt to subcontract with PCEs if subcontractors would not typically be utilized for the type of Procurement. In such cases, the Person shall document the reasons for not subcontracting in a waiver request.~~
- ~~(3) The Contractor will endeavor to maximize use of PCEs for supplies, equipment, goods or services for such Contractor's business operations not specifically for the Procurement.~~
- ~~(4) If such Person is required to have or has an affirmative action plan and goals, such plan and goals shall be submitted with their Utilization Plan. The CCD shall compare such plan and goals with the Person's actual affirmative action achievements and such achievements may be considered by the County in future Procurements.~~

(d) *Mentor/protege agreements.* Where a Contractor enters into mentor/protege agreement with a PCE to improve or develop certain aspects of the business of the PCE, the CCD shall evaluate the effect of such agreement as a factor in determining good faith efforts. The mentor/protege agreement may provide for the Contractor to assist the PCE in such areas as technical aspects of the PCE's business, improving financial management, or providing on-the-job training. To constitute good faith efforts, the mentor/protege agreement shall satisfy the following requirements.

- (1) The PCE performs a Commercially Useful Function;
- (2) The agreement shall be included in the Utilization Plan; and
- (3) The agreement clearly defines the respective responsibilities of the Contractor and the PCE and includes specific, measurable goals to be attained by both parties through the performance of the agreement. In order to be a factor in establishing best efforts, the mentor/protege agreement must be for a reasonable period of time.

(e) The CCD may grant a total or partial waiver based upon the following criteria:

- (1) There are not sufficient PCEs capable of providing the supplies, equipment, goods or services required for the Procurement;

- (2) The Procurement cannot reasonably be divided;
- (3) The price required by potential PCEs is more than ten percent above competitive levels; and
- (4) Any other factor relating to good faith efforts as set forth in the Person's Utilization Plan.

Sec. 34-272. Calculating PCE participation.

In calculating a PCE's participation, only dollar amounts commensurate with a PCE's performance of a Commercially Useful Function may be counted.

- (a) The dollar value of that portion of a Procurement that is performed by the PCEs' own forces shall be counted, including the cost of supplies, materials and equipment furnished by the PCE for the Procurement, whether purchased or leased (except to the extent purchased or leased from the Contractor or the Contractor's Affiliate).
- (b) The dollar amount of fees or commissions charged by a PCE for providing a bona fide service, such as professional, technical, consultant, managerial, insurance brokerage or surety services, shall be counted, provided the fee is reasonable and not excessive as compared with fees customarily charged for similar services.
- (c) When a PCE is a Joint Venture partner, only the dollar value of the distinct, clearly defined work performed by the PCE with its own forces, shall be counted.
- (d) Only the dollar value must be commensurate with the work the PCE actually performs.
- (e) One hundred percent of the cost of the supplies, equipment or goods obtained from a PCE Manufacturer, or Distributor, or Regular Dealer shall be counted.
- (f) One hundred percent of the fees or transportation charges for the delivery of supplies, equipment, materials or goods shall be counted only if the payment of such fees is a customary industry practice and such fees are commensurate with fees customarily charged for similar services.
- (g) If a PCE ceases to be Certified during its performance on a Procurement, the dollar value of work performed under a Contract with that Person after it has ceased to be Certified shall not be counted.
- (h) Only the dollar amount actually paid to the PCE shall be counted toward the participation of a PCE.

Sec. 34-273. Review of contract performance.

(a) *Compliance with Utilization Plan.* The CCD shall review the Contractor's compliance with its Utilization Plan as necessary during the performance of the Contract. The CCD may establish such requirements for periodic Contractor reporting on compliance with its Utilization Plan as the CCD determines appropriate and necessary. A Contractor shall be required to provide any additional requested compliance documentation within 14 days of request by the CCD.

- (1) If the CCD determines that the Contractor has failed to comply with its Utilization Plan, the CCD shall notify the CPO of such failure. The CPO shall then send notice of default to the Contractor, and the Contractor shall have such time to cure the default as is provided in the Contract. If no such period is provided, the Contractor shall have ten days to cure such default. For purposes of this section, providing a plan for bringing the Contract into compliance with the Utilization Plan may constitute a

cure, if compliance cannot reasonably be achieved within the applicable cure period, and if compliance is achieved in accordance with such plan.

- (2) If a Contractor fails to provide any documentation required by the CCD, the CCD shall notify the CPO of such failure. The CPO shall then send notice of default to the Contractor, and the Contractor shall have such time to cure the default as is provided in the Contract. If no such period is provided, the Contractor shall have ten days to cure such default.
- (b) *Bid and target market programs.* To address more specifically the barriers to PCE participation as prime Contractors in County work, the CCD may recommend to the CPO to institute the following special PCE bidding provisions, following determination of the appropriateness of such provisions.
- (1) In connection with the award of a Contract subject to competitive bidding on which a PCE has bid and where the PCE is bidding on the item in question for the first time; and has never successfully bid on a Cook County purchasing contract, the Contract Compliance Director may, at the opening of the bids on the item, compare the PCE Bid with the lowest Bid, and, if the PCE's Bid is closely competitive as defined by guidelines to be established by the Contract Compliance Director with that of lowest actual Bids, direct the CPO to declare the PCE the successful Bidder. A PCE may use this procedure only once to become the successful Bidder on any particular item. Thereafter, the PCE must be totally competitive in terms of price to be the successful Bidder.
 - (2) The Contract Compliance Director shall develop and coordinate a target market program as follows:
 - a. The Contract Compliance Director shall review the availability of PCEs providing various goods and services and shall identify for inclusion in a potential program for bidding, Requests for Qualifications, and Requests for Proposals among PCE Persons certain commodity, goods or services areas with sufficient PCE availability to ensure that the County receives a competitive price. The Contract Compliance Director shall report his/her findings and recommendations to the Contract Compliance Committee;
 - b. Upon a determination by the CCD that such a program is advisable for any particular commodity, goods or services procurement, the Contract Compliance Director will institute the following procedures:
 1. The Contract Compliance Director will notify the CPO of identification of those commodity, goods, services, or North American Industry Classification Standard (NAICS) codes appropriate for a target market program;
 2. To the extent practicable, the CPO, with the aid of the Contract Compliance Director, shall divide procurement in the designated commodity, goods or services areas into economically feasible sizes to facilitate Bids, Requests for Qualifications, or Requests for Proposals ~~or offers~~ from PCEs and shall designate contracts to be offered under the target market program;
 3. The CPO shall offer PCEs the opportunity to bid on such contracts, or submit responses or proposals, in a limited competition;
 4. All standard County procurement rules for bidding, Requests for Qualifications, or Requests for Proposals, will then become effective and, provided that at least three PCEs Bid or submit responses or proposals ~~make an offer on the contract~~, the lowest Responsive and Responsible Bidder, Proposer, or Respondent among the PCEs will receive the contract; and

5. In the event less than three PCEs Bid or submit responses or proposals pursuant to a Request for Qualifications or Request for Proposals~~make an offer on the Contract~~, or in the event that ~~if~~ there is no Responsive Bid or best and final Response or Proposal ~~offer~~ received from a Responsible PCE, the CPO shall rebid the Contract or reissue the Request for Qualifications or Request for Proposals not subject to the target market program.
- c. Participation in the target market program shall be limited to Minority Business Enterprises, Women's Business Enterprises and Joint Ventures consisting exclusively of Minority Business Enterprises, Women's Business Enterprises or both. The PCE Contractor on a target market Contract may subcontract up to 49 percent of the dollar value of the target market Contract to subcontractors who are not Minority Business Enterprises or Women's Business Enterprises.

Sec. 34-274. Prompt payment of PCEs.

If an invoice from a Contractor includes payment for supplies, equipment, goods or services furnished by a PCE, Contractor shall pay such PCE for such supplies, equipment, goods or services within ~~30~~15 days after receipt of payment from the County. The CCD shall investigate any complaint or charge of excessive delay in payment, and shall report the results of such investigations to the Contract Compliance Committee and to the County Comptroller. Failure of Contractor to comply with this section 34-388 shall constitute a material breach of the Contract.

Sec. 34-275. Reporting and review.

The CCD shall report to the Board on an annual basis with respect to the following:

- (1) The percentage of the total dollar amount of Procurements for such year actually received by PCEs;
- (2) The number of MBEs and WBEs available for participation in Procurements, by category;
- (3) An evaluation of the effectiveness of this division in ensuring equitable participation by PCEs in Procurements;
- (4) An assessment of the continuing need for the Program;
- (5) Identification of any enforcement problems; and
- (6) Any recommendations with respect to modifying or improving the Program, including discontinuing or modifying Program Goals in those cases where Minority Business Enterprises and Women's Business Enterprises no longer are disadvantaged by the effects of discrimination in their participation in Procurements.

Sec. 34-276. Prohibited provisions.

Any agreement between a Contractor and a MBE or WBE in which the Contractor requires that the MBE or WBE not provide subcontracting quotations to other Contractors is prohibited.

Secs. 24-277. —34-284. Reserved.

Subdivision II. Participation in Cook County Public Works Contracts

Sec. 34-285. Short title; incorporation of provisions.

This subdivision may be known and cited as the Cook County Public Works Minority-and Women-Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance and may be cited as such.

Sec. 34-286. Findings.

- (a) The findings set forth in Subdivision I, Section 34-261 of this Division 8 are incorporated herein by this reference.
- (b) After the requirement in Subdivision I that minority- and women-owned businesses (M/WBEs) be allotted certain percentages of County construction contracts was ruled unconstitutional, the County witnessed a drastic reduction in M/WBE construction prime contract and subcontract participation.
- (c) The President and the Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, after considering (i) evidence presented at trial in *Builders Association of Greater Chicago v. City of Chicago*, 298 F.Supp.2d 725 (N.D. Ill. 2003) and *Northern Contracting, Inc. v. Illinois Department of Transportation*, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19868 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 8, 2005); (ii) County statistical evidence of continuing discrimination against Blacks, Hispanics, Asians and women in the County's Procurements; (iii) the Report title, "Review of Compelling Evidence of Discrimination Against Minority- and Women-Owned Business Enterprise in the Chicago Area Construction Industry and Recommendations for Narrowly Tailored Remedies for Cook County, Illinois;" as well as (iv) anecdotal evidence of discrimination against minorities and women in the County's Public Works Contracts; and (v) receiving and considering written reports, adopts the following findings as a strong basis in evidence supporting a narrowly tailored, remedial affirmative action program in Public Works Contracts.
- (d) The County seeks to provide a level playing field and equal access for all prime contractors and subcontractors to participate in Public Works Contracts.
- (e) The County has engaged in committee hearings in which the County has heard anecdotal evidence of discrimination in the construction industry, has commissioned and reviewed the study entitled "The Status of Minority- and Women-Owned Business Enterprises Relevant to Construction Activity In and Around Cook County, Illinois" (the "NERA Study") on the levels of PCE participation in Public Works Contracts, and has considered the evidence in relevant case law;
- (f) The NERA Study made recommendations for a revised Minority-and Women-owned business program for construction contracting, emphasizing the establishment of Project-specific goals, implementation of race- and gender-neutral measures, and enhancements to data gathering, implementation and performance monitoring of the program; and
- (g) The County has a compelling interest in preventing discrimination and desires to reaffirm its commitment to full and fair opportunities for all firms to participate in its construction contracts.

Sec. 34-287. Policy.

It is hereby found, determined and declared that the purpose of this Ordinance is to ensure the full and equitable participation of Minority- and Women-Owned Business Enterprises in the County's procurement process as both prime and subcontractors in the County's Public Works contracts. The County is committed to a policy of preventing discrimination in the award of or participation in Public Works contracts and has recommended appropriate narrowly tailored remedies to eliminate any such discrimination.

Sec. 34-288. Applicability.

This subdivision shall apply to all Public Works contracts, regardless of the sources of other funds; provided that any Public Works contract with respect to which a goal for Minority-Owned Business Enterprise or Women-Owned Business Enterprise participation is inconsistent with or prohibited by State or Federal law shall be exempt from the goals included in this subdivision.

Sec. 34-289. Severability.

If any section, subsection, clause or provision of this subdivision is held to be invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of the subdivision shall not be affected by such invalidity.

Sec. 34-290. Definitions.

The following terms shall have the following meanings:

~~*Affiliate of a person or entity* means a person or entity that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls or is controlled by, or is under common control with, the person or entity. In determining Affiliation, the County shall consider all appropriate factors, including common ownership, common management, and contractual relationships. Affiliates shall be considered together in determining whether a firm is a Small Business.~~ *Affiliate.* An "Affiliate" of or a Person "Affiliated" with, a specified Person shall mean any Person that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, Controls, is Controlled by, or is under common Control with, the Person Specified. Affiliates shall be considered together in determining whether a firm is a small business.

Annual Participation Goals mean the targeted levels established by the County for the annual aggregate participation of MBEs and WBEs in County construction contracts.

Applicant means a person who submits documents and information seeking certification, continued certification, or re-certification as an MBE, WBE, VBE, or SDVBE to the Office of Contract Compliance.

Business means a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity.

Certified Firm means a firm that has been accepted by the County as a certified MBE or WBE. *Certified or Certification* means the granting of Minority Business Enterprises, or Women's Business Enterprise status to an Applicant.

Contract means any Procurement or Contract (as defined in Section 34-121) in an amount exceeding \$25,000.00.

Contractor means any Business that seeks to enter into a construction contract with the County, other than for professional services, and includes all partners and Affiliates Business.

Commercially Useful Function means responsibility for the execution of a distinct element of the work of the contract, which is carried out by actually performing, managing, and supervising the work involved, or fulfilling such responsibilities as a Joint Venture partner.

Compliance Contract Director or "CC Director" means the Contract Compliance Director.

County means the County of Cook and its participating User Departments.

County's Marketplace means the six-county region, currently the counties of Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will.

Doing Business means having a physical location from which to engage in for-profit activities in the scope(s) of expertise of the Business.

Economically Disadvantaged means an individual with a Personal Net Worth less than \$2,000,000.00 indexed annually for the Chicago Metro Area Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Standards, beginning January 2011.

Expertise means demonstrated skills, knowledge or ability to perform in the field of endeavor in which certification is sought by the Business, as defined by normal industry practices, including licensure where required.

Good Faith Efforts means actions undertaken by a Contractor pursuant to Sec. 34-271 to achieve an MBE or WBE goal, which, by their scope, intensity, and appropriateness to the objective, can reasonably be expected to fulfill the Program's goals.

Joint Venture means an association of two or more Businesses proposing to perform a for-profit business enterprise. Joint Ventures must have an agreement in writing specifying the terms and conditions of the relationships between the partners and their relationship and responsibility to the contract.

Local Business means a Business located within the County's Marketplace which has the majority of its regular, full-time work force located within the County's Marketplace.

Local Small Business means a Local Business which is also a Small Business.

Manufacturer means a firm that operates or maintains a factory or establishment that produces, on the premises, the materials, supplies, articles, or equipment required under the contract and of the general character described by the specifications.

Minority Business Enterprise (MBE) means a Business:

- ~~(1) Which is at least 51 percent owned by one or more Minority Individuals, or in the case of a publicly owned Business, at least 51 percent of all classes of the stock of which is owned by one or more Minority Individuals;~~
- ~~(2) Whose management, policies, major decisions and daily business operations are independently managed and controlled by one or more Minority Individuals;~~
- ~~(3) Which performs a Commercially Useful Function;~~
- ~~(4) Which is a Certified Firm; and~~
- ~~(5) Which is a Local Small Business.~~

Minority Individual means:

- ~~(1) African Americans or Blacks, which includes persons having origins in any of the Black racial groups of Africa;~~
- ~~(2) Hispanic Americans, which includes persons of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Caribbean, Dominican, Central or South American [sic];~~
- ~~(3) Native Americans, which includes persons who are American Indians, Eskimos, Aleuts, or Native Hawaiians; or~~
- ~~(4) Asian Americans, which includes persons whose origins are in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, the islands of the Pacific or the Northern Marianas, or the Indian Subcontinent; or~~
- ~~(5) Individual members of other groups, including, but not limited to, Arab Americans, found by the County to be socially disadvantaged by having suffered racial or ethnic prejudice or cultural bias within American society, without regard to individual qualities, resulting in decreased opportunities to compete in the County's marketplace or to do business with the County.~~

Minority Business Enterprise or MBE mean a Local Small Business, including a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity:

- (1) Which is at least 51 percent owned by one or more Minority Individuals, or in the case of a publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of all classes of the stock of which is owned by one or more Minority Individuals;
- (2) Whose management, policies, major decisions and daily business operations are independently managed and Controlled by one or more such Minority Individuals; and
- (3) Which has its principal place of business and a majority of its regular, full-time workforce located within the County's Marketplace.

Minority Individual means an individual in one of the following groups:

- (1) African-Americans or Blacks, which includes persons having origins in any of the Black racial groups of Africa;
- (2) Hispanic-Americans, which includes persons who are Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Caribbean, Dominican, Central or South American, regardless of race;
- (3) Native-Americans, which includes persons who are American Indians, Eskimos, Aleuts, or Native Hawaiians; or
- (4) Asian-Americans (persons whose origins are in any of the original peoples of the Far East Asia, Southeast Asia, the islands of the Pacific or the Northern Marianas, or the Indian Subcontinent); or
- (5) Other groups, including, but not limited to, Arab-Americans, found by the County to be socially disadvantaged by having suffered racial or ethnic prejudice or cultural bias within American society, without regard to individual qualities, resulting in-decreased opportunities to compete in the County's Marketplace.

Owned means having all of the customary incidents of ownership, including the right of disposition, and sharing in all of the risks, responsibilities and profits commensurate with the degree of ownership.

~~*Personal Net Worth* means the net value of the assets of an individual after total liabilities are deducted. An individual's personal net worth does not include the individual's ownership interest in an applicant or other County certified MBE or WBE or the individual's equity in his or her primary place or residence. As to assets held jointly with his or her spouse, an individual's personal net worth includes only that individual's share of such assets. An individual's net worth also includes the present value of the individual's interest in any vested pension plans, individual retirement accounts, or other retirement savings or investment programs less the tax and interest penalties that would be imposed if the asset were distributed at the present time.~~ *Personal Net Worth* means the net value of the assets of an individual after total liabilities are deducted. An individual's personal net worth does not include the individual's ownership interest in an applicant or other Certified MBE or WBE, provided that the other Person is certified by a governmental agency that meets the County's eligibility criteria or the individual's equity in his or her primary place or residence. As to assets held jointly with a spouse, an individual's Personal Net Worth includes only that individual's share of such assets. An individual's Personal Net Worth also includes the present value of the individual's interest in any vested pension plans, individual retirement accounts, or other retirement savings or investment programs less the tax and interest penalties that would be imposed if the asset were distributed at the present time.

Program means the Program established by the Minority- and Women-Owned Business Enterprise Interim Ordinance.

Project Specific Goals means the Goals established for a particular project or contract based upon the availability of MBEs or WBEs in the scopes of work of the Project.

Public Works means all fixed works constructed or demolished by the County, or paid for wholly or in part out of public funds administered by the County. "Public Works" as defined herein includes all projects financed in whole or in part with bonds, grants, loans, or other funds made available by or through federal or State government, or the County. "Public Works" does not include projects undertaken by the owner at an owner-occupied single-family residence or at an owner-occupied unit of a multifamily residence. "Public Works" includes any maintenance, repair, assembly, or disassembly work performed on equipment whether owned, leased, or rented.

Regular Dealer means a firm that owns, operates, or maintains a store, warehouse, or other establishment in which the materials, supplies, articles or equipment of the general character described by the specifications and required under the contract are bought, kept in stock, and regularly sold or leased to the public in the usual course of business. To be a Regular Dealer, the firm must be an established, regular Business that engages, as its principal business and under its own name, in the purchase and sale or lease of the products in question. A firm may be a Regular Dealer in such bulk items as petroleum products, steel, cement, gravel, stone, or asphalt without owning, operating, or maintaining a place of business if the firm both owns and operates distribution equipment for the products. Any supplementing of a Regular Dealer's distribution equipment shall be by a long-term lease agreement and not on an ad hoc or contract-by-contract basis. Packers, manufacture representatives, or other persons who arrange or expedite transactions are not Regular Dealers.

~~*Small Business* means a small business as defined by the U.S. Small Business Administration, pursuant to the business size standards found in 13 CFR Part 121, relevant to the scope(s) of work the firm seeks to perform on County contracts. A firm is not an eligible small business enterprise in any calendar fiscal year in which its gross receipts, averaged over the firm's previous five fiscal years, exceed the size standards of 13 CFR Part 121.~~

Socially Disadvantaged means a Minority Individual or Woman who has been subjected to racial, ethnic or gender prejudice or cultural bias within American society because of his or her identity as a member of a group and without regard to individual qualities. Social disadvantage must stem from circumstances beyond the individual's control. A Socially Disadvantaged individual must be a citizen or lawfully admitted permanent resident of the United States.

User Department means the department of the County or elected official responsible for initiating the procurement process.

Utilization Plan means the list of MBEs and WBEs that the Bidder/Proposer commits will be utilized, the scopes of the work and the dollar values or the percentages of the work to be performed.

Woman means a person of the female gender.

~~*Woman-Owned Business Enterprise (WBE)* means a Business:~~

- ~~(1) Which is at least 51 percent owned by one or more Women, or in the case of a publicly owned Business, at least 51 percent of all classes of the stock of which is owned by one or more Women;~~
- ~~(2) Whose management, policies, major decisions and daily business operations are independently managed and controlled by one or more such Women;~~
- ~~(3) Which performs a Commercially Useful Function;~~
- ~~(4) Which is a Certified Firm; and~~
- ~~(5) Which is a Local Small Business.~~

Woman-owned Business Enterprise or WBE means a Local Small Business, including a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity:

- (1) Which is at least 51 percent Owned by one or more Women, or in the case of a publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of all classes of the stock of which is Owned by one or more Women;
- (2) Whose management, policies, major decisions and daily business operations are independently managed and Controlled by one or more such Women; and
- (3) Which has its principal place of business and a majority of its regular, full-time work force located within the County's Marketplace.

Sec. 34-291. Program administration.

(a) The CC Director, who shall report to the President of the Board of Commissioners of Cook County, shall administer the Program, and whose duties shall include:

- (1) Formulating, proposing and implementing rules and regulations for the development, implementation and monitoring of the Program, certification process, recertification process, and no-change affidavits, including time limitations for the submission of documents and information regarding certification applications and contract participation. The CCD is authorized to collect certification and recertification processing fees in the amount of \$250.00 per Application; the collection of said processing fees shall be transacted by the CCD through the Bureau of Finance.
- (2) Providing information and assistance to MBEs and WBEs relating to County procurement practices and procedures, and bid specifications, requirements, goals and prerequisites.
- (3) Establishing uniform procedures and criteria for certifying, recertifying and decertifying Businesses as MBEs and WBEs, accepting certifications by other agencies, and maintaining a directory of Certified Firms. Such procedures and criteria shall include non-certification or decertification for the willful submission of false or inaccurate material information and the failure to submit complete and accurate material information to the CCD regarding certification or a Procurement on a timely basis, and shall relate to both PCEs and PCE owners.
- (4) Establishing Project Specific Goals, in collaboration with the User Department.
- (5) Evaluating Contractors' achievement of Project Specific Goals and/or Good Faith Efforts to meet Project Specific Goals.
- (6) Working with User Departments to monitor contracts to ensure prompt payments to MBEs and WBEs and compliance with Project Specific Goals and commitments, including gathering data to facilitate such monitoring.
- (7) Receiving, reviewing, and acting upon complaints and suggestions concerning the Program.
- (8) Collecting data to evaluate the Program and other County contracting initiatives.
- (9) Monitoring the Program and the County's progress towards the Annual Participation Goals. The CC Director shall report on a quarterly and annual basis to the President on the administration and operations of the Program.

(b) The User Departments that receive appropriate delegation for project management, contract management, and/or construction and/or design contract responsibility shall have the following duties and responsibilities with regard to the Program:

- (1) Assisting the CC Director with setting Project Specific Goals.
- (2) Assisting in the identification of available MBEs and WBEs, and providing other assistance in meeting the Project Specific Goals.
- (3) Performing other activities to support the Program.
- (4) Gathering and maintaining prime contracting and subcontracting data for those contracts which they manage.
- (5) Submitting subcontracting data as required to the CC Director.

Sec. 34-292. Race- and gender-neutral measures to ensure equal opportunities for all contractors and subcontractors.

The County shall develop and use measures to facilitate the participation of all firms in County construction contracting activities. These measures shall include, but are not limited to:

- (a) Arranging solicitation times for the presentations of bids, quantities, specifications, and delivery schedules to facilitate the participation of interested firms;
- (b) Segmenting, structuring or issuing contracts to facilitate the participation of MBEs, WBEs and other Small Businesses;
- (c) Providing timely information on contracting procedures, bid preparation and specific contracting opportunities;
- (d) Providing assistance to Business in overcoming barriers such as difficulty in obtaining bonding and financing;
- (e) Holding pre-bid conferences, where appropriate, to explain the projects and to encourage Contractors to use all available qualified firms as subcontractors;
- (f) Adopting prompt payment procedures, including, requiring by contract that prime Contractors promptly pay subcontractors within 15 days in accordance with Section 34-165;
- (g) Reviewing retainage, bonding and insurance requirements to eliminate unnecessary barriers to contracting with the County;
- (h) Collecting information from all prime Contractors on County construction contracts detailing the bids received from all subcontractors for County construction contracts and the expenditures to subcontractors utilized by prime Contractors on County construction contracts;
- (i) At the discretion of the CC Director, letting a representative sample of County construction contracts without goals, to determine MBE and WBE utilization in the absence of goals;
- (j) Maintaining information on all firms bidding on County prime contracts and subcontracts; and

(k) Referring complaints of discrimination to Cook County's Commission on Human Relations, or other appropriate authority, for investigation.

Sec. 34-293. ~~Program eligibility~~ Certification Criteria

(a) Only Businesses that meet the criteria for certification as an MBE or WBE may participate in the Program. The applicant has the burden of ~~persuasion~~ proof by a preponderance of the evidence.

(b) Only a firm owned by a Socially and Economically Disadvantaged person(s) may be certified as an MBE or WBE.

- (1) The firm's ownership by a Socially and Economically Disadvantaged person must be real, substantial, and continuing, going beyond pro forma ownership of the firm as reflected in ownership documents. The owner(s) must enjoy the customary incidents of ownership and share in the risks and profits commensurate with that ownership interest.
- (2) The contributions of capital or Expertise by the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) to acquire the ownership interest must be real and substantial and in accord with generally accepted industry standards. If Expertise is relied upon as part of a Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner's contribution to acquire ownership, the Expertise must be of the requisite quality generally recognized in a specialized field, in areas critical to the firm's operations, indispensable to the firm's potential success, specific to the type of work the firm performs and documented in the firm's records. The individual whose Expertise is relied upon must have a commensurate financial investment in the firm.

(c) Only a firm that is managed and controlled by a Socially and Economically Disadvantaged person(s) may be certified as an MBE or WBE.

- (1) A firm must not be subject to any formal or informal restrictions that limit the customary discretion of the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s). There can be no restrictions through corporate charter provisions, by-law provisions, contracts or any other formal or informal devices that prevent the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s), without the cooperation or vote of any non-Socially and Economically Disadvantaged person, from making any business decision of the firm, including the making of obligations or the disbursing of funds.
- (2) The Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) must possess the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of the firm and to make day-to-day as well as long-term decisions on management, policy, operations and work.
- (3) The Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) may delegate various areas of the management or daily operations of the firm to persons who are not Socially and Economically Disadvantaged. Such delegations of authority must be revocable, and the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) must retain the power to hire and fire any such person. The Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) must actually exercise control over the firm's operations, work, management and policy.
- (4) The Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) must have an overall understanding of, and managerial and technical competence, experience and Expertise directly related to, the firm's operations and work. The Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) must have the ability to intelligently and critically evaluate information presented by other participants in the firm's activities and to make independent decisions concerning the firm's daily operations, work, management, and policymaking.

- (5) If federal, state and/or local laws, regulations or statutes require the owner(s) to have a particular license or other credential to own and/or control a certain type of firm, then the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) must possess the required license or credential. If state law, County ordinance or other law regulations or statute does not require that the owner possess the license or credential, that the owner(s) lacks such license or credential is a factor, but is not dispositive, in determining whether the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) actually controls the firm.
 - (6) A Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner cannot engage in outside employment or other business interests that conflict with the management of the firm or prevent the owner from devoting sufficient time and attention to the affairs of the firm to manage and control its day-to-day activities.
- (d) Only an independent firm may be certified as an MBE or WBE. An independent ~~firm~~Person is one whose viability does not depend on its relationship with another ~~firm~~Person, and who has the capacity or ability to successfully undertake and complete the relevant work. Recognition of an applicant as a separate entity for tax or corporate purposes is not necessarily sufficient to demonstrate that a firm is independent and non-Affiliated. In determining whether an applicant is an independent Business, the CC Director will:
- (1) Scrutinize relationships with non-Certified Firms in such areas as personnel, facilities, equipment, financial and/or bonding support, and other resources.
 - (2) Consider whether present or recent employer/employee relationships between the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) of the applicant and non-Certified Firms or persons associated with non-Certified Firms compromise the applicant's independence.
 - (3) Examine the applicant's relationships with non-Certified Firms to determine whether a pattern of exclusive or primary dealings with non-Certified Firm compromises the applicant's independence.
 - (4) Consider the consistency of relationships between the applicant and non-Certified Firms with normal industry practice.
- (e) The CCD shall certify only Persons that meet all the above criteria. An applicant shall be certified only for specific types of work in which the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) has the ability and Expertise to manage and control the ~~firm~~person's operations and work.
- (f) The County shall certify the eligibility of Joint Ventures involving MBEs or WBEs and non-Certified Firms, provided that the Joint Venture meets the criteria for certification as an MBE or WBE. To be considered an eligible Joint Venture, at least one partner of the Joint Venture must be a Certified Firm, with a share in the capital contribution, control, management, risks, and profits of the Joint Venture which is equal to its ownership interest. Each Certified Firm partner must contribute property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge and be responsible for a distinct, clearly defined portion of the work of the contract. Joint Ventures must have an agreement in writing specifying the terms and conditions of the relationships between the partners and their relationship and responsibility to the contract.
- (g) In lieu of conducting its own certifications, the CC Director by rule may accept formal certifications by other entities as meeting the requirements of the Program, if the CC Director determines that the certification standards of such entities are comparable to those of the County.
- (h) The certification status of all MBEs and WBEs shall be reviewed periodically by the Office of Contract Compliance. Failure of the firm to seek recertification by filing the necessary documentation with the CC Director as provided by rule may result in decertification.

- (i) It is the responsibility of the Certified Firm to notify the CC Director of any change in its circumstances affecting its continued eligibility for the Program, including decertification by another agency. Failure to do so may result in the firm's decertification.
- (j) The CC Director shall decertify a firm that does not continuously meet the eligibility criteria.
- (k) Disqualification pursuant to Division 4 of the Procurement Code shall create a prima facie case for decertification by the County. The challenged PCE shall have the burden of proving that its County certification should be maintained.
- (~~kl~~) Decertification by another agency shall create a prima facie case for decertification by the County. The challenged firm shall have the burden of proving that its County certification should be maintained.
- (~~lm~~) A firm that has been denied certification or recertification or has been decertified may protest the denial or decertification as provided by rule by submitting a petition for review in a proceeding made pursuant to Part I, Chapter 2, Article IX, Administrative Hearings, and the Administrative Rules promulgated thereunder.
- (~~mn~~) A firm found to be ineligible may not apply for certification for ~~six months~~ one year after the effective date of the final decision.
- (~~no~~) A third party may challenge the eligibility of an applicant for certification or a Certified Firm as provided by rule. Such challenges shall be signed and sworn by the individual challenging the eligibility of an applicant for certification or a certified form. The burden of proof shall rest with the complainant. Such challenges to eligibility shall be subject to an appeal. The CC Director shall be the final arbiter of all challenges. The presumption that the challenged firm is eligible shall remain in effect until the CC Director renders a final decision.

Sec. 34-294. Annual aspirational goals.

The Annual Aspirational Goals for the utilization of MBEs and WBEs on County Public Works contracts and subcontracts shall be 24 percent for MBEs and ten percent for WBEs.

Sec. 34-295. Project specific goals.

The CC Director, in consultation with the User Department, shall establish Project Specific Goals for construction Contracts based upon the availability of at least three MBEs and three WBEs to perform the anticipated subcontracting functions of the project and the County's utilization of MBEs and WBEs to date.

Sec. 34-296. Counting MBE and WBE participation.

- (a) The entire amount of that portion of a contract that is performed by the MBE's or WBE's own forces shall be counted, including the cost of supplies and materials obtained by the MBE or WBE for the work on the contract, and supplies purchased or equipment leased by the MBE or WBE (except supplies and equipment the MBE or WBE purchases or leases from the prime Contractor or the prime Contractor's Affiliate).
- (b) The entire amount of fees or commissions charged by an MBE or WBE for providing a bona fide service, such as professional, technical, consultant or managerial services, or for providing bonds or insurance specifically required for the performance of a contract, shall be counted, provided the fee is reasonable and not excessive as compared with fees customarily charged for similar services.

(c) When an MBE or WBE performs as a participant in a Joint Venture, only the portion of the total dollar value of the contract equal to the distinct, clearly defined portion of the work of the Joint Venture's contract that is performed by the MBE or WBE with its own forces and for which it is separately at risk, shall be counted.

(d) Only expenditures to an MBE or WBE that is performing a Commercially Useful Function shall be counted. To determine whether an MBE or WBE is performing a Commercially Useful Function, the County will evaluate the amount of work subcontracted, industry practices, whether the amount the MBE or WBE is to be paid under the contract is commensurate with the work it is actually performing and other relevant factors. To perform a Commercially Useful Function, the MBE or WBE must be responsible, with respect to materials and supplies used on the contract, for negotiating price, determining quality and quantity, ordering the material, installing (where applicable) and paying for the material itself. An MBE or WBE does not perform a Commercially Useful Function if its role is limited to that of an extra participant in the contract through which funds are passed in order to obtain the appearance of MBE or WBE participation. If an MBE or WBE subcontracts a greater portion of the work of a contract than would be expected based on normal industry practice, it is presumed not to perform a Commercially Useful Function. When an MBE or WBE is presumed not to be performing a Commercially Useful Function, the Certified Firm may present evidence to rebut this presumption.

~~(e) One hundred percent of the cost of the materials or supplies obtained from an MBE or WBE Manufacturer or Regular Dealer shall be counted.~~ One hundred percent of the fees or transportation charges for the delivery of materials or supplies required on a job site shall be counted only if the payment of such fees is a customary industry practice and are commensurate with fees customarily charged for similar services.

(e) One hundred percent of the cost of the supplies, equipment or goods obtained from a PCE Manufacturer shall be counted.

(fg) Sixty percent of the cost of the supplies, equipment or goods obtained from a PCE Distributor or Regular Dealer shall be counted.

~~(fh)~~ If a firm ceases to be a Certified Firm for any other reason than graduation from the M/WBE Construction Program during its performance on a contract, the dollar value of work performed under a contract with that firm after it has ceased to be certified shall not be counted.

~~(gi)~~ In determining achievement of Project Specific Goals, the participation of an MBE or WBE shall not be counted until that amount has been paid to the MBE or WBE.

Sec. 34-297. Contract pre-award compliance procedures.

(a) For all solicitations, the bidder/proposer shall submit a Utilization Plan detailing all subcontractors from which the Contractor solicited bids or quotations, and if Project Specific Goals have been established, its achievement of the Goals or its Good Faith Efforts to do so. The Utilization Plan shall be due at the time the bid/proposal is due.

(b) Any agreement between a Contractor and an MBE or WBE in which the Contractor requires that the MBE or WBE not provide subcontracting quotations to other Contractors is prohibited.

(c) Where the Contractor cannot achieve the Project Specific Goal(s), the CC Director will determine whether the Contractor has made Good Faith Efforts to meet the Goal(s). In making this determination, the Director will consider, at a minimum, the Contractor's efforts to:

- (1) Solicit through all reasonable and available means (e.g., attendance at pre-bid meetings, advertising and written notices) the interest of all MBEs and WBEs certified in the scopes of work of the contract. The Contractor shall provide interested MBEs and WBEs with timely, adequate information about the plans,

specifications, and requirements of the contract to allow MBEs and WBEs to respond to the solicitation. The Contractor must follow up initial solicitations with interested MBEs and WBEs.

- (2) Select portions of the work to be performed by MBEs and WBEs in order to increase the likelihood that the Project Specific Goals will be achieved. This includes, where appropriate, breaking out contract work items into economically feasible units to facilitate MBE and WBE participation, even when the Contractor would otherwise prefer to perform these work items with its own forces. It is the Contractor's responsibility to make a portion of the work available to MBEs and WBEs and to select those portions of the work or material needs consistent with the availability [of] MBEs and WBEs to facilitate their participation.
- (3) Negotiate in good faith with interested MBEs and WBEs. Evidence of such negotiation includes the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of MBEs and WBEs that were contacted; a description of the information provided regarding the plans and specifications for the work selected for subcontracting; and why agreements could not be reached with MBEs and WBEs. The Contractor may not reject MBEs and WBEs as being unqualified without sound reasons based on a thorough investigation of their capabilities. That there may be some additional costs involved in finding and using MBEs and WBEs is not in itself sufficient reason for a Contractor's failure to meet the Project Specific Goals, as long as such costs are reasonable. The ability or desire of a Contractor to perform the work of a contract with its own organization does not relieve it of the responsibility to make Good Faith Efforts on all scopes of work that could be subcontracted.
- (4) Make efforts to assist interested MBEs and WBEs in obtaining bonding, lines of credit, or insurance as required by the County or the prime Contractor, where appropriate.
- (5) Make efforts to assist interested MBEs and WBEs in obtaining necessary equipment, supplies, materials, or related assistance or services, where appropriate.
- (6) Use the services of the Office of Contract Compliance, available minority/women community organizations, minority/women contractors' groups, government-sponsored minority/women business assistance offices and other appropriate organizations to provide assistance in the recruitment and placement of MBEs and WBEs.

(d) In determining whether a Contractor has made Good Faith Efforts, the performance of other Contractors in meeting the Project Specific Goals may be considered. For example, when the apparent successful Contractor fails to meet the Project Specific Goals but others meet it, it may be reasonably questioned whether, with additional reasonable efforts, the apparent successful Contractor could have met the Project Specific Goals. Similarly, if the apparent successful Contractor fails to meet the Project Specific Goals, but meets or exceeds the average MBE or WBE participation obtained by other Contractors, this may be evidence that the apparent successful Contractor made Good Faith Efforts.

(e) A signed letter of intent from each listed MBE or WBE, describing the work, materials, equipment or services to be performed or provided by the MBE or WBE and the agreed upon dollar value shall be due at the time of bid proposal or within three days after such submission.

(f) The CC Director shall timely review the Utilization Plan before award, including the scope of work and the letters of intent from MBEs and WBEs. The CC Director may request clarification in writing of items listed in the Utilization Plan, provided such clarification shall not include the opportunity to augment listed participation or Good Faith Efforts.

(g) If the CC Director determines that the Utilization Plan demonstrates that the Project Specific Goals have been achieved or Good Faith Efforts made, with the concurrence of the User Department, the CC Director and User Department shall recommend award to Purchasing Agent.

(h) If the CC Director finds that a Contractor did not make sufficient Good Faith Efforts, the CC Director shall communicate this finding to the Purchasing Department and recommend that the bid/proposal be rejected. A Contractor may protest this determination pursuant to the County's bid protest procedures.

Sec. 34-298. Contract administration procedures.

(a) Upon award of a contract by the County that includes Project Specific Goals, the Project Specific Goals become covenants of performance by the Contractors and incorporated in the contract.

(b) The Contractor shall provide a listing of all subcontractors to be used in the performance of the contract, and detailed subcontractor information to the County with each request for payment submitted to the County or as otherwise directed by the County. The CC Director and the User Department shall monitor subcontractor participation during the course of the contract. The County shall have full and timely access to the Contractor's books and records, including, without limitation, payroll records, tax returns and records and books of account, to determine the Contractor's compliance with its commitment to MBE and WBE participation and the status of any MBE or WBE performing any portion of the contract. This provision shall be in addition to, and not a substitute for, any other provision allowing inspection of the Contractor's records by any officer or official of the County for any purpose.

(c) The Contractor cannot make changes to the Utilization Plan or substitute MBEs or WBEs named in the Utilization Plan without the prior written approval of the CC Director, Purchasing Agent and the User Department. Unauthorized changes or substitutions shall be a violation of this subdivision and a breach of contract, and may constitute grounds for rejection of the bid or proposal or cause termination of the executed contract for breach, the withholding of payment and/or subject the Contractor to contract penalties or other sanctions.

- (1) All requests for changes or substitutions of an MBE or WBE Subcontractor(s) named in the Utilization Plan shall be made to the CC Director, Purchasing Agent and the User Department in writing, and shall clearly and fully set forth the basis for the request. A Contractor shall not substitute an MBE or WBE subcontractor or perform the work designated for an MBE or WBE subcontractor with its own forces unless and until the CC Director, Purchasing Agent in consultation with the User Department, approves such substitution in writing. A Contractor shall not allow a substituted subcontractor to begin work until the Director, Purchasing Agent and the User Department have approved the substitution.
- (2) The facts supporting the request must not have been known nor reasonably should have been known by either party before the submission of the Utilization Plan. Bid shopping is prohibited. The Contractor must negotiate with the MBE or WBE subcontractor to resolve the problem. Where there has been a mistake or disagreement about the scope of work, the MBE or WBE can be substituted only where an agreement cannot be reached for a reasonable price for the correct scope of work.
- (3) Substitutions of the subcontractor shall be permitted only on the following bases:
 - (i) Unavailability after receipt of reasonable notice to proceed.
 - (ii) Failure of performance.
 - (iii) Financial incapacity.
 - (iv) Refusal by the subcontractor to honor the bid or proposal price.
 - (v) Mistake of fact or law about the elements of the scope of work of a solicitation where agreement upon a reasonable price cannot be reached.

- (vi) Failure of the subcontractor to meet insurance, licensing or bonding requirements; or
 - (vii) The subcontractor's withdrawal of its bid or proposal.
- (4) The final decision whether to permit or deny the proposed substitution, and the basis of any denial, shall be communicated to the parties in writing by the CC Director.
- (5) Where the Contractor has established the basis for the substitution to the satisfaction of the County, the Contractor shall make Good Faith Efforts to fulfill the Utilization Plan. The Contractor may seek the assistance of the Office of Contract Compliance in obtaining a new MBE or WBE. If the Project Specific Goal(s) cannot be reached and Good Faith Efforts have been made, the Contractor may substitute with a non-Certified Firm.
- (6) If the County requires the substitution of an MBE or WBE subcontractor listed in the Utilization Plan, the Contractor shall undertake Good Faith Efforts to fulfill the Utilization Plan. The Contractor may seek the assistance of the Office of Contract Compliance in obtaining a new MBE or WBE subcontractor. If the Goal(s) cannot be reached and Good Faith Efforts have been made, the Contractor may substitute with a non-Certified Firm.
- (d) If a Contractor plans to hire a subcontractor on any scope of work that was not previously disclosed in the Utilization Plan, the Contractor shall obtain the approval of the CC Director to modify the Utilization Plan and must make Good Faith Efforts to ensure that MBEs and WBEs have a fair opportunity to bid on the new scope of work.
- (e) Changes to the scopes of work shall be documented by the User Department at the time they arise to establish the reasons for the change and the effect on achievement of the MBE or WBE goal.
- (f) Prior to contract closeout, the CC Director shall evaluate the Contractor's fulfillment of the contracted goals, taking into account all approved substitutions, terminations and changes to the contract's scope of work. If the County determines that Good Faith Efforts to meet the MBE or WBE commitments were not made, or that fraudulent misrepresentations have been made, or any other breach of the contract or violation of this subdivision, a remedy or sanction may be imposed, as provided in the contract.

Sec. 34-299. Sanctions and penalties.

- (a) The following violations of this subdivision may result in a breach of contract:
- (1) Providing false or misleading information to the County in connection with submission of a bid, responses to requests for qualifications or proposals, Good Faith Efforts documentation, post-award compliance, or other Program operations.
 - (2) Committing any other violations of this subdivision.
- (b) A Contractor or subcontractor is subject to withholding of payments under the contract, termination of the contract for breach, contract penalties, or being barred or deemed nonresponsive in future County solicitations and contracts as determined by the County's Purchasing Agent, if it is found to have:
- (1) Provided false or misleading information in connection with an application for certification or recertification or colluded with others to do so;
 - (2) Provided false or misleading information in connection with the submission of a bid or proposal or documentation of Good Faith Efforts, post-award compliance, or other Program operations or colluded with others to do so;

- (3) Failed in bad faith to fulfill Project Specific Goals, thereby materially breaching the contract; or
- (4) Failed to comply in good faith with substantive provisions of this subdivision.

Sec. 34-300. Program review and sunset.

- (a) The President and the Board of Commissioners shall receive quarterly and annual reports from the CC Director detailing the County's performance under the Program.
- (b) The President and the Board of Commissioners will review these reports, including the Annual Participation Goals and the County's progress towards meeting those Goals and eliminating discrimination in its contracting activities and marketplace.
- (c) Within five years after the effective date of this ordinance, the County will review the operation of the Program and the evidentiary basis for the Program in order to determine whether the County has a continuing compelling interest in remedying discrimination against MBEs and WBEs in its construction marketplace, and the permissible scope of any narrowly tailored remedies to redress discrimination against MBEs or WBEs so that the County will not function as a passive participant in a discriminatory marketplace.
- (d) This subdivision shall sunset on or before June 30, 2016.

DIVISION 9. CONTRACT MANAGEMENT

Sec. 34-301. Contracts.

- (a) *Purpose.* The purpose of this Division is to ensure that Contracts in an amount of \$1,000,000.00 or more are performed in accordance with the Contract terms.
- (b) *Applicability.* This Division shall only apply to Contracts of \$1,000,000.00 or more.
- (c) *Funding.* The extent to which this division shall be implemented shall be limited to the availability of funding. The Board encourages the County to seek out any available grant funding for this initiative.

Sec. 34-302. Information to be contained in contracts.

All Contracts over \$1,000,000.00 should contain, but not be limited to, the following information, as applicable:

- (a) Clearly state the specifications, contract period, allowable renewals or extension periods, and procedures for amendments or changes;
- (b) Provide for specific measurable deliverables and reporting requirements, including due dates;
- (c) Describe any payment schedules and escalation factors;
- (d) Contain performance standards;
- (e) Tie payments to the acceptance of deliverables or the final product;
- (f) Contain all standard or required clauses as published in an RFP. Order of precedence should be addressed in case of a discrepancy between the RFP and the Contract;

- (g) Contain appropriate signatures, approvals, acknowledgements, or witnesses; and
- (h) Be reviewed and approved as to form by an attorney from the Cook County State's Attorney's Office prior to execution.

Sec. 34-303. ~~Contract m~~Management ~~for~~of contracts.

- (a) Using Agency responsibilities are as follows:
 - (1) Designate one or more individuals as the "Contract Manager" with the knowledge, skills, ability and time to monitor the Contract;
 - (2) The CPO may provide staff to assist the Using Agency in complying with this division.
- (b) Contract Manager's duties:
 - (1) Monitor performance of the Contract in accordance with its terms;
 - (2) Track budgets and compare invoices and charges to contract terms and conditions;
 - (3) Document the timeliness and acceptance or rejection of deliverables and initiate appropriate action to enforce the Contract terms; and
 - (4) Evaluate and document compliance with Contract requirements on a periodic basis during the term of the Contract and submit to the CPO.
- (c) CPO's duties:
 - (1) Create uniform evaluation forms for use by Contract Managers, to evaluate the extent to which the Contractor satisfied the Contract terms;
 - (2) Establish appropriate procedures to ensure that evaluations are utilized in determining whether a Bidder or Proposer is Responsible; provided, however, that evaluations made only within the past three years shall be considered; and
 - (3) Assist Using Agencies in obtaining training through the National Contract Managers Association, Institute of Supply Management or National Institute of Government Purchasing standards, for Contract Managers.

Secs. 34-304—34-309. Reserved.

DIVISION 10. INVOICES FOR SERVICES RENDERED

Sec. 34-310. Invoices required for all service contracts.

- (a) *Work Performed.* All Contracts for services, regardless of compensation structure, shall contain a provision requiring the Contractor to maintain and submit for review upon request by the Using Agency, itemized records indicating the dates that services were provided, a detailed description of the work performed on each such date, and the amount of time spent performing work on each such date.

(b) *Expenses.* Contracts for services shall also require Contractors to submit documentation of the types and amounts of expenses incurred related to the work performed if the Contractor seeks reimbursement for any such expenses incurred.

(c) *Invoice Documentation.* All Contracts for services, regardless of compensation structure, shall contain a provision requiring the Contractor to submit itemized records indicating the dates or time period in which the services being invoiced were provided, a detailed description of the work performed for the time period being invoiced and the amount of time spent performing work for the time period in question. In addition, all Contracts for services that are procured as Sole Source must also contain a provision requiring the Contractor to submit itemized records indicating the dates that services were provided, a detailed description of the work performed on each such date, and the amount of time spent performing work on each such date.

(d) *Payment.* All Contracts for services shall further require that the itemized work and expense records required in Section 34-310(b) and (c) be submitted to the Using Agency with the Contractor's invoice as a condition of payment for any services rendered.

Sec. 34-311. No payment prior to submission of invoice.

The Comptroller shall not issue a payment to any Contractor providing services who has not submitted the requisite invoice with work and expense records unless the Contractor has been approved for advance payment per the Contract. The Comptroller shall not issue an advance payment to any Contractor providing services unless the invoice includes written authorization from the Using Agency documenting the contractual basis for the advance payment. Contractors approved for advance payment shall be required to submit invoices providing work and expense records as described above in Section 34-310 on at least a monthly basis.

Secs. 34-312—34-365. Reserved.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 32 Fees, Section 32.1 Fee Schedule, of the Cook County Code, is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 32.1. Fee Schedule.

The fees or charges provided for or required by the below-listed sections shall be as shown below:

Code Section	Description	Fees, Rates, Charges (in dollars)
CHAPTER 34, FINANCE		
34-283(a) 34-265	M/WBE Certification/ <u>Recertification</u> Fee	250.00
34-283(b) 34-291	M/WBE <u>Certification/Recertification</u> Fee	100.00 250.00

Effective Date: This Ordinance Amendment shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Ordinance Amendment be referred as amended to the Finance Committee. The motion carried.

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT

Department: Office of the President

Other Part(ies): City of Chicago and the Chicago Transit Authority

Request: Authorization to enter into and execute

Goods or Services: Intergovernmental Agreement with the Chicago Transit Authority, the City of Chicago and Cook County where the City of Chicago (“City”) agrees to tender \$3,000,000.00 of the City's motor fuel tax funds to the Chicago Transit Authority, and Cook County (“County”) agrees to tender \$2,000,000.00 from Cook County's motor fuel tax funds to the Chicago Transit Authority.

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Agreement Period: Agreed Contribution shall be tendered to the Chicago Transit Authority on or before 12/31/2014.

Fiscal Impact: \$2,000,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund

Summary: Per the Regional Transportation Authority Act, 70 ILCS 3615/1, et seq., and per the request of the Chicago Transit Authority, authorization is hereby requested to enter into and execute an Intergovernmental Agreement between the Cook County, the City of Chicago and the Chicago Transit Authority.

Pursuant to the proposed Intergovernmental Agreement, the City of Chicago (“City”) agrees to tender \$3,000,000.00 of the City's motor fuel tax funds to the Chicago Transit Authority and Cook County (“County”) agrees to tender to the Chicago Transit Authority \$2,000,000.00 from Cook County's motor fuel tax funds to the Chicago Transit Authority. The agreed contribution by the City and the County are to be remitted to the Chicago Transit Authority prior to 12/31/2014; said funds tendered are to be used by the Chicago Transit Authority for public transportation purposes.

It is hereby requested that that the Cook County Board President be authorized to execute the Proposed Intergovernmental Agreement and that the Cook County Comptroller be authorized to tender the County’s agreed contribution from the motor fuel tax funds to the Chicago Transit Authority in accordance with the terms of the Intergovernmental Agreement.

This agreement has been reviewed and approved as to form by the Cook County State’s Attorney’s Office.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Intergovernmental Agreement be approved. The motion carried.

14-1446

Presented by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): Assistant State's Attorney Daniel F. Gallagher

Position: Member

Department/Board/Commission: Medical Examiner's Advisory Committee

Effective date: Immediate

Expiration date: Not applicable. Appointment pursuant to the requirement that the Medical Examiner's Advisory Committee contain at least one (1) attorney from the Cook County State's Attorney's Office

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Appointment be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion carried.

14-1448

Presented by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED REAPPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): The Honorable Nicholas J. Helmer

Position: Director

Department/Board/Commission: Cook County Land Bank Authority Board of Directors

Effective date: Immediate

Expiration date: 1/1/2017, or until a successor is appointed

Summary: Reappointment pursuant to the requirement that the Land Bank Authority Board contain three (3) Suburban Cook County Mayors, Presidents or Village Managers

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Reappointment be approved. The motion carried.

14-1449

Presented by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): Darrius Lightfoot

Position: Member

Department/Board/Commission: Cook County Juvenile Temporary Detention Center Advisory Board

Effective date: Immediate

Expiration date: 6/30/2014, or until a successor is appointed

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Appointment be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion carried.

14-1451

Presented by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): The Honorable Rhoda Sweeney

Position: Member

Department/Board/Commission: Cook County Justice Advisory Council

Effective date: Immediate

Expiration date: 3/12/2018, or until a successor is appointed

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Appointment be referred as amended to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion carried.

14-1453

Presented by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): Hedy Ratner

Position: Member

Department/Board/Commission: Cook County Commission on Human Rights

Effective date: Immediate

Expiration date: 7/1/2015, or until a successor is appointed. Ms. Ratner will fill the vacancy of Shelley Davis

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, that this Appointment be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion Carried.

14-1508

Presented by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): Joseph Ford

Position: Trustee

Department/Board/Commission: South Stickney Sanitary District

Effective date: Immediate

Expiration date: 5/1/2014, or until a successor is appointed. Mr. Ford will fill the vacancy of George Gustafson.

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Appointment be approved. The motion carried.

COMMISSIONERS

14-0877

Sponsored by: PETER N. SILVESTRI, County Commissioner

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF A FLY QUIET PROGRAM AT O'HARE INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AND CREATION OF A FEDERAL FLY QUIET ACT

WHEREAS, certain communities on the Northwest Side of the City of Chicago and nearby suburbs reside underneath the key nighttime air routes, including five glide paths and two major take-off plates, which converge over the community and are used after 10 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, these communities seek a solution to the nighttime sleep interruptions during the hours of 10 p.m. and 7 a.m., including the shoulder hours; and

WHEREAS, these communities are experiencing higher levels of jet noise, especially during the phase 1 night-time flight operations; and

WHEREAS, the current fly quiet program needs to be reevaluated for the purposes of instilling and sharing the primary purpose of flight and community partnership at O'Hare International Airport with all of the collar communities.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners does hereby urge the Federal Aeronautics Administration and O'Hare International Airport authorities to decrease jet noise over the communities of Northwest Chicago and nearby suburbs by establishing a full-time fly quiet program and urge the creation and passage of a federal homeowner's aviation fly quiet act; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that suitable copies of this Resolution be delivered to each member of the Illinois congressional delegation

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Resolution be referred to the Environmental Control Committee. The motion carried.

14-1421

ORDINANCE AMENDMENT SUBSTITUTE

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, JOHN P. DALEY, JESÚS G. GARCÍA,
STANLEY MOORE, PETER N. SILVESTRI, ROBERT B. STEELE, JERRY BUTLER,
JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN,
GREGG GOSLIN, EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,
DEBORAH SIMS AND LARRY SUFFREDIN, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

AN AMENDMENT TO THE TOBACCO DEALER'S ORDINANCE

THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 54 Licenses, Permits, and Miscellaneous Business Regulations, Article V Tobacco Dealers, Sections 54-300 through 54-306 of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

ARTICLE V. TOBACCO DEALERS

Sec. 54-300. Short title.

This article shall be known and may be cited as the Cook County Youth Tobacco Control Ordinance.

Sec. 54-301. Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this article, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Distribute means to give, sell, deliver, dispense or issue or offer to give, sell, deliver, dispense or issue, or cause or hire any person to give, sell, deliver, dispense, issue or offer to give, sell, deliver, dispense or issue.

Licensee means any person obtaining a tobacco product retailer's license under this article.

Live theatre location means any building, playhouse, room, hall, or other place utilized by live performers to present artistic representations of real or imagined events in front of a live audience.

Performance space means the portion or portions of a live theater location where performances occur. "Performance space" excludes all other portions of live theatre locations, including, but not limited to, hallways, lobbies, and public restrooms.

Person means an individual, firm, partnership, joint venture, association, corporation, estate, trust, trustee, or any other group or combination acting as a unit, excepting the United States of America, the State of Illinois, and any political subdivision thereof.

Photographic identification means any officially issued card containing the bearer's date of birth that includes a photograph of the person seeking to purchase tobacco products and that is accepted as proof of age under Illinois law.

Point of sale means a store, stand, building, boat, vending machine or any other separate place of business maintained by a seller from which tobacco products are made available for sale or distribution to consumers. Point of sale does not include separate cash registers or service counters within a store or other place of business.

Public place means any area to which the public is invited or permitted.

Retailer means any person who sells tobacco products to individuals for personal consumption, or who operates a facility containing vending machines that dispense tobacco products or self-service displays of tobacco products in unincorporated Cook County.

Tavern means an enclosed place of business kept, used, maintained, advertised and held out to the public as a place that primarily serves alcoholic liquor for consumption on the premises and in which providing entertainment or the serving of food is only incidental or secondary to the sale of alcoholic beverages for immediate consumption. Examples of places of business not deemed to be taverns within the definitions of this paragraph include, but are not limited to, restaurants, catering halls, bowling alleys, billiard parlors, discotheques, theaters and arenas.

Theatre actor means an individual in the process of presenting an artistic representation of real or imagined events in front of a live audience, or in the process of rehearsing to do the same. The term “theatre actor” applies to both women and men.

Tobacco product sample means a tobacco product distributed to members of the general public at no cost or at nominal cost for purposes of promoting the product.

Tobacco products means any substance containing tobacco leaf, including but not limited to cigarettes, cigars, snuff, smoking tobacco, and/or smokeless tobacco means any product in leaf, flake, plug, liquid, or any other form, containing nicotine derived from tobacco, which product is intended to enable human consumption of the tobacco or nicotine, whether chewed, smoked, absorbed, dissolved, inhaled, snorted, sniffed, or ingested by other means. For the purposes of this article, the term “Tobacco products” excludes any product that has been specifically approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration for sale as a tobacco cessation product or for other medical purposes, where such product is marketed and sold solely for such an approved purpose.

Vending machine means any mechanical, electronic or self-service device which upon insertion of money, tokens or any other form of payment, automatically dispenses tobacco products.

Sec. 54-302. Jurisdiction.

This article applies to retailers of tobacco products in unincorporated Cook County, including any person who sells tobacco products to individuals for personal consumption or who operates a facility containing vending machines which dispense tobacco products or self-service displays of tobacco products.

Sec. 54-303. Enforcement and administration; penalties.

(a) *Duties of Department of Public Health.*

- (1) The Department of Public Health shall be responsible for the enforcement and administration of this article.
- (2) The Department of Public Health shall conduct random, unannounced inspections at locations where tobacco products are sold, including tobacco vending machines, to ensure compliance with this article.
- (3) The Department of Public Health shall promulgate reasonable rules and regulations consistent with this article to provide for the enforcement and administration of this article. The rules and regulations shall establish procedures for administrative action against those who violate this article and for a method of administrative adjudication of the violation or violations.
- (4) Any rules or regulations promulgated under this article shall be filed in the Department of Public Health's principal office and shall be made available for public inspection. Copies shall be made available upon request and payment of a reasonable fee as determined by the Department to cover the cost of providing the copy.

(b) *Penalties.*

- (1) The Department of Public Health shall impose a fine upon any person who violates the provisions of Section 54-305. Each instance in which Section 54-305 is violated shall constitute a separate and distinct offense. Penalties imposed shall include the following:
 - a. For a first violation, an administrative penalty of \$250.00;

- b. For a second violation, occurring after a final determination of liability for a first violation, and within a 12-month period, an administrative penalty of \$500.00 and a suspension of the license of the retail tobacco dealer for 30 days;
- c. For a third violation, occurring after a final determination of liability for a second violation and within a 12-month period, an administrative penalty of \$1,000.00 and a revocation of the license of the retail tobacco dealer for one year.

(2) The Department of Public Health shall impose a \$500.00 fine upon any person who sells tobacco products without a license as required by Section 54-304(a) or after a license issued pursuant to this article has been suspended. Each instance in which a person sells tobacco products in violation of Section 54-304(a) shall constitute a separate and distinct violation.

(3) In addition to imposing the fines and other penalties provided by this article, the Department of Public Health may request the State's Attorney to make application on behalf of the County to the Circuit Court of Cook County for an injunction requiring compliance with the provisions of this article or for such order as the court may deem necessary or appropriate to secure such compliance. The State's Attorney may then institute such proceedings on behalf of the County as provided by law.

(c) *Judicial review.* A person may seek a writ of certiorari from the Chancery Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County according to applicable law, appealing any final determination of liability or decision of the Department of Public Health under this article.

Sec. 54-304. Licensing of tobacco product retailers.

(a) *License requirement.* No person shall engage in the retail sale of tobacco products or operate a facility containing vending machines that dispense tobacco products unless the person is authorized to do so by a license issued pursuant to this article, or is an employee or agent of a person who has been issued a license pursuant to this article. A separate license must be obtained for each point of sale maintained by the retailer. The license to sell tobacco products shall be displayed prominently at the point of sale for which it is issued.

(b) *License administration.* The Department of Public Health shall administer the licensing of retailers of tobacco products and shall approve or deny all applications for licenses and revoke existing licenses pursuant to this article.

(c) *License application.* An application for a tobacco retailer's license shall be filed in writing with the Department of Public Health on a form provided by the Department. Each application for a license shall contain the following:

- (1) The applicant's full name, the address and telephone number where the applicant is engaged in the business of the retail sale of tobacco products and the name, address and telephone number of the person authorized to receive notices issued pursuant to this article;
- (2) If the applicant is a corporation, the corporate name, the address and telephone number of the principal place of business; the date and state of incorporation; the names of the corporate officers and the name, address and telephone number of the person authorized to receive notices issued pursuant to this article;
- (3) If the applicant is a partnership, the name, address and telephone number of the principal place of business; the names of all partners; the name, address and telephone number of a person authorized to receive notices issued pursuant to this article.

Any retailer whose license is revoked for violating this article shall be prohibited from obtaining a tobacco retailer's license for one year.

(d) *Licenses for tobacco product vending machines.* The Department of Public Health shall issue an adhesive self-voiding license emblem to be placed on each licensed tobacco product vending machine. Each license emblem shall be coded to identify the retailer who has control over each tobacco product vending machine. The license emblem shall bear the words County of Cook, Licensed Tobacco Product Vending Machine. The license shall designate the period of time for which the license is valid, shall contain a reproduction of the County seal along with the name of the County Board President; shall contain a space for a brief description of the name, style and type of vending machine to be licensed; the location of the vending machine and such other language as may be prescribed by the President of the County Board. A replacement license emblem will not be issued unless the application for such replacement emblem is accompanied by a police report of the incident in which the emblem to be replaced was lost, stolen or mutilated, or unless the remnants of the emblem being replaced are submitted with the replacement application.

(e) *License term.* Every retailer's license shall be issued for an annual period beginning January 1 and ending December 31. Each license shall expire on the last day of the license year for which the license was issued.

(f) *License fee.* The annual license fee for a retailer shall be as set out in Section 32-1 per license. If a licensee operates more than one vending machine at the same premises, the licensee shall pay the annual license fee set out in Section 32-1 for the first vending machine license and the amount set out in Section 32-1 for each additional vending machine license.

(g) *License transfer prohibited.* The transfer of any license issued under this article is prohibited. Any such purported transfer is void.

Sec. 54-305. Prohibitions on sale or distribution of tobacco products.

(a) *Underage tobacco sales.*

- (1) No retailer may sell or distribute tobacco products or electronic cigarettes to any person under 18 years of age.
- (2) Each retailer shall request and examine the photographic identification of any person purchasing tobacco products or electronic cigarettes so as to verify that the purchaser is over 18 years of age. No such verification is required for any person who appears without reasonable doubt to be over the age of 27.

(b) *Sale of tobacco products other than in sealed packages.* No retailer may break or otherwise open any cigarette or smokeless tobacco package to sell or distribute individual cigarettes or a number of unpackaged cigarettes that is smaller than the minimum cigarette package size of 20 cigarettes or any quantity of cigarette tobacco or smokeless tobacco that is smaller than the smallest package distributed by the manufacturer for individual consumer use.

(c) *Distribution of tobacco product samples prohibited.* No person shall give away, barter, exchange, distribute or in any way dispense free of charge or at nominal cost any tobacco product samples, and/or any coupon redeemable for any tobacco products or electronic cigarettes, on any public street, alley, sidewalk, or in any public park, ground or playground, or in areas open to the public in any publicly owned or operated building or at any place located within 500 feet of any building or other location used primarily as a school, child care facility, or for the education or recreation of children under 18 years of age.

(d) *Prohibited locations.* No retailer shall sell or distribute tobacco products or electronic cigarettes at any place located within 500 feet of any building or other location used primarily as a school, child care facility, or for the education or recreation of children under 18 years of age. This prohibition shall not apply to those businesses engaged in the retail sale of tobacco products at a location that would otherwise be prohibited by this article that were in existence prior to passage of this article. This exemption shall apply to any new owner at the same location providing the same service.

(e) *Restrictions on tobacco product vending machines.*

(1) No retailer shall sell or distribute tobacco products or electronic cigarettes through a vending machine unless the vending machine is located:

- a. In an area of factories, businesses, offices, private clubs and other places not open to the public;
or
- b. In taverns.

(2) Tobacco products and electronic cigarettes may be sold or distributed by a tobacco product vending machine in a tavern only in the following ways:

- a. The tobacco product vending machine must be placed at a distance of a minimum of 25 feet from any entrance to the premises; and
- b. The tobacco product vending machine must be directly visible by the owner or the lessee of the premises, or the licensee's employee or agent during the operation of such vending machine.

(f) *Posting of warning to minors.* Every retailer of tobacco products shall place and maintain, in legible condition, at each point of sale of tobacco products to consumers, including the front of each vending machine, a sign stating:

Warning

It is a violation of the law for cigarettes or other tobacco products to be sold to any person under the age of 18.

The sign shall not be less than eight inches by 11 inches in size, except for a sign placed on the front of a vending machine. The sign for a vending machine shall not be less than four inches by four inches in size. The text of such printed card shall be in red letters on a white background, said letters to be at least one inch high.

(g) *Self-service sales prohibited.* It shall be unlawful to sell, offer for sale, give away, or display tobacco products for sale at any location where the consumer can acquire those products through self-service. All tobacco products and electronic cigarettes will be displayed from behind a sales/service counter so that no consumer can access tobacco products without assistance by an employee of the licensee For the purposes of this section, "self-service" means displayed or stored in a manner that is physically accessible in any way to a member of the general public without a direct person-to-person transfer involving the employee of the licensee. This restriction shall not apply to customer self-service as described in Subsection (e) of this section, restrictions on tobacco product vending machines.

(h) *Minimum age to sell tobacco products.* It shall be unlawful for any licensee or any officer, associate, member, representative, agent or employee of such licensee to engage, employ or permit any person under 18 years of age to sell tobacco products or electronic cigarettes in any licensed premises.

Sec. 54-306. Exemptions.

(a) *Live theatre locations.*

(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, theatre actors shall be allowed to use nicotine-free tobacco products within performance spaces, regardless of where they are located.

Effective Date: This Ordinance Amendment shall be effective immediately.

A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, to accept the Substitute to File ID No. 14-1421. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Ordinance Amendment be adopted as amended by substitution. The motion carried.

14-1481

Sponsored by: JOHN A. FRITCHEY, County Commissioner and TONI PRECKWINKLE, President Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

COOK COUNTY INFORMATION SECURITY ORDINANCE

WHEREAS, technology and information resources in the various agencies and departments of Cook County are strategic and vital assets belonging to the people of the County; and

WHEREAS, Cook County government has a duty to its citizens to ensure that the information entrusted to its agencies is safe, secure and protected from unauthorized access, use, or destruction; and

WHEREAS, coordinated efforts are necessary to protect these assets against unauthorized access, disclosure, use, and modification or destruction, whether accidental or deliberate, as well as to assure the confidentiality, integrity and availability of information; and

WHEREAS, a strong information security framework must be coordinated, promulgated and implemented throughout county agencies and departments, including the offices of the separately Elected Officials, to ensure the development and maintenance of minimum information security controls to protect technology and information resources that support the operations and assets of said agencies and departments and to enable the County’s protection of the public health, safety, morals and welfare.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the President of the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Chapter 2 Administration, Article I, In General, Division 1, Cook County Information Security, Sec. 2-8 through 2-14 of the Cook County Code, is hereby enacted as follows:

ARTICLE I. In General

Division 1 Cook County Information Security

Sec. 2-8. Short title.

This division shall be known and may be cited as the "Cook County Information Security Ordinance".

Sec. 2-9. Purpose and Policy.

All Elected Officials, Departments, Office Institutions or Agencies of Cook County ("County"), including but not limited to the offices and departments under the jurisdiction of the County Board President, the Board of Commissioners, Cook County Health and Hospitals System, Cook County State's Attorney, Cook County Sheriff, Cook County Public Defender, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Cook County Treasurer, Cook County Clerk, Cook County Recorder of Deeds, Cook County Assessor, Chief Judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Board of Review, Cook County Public Defender, Independent Inspector General, Veteran's Assistance Commission and the Public Administrator (collectively, "County Agency") shall take all reasonable precautions to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of County information. Such precautions shall be in accordance with applicable Federal and State laws and regulations and take into consideration industry standards and best practices.

Sec. 2-10. Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this division shall have the meaning ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Guideline means a recommendation to assist a County employee or County contractor in making appropriate decisions or performing a particular task, which allows for latitude in interpretation and implementation.

Plan means a comprehensive document that details strategic direction, which may also provide additional details, such as Standards used and so forth.

Policy means a document that communicates leadership expectations to an organization or business unit, which may also be considered as mandatory business rules or organization specific directives and which are communication of management intent.

Procedure means a document stating the manner in which a Policy shall be functionally implemented in a County Agency's environment, which may define specific operation steps, manual methods, or instructions for compliance with a Policy.

Standard means a document that contains a specification or describes minimum implementation that satisfies a Policy.

Sec. 2-11. Information Security Framework.

(a) Subject to the approval of the Cook County Chief Information Officer ("CIO"), the Bureau of Technology's Chief Information Security Officer ("CISO") shall create comprehensive and written information security Plans, Policies, Procedures, Standards, and Guidelines for the County and County Agencies (collectively, the "Information Security Framework") to reasonably protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of County information; all County Agencies shall collaborate with the CISO in the creation of the Information Security Framework as requested.

(b) The Information Security Framework shall be in accordance with applicable Federal and State laws and

regulations and take into consideration industry standards and best practices.

(c) The Information Security Framework shall include a risk management process, which the CISO shall direct, to identify information security risks in County Agencies and deploy risk mitigation strategies, processes, and procedures.

(d) The Information Security Framework shall include information security incident and breach response Plans as a subset of information security.

Sec. 2-12. Adoption and Compliance

(a) The CIO and CISO shall publish and make available the Information Security Framework to all County Agencies; said County Agencies shall adopt and comply with the Information Security Framework.

(b) County Agencies may deviate from the Information Security Framework based on their unique requirements, but only upon receiving prior written approval from the CIO and CISO.

(c) County Agencies shall take all appropriate actions, including completing assigned training and if warranted, initiating disciplinary action, to ensure their employees and contractors adopt and comply with the Information Security Framework.

Sec. 2-13. Review, Remediation and Enforcement

(a) Annually the CISO shall review and approve the proposed information security Plans of each County Agency to determine if such Plans are in conformance with the Information Security Framework or properly deviated with prior written approval from the CIO and CISO.

(b) Annually the CISO shall review the status of County Agency adoption and compliance with the Information Security Framework and timely report compliance related issues to the CIO.

(c) Where a County Agency has not fully adopted or complied with the Information Security Framework, the CIO and CISO shall direct that County Agency to take the necessary remediation steps and other measures required for adoption and to bring them into compliance.

(d) The CIO and CISO shall be authorized to take all appropriate actions to ensure and enforce compliance with the Information Security Framework.

Sec. 2-14. Reporting and Exceptions.

(a) At least once each calendar year, the CISO through the CIO shall report to the Cook County Board of Commissioners on the Information Security Framework.

(b) At a minimum, the CISO's annual report shall detail: (i) the status of all County Agencies' adoption and compliance with the Information Security Framework and (ii) a summary of all requests for deviations from the Information Security Framework that the CISO has previously approved or rejected.

Effective date: This Ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Ordinance

be referred to the Technology Committee. The motion carried.

14-1505

SUBSTITUTED ORDINANCE

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE ROBERT B. STEELE, COUNTY COMMISSIONER

ELECTRONIC COMPILED DATA ORDINANCE

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Chapter 2 Administration, Article IV Officers and Employees, Division 3 Recorder of Deeds, Subdivision I General, Section 2-207 of the Cook County Code of Ordinances is hereby created as follows:

Sec 2-207. Electronic Compiled Data

(a) This Section shall be known as and be cited as the “Electronic Compiled Data Ordinance”.

(b) The Office of the Recorder of Deeds is granted authority to enter into contractual agreements with commercial and noncommercial entities or persons (hereinafter referred to as “Subscriber”) for the purpose of the Recorder providing non-exclusive access to Electronic Compiled Data from the Recorder’s Computerized Indexing System in accordance with the provisions of 55 ILCS 5/5-1106.1 and the terms set forth herein.

(c) The “Electronic Compiled Data” is data that is electronically aggregated from documents recorded in connection with property transaction records that are individually available to the general public for free via the internet services of the Office of the Recorder of Deeds, but which for a fee, can be ~~have been~~ aggregated in a compiled format that is not otherwise maintained in regular course of business by the Office of the Recorder of Deeds for a fee.

(d) Access to Electronic Compiled ~~Compiled~~ Data by Subscriber shall occur only upon execution of an Access Agreement and payment of the required annual subscription fee.

(e) The County and the Office of the Recorder of Deeds shall be held harmless and indemnified by Subscriber for the compilation and use of the Electronic Compiled Data acquired pursuant to Access Agreements. The County and Office of the Recorder of Deeds shall make no guarantee as to the accuracy of the Electronic Compiled Data and the same shall be provided “as is” without any warranty of any kind, express or implied, including but not limited to, warranties of performance, merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose.

(f) ~~Electronic Compiled~~ Compiled Data shall ~~include~~ mean:

1. Transfer Data: to which access shall occur only upon execution of an Access Agreement and payment of the \$400.00 annual subscription fee.

(g) All money collected by the Office of the Recorder of Deeds from the subscriptions for Electronic Compiled Data shall be remitted to the County Treasurer within thirty days of receipt.

Effective date: This Ordinance shall be in effect upon passage.

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Daley, to accept the

Substitute to File ID No. 14-1505. The motion carried.

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Ordinance be adopted as amended by substitution. The motion carried.

14-1506

RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE STANLEY MOORE, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE,
JERRY BUTLER, EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY,
BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA, ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN,
GREGG GOSLIN, JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, EDWIN REYES,
PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT B. STEELE,
LARRY SUFFREDIN AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI,
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**RESOLUTION URGING CONGRESS TO DESIGNATE AND RECOGNIZE
THE HISTORIC PULLMAN DISTRICT AS PART
OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM**

WHEREAS, the historic community of Pullman on Chicago's far southeast side was a company owned town planned and built in the late 19th century by Chicago businessman and industrialist George M. Pullman, founder of the Pullman Palace Car Company, and includes the area East of Cottage Grove Avenue; from East 103rd Street to East 115th Street; and

WHEREAS, the Pullman community includes scores of restored historic homes and buildings, including the Clock Tower Administration Building and Factory, where the Pullman luxury railroad passenger cars were constructed, and the Hotel Florence, which was built as lodging for visiting businessmen and dignitaries; both of these sites are now owned by the State of Illinois and maintained by the Illinois Historic Preservation Agency; and

WHEREAS, Pullman's important role in the nation's history was recognized by the National Park Service through its placement on the National Register of Historic Places in 1969 and its designation as a National Historic Landmark in 1970; and

WHEREAS, Pullman is renowned as one of America's first and most important model industrial towns and for the seminal role this town played in the nation's urban planning, labor, transportation, architectural and African-American history; and

WHEREAS, the country's first African-American labor union, the Brotherhood of Sleeping Car Porters, was established and led by the legendary labor leader, A. Philip Randolph, and the men hired by the Pullman Company to work as porters on his sleeping cars; this union was instrumental in the formation and advancement of the African-American middle class in America; and

WHEREAS, the Pullman District was named a Chicago Landmark District on October 16, 1972; and was listed on the National Register of Historic Places on October 8, 1969; and was declared a National Historic Landmark

on December 30, 1970; and

WHEREAS, on March 13, 2013, the Chicago City Council passed a Resolution urging Congress to make Pullman a National Historical Park or the President to declare it a National Monument; and

WHEREAS, the Lincoln Home National Historic Site in Springfield is currently the only National Park designation in Illinois; and

WHEREAS, the United States House of Representatives introduced H.R. 3894 to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to conduct a Special Resource Study of the Pullman Historic District to determine the suitability and feasibility of designating the site as part of the National Park System; and

WHEREAS, a reconnaissance survey is now being undertaken by the National Park Service to evaluate Pullman's significance, suitability and feasibility as a potential unit of the National Park Service, which would ensure that the historic structures are protected and accessible for all people to experience; and

WHEREAS, more than \$26 million in State and federal funds have been invested in the Pullman State Historic Site for acquisition and stabilization, and a national park designation would capitalize on this investment; and

WHEREAS, as a National Historical Park, Pullman would be a unique gem to add to the City of Chicago's remarkable and noteworthy destination sites for our tourism industry; and

WHEREAS, the local community is highly supportive of and would benefit from a revitalized and better utilized site, with enhanced interpretive and educational programs at the Clock Tower Administration Building and Factory and other buildings to teach about the significance of Pullman and the numerous historic and cultural themes associated with it; and

WHEREAS, the Pullman State Historic Site is vital to the community's cultural and historical identity, but remains underutilized and at risk for deferred maintenance; and

WHEREAS, National Parks generate \$31 billion for local economies each year and are shown to invigorate neighborhood historic renovation and spur business growth in urban areas; and

WHEREAS, the general consensus is that now is the time for immediate action to develop the site to realize its full potential.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the President and the Cook County Board of Commissioners urges Congress to pass a bill establishing Pullman as a National Historical Park or, alternatively, call upon President Barack Obama to exercise his authority by executive order to designate Pullman as a National Monument; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that suitable copies of this Resolution be delivered to the Illinois Congressional Delegation, the U.S. National Park Service Director Jonathan Jarvis and U.S. President Barack Obama.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Reyes, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

14-1528

REPORT OF THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON LITIGATION

February 18, 2014

ATTENDANCE

Present: Chairman Silvestri, Vice Chairman Fritchey, Commissioners Gainer, Schneider and Suffredin (5)

Absent: Commissioners Collins and Tobolski (2)

Also Present: Commissioners Butler, Garcia, Goslin and Reyes; Daniel F. Gallagher – Chief, Civil Actions Bureau; Donald J. Pechous – Deputy Bureau Chief, Civil Actions Bureau; Megan E. DeZutti, Michael A. Kuczvara, Jr, Mary Jo Smerz and Sandra J. Weber – Assistant State’s Attorneys; Joyce Schoonover – Director of Risk Management, Cook County Health and Hospitals System; Patrick Connelly – Attorney-at-Law, Peterson, Johnson & Murray, LLC

Court Reporter: Anthony W. Lisanti, C.S.R.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

The Secretary announced that there were no public speakers.

Vice Chairman Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Gainer, moved to enter into Executive Session. The motion carried.

Vice Chairman Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Gainer, moved to return to Regular Session. The motion carried.

SECTION 1

13-2213

Sponsored by: LARRY SUFFREDIN and PETER N. SILVESTRI, County Commissioner

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

SUBSTITUTE PROPOSED RESOLUTION FOR FILE ID 13-2213

Creating “Accountability Charges” for Violations of the Shakman Consent Decree

WHEREAS, violations of the Shakman Consent Decree cost Cook County over \$600,000 in Fiscal Year 2013 and millions of dollars in previous fiscal years; and

WHEREAS, payments for violations of the Shakman Consent Decree are deducted from the Cook County Self-Insurance Fund; and

WHEREAS, there is no financial penalty to individual departments that violate the Shakman Consent Decree; and

NOW THERE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Finance Committee’s Subcommittee on Litigation of the Cook County Board of Commissioners Budget Director and the Chief Financial Officer of Cook County shall develop a plan to assess an “Accountability Charge” against any Department that is found to be in violation of the Shakman Consent Decree in Fiscal Year 2013~~4~~ and future years; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the “Accountability Charge” plan shall ~~be~~ include a deduction in the operating funds of the Department in an amount equal to five percent (5%) of the total amount assessed for the violation of the Shakman Consent Decree and the deducted funds shall be transferred to the Cook County Self Insurance Fund;

~~BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Cook County Budget Director and the Chief Financial Officer of Cook County shall report to the Cook County Board of Commissioners about the establishment and implementation of “Accountability Charges” by March 1, 2014.~~

Legislative History : 11/13/13 Board of Commissioners referred to the Finance Committee

Legislative History : 12/4/13 Finance Committee referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Gainer, moved to accept the substitute to Item 13-2213. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Silvestri, Vice Chairman Fritchey, Commissioners Gainer, Schneider and Suffredin (5)

Absent: Commissioners Collins and Tobolski (2)

PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE RESOLUTION TO FILE ID 13-2213

Sponsored by: LARRY SUFFREDIN, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER AND PETER N. SILVESTRI, COOK COUNTY COMMSSIONERS

Creating “Accountability Charges” for Violations of the Shakman Consent Decree

WHEREAS, violations of the Shakman Consent Decree cost Cook County over \$600,000 in Fiscal Year 2013 and millions of dollars in previous fiscal years; and

WHEREAS, payments for violations of the Shakman Consent Decree are deducted from the Cook County Self-Insurance Fund; and

WHEREAS, there is no financial penalty to individual departments that violate the Shakman Consent Decree; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Finance Committee’s Subcommittee on Litigation of the Cook County Board of Commissioners approves a plan to assess an “Accountability Charge” against any Department that is found to be in violation of the Shakman Consent Decree in Fiscal Year 2014 and future years for any action occurring after January 1, 2014; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the “Accountability Charge” approved plan includes a deduction in the operating funds of the Department in the amount of two percent (2%) of the total amount assessed for the violation of the Shakman Consent Decree and the deducted funds shall be transferred to the Cook County Self Insurance Fund.

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Gainer, that Chairman Silvestri, Vice Chairman Fritchey and Commissioners Gainer and Schneider be added as Co-Sponsors to the Substitute to Item 13-2213. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Silvestri, Vice Chairman Fritchey, Commissioners Gainer, Schneider and Suffredin
(5)

Absent: Commissioner Collins and Tobolski (2)

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Gainer, that Item 13-2213 be deferred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation Meeting on March 11, 2014. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Silvestri, Vice Chairman Fritchey, Commissioners Gainer, Schneider and Suffredin
(5)

Absent: Commissioners Collins and Tobolski (2)

Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Vice Chairman Fritchey, moved to authorize invoice payments for special counsels in Tab #1 through Tab #6 in Volume 2 which are in compliance with Cook County’s Attorney-Fee Guidelines. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Silvestri, Vice Chairman Fritchey, Commissioners Gainer, Schneider and Suffredin
(5)

Absent: Commissioners Collins and Tobolski (2)

Commissioner Gainer, seconded by Commissioner Schneider, moved to Receive and File the Litigation Subcommittee Case Disposition Report. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Silvestri, Vice Chairman Fritchey, Commissioners Gainer, Schneider and Suffredin
(5)

Absent: Commissioners Collins and Tobolski (2)

Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Gainer, moved to Concur with the Recommendation of the State’s Attorney’s Office in the matter of Carol Rios for Simon Rios v. County of Cook (Case No. 10 L 8852). The motion failed by the following vote:

Aye: Commissioners Gainer and Suffredin (2)

Nay: Chairman Silvestri, Vice Chairman Fritchey and Commissioner Schneider (3)

Absent: Commissioners Collins and Tobolski (2)

Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Vice Chairman Fritchey, moved to Concur with the Recommendation of the State’s Attorney’s Office in the matter of Michael Grills (Estate of Baby Grills) v. County of Cook (Case No. 12 L 13700). The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Silvestri, Vice Chairman Fritchey, Commissioners Gainer, Schneider and Suffredin (5)

Absent: Commissioners Collins and Tobolski (2)

Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Vice Chairman Fritchey, moved to Concur with the Recommendation of the State’s Attorney’s Office in the matter of Mykala Dandridge, et al. v. County of Cook (Case No. 11 L 276). The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Silvestri, Vice Chairman Fritchey, Commissioners Gainer, Schneider and Suffredin (5)

Absent: Commissioners Collins and Tobolski (2)

Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Vice Chairman Fritchey, moved to Concur with the Recommendation of the State’s Attorney’s Office in the matter of Fields & Chandler v. Cook County, et al. (Case No. 12 L 13622). The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Silvestri, Vice Chairman Fritchey, Commissioners Gainer, Schneider and Suffredin (5)

Absent: Commissioners Collins and Tobolski (2)

Commissioner Gainer, seconded by Vice Chairman Fritchey, moved to Adjourn. The motion carried unanimously and the meeting was adjourned.

SECTION 2

**YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS
WITH REGARD TO THE MATTERS NAMED HEREIN:**

Item No. 13-2213	Recommended for Deferral to Next Finance Subcommittee on Litigation Meeting
Case No. 10 L 8852	Not Recommended for Approval
Case No. 12 L 13700	Recommended for Approval
Case No. 11 L 276	Recommended for Approval
Case No. 12 L 13622	Recommended for Approval

FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON LITIGATION

PETER N. SILVESTRI, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DeLEON, Secretary

Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Garcia, moved that the Report of the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.

14-1346

REPORT OF THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON WORKERS' COMPENSATION

February 18, 2014

The Honorable,
The Finance Committee of
The Board of Commissioners
of Cook County,

ATTENDANCE

Present: Chairman Schneider, Vice Chairman Reyes, Commissioners Fritchey and Moore (4)

Absent: Commissioner Tobolski (1)

SECTION 1

Ladies and Gentlemen,

Your Workers' Compensation Subcommittee of the Finance Committee of the Board of Commissioners met pursuant to notice Tuesday, February 18, 2014 at 11:30 A.M., in Room 569 of the County Building, 118 N. Clark Street, Chicago, Illinois.

Vice Chairman Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved that the Workers' Compensation Subcommittee convene in Executive Session to consider matters of pending Workers' Compensation. The motion carried.

Vice Chairman Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved that the Workers' Compensation Subcommittee convene in Open Session to consider matters of pending Workers' Compensation. The motion carried.

Vice Chairman Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved approval of the cases over \$25,000.00. The motion carried.

1. DECISIONS

County Government

BICKEL, JOHN

12 WC 12417

(14 IWCC 0008)

\$70,867.66

The Petitioner injured his right knee while he was participating in riot training exercises.

Vice Chairman Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved approval. The motion carried.

LAWRENCE, LARRY

12WC22278

\$26,807.26

The Petitioner was participating in a training class when a co-worker fell on top of his right ankle.

Vice Chairman Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved approval. The motion carried.

Health Facilities

SAMUEL, ROXANNE

11 WC 42752

\$28,309.47

The Petitioner slipped on water in a washroom and fell, injuring her left wrist.

Vice Chairman Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved approval. The motion carried.

2. SETTLEMENTS

County Government

ALONZO, EVELYN

03 WC 41488

\$44,214.56

Repetitive trauma to hands and thumbs.

Vice Chairman Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved approval. The motion carried.

BOENS, KEVIN

12 WC 39793

\$36,159.23

The Petitioner was on duty when he tripped over a construction board, injuring his left hand.

Vice Chairman Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved approval. The motion carried.

GUZMAN, CHRISTINE

10 WC 12126

\$38,380.94

The Petitioner tripped on broken floor tiles injuring her left knee, ankle and foot.

Vice Chairman Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved approval. The motion carried.

KEITH, MARVIE

11 WC 01946

\$57,942.05

The Petitioner slipped on water, twisted his right knee and fell to the floor, injuring his right knee.

Vice Chairman Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved approval. The motion carried.

SIMON, JENNIFER

11 WC 46965

\$70,996.03

The Petitioner was injured in a struggle with a detainee.

Vice Chairman Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved approval. The motion carried.

SWICK, RONALD

09 WC 17207

\$41,071.01

The Petitioner slipped on ice while cleaning graffiti off of a wall, injuring his right shoulder.

Vice Chairman Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved approval. The motion carried.

Vice Chairman Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved to adjourn. The motion carried unanimously and the meeting was adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON WORKERS' COMPENSATION

TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DeLEON, Secretary

Commissioner Schneider, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, moved that the Report of the Finance Subcommittee on Workers' Compensation be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.

14-1341

**REPORT OF THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON REAL ESTATE AND
BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

February 18, 2014

ATTENDANCE

Present: Chairman García, Commissioners Gorman, Moore, Reyes, Schneider and Steele (6)

Absent: Vice Chairman Murphy and Commissioner Butler (2)

Also

Present: Herman Brewer – Chief Bureau of Economic Development; Courtney Pogue – Deputy Director of Economic Development

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

Chairman García asked the Secretary to the Board to call upon the registered public speakers, in accordance with Cook County Code, Sec. 2-107(dd).

1. George Blakemore, Concerned Citizen

**SECTION 1
14-0099**

Presented by: HERMAN BREWER, Chief, Bureau of Economic Development

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

An Ordinance authorizing Cook County through its Bureau of Economic Development to establish

Program Fees for various BUILT in Cook Loan Programs.

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners on April 17, 2013 approved a Resolution authorizing the Bureau of Economic Development to accept the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Section 108 Loan Guarantee financing which will launch the BUILT (Broadening Urban Investment to Leverage Transportation) in Cook Loan Fund in an amount not to exceed \$30 million dollars; and

WHEREAS, this financing will provide Cook County (County) through the Bureau of Economic Development with the lending authority to support sustainable economic development for the benefit of low- and moderate-income individuals; and

WHEREAS, the Section 108 program is administered as part of HUD's Community Development and Block Grant (CDBG) program and is subject to the requirements governing the CDBG program; and

WHEREAS, it is permissible for Section 108 recipients to impose fees on third party borrowers who receive loans made with the proceeds of Section 108 loans; and

WHEREAS, if the purpose of the fees is to defray costs related to administering aspects of a Section 108 project, the fee should be treated as an applicable credit against administrative costs; and

WHEREAS, it is permissible by HUD that the County collect an application processing fee of \$500.00 or \$1,000.00 to defray the administrative costs of processing a Section 108 application and such fee will be treated as an applicable credit against administrative costs; and

WHEREAS, it is permissible by HUD for Cook County to charge third party borrowers an interest rate that is higher than the rate payable on the Section 108 loan (interest rate spread) and HUD encourages Section 108 recipients to use the interest rate spread as a reserve for interest rate fluctuations; and

WHEREAS, it is permissible by HUD that the County charge a 2 - 3% loan commitment, a portion of which will be used to defray administrative costs associated with the Section 108 loan program(s) and the remaining portion of which may be used as program income to serve as a reserve for the loan program.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, Illinois:

SECTION 1 The above recitals are expressly incorporated in and made a part of this Ordinance as though fully set forth herein.

SECTION 2 The Cook County Bureau of Economic Development (Bureau of Economic Development) is authorized to establish various programs for qualified entities to obtain federally financed economic development Broadening Urban Investment to Leverage Transportation (BUILT) in Cook Loans pursuant to Section 108 of the

Housing and Community Development Act, 43 USCS § 5301 and Title V of the Small Business Investment Act, 15 USCS § 695 *et seq.*; 24 CFR Part 570, Subpart M (Community Development Block Grants, Loan Guarantees); and 13 CFR Part 120 (Business Loans). Such programs may include but are not limited to:

- (a) *The BUILT in Cook HUD 108 Loan Program*, to finance transit-oriented development, cargo-oriented development, and mixed-use/service sector projects. The HUD 108 loan minimum under the HUD 108 program is \$500,000. The maximum loan amount is \$5 million or \$35,000 per job created, whichever is less.
- (b) *The BUILT 50-40 Loan Program*, to support job creation and retention projects that are typically associated with small business, specifically industrial or commercial companies that are located or planning to locate in Suburban Cook County. The program may only be used to finance up to 40% of total project costs, provided that the grantee has additionally attained 50% lender financing and 10% equity. The loan amount per transaction is \$70,000 to \$500,000. One full time equivalent job must be created or retained for every \$35,000 provided.
- (c) *The Emerging Business Development Loan (EBDL) Program*, to provide interim financing for minority and/or woman owned businesses that are certified by Cook County, or eligible for reciprocal treatment under the Cook County Code, and have direct or indirect contracts with Cook County government. Loans under this program shall not exceed \$500,000 or 25% of the value of the work awarded by Cook County government, whichever is less.

SECTION 3 The programs established under the BUILT in Cook Loan Program shall be administered by the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development and the Bureau of Economic Development is hereby authorized to issue any required BUILT in Cook Loan Program rules and regulations.

SECTION 4 The Bureau of Economic Development is authorized to establish a Broadening Urban Investment to Leverage Transportation (BUILT) in Cook Loan Fund to support sustainable economic development for the benefit of low and moderate income individuals, in accordance with Section 108 of the Housing and Community Development Act, 42 U.S.C. § 5301, *et seq.* The BUILT in Cook Loan Fund will be funded pursuant to a Grant Agreement with U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and secured by pledging current and future Community Development Block Grants and other collateral in the event of nonpayment, as required. Such Grant Agreements are subject to the authorization of the County Board of Commissioners.

SECTION 5 In order to defray various administrative costs for processing the various BUILT in Cook Loan applications for loan programs authorized per Section 2 of this Ordinance, a loan application processing fee shall be determined by the Bureau of Economic Development and implemented in an amount of \$500.00 - \$1,000.00 depending on the size and complexity of the loan and loan program. The loan application processing fee shall not exceed \$1,000.00. Said fee shall be in addition to any costs of financing, including and not limited to legal and other third party costs related to program participation which is the sole responsibility of the program participant. The loan processing application shall be a non-refundable fee and is permissible by HUD.

SECTION 6 Cook County through the Bureau of Economic Development shall charge a loan commitment fee in an amount up to three percent on each approved loan authorized under Section 2 of this Ordinance. A portion of this loan commitment fee as permitted by HUD shall be used to defray administrative costs and the remaining portion shall be used as program income to serve as a loss reserve. The loan commitment fees shall be treated in accordance with the regulations governing Community Development Block Grants.

SECTION 7 The Bureau of Economic Development shall make a copy of this Ordinance available with its

BUILT in Cook Loan program materials or post a copy of this Ordinance on its website.

SECTION 8 The County Clerk shall maintain a copy of this Ordinance and publish said Ordinance in the Journal of Proceedings.

Effective date: This ordinance shall be in effect as of the date of its passage and approval.

Legislative History: 1/15/14 Board of Commissioners referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development

A motion was made by Commissioner Gorman, seconded by Commissioner Reyes, that this Ordinance be recommended for approval. The motion carried.

**14-0099
ORDINANCE**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE,
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING COOK COUNTY THROUGH
ITS BUREAU OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT TO ESTABLISH PROGRAM FEES
FOR VARIOUS BUILT IN COOK LOAN PROGRAMS.**

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners on April 17, 2013 approved a Resolution authorizing the Bureau of Economic Development to accept the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Section 108 Loan Guarantee financing which will launch the BUILT (Broadening Urban Investment to Leverage Transportation) in Cook Loan Fund in an amount not to exceed \$30 million dollars; and

WHEREAS, this financing will provide Cook County (County) through the Bureau of Economic Development with the lending authority to support sustainable economic development for the benefit of low and moderate income individuals; and

WHEREAS, the Section 108 program is administered as part of HUD's Community Development and Block Grant (CDBG) program and is subject to the requirements governing the CDBG program; and

WHEREAS, it is permissible for Section 108 recipients to impose fees on third party borrowers who receive loans made with the proceeds of Section 108 loans; and

WHEREAS, if the purpose of the fees is to defray costs related to administering aspects of a Section 108 project, the fee should be treated as an applicable credit against administrative costs; and

WHEREAS, it is permissible by HUD that the County collect an application processing fee of \$500.00-\$1,000.00 to defray the administrative costs of processing a Section 108 application and such fee will be treated as an applicable credit against administrative costs; and

WHEREAS, it is permissible by HUD for Cook County to charge third party borrowers an interest rate that is higher than the rate payable on the Section 108 loan (interest rate spread) and HUD encourages Section 108 recipients to use the interest rate spread as a reserve for interest rate fluctuations; and

WHEREAS, it is permissible by HUD that the County charge a 2-3% loan commitment, a portion of which will be used to defray administrative costs associated with the Section 108 loan program(s) and the remaining portion of which may be used as program income to serve as a reserve for the loan program.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, Illinois:

SECTION 1. The above recitals are expressly incorporated in and made a part of this Ordinance as though fully set forth herein.

SECTION 2. The Cook County Bureau of Economic Development (Bureau of Economic Development) is authorized to establish various programs for qualified entities to obtain federally financed economic development Broadening Urban Investment to Leverage Transportation (BUILT) in Cook Loans pursuant to Section 108 of the Housing and Community Development Act, 43 USCS § 5301 and Title V of the Small Business Investment Act, 15 USCS § 695 *et seq.*; 24 CFR Part 570, Subpart M (Community Development Block Grants, Loan Guarantees); and 13 CFR Part 120 (Business Loans). Such programs may include but are not limited to:

(a)The BUILT in Cook HUD 108 Loan Program, to finance transit-oriented development, cargo-oriented development, and mixed-use/service sector projects. The HUD 108 loan minimum under the HUD 108 program is \$500,000. The maximum loan amount is \$5 million or \$35,000 per job created, whichever is less.

(b)The BUILT 50-40 Loan Program, to support job creation and retention projects that are typically associated with small business, specifically industrial or commercial companies that are located or planning to locate in Suburban Cook County. The program may only be used to finance up to 40% of total project costs, provided that the grantee has additionally attained 50% lender financing and 10% equity. The loan amount per transaction is \$70,000 to \$500,000. One full time equivalent job must be created or retained for every \$35,000 provided.

(c)The Emerging Business Development Loan (EBDL) Program, to provide interim financing for minority and/or woman owned businesses that are certified by Cook County, or eligible for reciprocal treatment under the Cook County Code, and have direct or indirect contracts with Cook County government. Loans under this program shall not exceed \$500,000 or 25% of the value of the work awarded by Cook County government, whichever is less.

SECTION 3. The programs established under the BUILT in Cook Loan Program shall be administered by the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development and the Bureau of Economic Development is hereby authorized to issue any required BUILT in Cook Loan Program rules and regulations.

SECTION 4. The Bureau of Economic Development is authorized to establish a Broadening Urban Investment to Leverage Transportation (BUILT) in Cook Loan Fund to support sustainable economic development for the benefit of low and moderate income individuals, in accordance with Section 108 of the Housing and Community Development Act, 42 U.S.C. § 5301, *et seq.* The BUILT in Cook Loan Fund will be funded pursuant to a Grant Agreement with U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and secured by pledging current and future Community Development Block Grants and other collateral in the event of nonpayment, as required. Such Grant Agreements are subject to the authorization of the County Board of Commissioners.

SECTION 5. In order to defray various administrative costs for processing the various BUILT in Cook loan

applications for loan programs authorized per Section 2 of this Ordinance, a loan application processing fee shall be determined by the Bureau of Economic Development and implemented in an amount of \$500.00-\$1,000.00 depending on the size and complexity of the loan and loan program. The loan application processing fee shall not exceed \$1,000.00. Said fee shall be in addition to any costs of financing, including and not limited to legal and other third party costs related to program participation which is the sole responsibility of the program participant. The loan processing application shall be a non-refundable fee and is permissible by HUD.

SECTION 6. Cook County through the Bureau of Economic Development shall charge a loan commitment fee in an amount up to three percent on each approved loan authorized under Section 2 of this Ordinance. A portion of this loan commitment fee as permitted by HUD shall be used to defray administrative costs and the remaining portion shall be used as program income to serve as a loss reserve. The loan commitment fees shall be treated in accordance with the regulations governing Community Development Block Grants.

SECTION 7. The Bureau of Economic Development shall make a copy of this Ordinance available with its BUILT in Cook Loan program materials or post a copy of this Ordinance on its website.

SECTION 8. The County Clerk shall maintain a copy of this Ordinance and publish said Ordinance in the Journal of Proceedings.

Effective date: This Ordinance shall be in effect as of the date of its passage and approval.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

14-0709

Presented by: HERMAN BREWER, Chief, Bureau of Economic Development

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

EJM PROPERTIES, LLC REQUEST FOR A Real Property Assessment Classification 8

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 8 that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from EJM Properties, LLC and Resolution from the Village of South Holland for an abandoned industrial facility located at 570 Armory Drive, South Holland, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 47 6, and Permanent Index Number 29-21-319-003-0000; and

WHEREAS, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no

direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 8 can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 8 will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year.

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 8; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 8 requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for 22 months at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

WHEREAS, the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will create 3-5 full-time jobs; retain 10 full-time jobs; and create 10 construction jobs; and

WHEREAS, the Village of South Holland states the Class 8 is necessary for development to occur and that special circumstances exist which include the subject property has been vacant for less than 24 months there has been a purchase for value; and that the subject property is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, State of Illinois, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 570 Armory Drive, South Holland, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 8; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor...end

Legislative History: 1/15/14 Board of Commissioners referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development

A motion was made by Commissioner Gorman, seconded by Commissioner Reyes, that this Resolution be recommended for approval. The motion carried.

14-0709
RESOLUTION
Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT
AND ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

**EJM PROPERTIES, LLC REQUEST FOR A
REAL PROPERTY ASSESSMENT CLASSIFICATION 8**

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 8 that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from EJM Properties, LLC and Resolution from the Village of South Holland for an abandoned industrial facility located at 570 Armory Drive, South Holland, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 17, and Permanent Index Number 29-21-319-003-0000; and

WHEREAS, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 8 can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 8 will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 8; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 8 requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for 22 months at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

WHEREAS, the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will create 3-5 full-time jobs; retain 10 full-time jobs; and create 10 construction jobs; and

WHEREAS, the Village of South Holland states the Class 8 is necessary for development to occur and that special circumstances exist which include the subject property has been vacant for less than 24 months here has been a purchase for value; and that the subject property is in need of substantial rehabilitation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, State of Illinois, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 570 Armory Drive, South Holland, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 8; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

14-0710

Presented by: HERMAN BREWER, Chief, Bureau of Economic Development

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

Siam Marina Properties, LLC REQUEST FOR A Real Property Assessment Classification 8

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 8 that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for a commercial facility; and

WHEREAS, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Siam Marina Properties, LLC and Resolution No. 2013-R-003 from the Tinley Park for an abandoned commercial facility located at 16846 Oak Park Avenue, Tinley Park, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 6 17, and Permanent Index Number 28-30-107001-0000; and

WHEREAS, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS; industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 8 can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 8 will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 8; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 8 requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for 17

months at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

WHEREAS, the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will create 8 full-time jobs and 7 part-time jobs; and

WHEREAS, the Village of Tinley Park states the Class 8 is necessary for development to occur and that special circumstances exist which include the subject property has been vacant for less than 24 months and there has been a purchase for value; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, State of Illinois, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 16846 Oak Park Avenue, Tinley Park, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 8; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Legislative History: 1/15/14 Board of Commissioners referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development

A motion was made by Commissioner Gorman, seconded by Commissioner Reyes, that this Resolution be recommended for approval as amended. The motion carried.

14-0710

RESOLUTION AMENDMENT

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT

AND ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, COUNTY COMMISSIONER

**SIAM MARINA PROPERTIES, LLC REQUEST FOR A
REAL PROPERTY ASSESSMENT CLASSIFICATION 8**

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 8 that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for a commercial facility; and

WHEREAS, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Siam Marina Properties, LLC and Resolution No. 2013-R-003 from the Tinley Park for an abandoned commercial facility located at 16846 Oak Park Avenue, Tinley Park, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 6 17, and Permanent Index Number 28-30-107001-0000; and

WHEREAS, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 8 can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of

substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 8 will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 8; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 8 requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for 17 months at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

WHEREAS, the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will create 8 full-time jobs and 7 part-time jobs; and

WHEREAS, the Village of Tinley Park states the Class 8 is necessary for development to occur and that special circumstances exist which include the subject property has been vacant for less than 24 months and there has been a purchase for value.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, State of Illinois, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 16846 Oak Park Avenue, Tinley Park, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 8; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution Amendment to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Steele, moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion passed and the meeting was adjourned.

SECTION 2

**YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTION
WITH REGARD TO THE MATTERS NAMED HEREIN:**

File Id Number 14-0099

Recommended for Approval

File Id Number 14-0709

Recommended for Approval

File Id Number 14-0710

Recommended for Approval as Amended

Respectfully submitted,

FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON REAL ESTATE AND BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

JESUS G. GARCIA, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DeLEON, Secretary

Commissioner Garcia, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, moved that the Report of the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.

14-1181

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

February 19, 2014

ATTENDANCE

Present Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia, Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

Chairman Daley asked the Secretary to the Board to call upon the registered public speaker, in accordance with Cook County Code, Sec. 2-107(dd):

1. George Blakemore, Concerned Citizen

Ladies and Gentlemen:

SECTION 1

Your Committee has considered the following court orders submitted by attorneys for payment of fees earned by said attorneys for defending indigent defendants.

Your Committee, therefore, recommends that the County Comptroller and County Treasurer be, and by the adoption of this report, authorized and directed to issue checks to said attorneys in the amounts recommended.

APPELLATE CASES

14-1011

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,707.00
Case Name: In The Interest of Mariah O.
Trial Court No(s): 09JA642
Appellate Court No(s): 1-12-3466

14-1045

Attorney/Payee: Anthony M. Petrone
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$3,899.16
Case Name: In re. T. C.
Trial Court No: 12JA700
Appellate Court No: 13-1573

14-1227

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: 1,964.00
Case Name: In The Interest of Malcome L.,(minor)
Trial Court No(s): 11JA863
Appellate Court No(s): 13-1064

14-1228

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,000.00
Case Name: In The Interest of Issac D. and Andrew D., (minors)
Trial Court No(s): 12JA488
Appellate Court No(s): 1-13-1190

14-1230

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,500.00
Case Name: People v. Ronald Levi
Trial Court No(s): 06CR80014
Appellate Court No(s): 12-0362

APPELLATE CASES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: \$28,921.61

APPELLATE CASES TO BE APPROVED: \$12,070.16

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Reyes, that the Appellate Case Payments be Recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

CRIMINAL DIVISION

14-0655

Attorney/Payee: Monte Dawson
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,292.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
Criminal Defense Investigations and Crime scene reconstruction
Name(s) of respondent(s): Caprice Garcia (mother)
In Re: E. Rios #2012, 0313, 003
Case No(s): 13CR16310-5

14-0725

Attorney/Payee: Eric J. Bell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$584.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): B. Washington
Case No(s): 11CR8000901

14-0748

Attorney/Payee: John T. Theis
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$7,836.50
Services Rendered for Court-Appointed Representation of indigent respondent: legal representation, Sexually
violent Persons Commitment Proceeding
Name of Respondent: Brian Maxey
In Re Commitment of Brian Maxey
Case No.: 04CR80004

14-0828

Attorney/Payee: Joseph Kennelly
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,068.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): James Ford
Case No(s): 11CR0566201

14-0829

Attorney/Payee: Joseph Kennelly
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,593.73
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Michael Houston
Case No(s): 12CR80004

14-0938

Attorney/Payee: Gregory R. Swygert
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,065.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Joseph Bannister

Case No(s):01CR0646601

14-1047

Attorney/Payee: Dean C. Morask
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,531.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Constance Williams (witness)
Case No(s): 09CR13427

14-1049

Attorney/Payee: Laura J. Morask
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,050.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation for contempt of court
Name(s) of respondent(s): Darrell Harris
Case No(s): 12ACC016601 VISA VIS 09CR13427

14-1058

Attorney/Payee: Nicolas Albuquerk
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,667.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Terry Duke
Case No(s): 02CR8000301

14-1060

Attorney/Payee: Nicolas Albuquerk
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 2,337.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Terry Duke
Case No(s): 02CR8000301

14-1061

Criminal Division
Attorney/Payee: Nicolas Albuquerk
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,546.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Terry Duke
Case No(s): 02CR8000301

14-1062

Attorney/Payee: Nicolas Albuquerk
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,844.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Terry Duke
Case No(s): 02CR8000301

14-1063

Attorney/Payee: Nicolas Albuquerk
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,247.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Terry Duke
Case No(s):02CR8000301

14-1064

Attorney/Payee: Nicolas Albuquerk
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,980.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Terry Duke
Case No(s): 02CR8000301

14-1085

Attorney/Payee: Pradeep Roy-Singh
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$943.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Thomas Davis
Case No(s): 08CR80010

14-1086

Attorney/Payee: Dr. Romita Sillitti
Presenter: Pradeep Roy-Singh
Fees: \$855.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): expert witness
Name(s) of respondent(s): Richard J. Monaco
Case No(s): 09CR80011

14-1087

Attorney/Payee: Dr. Romita Sillitti
Presenter: Pradeep Roy-Singh
Fees: \$4,330.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): expert witness
Name(s) of respondent(s): James Sykes
Case No(s): 12CR8001301

14-1106

Attorney/Payee: Plainfield Forensic Psychological Services Inc.
Presenter: Attorney Daniel Coyne
Fees: \$4,892.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
Court Appointed
Name(s) of respondent(s): Enrique Rendon
Case No(s): 98CR80004

14-1237

Attorney/ Payee: Mark H. Kusatzky
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2580.00
Services Rendered for Court-appointed representation of indigent respondent: legal representation
Name of Respondent: J. Nicholson

Case No.: 06CR25140

CRIMINAL DIVISION CASES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: \$366,867.58

CRIMINAL DIVISION CASES TO BE APPROVED: \$44,246.48

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Reyes, that the Criminal Division Case Payments be Recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

CHILD PROTECTION DIVISION

14-0583

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$172.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Asiana Rice

In Re: McKinney-Rice-Samiya (minor)

Case No(s): 12JA7

14-0744

Attorney/Payee: Dean C. Morask

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,031.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Robert Clark (father)

In Re: F. O'Banner (minor)

Case No(s): 07JA865

14-0750

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,018.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Angela Cummins (mother)

In Re: M. Jones-Cummins, M. Jones-Cummins (minors)

Case No(s): 09JA496, 09JA497

14-0751

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$681.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Mellody Frazier

In Re: K. Frazier (minor)

Case No(s): 10JA312

14-0764

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: same
Fees: \$1,850.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Anteriose Williams (father)
In Re: C. Williams (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA1205

14-0776

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$387.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Christine Coleman (mother)
In Re: D. Coleman (minor)
Case No. (s): 04JA1609

14-0780

Attorney/Payee: Robert A. Horwitz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$250.64
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Brenda Pritchett, (mother)
In Re: R. Pritchett (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA1295

14-0785

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$250.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Rosie Brooks (mother)
In Re: A. Brooks, J. Baker, X. Brooks (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA243, 12JA244, 12JA918

14-0787

Attorney/Payee: Thomas J. Esler
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$785.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): C. Collier, R. and R. BEENE
In Re: C. Collier, R. and R. BEENE (minors)
Case No(s): 06JA0463, 12JA683, 12JA684

14-0788

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$625.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): S. Garrett (minor)
In Re: S. Garrett (minor)

Case No(s): 06JA357

14-0789

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$862.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tracy Torello
In Re: A. Greco
Case No(s): 10JA836

14-0790

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$875.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jose Sanchez
In Re: J., Y., A. SANCHEZ (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA899, 09JA901, 09JA902

14-0797

Attorney/Payee: Eleesha Madeline O'Neill
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$900.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent: legal representation, guardian ad litem
Name of respondent: G. Howard (minor)
In re: G. Howard, (minor)
Case No. 11JA0987

14-0815

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,431.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): lin'shay Davis (mother)
In Re: A. Davis, (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA554

14-0816

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond, Esq.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,422.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Angelina Mora (mother)
In Re: A. Silva (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA548

14-0817

Darlene Redmond, Esq.
Presenter: Darlene Redmond
Fees: \$350.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Starkenya Banks (mother)
In Re: O. Beekom (minor)
Case No(s):11JA844

14-0825

Attorney/Payee: Melinda MacGregor
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$675.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lamar Hardy (father)
In Re: M. Gaines (minor)
Case No.: 10JA445

14-0826

Attorney/Payee: Melinda MacGregor
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$725.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Edrick Johnson (father)
In Re: G. Johnson (minor)
Case No.: 11JA206

14-0827

Attorney/Payee: Melinda MacGregor
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$637.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Christina Pollworth (mother)
In Re: Pollworth (minor)
Case No.: 09JA347, 09JA348, 09JA349

14-0830

Attorney/Payee: Stuart Holt
Presenter: Stuart Holt
Fees: \$1,637.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jose Correa (father)
In Re: B. Correa (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA00937

14-0831

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond, Esq.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$193.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Alonzo Woods (father)
In Re: A. Woods (minors)
Case No(s): 11JA588

14-0832

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond, Esq.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$328.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): T. & T. Watson (minors)
In Re: T. and T. Watson (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA843, 12JA844

14-0833

Attorney/Payee: Dean C. Morask
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$443.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Janecquia Epperson (mother)
In Re: L. Epperson (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA111

14-0834

Attorney/Payee: Dean C. Morask
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,012.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ayala Kauffman
In Re: A & E., Kauffman (minors)
Case No(s): 02JA1861, 02JA1862

14-0838

Attorney/Payee: Dean C. Morask
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$712.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Matthew Candler
In Re: M & M Candler (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA1084, 09JA1085

14-0839

Attorney/Payee: Dean C. Morask
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,175.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): (Guardian Ad Litem) (minor)
In Re: M & P Wilson (minors)
Case No(s): 11JA412, 12JA681

14-0840

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$325.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jearlene Hall
In Re: S. Hall (minor)
Case No(s): 08JA00896

14-0841

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$200.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Frederina Jones, (mother)
In Re: J. Jones and J. Adams (minors)
Case No(s): 08JA163 and 08JA1126

14-0842

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 456.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lakisha Wilson, (mother)
In Re: L. Wilson (minor)
Case No(s): 04JA260

14-0843

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$537.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Reed, K. Reed (minors/GAL)
In Re: T. Reed, K. Reed (minors)
Case No(s): 11JA1017, 11JA1018

14-0844

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$556.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Wolkow (minor/GAL)
In Re: T. Wolkow (minor)
Case No(s): 98JA1763

14-0845

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$393.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Valeria Reyes
In Re: I. Lopez, A. Reyes (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA752, 12JA753

14-0846

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$375.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jennifer Sansone
In Re: J. Sansone (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA715

14-0847

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$306.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Darren Johnson
In Re: D. Cobbs (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA835

14-0848

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$668.61
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Edgar Chatman-Perez
In Re: J. Morales (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA1090

14-0849

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$337.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): S. Cora (minor/GAL)
In Re: S. Cora (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA852

14-0850

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$200.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. (minor/GAL)
In Re: D. Clark (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA0898

14-0851

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$418.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Grenado Brown, Page Brown, Diamond Clinton, Monica Clinton, Kamaron Clinton, Markeshia Hall (minors/GAL)
In Re: G. Brown, P. Brown, D. Clinton, M. Clinton, K. Clinton, M. Hall (minors)
Case No(s): 08JA658, 08JA659, 08JA660, 08JA661, 08JA662, 08JA663

14-0864

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: same
Fees: \$625.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): C. Dantzler, M. Tankson (minors)
In Re: C. Dantzler, M. Tankson (minors)
Case No(s): 94JA6965, 94JA6966

14-0865

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$462.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Stanley Garner (father)
In Re: S. Garner, and S. Watkins, (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA1097, 11JA629

14-0866

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: same
Fees: \$418.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tawone Keith
In Re: T. Keith, (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA942

14-0867

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$225.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Eric Moon, (father)
In Re: E. Moon (minor)
Case No(s): 08JA00493

14-0869

Attorney/Payee: Melinda MacGregor
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$387.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): LaCharles Collins Sr. (father)
In Re: L. Collins (minor)
Case No.: 10JA681

14-0870

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$657.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Frederick Barker, (father)
In Re: A. Amos (minor)
Case No(s): 05JA0567

14-0871

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,500.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Faustina Esperanza Munoz, (mother)
In Re: J. & K. Rivera (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA725, 12JA726

14-0872

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,080.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Minors
In Re: A. Starks, A. Sparkman, D. Starks (minors)
Case No(s): 08JA600, 10JA370, 12JA875

14-0873

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,475.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Minors
In Re: C. Camira & C. Miles (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA56, 13JA57

14-0874

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$810.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jeffrey Peterson, (father)
In Re: L. Peterson (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA670

14-0881

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Stevens, Ltd.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$862.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Names(s) of respondent(s): Johnnie Gipson (father)
In Re: J. Gipson
Case No(s): 10 JA 39

14-0882

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Stevens, Ltd.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$892.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Names(s) of respondent(s): Bertha Cameron (mother)
In Re: D., N. & F. Cameron (minors)
Case No(s): 09 JA 421-423

14-0883

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Stevens, Ltd.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$707.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Names(s) of respondent(s): Andrew Boone (father)
In Re: Tyrone Burns (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA394

14-0885

Attorney/Payee: Steven O. Ross, P.C.
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$570.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jocelyn Mozell (mother)
In Re: K. Robinson & S. Robinson (minors)
Case No(s): 08JA861, 08JA862

14-0886

Attorney/Payee: Steven O. Ross, P.C.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$497.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Nikki Harris (mother)
In Re: N. Harris (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA710

14-0887

Attorney/Payee: Ezra Hemphill
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$375.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Regla Fernandez 9(mother)
In Re: B. Fernandez (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA333

14-0891

Attorney/Payee: Francine N. Green-Kelner
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,747.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Christie Reese (mother)
In Re: C. Reese (minor)
Case No(s): 12JD04034

14-0893

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$242.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Terrence Thompson (father)
In Re: T. Thompson (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA1105

14-0894

Attorney/Payee: Francine N. Green-Kelner
Presenter: Same
Fees \$445.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Monique Williams (mother)
In Re: D. Collins (minor)
Case No(s):97JA0993

14-0895

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,710.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Robert Collins (father)

In Re: R Collins, N Collins, R Collins, N Collins & M Rhivers (minors)

Case No(s): 12JA536, 12JA537, 12JA538, 12JA539, 12JA540

14-0896

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$232.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): D'Nart Smith (father)

In Re: N Weaver (minor)

Case No(s): 12JA1106

14-0897

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$732.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): James Washington (father)

In Re: J Weaver (minor)

Case No(s): 12JA1104

14-0898

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$862.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Janet Tiedeman (mother)

In Re: K Tiedeman, N Gayton & J Gayton (minors)

Case No(s): 10JA581, 10JA582, 10JA583

14-0899

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder

Fees: \$362.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Shawn Smith (father)

In Re: K Williams & L Williams (minors)

Case No(s): 12JA1093, 12JA1094

14-0900

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$225.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Edward Hooker (father)

In Re: J Smith & N Smith

Case No(s): 12JA63 & 12JA66

14-0901

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$2,737.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Celeste Woodson (mother)
In Re: A Woodson, F Porter & O Porter (minors)
Case No(s): 11JA769, 12JA948 & 13JA940

14-0902

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$195.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Manuel Capiral (father)
In Re: Y Capiral (minor)
Case No(s): 10JA1094

14-0903

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,515.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Felicia Sparkman (mother)
In Re: F Sparkman, C Jones & L Jones (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA292, 12JA293, 12JA861

14-0905

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$417.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): R Nathan, M Reis & M Reis (minors)
In Re: R Nathan, M Reis & M Reis (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA107, 09JA108, 09JA109

14-0906

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$405.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Joretha & Nathaniel Kellum (parents)
In Re: K Easton-Kellum (minor)
Case No(s): 10JA694

14-0907

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$942.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Deonate Williams (father)
In Re: D Williams (minor)
Case No(s): 07JA1051

14-0910

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,048.10

Service Rendered for Court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Robin Garner (mother)

In Re: D Williams, R Williams, T Williams, J Sims & I Garner (minors)

Case No(s): 10JA526, 10JA527, 10JA528, 10JA529, 11JA238

14-0911

Attorney/Payee: Eleesha Madeline O'Neill

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$875.00

Services Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondents (s): Richard Slepicka (father)

In Re: Richard Slepicka, Jr. (minor)

Case No.: 13JA0582

14-0913

Attorney/Payee: Eleesha Madeline O'Neill

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,718.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation/GAL

Name of respondent(s): Adrianna Marzette (minor)

In re: A. Marzette (minor)

Case No.: 13JA0686

14-0914

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,056.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Christopher Holmes (minor)

In Re: C. Holmes, (minor)

Case No(s):13JA651

14-0915

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$343.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Abigail Loebig, (mother)

In Re: T. Williams (minor)

Case No(s): 13JA00664

14-0916

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$418.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Michelle White (mother)

In Re: C. Williams (minor)

Case No(s): 11JA00216

14-0917

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: 325.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Meshach Augustine (father)

In Re: I. Tiggens (minor)

Case No(s): 03JA01197

14-0918

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$775.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Maribel and Billy McFarland (legal guardians)

In Re: I. Ortiz (a minor)

Case No(s): 13JA720

14-0919

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$237.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Miesha Hampton (mother)

In Re: D. Robinson (minor)

Case No(s): 12JA250

14-0931

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$412.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Idalia Parado (mother)

In Re: M. Arguetta (minor)

Case No(s): 09JA585

14-0932

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$375.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Jomo Burks (father)

In Re: D. Burks (minor)

Case No(s): 10JA1018

14-0934

Attorney/Payee: Francine N. Green-Kelner

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$812.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Bernita Banks (mother)

In Re: K. Banks (minor)

Case No(s): 03JA1681

14-0943

Attorney/Payee: Robert A. Horwitz

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$200.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Emmanuel Williams, (Putative Father)
In Re: M. Montgomery (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA439

14-0944

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$581.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Mary McMiller (mother)
In Re: T. Harrington (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA00305

14-0945

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$312.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ezekial Argueta (father)
In Re: M. Argueta (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA00585

14-0946

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$981.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Mark Smith (father)
In Re: A. Smith (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA901

14-0947

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida, Attorney
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$962.50
Services rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Demond Ferguson (father)
In Re: B. Ferguson (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA0092

14-0962

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$662.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Vincent Flores, Christopher Flores, Nereo Beltran,
Alexander Beltran (minors/GAL)
In Re: V. Flores, C. Flores, N. Beltran, A. Beltran (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA1092, 09JA1093, 12JA319, 12JA320

14-0963

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz

Presenter: Same
Fees: \$162.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jennifer Parker-Bell
In Re: M. Scott (minor)
Case No(s): 10JA1068

14-0964

Attorney/Payee: Ezra Hemphill
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$537.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Karen Ilesanmi (Guardian/Parent)
In Re: N. Johnson
Case No(s): 12JA00117

14-0965

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$287.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Maurice Lofton (father)
In Re: M. Lofton, L. Lofton, Z. Lofton, S. Lofton, W. Ferguson (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA1276, 12JA1277, 12JA1278, 12JA1279, 12JA1280

14-0966

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$337.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Shenequa Chandler
In Re: M. Sidney, Q. Sidney (minors)
Case No(s): 06JA610, 06JA611

14-0967

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$293.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Brianna Smith (minor/GAL)
In Re: B. Smith (minor)
Case No(s): 06JA715

14-0968

Attorney/Payee: Adam J. Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$393.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Erick Moon, Sr. & Kenyatta Agnew
In Re: E. Moon (minor)
Case No(s): 08JA493

14-0969

Attorney/Payee: Adam J. Jaffe

Presenter: Same
Fees: \$412.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Erica Seals
In Re: Holmes & Seals (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA110, 09JA111

14-0971

Attorney/Payee: Adam J. Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$333.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Aaron Mackey
In Re: M. Warner (minor)
Case No(s): 10JA717

14-0972

Attorney/Payee: Adam J. Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,138.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): James Newsome
In Re: K. Newsome (minor)
Case No(s): 07JA266

14-0996

Attorney/Payee: Rodney W. Stewart
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$262.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Mark Munyon (father)
In Re: D. Munyon (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA218

14-0997

Attorney/Payee: Rodney W. Stewart
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,968.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Amaya Turner, Amayra turner and Araya Rodriguez
In Re: A. Turner, A. Turner, and A. Rodriguez (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA353; 13JA354, 13JA963

14-0998

Attorney/Payee: Rodney W. Stewart
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,362.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jovante Foster and Amire Duling
In Re: J. Foster and A. Duling (minors)
Case No(s): 11JA889 and 13JA621

14-1000

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida, Attorney
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,712.50

Service rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Jessica Colon, (mother)

In re: J. Jones & J. Horton, (minors)

Case No(s): 13JA0258 & 13JA0259

14-1002

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida, Attorney

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$900.00

Services Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): GAL & legal representation

Names(s) of Respondent(s): Ashawna Scott

In Re: A. Scott (minor)

Case No(s): 06JA0570

14-1004

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$350.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): George Marzette (father)

In Re: A. Marzette (minor)

Case No(s): 13JA00686

14-1005

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$393.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Kendall Hooker (father)

In Re: B. Fernandez (minor)

Case No(s): 13 JA 00333

14-1006

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$325.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Charles Brown & Darryl Massey, the minors as GAL

In Re: C. Brown and D. Massey (minors)

Case No(s): 07JA00639, 07JA00640

14-1007

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$418.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Byron Williams (father)

In Re: D. Williams (minors)

Case No(s): 12JA00192

14-1008

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$387.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Casharael Bell
In Re: C Bell
Case No(s): 09JA1152

14-1010

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$787.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jeffery Toby (father)
In Re: J. Toby (minor)
Case No(s): 07JA659

14-1013

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,267.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Naphtali Miller (father)
In Re: S. Miller-Bey & I. Miller-Bey (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA005, 13JA006

14-1014

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,658.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Fred Fauber (father)
In Re: C. Fauber (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA0190

14-1015

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 422.36
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Nancy Morales
In Re: D. Colon (minor)
Case No(s): 00JA1298

14-1016

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,217.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Angel Perez (father)
In Re: M. Perez (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA677

14-1019

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,125.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Eric Hill
In Re: E. Hill (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA264

14-1020

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,197.36
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Larkins; D. Larkins; E. Larkins; S. Larkins
In Re: D. Larkins; D. Larkins; E. Larkins; S. Larkins (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA311, 09JA313, 09JA314, 09JA0440

14-1021

Attorney/Payee: Rodney W. Stewart
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 312.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): William Ruth
In Re: J. Ruth, (minor)
Case No: 08JA038

14-1022

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 1,231.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation as
Guardian Ad Litem
Name(s) of respondent(s): June Halson-Kaufman
In Re: T Cardona (minor)
Case No(s): 04JA00378

14-1023

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$856.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Cornelius Ross
In Re: A. Wright (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA01121

14-1024

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 450.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Matthew Oiler
In Re: J. Oiler (minor)
Case No(s): 09 JA 00027

14-1025

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 1,018.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Dion Hill
In Re: A. Hill (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA00659

14-1026

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 1,831.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tina Albright
In Re: O. Albright, A. Albright, and D. Albright (minors)
Case No(s): 02JA1412, 02JA1413, 02JA1415

14-1027

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$737.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation as Guardian Ad Litem
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tameka Grayson
In Re: J. Gilmore (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA866

14-1028

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,150.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation as Guardian Ad Litem
Name(s) of respondent(s): Mattie Crawford
In Re: S. Crawford and I. Crawford (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA449, 12JA1125

14-1029

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$993.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation as Guardian Ad Litem
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tina Derouin
In Re: L. Derouin, J. Derouin, and G. Derouin (minors)
Case No(s): 10JA783, 11JA862, 12JA94

14-1030

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,231.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Manuel Zapata Perez

In Re: S. Nall, Z. Nall, C. Nall, and A. Nall (minors)
Case No(s): 10JA783, 11JA862, 12JA94

14-1031

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 300.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Gardeise Williams
In Re: K. Williams (minor)
Case No(s): 07JA504

14-1032

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$968.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
as Guardian Ad Litem
Name(s) of respondent(s): Mercedes Johnson
In Re: M. Taylor (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA1086

14-1033

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$787.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
as Guardian Ad Litem
Name(s) of respondent(s): Shaquitha Moore
In Re: Z. Thompson (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA867

14-1034

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$362.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
as Guardian Ad Litem
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tessica Smith
In Re: D. Watkins (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA01034

14-1037

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,018.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Regina Fox, Mother
In Re: E. Coleman, S. Walker, M. Walker, Jr., and M. Fox (minors)
Case No(s): 10JA565, 10JA566, 10JA567, 12JA1214

14-1038

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$781.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Michael Thompson
In Re: T. Hernandez (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA1242

14-1039

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$350.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Michael Lucio
In Re: M. Lucio, S. Lucio (minors)
Case No(s): 01JA1225, 01JA1226

14-1040

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$637.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Marvin Perez
In Re: A. Couch-Perez (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA158

14-1050

Attorney/Payee: Brian J O'Hara
Presenter Same
Fees: \$693.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Clarence Martin (father)
In Re: C Martin (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA00014

14-1051

Attorney/Payee: Brian J O'Hara
Presenter Same
Fees: \$743.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): John Bonner (father)
In Re: W Bonner (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA00032

14-1048

Attorney/Payee: Brian J O'Hara
Presenter Same
Fees: \$850.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Charles Seitz (father)
In Re: S Seitz, N Seitz, & C Seitz (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA00522, 09JA00688, 10JA1004

14-1059

Attorney/Payee: Ezra Hemphill Attorney at Law

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$450.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s) legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Guardian/Parent Tinesha Perry

In Re: K. Lee

Case No(s): 02JA01852

14-1068

Attorney/Payee: Steven O. Ross, P.C.

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$285.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Maya Thompson (mother)

In Re: M. Roberts (minor)

Case No(s): 10JA1023

14-1069

Attorney/Payee: Thomas J. Esler

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$580.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Trimble, Jr. (minor)

In Re: A. Trimble, Jr. (minor)

Case No(s): 08JA0793

14-1070

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$918.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Zion Vivians, Michael Russell, Cardell Russell

(minors/GAL)

In Re: Z. Vivians, M. Russell, C. Russell (minors)

Case No(s): 05JA945, 09JA065, 11JA310

14-1080

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$325.00

Service rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): GAL and legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Mariah Owens

In Re: M. Owens (minor)

Case No(s): 09JA0642

14-1091

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$425.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): April Jefferson (mother)

In Re: J. Jefferson (minor)

Case No(s): 13JA00808

14-1092

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$500.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Alyssa Colford the minor as GAL
In Re: A. Colford (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA00967

14-1094

Attorney/Payee: Thomas J. Esler
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$660.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ronald Swope (father)
In Re: S. Swope (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA0961

14-1095

Attorney/Payee: Thomas J. Esler
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$630.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Maria Figueroa
In Re: D. Robledo, N. Robledo, A. Robledo, S. Robledo, J. Robledo (minors)
Case No(s): 04JA00563, 04JA00564, 04JA00565, 04JA00566, 10JA00195

14-1097

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$600.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Minor-respondent Lakeisha Lasley, a minor
In Re: L. Lasley (minor)
Case No(s): 98JA03851

14-1098

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$262.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lanel Russell (mother)
In Re: R. Johnson (minor)
Case No(s): 03JA01357

14-1111

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$700.00
Service Rendered for court appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): LaCrecia Bailey (mother)
In Re: D. Bailey and R. Bailey (minors)
Case No(s): 03JA1364, 11JA682

14-1121

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 525.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): William Hooks and Gabrielle Kimble
In Re: W. Hooks and G. Kimble (minors)
Case No(s): 04JA00125 and 04JA00398

14-1123

Attorney/Payee: Douglas J. Rathe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$506.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Karl Hemphill (father)
In Re: K. Hemphill (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA00558

14-1124

Attorney/Payee: Douglas J. Rathe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$112.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Davion Strayhorne, a minor, as his Attorney and GAL
In Re: D. Strayhorne (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA00450

14-1125

Attorney/Payee: Douglas J. Rathe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$456.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Dennis Ellis (father)
In Re: S. Ellis; D. Ellis (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA00744, 13JA00797

14-1126

Attorney/Payee: Douglas J. Rathe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$750.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Annette Knox (Guardian)
In Re: E. Howard (minor)
Case No(s): 99JA00878

14-1137

Attorney/Payee: Thomas J. Esler
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$720.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Anthony Kossler
In Re: V. Kossler
Case No(s): 12JA00389

14-1143

Attorney/Payee Ellen J Morris
Presenter: Same
Fees; \$525.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Alanzo Cross
In Re: B. Pasley
Case No(s): 13JA26

14-1150

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Stevens, Ltd.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$590.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Names(s) of respondent(s): Efrain Diaz (father)
In Re: E. Diaz (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA285

14-1151

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Stevens, Ltd.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,075.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Names(s) of respondent(s): William Oiler (father)
In Re: J. Oiler (minor)
Case No(s): 08JA46

14-1152

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Stevens, Ltd.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$687.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Stephone Davis & Antowane Davis
In Re: S. Davis & A. Davis
Case No(s): 11JA153, 11JA154

14-1157

Attorney/Payee: Judith Hannah
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$237.96
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Selina Benniefield
In Re: S. Benniefield (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA306

14-1158

Attorney/Payee: Francine N. Green-Kelner
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$936.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Vanessa Wright (mother)
In Re: A. Wright and A. Wright (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA01120, 09JA01121

14-1160

Attorney/Payee: Francine N. Green-Kelner
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,854.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Celia Arocho (mother)
In Re: D. Calderon, J. Calderon and J. Calderon (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA00461, 12JA00462, 12JA00463

14-1163

Attorney/Payee: Francine N. Green-Kelner
Presenter: SAME
Fees: \$2,091.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): E. Payton and E. Payton (minors)
In Re: E. Payton and E. Payton (minors)
Case No(s): 07JA00998, 07JA00999

14-1164

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$668.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Antwan Johnson, Antonio Johnson, Christina Johnson (minors/GAL)
In Re: A. Johnson, A. Johnson, C. Johnson (minors)
Case No(s): 11JA573, 11JA574, 13JA041

14-1165

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$306.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jamie Parisi (mother)
In Re: A. Parisi, H. Parisi (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA849, 12JA850

14-1166

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$275.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Susana Martinez
In Re: M. Cruz (minor)
Case No(s): 09JD4654

14-1167

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,006.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ruby Jordan
In Re: C. Holmes (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA651

14-1168

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 425.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ronena Davis (mother) & Robert Davis (father)
In Re: J. Davis & I. Davis (minors)
Case No(s): 05JA00630 & 02JA00251

14-1169

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 525.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Charles Chrapla (father)
In Re: J. Korte and A. Korte (minors)
Case No(s): 05JA00630 & 02JA00251

14-1170

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 962.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Guardian Ad Litem for minors
In Re: A. Thomas and D. Thomas
Case No(s): 11JA00881 and 12JA00930

14-1171

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 762.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Kathleen Moore (mother)
In Re: K. Newsome (minor)
Case No(s): 07JA00266

14-1172

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 800.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Guardian Ad Litem for Minor
In Re: A. Brickell (minor)
Case No(s): 04JA00620

14-1173

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 450.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Beatrice Woodcox (mother)
In Re: D. Woodcox
Case No(s): 10JA01093

14-1174

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 1,100.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Guardian Ad Litem for Minors
In Re: J. Watson and J. Bond (minors)
Case No(s): 05JA00831 and 05JA00832

14-1175

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 1,050.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Guardian Ad Litem for Minors
In Re: S. Hunter and S. Sledge (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA00868 and 13JA00120

14-1176

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 712.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Guardian Ad Litem for Minor
In Re: S. Allen (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA00452

14-1177

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$625.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Priscilla Forys (mother)
In Re: Z. Torres and Z. Torres
Case No(s): 13JA00132 and 13JA00133

14-1185

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 500.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Joseph Wright (father)
In Re: S. Campbell Wright, J. Wright Jr. (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA39, 05JA754

14-1186

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 837.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation,
Name(s) of respondent(s): Zakar Jeffery (father)
In Re: Z. Jeffery (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA00648

14-1187

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$ 625.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Kennetta Haynes (mother)

In Re: A. Haynes, I. Liddell, D. Haynes, R. Haynes, P. Haynes

Case No(s): 13JA00879, 10JA01037, 10JA1038, 10JA1039, 11JA00565

14-1188

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$ 450.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Sherry Wofford

In Re: J. Walton (minor)

Case No(s): 05JA00291

14-1189

Attorney/Payee: Paul Karoll

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$200.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): B. King, N. Lucas and N. Lucas (minors) (as GAL)

In Re: B. King, N. Lucas, N. Lucas (minors)

Case No(s): 07JA848, 07JA849, 07JA850

14-1190

Attorney/Payee: Paul Karoll

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$387.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Minors Keyera Williams and Stacey Woods, minors (GAL)

In Re: K. Williams and S. Woods (minors)

Case No(s): 02JA1019, 02JA1020

14-1191

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$ 643.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.

Name(s) of respondent(s): Plant, Floyd

In Re: A. Plant (minor)

Case No(s): 10JA974

14-1192

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$681.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.

Name(s) of respondent(s): Vaval, M.; Vaval D.

In Re: M. Vaval and D. Vaval (minors)

Case No(s): 09JA1012, 09JA1013

14-1193

Attorney/Payee: Stuart Holt
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$537.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Sharon Thurman, (adoptive mother)
In Re: T. Thurman (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA616

14-1194

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$550.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.
Name(s) of respondent(s): Belcastro, Nicole
In Re: L. Belcastro, T. Belcastro (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA650; 09JA651

14-1195

Attorney/Payee: Stuart Holt
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 1,368.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Harvey Pitts, (father)
In Re: M.-King Pitts (Minor)
Case No(s): 12JA1241

14-1198

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$387.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Gloria Johnson (mother)
In Re: Y. Williams (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA00709

14-1199

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$568.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Erise Vaughns (Guardian)
In Re: K. Davis aka K. Dillon (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA00670

14-1200

Attorney/Payee: Ezra Hemphill
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$187.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Gabrielle Phillips (mother)
In Re: T. Phillip (minor)
Case No. 14JA001

14-1202

Attorney/Payee: Ezra Hemphill
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 275.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Joseph Crump (father)
In Re: R. Crump and R. Crump (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA1011 and 13JA1012

14-1209

Attorney/Payee: Law Office of Kent Dean Ltd.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$406.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Cynthia Sanders (mother)
In Re: J. Sanders (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA1081

14-1211

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,087.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Michael Loveall, (father)
In Re: L. Loveall (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA00594

14-1212

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$425.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ricardo Marchan (father)
In Re: R. Marchan & J. Marchan (minors)
Case No(s): 04JA1224, 04JA1225

14-1214

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$861.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lygia Cook (mother)
In Re: S. Harris, T. Harris (minors)
Case No(s): 10JA00473, 12JA00637

14-1216

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$862.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.
Name(s) of respondent(s): Antonio Howard
In Re: Israel Franklin (minor)

Case No(s):13JA400

14-1217

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$375.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tevin Matheny
In Re: T. Matheny (minor)
Case No(s): 10JA373

14-1218

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$400.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.
Name(s) of respondent(s): Michael Barrera (father)
In Re: M. Barrera, C. Sawyer (minors)
Case No(s): 11JA478, 11JA480

14-1220

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$250.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Hunt & R. Hunt (minors), T. Stevens (minor)
In Re: J. Hunt & R. Hunt (minors), T. Stevens (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA254, 05JA696, 05JA697

14-1221

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$587.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.
Name(s) of respondent(s): Andrew Williams
In Re: K. Lee (minor)
Case No(s): 02JA1852

14-1222

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$262.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.
Name(s) of respondent(s): Max Hardin Roman
In Re: M. H. Roman (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA300

14-1223

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$443.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.
Name(s) of respondent(s): Darrion Thompson

In Re: D. Thompson (minor)
Case No(s): 07JA743

14-1224

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$325.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.
Name(s) of respondent(s): Alex Gonzalez
In Re: K. Muhammad (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA863

14-1225

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$487.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.
Name(s) of respondent(s): Janise Coleman
In Re: C. Hardy (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA692

14-1226

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$82.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tammy Taylor
In Re: B. Oertel (minor)
Case No(s): 06JA735

14-1229

Attorney/Payee: Elizabeth Butler
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$753.75
Services rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent: legal services
Name of Respondent: Gabrielle Phillips (mother)
In re: E. Adkins & T. Phillips
Case No.(s): 12JA919, 14JA00001

14-1231

Attorney/Payee: Elizabeth Butler
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$307.50
Services rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondents: legal representation
Name of respondent: Tanya Tomoloff Alexander (mother)
In re: T. Alexander, T. Alexander, and T. Alexander (minors)
Case No.: 11JA65, 11JA66, 11JA67

14-1235

Attorney/Payee: Thomas J. Esler
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,026.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Leonard Carson (father)

In Re: U. Carson (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA00608

14-1236

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$393.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Amon Mayfield (minor/GAL)
In Re: A. Mayfield (minor)
Case No(s): 10JA424

14-1238

Attorney/Payee: Brenda Sue Shavers
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,798.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of Respondent(s): Eddie Jenkins, Jr. (father)
In Re: B. Jenkins, T. Jenkins, and D. Jenkins (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA836, 13JA837, 13JA838

14-1239

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,937.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Caprice Hatcher
In Re: M. Shores Jr., U. Carson, H. Hatcher, J. Booker (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA607, 13JA608, 13JA609, 13JA610

14-1240

Attorney/Payee: Law Office of Kent Dean
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 362.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Bridgett Boyd (mother), Leroy Wilson (father)
In Re: L. Wilson (minor)
Case No(s): 08JA408

14-1241

Attorney/Payee: Law Office of Kent Dean
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 856.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Leslie Wells (mother)
In Re: R. Wells, N. Bell, A. Wells. (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA12, 09JA13 and 11JA421

14-1242

Attorney/Payee: Law Office of Kent Dean
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 625.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Amber Thames (mother)
In Re: A. McGhee (minor)
Case No(s):12JA00384

14-1243

Attorney/Payee: Law Office of Kent Dean
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 437.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Anna Prichett (mother)
In Re: H. House (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA1004

14-1251

Attorney/Payee: Brian J O'Hara
Presenter Same
Fees: \$400.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Edward Alexander (father)
In Re: Tajanae Davis (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA00881

14-1252

Attorney/Payee: Brian J O'Hara
Presenter Same
Fees: \$1,237.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.
Name(s) of respondent(s): Darrie McMurray (father)
In Re: J. McMurray, C. McMurray (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA00562, 13JA00563

14-1253

Attorney/Payee: Brian J O'Hara
Presenter Same
Fees: \$ 837.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.,
Name(s) of respondent(s): Raelyn Pritchett (mother)
In Re: T. Brown (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA01294

14-1254

Attorney/Payee: Michael G. Cawley, P.C.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$362.50
Service Rendered for court appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Guardian ad Litem
In Re: D. Givens, D. Givens (minors)
Case No(s): 10JA374, 10JA375

14-1255

Attorney/ Payee: Michael G. Cawley, P.C.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,272.50

Service Rendered for court appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jan Stanislawczyk (father)
In Re: I. Stanislawczyk/D. Stanislawczyk/A. Stanislawczyk (minors)
Case No(s) 10JA1088, 10JA1089, 13JA302

14-1257

Attorney/Payee: Steven O. Ross, P.C.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 475.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation,
Name(s) of respondent(s): Eric Bell
In Re: C. Bell (minor)
Case No(s): 08JA241

14-1260

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,062.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lydell Doss (father)
In Re: C. Baggett (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA0524

14-1261

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$418.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Maurice Collins (father)
In Re: E. Collins (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA00297

14-1262

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$506.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ebony Watkins the minor as GAL
In Re: E. Watkins (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA00893

14-1263

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 386.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): B. Carter
In Re: B. Carter (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA166

14-1264

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$ 687.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): K. Campbell
In Re: K. Campbell,(minor)
Case No(s): 11JA734

14-1265

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$471.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Myeshia Holmes (mother)
In Re: J. Holmes (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA564

14-1266

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 938.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Mohammed (father)
In Re: N. Mohammed (minor)
Case No(s): 07JA331

14-1267

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$947.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jamal Joyner
In Re: J. Cargo-Joyner
Case No(s): 11JA359

14-1268

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$623.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Maria Perez
In Re: M. Perez (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA570

14-1269

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$640.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Merritt, R. Teague
In Re: D. Merritt, R. Teague (minors)
Case No(s): 08JA749, 08JA750

14-1270

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 626.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Kenneth Miner (father)
In Re: K. Miner (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA604

14-1271

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 242.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ronald Haggins (father)
In Re: T. Haggins (minor)
Case No(s): 08JA717

14-1272

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 1,155.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Leonard, D. Leonard, T. Leonard (minors)
In Re: T. Leonard, D. Leonard, T. Leonard (minors)
Case No(s): 04JA1146, 04JA1147, 04JA1148

14-1273

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$480.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Partington-Patterson (minor)
In Re: A. Partington-Patterson (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA401

14-1274

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 638.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ericka Lloyd (mother)
In Re: A. Johns, D. Harris, A. Wakefield, D. Wakefield (minors)
Case No(s): 10JA407, 10JA408, 10JA409, 10JA1006

14-1364

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$387.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Christine Coleman (mother)
In Re: D. Coleman (minor)
Case No. (s): 04JA1609

CHILD PROTECTION CASES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: \$315,128.35

CHILD PROTECTION CASES TO BE APPROVED: \$167,016.03

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Reyes, that the Child Protection Case Payments be Recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

JUVENILE JUSTICE DIVISION

14-0786

Attorney/Payee: Samuel N. Warsawsky
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,662.50
Services Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondents(s): legal representation
Name(s) of Respondent(s): Meribel Hernandez (mother)
In Re.: Jennifer, D., K., M., H. L., R., Taxis and R., R., Hernandez (minors)
Case NO(s): 10JA114, 10JA115, 10JA116, 10JA117, 10JA118, 10JA119, 10JA120;
12JA889, 12JA890

14-0856

Attorney/Payee: Patrick K. Schlee
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$337.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Deanna Gates (minor) Tyrell Gates (father)
Case No(s): 09JA00376

14-0908

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,265.15
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Andre McKinney (father)
Case No(s): 12JD4213

14-0960

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$418.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): M. Totten (minor) and Marco Claudio (father)
Case No(s): 10JD03784

14-0961

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$331.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): K. Brown, (minor)

Case No(s): 13JD02558

14-1012

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$862.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent (s): B. Osorio (minor)
Case No(s): 10JD1694

14-1036

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$668.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Mary Brown, (Guardian)
Case No(s): 12JD1893

14-1041

Attorney/Payee: Samuel N. Warsawsky
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,025.00
Services rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent (s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s); Manolo Munoz (father)
In re: A. Carpenter-Munoz, J. Carpenter-Munoz
Case n0(s): 11JA00703, 11JA0704

14-1075

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Carey
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,025.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Rice (minor)
Case No(s): 11JD60370, 11JD60421, 12JD60399, 13JD60302

14-1076

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Carey
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$\$1,818.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): C. Thomas (minor)
Case No(s):12JD60255

14-1077

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Carey
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,606.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): C. Holmes (minor)
Case No(s): 13JD60170, 13JD60294, 12JD00242

14-1078

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Carey

Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,800.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Miller (minor)
Case No(s): 13JD60077

14-1079

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Carey
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,137.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Young (minor)
Case No(s): 13JD60187

14-1099

Attorney/Payer: Samuel N. Warsawsky
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 837.50
Services rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent (s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s); R. Houston
Case No (s): 12JD1075

14-1100

Attorney/Payer: Samuel N. Warsawsky
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 1,337.50
Services rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent (s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s); S. Saez
Case No(s): 09JA368, 12JA737

14-1110

Attorney/Payee: Crystal B. Ashley
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$ 1,107.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Sophia Brown (mother)
In; Re; T. Brown (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA549

JUVENILE JUSTICE CASES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: \$33,285.79

JUVENILE JUSTICE CASES TO BE APPROVED: \$22,241.40

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Reyes, that the Juvenile Justice Case Payments be Recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

SPECIAL COURT CASES

14-1203

Firm: Querrey & Harrow, Ltd.
Special State's Attorney(s): Larry S. Kowalczyk, Terrence F. Guolee
Case Name: Ivan Hernandez, et al. v. Cook County Sheriff's Department, et al.
Case No.(s): 07 CH 05603
Time period: November 22, 2013 through December 23, 2013
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$2,506.00
Paid to Date: \$583,991.67
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: January 14, 2014

14-1219

Firm: Patricia C. Bobb & Associates, P.C.
Special State's Attorney(s): Patricia C. Bobb
Case Name: Swift, Saunders and Thames v. City of Chicago, et al.
Case No.(s): 12 L 12995, 12 CV 09158, 12 CV 09170
Time period: August 15, 2013 through December 17, 2013
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$6,445.00
Paid to Date: \$12,050.00
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: January 14, 2014

14-1178

Compliance/Complaint Administrator: Mary Robinson
Case Name: Shakman, et al. v. Cook County
Case No(s): 69 C 2145
Date of This Order: November 20, 2013
Unopposed Petition Number: 100
This Court Ordered Amount of this petition: \$58,963.75
Paid to Date: \$6,566,130.25
Notes: N/A

COMMISSIONER COLLINS AND VICE CHAIRMAN SIMS VOTED NO ON THE ABOVE ITEM.

14-1179

Compliance/Complaint Administrator: Mary T. Robinson
Case Name: Shakman, et al. v. Cook County
Case No.(s): 69 C 2145
Date of This Order: December 11, 2013
Unopposed Petition Number: 101
This Court Ordered Amount of this petition: \$41,311.65
Paid to Date: \$6,607,441.90
Notes: N/A

COMMISSIONER COLLINS AND VICE CHAIRMAN SIMS VOTED NO ON THE ABOVE ITEM.

14-1184

Compliance/Complaint Administrator: Cardelle Spangler
Case Name: Shakman, et al. v. Cook County Recorder of Deeds
Case No.(s): 69 C 2145
Date of This Order: January 13, 2014
Unopposed Petition Number: 81
This Court Ordered Amount of this petition: \$9,050.73
Paid to Date: \$1,021,114.00
Notes: N/A

COMMISSIONER COLLINS AND VICE CHAIRMAN SIMS VOTED NO ON THE ABOVE ITEM.

SPECIAL COURT CASES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: \$203,879.50

SPECIAL COURT CASES TO BE APPROVED: \$118,277.13

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Reyes, that the Special Court Case Payments be Recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Nay: Commissioner Collins and Vice Chairman Sims Voted No on File #'s 14-1178, 14-1179 and 14-1184 (2)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

SPECIAL CRIMINAL COURT CASES

14-1180

Firm: Mayer Brown LLP
Special State's Attorney(s): Charles E. Harris, II
Case Name: Western Capital Partners, LLC v. Jackson Park Pinnacle Plaza. LLC, et al.
Case No.(s): 07 CH 23740, 08 CH 06055 (consolidated)
Time period: N/A
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$9,955.01
Paid to Date: \$N/A

14-1452

Firm: Winston & Strawn
Special State's Attorney(s): Dan K. Webb
Case Name: Appointment Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): No. 2011 Misc. 46
Time period: 9/1/2013 – 11/30/2013
This Court Ordered Amount for expenses: \$15,349.93
Paid to Date: \$1,119,146.12

SPECIAL CRIMINAL COURT CASES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: \$208,863.42

SPECIAL CRIMINAL COURT CASES TO BE APPROVED: \$25,304.94

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Reyes, that the Special Criminal Court Case Payments be Recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS

14-1081

Employee: Cynthia Givens

Job Title: Clerk

Department: Englewood Clinic

Date of Incident: 08/11/2009

Incident/Activity: Petitioner hit her left knee on a metal bar protruding from under her desk

Accidental Injuries: Left knee patellofemoral chondral lesions that required surgery

Petition and Order No: 09 WC 39957

Claim Amount: \$31,384.96

Attorney: Patrick A. Tallon of Fitz & Tallon, L.L.C

Date of Subcommittee Approval: 01/14/2014

Prior/pending claims: None

14-1107

Employee: Carmen L. Berrios

Job Title: Administrative Assistant

Department: Board of Review

Date of Incident: 04/16/2007

Incident/Activity: Petitioner tripped over an outlet that was sticking up out of the floor.

Accidental Injuries: Fractured greater tuberosity of the proximal humerus of the right shoulder

Petition and Order No: 07 WC 47474

Claim Amount: \$24,705.80

Attorney: Edward G. Shenoo of the Law Offices of Edward G. Shenoo

Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Prior/pending claims: None

14-1108

Employee: Lawrence Maderak

Job Title: Investigator

Department: State's Attorney Office
Date of Incident: 10/23/2008
Incident/Activity: Petitioner was involved in a motor vehicle collision.
Accidental Injuries: Multiple levels disc protrusions, right elbow cubital tunnel syndrome and a lumbar strain.
Petition and Order No: 08 WC 52083
Claim Amount: \$35,320.68
Attorney: Thomas Manzella, Jr. of Block, Klukas, & Manzella, P. C.
Date of Subcommittee Approval: 12/04/2012
Prior/pending claims: 10/14/2001(\$9,417.24)

14-1109

Employee: Vickie Yates
Job Title: Driver
Department: Provident Hospital
Date of Incident: 03/17/2011
Incident/Activity: Petitioner was involved in a motor vehicle collision.
Accidental Injuries: Small finger displaced fracture of the tuft of the distal phalanx and multiple sprains.
Petition and Order No: 11 WC 17955
Claim Amount: \$22,067.27
Attorney: Mark L. Fromm of Kumlin and Fromm, Ltd.
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: None

14-1112

Employee: Darlene Brewington
Job Title: Mechanical Assistant
Department: Facilities Management
Date of Incident: 08/18/2004
Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured her left leg while climbing down a ladder.
Accidental Injuries: left leg sprain.
Petition and Order No: 08 WC 35190
Claim Amount: \$2,839.35
Attorney: Adrian T. Cherikos, Attorney At Law
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: 06/23/1995 and 11/17/1995 (\$3,075.90)

14-1113

Employee: Tim Davies
Job Title: Youth Counselor
Department: Juvenile Temporary Detention Center
Date of Incident: 10/03/2012
Incident/Activity: Restraining a juvenile detainee
Accidental Injuries: Right ankle sprain
Petition and Order No: 13 WC 01158
Claim Amount: \$4,074.04
Attorney: Joseph W. Lauer of Salvato & O'Toole
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: None

14-1114

Employee: Kenneth Davis
Job Title: Youth Counselor
Department: Juvenile Temporary Detention Center
Date of Incident: 10/14/2009
Incident/Activity: Breaking up a fight between residents.
Accidental Injuries: Neck, right arm, right shoulder and right hand sprains.
Petition and Order No: 09 WC 35664
Claim Amount: \$6,973.69
Attorney: Raul V. Rodriguez of Goldberg, Weisman & Cairo, Ltd
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: None

14-1115

Employee: Yolanda Dixon
Job Title: Correctional Officer
Department: Department of Corrections
Date of Incident: 02/19/2013
Incident/Activity: Slipped and fell
Accidental Injuries: Left hand and left shoulder
Petition and Order No: 13 WC 10848
Claim Amount: \$16,388.00
Attorney: Angela Nardi-Quigley of the Law Offices of George L. Tamvakis
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: 08/13/2007 (\$1,500.00)

14-1116

Employee: Lottie King
Job Title: Correctional Officer
Department: Department of Corrections
Date of Incident: 04/11/2010
Incident/Activity: Slipped on some debris and fell.
Accidental Injuries: Fractured coccyx
Petition and Order No: 11 WC 44603
Claim Amount: \$8,809.60
Attorney: Joe Bell of Teplitz & Bell
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: None

14-1117

Employee: Douglas Ptak
Job Title: Correctional Officer
Department: Department of Corrections
Date of Incident: 07/08/2009 and 05/17/2010
Incident/Activity: Attacked by an inmate
Accidental Injuries: Laceration of the chin and left knee sprain
Petition and Order No: 11 WC 28305 and 11 WC 28306

Claim Amount: \$2,500.00
Attorney: Anthony Cuda of Cuda Law Offices, Ltd.
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: None

14-1118

Employee: Rodolfo Ramirez
Job Title: Correctional Officer
Department: Department of Corrections
Date of Incident: 03/10/2012
Incident/Activity: Forcing open an elevator door.
Accidental Injuries: Left rotator cuff tear
Petition and Order No: 12 WC 22886
Claim Amount: \$38,267.90
Attorney: Kevin M. Collopy, Drake & Collopy, P.C.
Date of Subcommittee Approval: 12/03/2013
Prior/pending claims: None

14-1119

Employee: Gina Shelton
Job Title: Counselor
Department: Juvenile Temporary Detention Center
Date of Incident: 09/14/2010
Incident/Activity: Restraining a combative resident
Accidental Injuries: Right shoulder injury
Petition and Order No: 10 WC 38963
Claim Amount: \$9,231.75
Attorney: Ivan M. Rittenberg of Rittenberg, Buffen & Gulbrandsen
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: None

14-1120

Employee: Renee A. Smith
Job Title: Police Officer
Department: Sheriff's Police Department
Date of Incident: 02/09/2010
Incident/Activity: Slipped on icy stairs and fell.
Accidental Injuries: Left wrist, left knee and left ankle injuries.
Petition and Order No: 10 WC 13173
Claim Amount: \$24,092.11
Attorney: Richard E. Aleksy of Corti, Aleksy and Castaneda P.C.
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: None

14-1153

Employee: Darlene Brewington
Job Title: Mechanical Assistant
Department: Facilities Management

Date of Incident: 12/21/2010
Incident/Activity: Climbing a ladder
Accidental Injuries: Injured left leg
Petition and Order No: 11 WC 001830
Claim Amount: \$8,325.62
Attorney: Adrian T. Cherikos, 134 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 1515, Chicago, IL 60602
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: 06/23/1995 and 11/17/1995. (together for \$3,075.90)

WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: **\$1,332,680.04**

WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS TO BE APPROVED: **\$234,980.77**

A motion was made by Commissioner Schneider, seconded by Commissioner Reyes, that the Workers' Compensation Claims be Recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

SUBROGATION RECOVERIES

14-0958

Responsible Party: Joseph Pahl (owner and driver), 19950 Austin Street, Lowell, Indiana
Damage: Sheriff's Police Department vehicle
Date of Accident: 08/28/2013
Location: 21905 S. Cottage Grove, Sauk Village Township
Amount: \$2,397.88
Claim Number: 20050792
Department: Sheriff's Police Department
Account: 231-444

14-0959

Responsible Party: John Hudson (owner) and Taylor Hudson (driver), 15917 S. Haven Avenue, Orland Park, IL
Damage: John H. Stroger, Jr. Hospital vehicle
Date of Accident: 12/21/2013
Location: 9437 Georgetown Square, Orland Park, IL
Amount: \$973.76
Claim Number: 20050805
Department: John H. Stroger, Jr. Hospital
Account: (897-444)

SUBROGATION RECOVERIES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: **\$24,187.64**

SUBROGATION RECOVERIES TO BE APPROVED: **\$3,371.64**

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Steele, that the Subrogation Recoveries be Recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

SELF-INSURANCE CLAIMS

14-0950

Claimant: Allstate Insurance Company a/s/o Claimant Ross Lawrence

Claim Payment: \$271.00

Claim Number: 97010287

Department: Department of Transportation and Highways

Property Damage: 2010 Chevy Traverse

Our Driver: Mark Menolascino

Unit/Plate No.: 247

Prior Accidents: 0

Date of Accident: 7/25/2013

Location: Eastbound 290 East of IL Route 64, Elmhurst, Illinois

Incident/Activity: Cook County Highway truck was travelling on Eastbound I-290 near IL Route 64 in Elmhurst when he was unable to stop in heavy traffic and rear ended the claimant's vehicle causing damage to the rear of the vehicle. This payment is for the tow of the claimant's vehicle and transportation for the claimant after the loss.

Investigated by: CCMSI

Account: 542-846

14-0951

Claimant: State Farm a/s/o Matthew Kanter

Claim Payment: \$3,958.00

Claim Number: 97010283

Department: Court Services Division

Property Damage: 2004 Dodge Caravan

Our Driver: Margie Sullivan

Unit/Plate No.: 5024

Prior Accidents: 0

Date of Accident: 06/14/2013

Location: Wolf Rd and 168th St., Orland Park, IL

Incident/Activity: Cook County Sheriff vehicle was travelling northbound on Wolf Rd near 168th Street in Orland Park, when she was unable to stop in time and rear ended the claimant's vehicle causing damages to its rear bumper.

Investigated by: CCMSI

Account: 542-846

14-1140

Claimant: Peter Gardenier
Claim Payment: \$1,260.27
Claim Number: 97010339
Department: Cook County Clerk of the Circuit Court
Property Damage: 2007 Lexus
Our Driver: John Harris
Unit Number: 52912
Prior Accidents: 0
Date of Accident: 08/23/2013
Location: 2323 South Rockwell Avenue, Chicago, Illinois
Incident/Activity: Cook County Clerk of the Circuit Court vehicle was travelling in the parking lot of 2323 South Rockwell Avenue, Chicago and struck the claimant's parked vehicle causing damages to its rear bumper.
Investigated by: CCMSI
Account: 542-846

14-1141

Claimant: Jeff Lunkes
Claim Payment: \$1,330.00
Claim Number: 97010234
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways
Property Damage: Fence
Date of Accident: 02/26/2013
Location: Nerge Road near Mitchell Trail, Elk Grove Village, IL
Incident/Activity: Cook County Highway Department snowplow crew while removing snow on Nerge Rd near Mitchell Trail in Elk Grove Village threw snow and debris onto the claimant's fence causing damages.
Investigated by: CCMSI
Account: 542-846

14-1204

Claimant: Allstate Insurance Companies a/s/o Gail Felice
Claim Payment: \$576.07
Claim Number: 97010306
Department: Cook County Sheriff's Police Department
Property Damage: 2000 Chevy Impala
Our Driver: Randall Quill
Unit/Plate No: 4160
Prior Accidents: 1
Date of Accident: 02/28/13
Location: 105 W. Dundee Rd, Arlington Heights, IL
Incident/Activity: Cook County Sheriff's Police vehicle was backing up in the parking lot at 105 W. Dundee Road and struck the left side of the claimant's vehicle causing damages.
Investigated by: CCMSI
Account Number: 542-846

14-1205

Claimant: Elisa Blandi
 Claim Payment: \$689.74
 Claim Number: 97010550
 Department: Court Services Division
 Property Damage: 2007 Toyota Corolla
 Our Driver: Arthur Evans
 Unit/Plate No.: 7393
 Prior Accidents: 1
 Date of Accident: 01/17/13
 Location: 2319 Rockwell Avenue, Chicago, IL
 Incident/Activity: Cook County Court Services Division vehicle was backing up in the parking lot at 2319 Rockwell Avenue in Chicago and struck the claimant's vehicle causing damages to its front bumper.
 Investigated by: CCMSI
 Account Number: 542-846

14-1207

Claimant: Vijayalakshmi Raghu
 Claim Payment: \$913.18
 Claim Number: 97010417
 Department: Cook County Sheriff's Police
 Property Damage: 2003 Honda Accord
 Our Driver: Brian Staudt
 Unit/Plate No: S16346
 Prior Accidents: 1
 Date of Accident: 06/03/2013
 Location: I-294 near Mile Post 38 in Leyden Township, IL
 Incident/Activity: Cook County Sheriff's Police Department vehicle was travelling westbound on I-294 near Mile Post 38 in Leyden Township and rear ended the claimant's vehicle causing damage to its rear bumper and muffler.
 Account Number: 542-846
 Investigated by: CCMSI

SELF-INSURANCE CLAIMS APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: \$8,998.94

SELF-INSURANCE CLAIMS TO BE APPROVED: \$8,998.26

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Steele, that the Self Insurance Claims be Recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS

14-1297

Case: Callaghan Paving Lawsuits
Case No: 05 CH 7262; 05 CH 7265; 04 CH 15263; 05 CH 7361;
05 CH 7362; 05 CH 7662; 05 CH 7371; 05 CH 8070;
05 CH 8071; 05 CH 8303; 05 CH 8314; 05 CH 11616;
05 CH 12434; 05 CH 12435; 05 CH 14814; 05 CH 15278;
05 CH 17198; 06 CH 2993; 06 CH 4115; 06 CH 5880;
06 CH 5881; 06 CH 27142; 06 CH 27143; 07 CH 12712;
07 CH 28728; 05 CH 12349; 06 CH 3029; 05 CH 0978
Settlement Amount: \$50,000.00
Payable to: Riordan McKee & Piper IOLTA Trust Account
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Mechanics' Lien Lawsuits

14-1146

Case: Brown v. Hobbs
Case No: 12 C 10074
Settlement Amount: \$6,500.00
Payable to: Sydney Brown
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of civil rights violation.

14-1148

Case: Miller v. Hotza
Case No: 11 C 5906
Settlement Amount: \$9,000.00
Payable to: Richard Miller
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation.

14-1149

Case: Stewart v. Cook County
Case No: 12 C 3835
Settlement Amount: \$4,000.00
Payable to: Lela Dickens-Stewart
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation.

14-1196

Case: Coe v. Cook County
Case No: 11 C 8754
Settlement Amount: \$3,500.00
Payable to: Fredrick Coe

Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of civil rights violations.

14-1071

Case: Burruss, et al. v. Cook County, et al.
Case No: 08 C 6621
Settlement Amount: \$2,400,000.00
Payable to: Kurtz Law Offices, Ltd., IOLTA Account
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: 10/1/2013
Subject matter: This matter alleges a First Amendment violation against the Sheriff occurring in November, 2006.

PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: \$6,051,762.86

PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS TO BE APPROVED: \$2,473,000.00

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Steele, that the Proposed Settlements be Recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

PATIENT/ARRESTEE CLAIMS

14-1139

The Department of Risk Management is submitting invoices totaling \$163,235.91 for payment of medical bills for services rendered to patients while in the custody of the Cook County Sheriff's Office. Bills are submitted for payment after review and repricing. Individual checks will be issued by the Comptroller in accordance with the submitted report prepared by the Department of Risk Management.

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Steele, that the Patient/Arrestee Claims be Recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

EMPLOYEES' INJURY COMPENSATION CLAIMS:

14-1256

The Department of Risk Management is submitting invoices totaling \$763,732.91 for payment of workers compensation costs incurred by employees injured on duty including settlements within the grant of authority

conveyed by the Cook County Board of Commissioners to the Department of Risk Management. Individual checks will be issued by the Comptroller in accordance with the submitted report prepared by the Department of Risk Management.

EMPLOYEES' INJURY COMPENSATION CLAIMS APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO

PRESENT: \$868,711.25

EMPLOYEES' INJURY COMPENSATION CLAIMS TO BE APPROVED: \$763,732.91

COMMISSIONER STEELE VOTED PRESENT ON THE CLAIM REGARDING MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL.

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Steele, that the Employees' Injury Compensation Claims be Recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

REVENUE REPORT

14-1492

REPORT

Department: Comptroller's Office

Request: Receive and File

Report Title: Revenue Report

Report Period: Period Ending 12/31/2013

Summary: Submitting for your information, the Revenue Report for the one month ended 12/31/2013 for the Corporate, Public Safety and Health Funds, as presented by the Bureau of Finance.

A motion was made by Commissioner Goslin, seconded by Commissioner Steele, moved to Receive and File the Revenue Report The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

14-0853

PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

Sponsored by LARRY SUFFREDIN, County Commissioner,

AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROCUREMENT CODE

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Part I, Chapter 34, Article IV, Division 7, Section 34-250 of the Cook County Code, is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 34-250. Reporting irregularities.

~~The Board encourages a~~Any Person involved in the procurement process, including employees, contractors, and those seeking to become Contractors, shall ~~to~~ report any suspected or known irregularities in the County's procurement process to ~~the County Compliance Officer~~ of the Office of the Cook County Inspector General. In addition to any applicable laws protecting whistleblowers, the County shall ensure that a report made in good faith will not result in any adverse action taken by the Board or the County against the Person making such a report. The CPO's procedures will include a mechanism to publish this provision to all appropriate Persons.

Effective date: This ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

Legislative History: 01/15/14 Board of Commissioners referred to the Finance Committee.

COMMISSIONER SUFFREDIN, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER REYES, MOVED TO ACCEPT THE SUBSITUTE FOR FILE ID 14-0853. THE MOTION CARRIED.

PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE ORDINANCE FOR FILE ID 14-0853

Sponsored by: LARRY SUFFREDIN, County Commissioner, JERRY BUTLER, EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA, ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE, EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY SCHNEIDER PETER SILVESTRI DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT B. STEELE, AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, County Commissioners.

AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROCUREMENT CODE

NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Part I, Chapter 34, Article IV, Division 7, Section 34-250 of the Cook County Code, is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 34-250. Reporting ~~irregularities~~ suspected or known fraudulent activity.

~~The Board encourages a~~Any Person involved in the Cook County procurement process, including employees, contractors, and those seeking to become Contractors do business with the County, shall ~~to~~ report directly and without delay, any suspected or known irregularities fraudulent activity in the County's procurement process to ~~the County Compliance Officer~~ of the Office of the Cook County Inspector General. In addition to any applicable laws protecting whistleblowers, the County shall ensure that a report made in good faith will not result in any adverse action taken by the Board or the County against the Person making such a report. The CPO's procedures will include a mechanism to publish this provision to all appropriate Persons.

Effective date: This ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

COMMISSIONER SUFFREDIN, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER REYES, MOVED TO APPROVE THE SUBSITUTE FOR FILE ID 14-0853. THE MOTION CARRIED BY THE FOLLOWING VOTE:

Aye Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Gainer, Garcia

Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Murphy (2)

14-0853

ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE LARRY SUFFREDIN, JERRY BUTLER, EARLEAN COLLINS,
JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCIA,
ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE,
EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS,
ROBERT B. STEELE AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI,
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROCUREMENT CODE

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Chapter 34 Finance, Article IV Procurement Code, Division 7 Integrity in the Procurement Process, Section 34-250 of the Cook County Code, is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 34-250. Reporting ~~irregularities~~ suspected or known fraudulent activity.

~~The Board encourages a~~Any Person involved in the Cook County procurement process, including employees, contractors, and those seeking to ~~become Contractors~~ do business with the County, shall ~~to~~ report directly and without delay, any suspected or known ~~irregularities~~ fraudulent activity in the County's procurement process to ~~the County Compliance Officer~~ of the Office of the Cook County Inspector General. In addition to any applicable laws protecting whistleblowers, the County shall ensure that a report made in good faith will not result in any adverse action taken by the Board or the County against the Person making such a report. The CPO's procedures will include a mechanism to publish this provision to all appropriate Persons.

Effective date: This Ordinance Amendment shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

ADJOURNMENT

Vice Chairman Sims, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion passed and the meeting was adjourned.

SECTION 2

YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTION

WITH REGARD TO THE MATTERS NAMED HEREIN:

Court Orders (pages 1-51)	Recommended for Approval
Workers' Compensation (pages 51-55)	Recommended for Approval
Subrogation Recoveries (page 55-56)	Recommended for Approval
Self-Insurance Claims (pages 56-58)	Recommended for Approval
Proposed Settlements (pages 59-60)	Recommended for Approval
Patient/Arrestee Claims (page 60)	Recommended for Approval
Employees' Injury Compensation (pages 60-61)	Recommended for Approval
Revenue Report (page 61)	Recommended for Receiving and Filing
File ID 14-0853 (page62-63)	Recommended for Approval as Amended

Respectfully submitted,

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

JOHN P. DALEY, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DeLEON, Secretary

Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, moved that the Report of the Committee on Finance be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.

14-1182

REPORT OF THE RULES AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

February 19, 2014

ATTENDANCE

Present: Chairman Suffredin, Vice Chairman Gorman, Commissioners Daley, Gainer, Schneider, Silvestri, Sims and Steele (8)

Absent: Commissioner Fritchey (1)

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

The Secretary announced that there were no public speakers.

SECTION 1

14-1074

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

COOK COUNTY CLERK, David Orr, presented in printed form a record of the Journal of Proceedings of the

regular meeting held on Wednesday, January 15, 2014.

A motion was made by Vice Chairman Gorman, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Journal of Proceedings be recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Suffredin, Vice Chairman Gorman, Commissioners Daley, Gainer, Schneider, Silvestri, Sims and Steele (8)

Absent: Commissioner Fritchey (1)

ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner Daley moved to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Commissioner Sims. The motion carried and the meeting was adjourned.

SECTION 2

**YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTION
WITH REGARD TO THE MATTERS NAMED HEREIN:**

File Id Number 14-1074

Recommended for Approval

Respectfully submitted,

COMMITTEE ON RULES AND ADMINISTRATION

LARRY SUFFREDIN, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DeLEON, Secretary

Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, moved that the Report of the Committee on Rules and Administration be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.

**14-1183
REPORT OF THE ZONING AND BUILDING COMMITTEE**

February 19, 2014

ATTENDANCE

Present: Chairman Silvestri, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Daley, Gainer, García, Gorman, Goslin, Reyes, Schneider, Sims, Steele, Tobolski and Moore (14)

Absent: Vice Chairman Murphy, Commissioners Fritchey and Suffredin (3)

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

The Secretary announced that there were no public speakers.

SECTION 1

VARIATIONS

14-1245

Presented by: ANDREW PRZYBYLO, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Request: Grant extension of time for one year

Township: Worth

County District: 6

Zoning Number: V 10-59

Docket Number: 8690

Property Address: 5811 W. 127th St, Palos Heights, Illinois

Property Description: The Subject Property consists of approximately 0.91 acre, located on the Southwest corner of 127th Street and Hill Drive in Worth Township

Owner: John Doyle

Applicant: John Doyle

Current Zoning: R-4 Single Family District.

Intended use: The Variation, previously approved, sought to (1) divide a parcel into two parcels: reduce parcel one from minimum required 20,000 square feet to 19,511 square feet, (2) reduce corner side yard setback from minimum required 25 feet to 4.9 feet (existing), (3) on parcel two reduce rear yard setback from minimum required 50 feet to 20 feet for proposed single family residence.

Recommendation: that the application be granted a one year extension of time (third time request).

Conditions: None

Objectors: None

History:

Zoning Board Hearing: 1/15/2014

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 1/15/2014

County Board extension granted: 1/18/2012, 2/27/2013

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Zoning Board of Appeals Recommendation be recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Silvestri, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Daley, Gainer, García, Gorman, Goslin, Reyes, Schneider, Sims, Steele, Tobolski and Moore (14)

Absent: Vice Chairman Murphy, Commissioners Fritchey and Suffredin (3)

14-1247

Presented by: ANDREW PRZYBYLO, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Request: Variation

Township: Stickney

County District: 11

Zoning Number: V 13-68

Docket Number: N/A

Property Address: The commonly known is 5052 S. Lotus Avenue, Chicago, Illinois.

Property Description: The Subject Property consists of approximately 0.14 acres, located on the West side of Lotus Avenue approximately 47 feet North of 51st Street in Stickney Township

Owner: Gerardo Hernandez

Applicant: Manuel Galvez

Current Zoning: R-5 Single Family Residence District

Intended use: (1) reduce the right interior side yard setback from the minimum required 10 feet to an existing 4.9 feet, (2) reduce the left interior side yard setback from the minimum required 10 feet to an existing 5.1 feet. Variance is sought in order to bring Subject Property into compliance.

Recommendation: ZBA Recommendation that the application be granted.

Conditions: None

Objectors: None

History:

Zoning Board Hearing: 1/15/2014

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 1/15/2014

County Board extension granted: N/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Zoning Board of Appeals Recommendation be recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Silvestri, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Daley, Gainer, García, Gorman, Goslin, Reyes, Schneider, Sims, Steele, Tobolski and Moore (14)

Absent: Vice Chairman Murphy, Commissioners Fritchey and Suffredin (3)

14-1249

Presented by: ANDREW PRZYBYLO, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Request: Variation

Township: Orland

County District: 17

Zoning Number: V 14-01

Docket Number: N/A

Property Address: The commonly known is 15729 113th Avenue, Orland Park, Illinois

Property Description: The Subject Property consists of approximately 0.30 acres, located on the East side of South 113th Avenue approximately 198.49 feet North of West 158th Street in Section 18, of Orland Township

Owner: Mohammad Abdelqader

Applicant: Nick Garneata

Current Zoning: R-4 Single Family Residence District

Intended use: (1) reduce the right interior side yard setback from the minimum required 15 feet to 8.3 feet and (2) reduce the front yard setback from the required 26 feet (20% of the lot depth) to an existing 25 feet. Variance is sought in order to construct a residential addition.

Recommendation: That the application granted

Conditions: None

Objectors: None

History:

Zoning Board Hearing: 1/15/2014

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 1/15/2014

County Board extension granted: N/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Gorman, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Zoning Board of Appeals Recommendation be recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Silvestri, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Daley, Gainer, García, Gorman, Goslin, Reyes, Schneider, Sims, Steele, Tobolski and Moore (14)

Absent: Vice Chairman Murphy, Commissioners Fritchey and Suffredin (3)

14-1250

Presented by: ANDREW PRZYBYLO, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Request: Variation

Township: Leyden

County District: 16

Zoning Number: V 14-02

Docket Number: N/A

Property Address: The commonly known is 2129 North Scott Street, Melrose Park, Illinois.

Property Description: The Subject Property consists of approximately 0.35 acres, located on the East side of Scott Street approximately 254.48 feet South of Palmer Avenue in Leyden Township.

Owner: Dundee Motors Inc.

Applicant: Dundee Motors Inc. /James Anderson

Current Zoning: R-5 Single Family Residence District

Intended use: Applicant seeks to reduce the left side yard setback from the minimum required 10 feet to an existing 3.8 feet. Variance is sought in order to bring an existing detached garage into compliance.

Recommendation: That the application be granted.

Conditions: None

Objectors: None

History:

Zoning Board Hearing: 1/15/2014

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 1/15/2014

County Board extension granted: N/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Zoning Board of Appeals Recommendation be recommended for approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Silvestri, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Daley, Gainer, García, Gorman, Goslin, Reyes, Schneider, Sims, Steele, Tobolski and Moore (14)

Absent: Vice Chairman Murphy, Commissioners Fritchey and Suffredin (3)

ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Commissioner Reyes, seconded by Commissioner Steele, that this board meeting was to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Silvestri, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Daley, Gainer, García, Gorman, Goslin, Reyes, Schneider, Sims, Steele, Tobolski and Moore (14)

Absent: Vice Chairman Murphy, Commissioners Fritchey and Suffredin (3)

SECTION 2

YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITH REGARD TO THE MATTERS NAMED HEREIN:

File Id Number 14-1245	Recommended for Approval
File Id Number 14-1247	Recommended for Approval
File Id Number 14-1249	Recommended for Approval
File Id Number 14-1250	Recommended for Approval

Respectfully submitted,

COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND BUILDING

PETER N. SILVESTRI, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DELEON, Secretary

Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, moved that the Report of the Committee on Zoning and Building be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.

14-1403

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATION AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

February 19, 2014

ATTENDANCE

Present: Chairman Suffredin, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Daley, Gorman, Moore, Reyes, Schneider, Sims, Steele and Tobolski (11)

Absent: Vice Chairman Fritchey, Commissioners Gainer, García, Goslin, Murphy and Silvestri (6)

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

Chairman Suffredin asked the Secretary to the Board to call upon the registered public speaker, in accordance with Cook County Code, Sec. 2-107(dd).

1. George Blakemore, Concerned Citizen

SECTION 1

14-0810

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee: Nancy Holguin Rivas

Position: Member

Department/Board/Commission: Cook County Zoning Board of Appeals

Effective date: Immediate

Expiration date: 2/19/2019, or until a successor is appointed

Legislative History: 1/15/14 Board of Commissioners referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee

Chairman Suffredin requested that a letter from President Preckwinkle to Chairman Suffredin, dated February 18, 2014, in which President Preckwinkle withdraws her nomination of Nancy Holguin Rivas to the Cook County Zoning Board of Appeals, be added to the record.

A motion was made by Commissioner Gorman, seconded by Commissioner Collins, that this Appointment be received and filed. The motion carried by the following vote:

Aye: Chairman Suffredin, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Daley, Gorman, Moore, Reyes, Steele, Schneider, Sims, and Tobolski (11)

Absent: Vice Chairman Fritchey, Commissioners Gainer, Garcia, Goslin, Murphy and Silvestri (6)

ADJOURNMENT

Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Steele, moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion passed and the meeting was adjourned.

SECTION 2

**YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTION
WITH REGARD TO THE MATTER NAMED HEREIN:**

FILE ID 14-0810

Recommended for Receiving and Filing

Respectfully submitted,

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATION AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

LARRY SUFFREDIN, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DeLEON, Secretary

Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Daley, moved that the Report of the Committee on Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.

BUREAU OF FINANCE
DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET MANAGEMENT SERVICES

14-1317

Presented by: Andrea Gibson, Director, Department of Budget and Management Services

REPORT

Department: Department of Budget and Management Services

Request: Receive and File

Report Title: Bond Series Status Report

Report Period: 2013 Fiscal Year, 4th Quarter ending 11/30/2013

Summary: Submitting for you information, the Bond Series Status Report for the 2013 Fiscal Year, 4th Quarter ending 11/30/2013, in accordance with FY 2013 Resolution Section Number 16.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be received and filed. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF FINANCE
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COMPTROLLER

14-1349

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

REPORT

Department: Comptroller's Office

Request: Receive and File

Report Title: Bills and Claims Report

Report Period: 12/26/2013 - 1/29/2014

Summary: This report to be received and filed is to comply with the Amended Procurement Code Chapter 34-125 (k).

The Comptroller shall provide to the Board of Commissioners a report of all payments made pursuant to contracts for supplies, materials and equipment and for professional and managerial services for Cook County, including the separately elected Officials, which involve an expenditure of \$150,000.00 or more, within two (2) weeks of being made. Such reports shall include:

1. The name of the Vendor;
 2. A brief description of the product or service provided;
 3. The name of the Using Department and budgetary account from which the funds are being drawn; and
 4. The contract number under which the payment is being made.
-

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be received and filed. The motion carried.

Commissioner Gainer voted "present".

BUREAU OF FINANCE
DEPARTMENT OF RISK MANAGEMENT

14-0299

Presented by: DEANNA ZALAS, Director, Department of Risk Management

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Department of Risk Management

Vendor: Mesirow Insurance Services, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

Request: Requesting authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to increase and extend contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Insurance Brokerage and Professional Services

Original Contract Period: 2/28/2011 - 2/27/2014

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 2/28/2014 - 7/1/2015

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$17,100,000.00

Original Approval: 3/1/2011, \$16,500,000.00

Previous Board Increase(s): 6/19/2013, \$600,000.00

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s): N/A

This Increase Requested: \$8,951,243.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2014 \$7,102,028.00

Accounts: 542-258

Contract Number(s): 11-45-48

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: On 3/1/2011 the Cook County Board of Commissioners authorized the prior Purchasing Agent to enter into a contract with Mesirow Insurance Services, Inc. for Insurance Brokerage and Professional Services in an amount to not exceed \$16,500,000.00 for a period of 2/28/2011 through 2/27/2014. Amendment #1 was approved on 6/19/2013 by the Cook County Board of Commissioners for an increase in the amount of \$600,000.00. The request for Amendment #2 represents an increase in value of \$8,951,243 to secure an Excess Municipal Liability Program, Excess Healthcare Professional Liability Program and an Excess Property Insurance Program through 7/1/2015. The sixteen month time frame is requested in order to align the policies with a July 1 inception date. This is the first extension for time under this contract.

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

Commissioner Daley voted “present”.

BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

14-1213

Presented by: CARL BYRD, Chief Administrative Officer, Bureau of Administration

PROPOSED MISCELLANEOUS ITEM OF BUSINESS

Department: Bureau of Administration

Request: Receive and File

Report Period: N/A

Summary: Policies and Procedures relative to Zipcar and Shared Fleet programs for Cook-County employees.

Purpose

The Zipcar and Shared Fleet programs are part of a countywide effort to make transportation resources convenient and accessible to Cook County employees while reducing transportation-related expenses. Through a partnership with Zipcar Inc., participating employees will have access to vehicles located throughout the County, as well as county-owned vehicles housed in the loop and at suburban county facilities as needed to execute their County related duties. In this policy, you will find information concerning eligibility, Department enrollment and responsibilities, vehicle access, program rules, and penalties for violating program rules.

Eligibility

Eligible Travel Needs

Zipcars may be used for short-term, work-related travel not exceeding 4 hours on Monday through Friday between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. Any requests to use a Zipcar outside the allotted time must be approved by the Department Vehicle Coordinator.

Shared Fleet cars may be used for work-related travel that is expected to exceed 4 hours; and/or needs to be conducted during evenings after 7:00 p.m. or weekends; and/or in locations where Zipcars are not accessible (i.e. the Juvenile Temporary Detention Center and the Department of Corrections). However, if no Shared Fleet cars are available, the employee may reserve a Zipcar provided that the employee submits a request with written justification in advance and his/her Vehicle Coordinator approves such request.

Eligible Departments

Cook County departments and user agencies with employees who have to travel away from their permanent work

location for the purpose of conducting County business may enroll eligible drivers in this program. Department Heads and using Agencies should be mindful of employees' travel needs and only enroll employees with a legitimate work related need and purpose.

Eligible Drivers

In order to be eligible to drive a Zipcar or Shared Fleet vehicle as part of this program, drivers must meet all of the following criteria:

1. Driver must be a Cook County employee;
2. Driver must have a valid Illinois driver's license;
3. Driver must be at least 21 years of age;
4. Driver must be approved to participate in this program by his/her Department Head; and
5. To drive a Zipcar, Driver must additionally meet Zipcar's membership requirements, which include but are not limited to having a driving record that meets the following requirements:
 - a. No more than two "incidents" (moving violations or accidents) in the past three years and no more than one in the past 18 months;
 - b. No major violations (excessive speed 20 mph or more over speed limit; operating to endanger, reckless driving, etc.; leaving the scene of an accident involving property damage; operating a motor vehicle with a suspended or revoked license; speeding in a school zone or similar events); and
 - c. No alcohol or drug related violations in the past seven years.

See, <http://www.zipcar.com/chicago/apply/membership-requirements> for details.

Department Enrollment & Responsibilities

Any department or using agency that would like to have Zipcar and Shared Fleet cars available to its employees must register as a participating department or using agency before the employee may be enrolled in the program.

The Department Head must appoint their Vehicle Coordinator to be the point of contact for all administrative matters associated with this program.

The Vehicle Coordinator must contact the Bureau of Administration at (312) 603-3055 in order to create an account and enroll employees as drivers.

Employee Enrollment

The Vehicle Coordinator may only enroll employees that are verified as "Eligible Drivers" per the criteria listed above.

The Vehicle Coordinator must submit all approved employee requests to use Zipcars outside of the allotted hours (Monday to Friday, between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m.), with the employees' written justifications to the Bureau of

Administration on a monthly basis.

Vehicle Access

Zipcar Access: Enrolled employees will receive a “Zipcard” that will be used to lock and unlock their reserved vehicles, as well as a username and password to access www.Zipcar.com to make reservations.

Shared Fleet Access: Enrolled employees will receive a Shared Fleet vehicle access card that will be used to lock and unlock their reserved vehicles, as well as a username and password to access cookcounty.fastfleet.net to make reservations.

Rules of the Program

General Rules:

1. Cars may not be used without a reservation.
2. Users must look-over the exterior and interior of their reserved vehicle and report any damage or abnormality to the Zipcar or Shared Fleet toll free numbers listed on the access card prior to beginning their trip.
3. Vehicles must be returned on time to the vehicle’s home location. Only the registered user who reserved the vehicle may drive during the reservation time. The user who makes the reservation will be held responsible for any damage to or violations against the vehicle during the reservation time.
4. Cars may not be driven by anyone except the eligible driver who made the reservation.
5. Cellphone use of any kind is prohibited while driving.
6. Smoking in car is prohibited.
7. User must turn off the ignition when the vehicle is not in use.
8. If the vehicle gas tank is less than ¼ full, the user must re-fill the tank before returning the vehicle and within the reservation time.
 - a. Zipcar: A Zipcar-provided gas card is available in the driver’s side visor. If there is a problem with the card, employees must call the 866-number listed on their Zipcard. Cook County will not reimburse employees for fueling Zipcars with their own money. Fees for not complying with this rule will be charged to the employee.
 - b. Shared Fleet: Wright Express cards are available in each car with directions on how to use it. The driver listed in the reservation will be responsible for any misuse of the Wright Express card during that reservation time.
9. User must remove all trash and personal belongings before leaving the car at the end of the reservation.
10. User must comply with the Cook County Vehicle Policy, any policies and procedures associated with use of County-provided means of transportation promulgated by the employee’s Department, and any applicable local, state, or federal traffic laws.
11. The person who makes the reservation will be held responsible for any damage, fines, and/or penalties issued against the vehicle during the reservation time.

Additional Rules for Shared Fleet car use:

1. Vehicles are equipped with parking transponders or key cards for the garages that house them. These transponders or key cards may never be removed from the car. Replacement fees for lost or stolen transponders and key cards will be charged to the employee who made the reservation at the time of the loss.
2. When fueling the vehicle, employees must enter their designated pin number and an accurate odometer reading for the vehicle. Only the gas card assigned to that vehicle may be used to fuel it.
3. The gas card may only be used to purchase the lowest octane gasoline. Neither maintenance nor car washes may be purchased with a gas card. If the vehicle needs maintenance or a wash, please call the 855- telephone number to report what needs to be done.
4. Employees may not reserve cars if they do not need to use them. Employees who abandon reservations may be disciplined and/or become ineligible to use Shared Fleet cars.

Additional Rules for Zipcar use:

1. To drive a Zipcar, Driver must comply with the terms and conditions listed in the Zipcar Membership Contract.
2. Zipcars may not be kept overnight. They must be returned to the Zipcar “home parking space,” as required by Zipcar’s policy, on time. If the driver cannot return it by the end of the reservation, he/she must extend his/her reservation before the time expires. Late fees will be charged to the employee who reserved the vehicle and may result in disciplinary action.
3. If an employee needs access to a Zipcar outside of the allotted hours (Monday to Friday, between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m.), he/she must submit a request with written justification to his/her Vehicle Coordinator and obtain his/her approval in advance.
4. Only non-luxury vehicles may be reserved under the Zipcar program; luxury vehicles (i.e. Audis and BMWs) may not be used or reserved under this program. Reservations charged to the County for luxury vehicles will be charged back to the employee who made the reservation and may result in disciplinary action.
5. Users who have to cancel a reservation must do so at least 3 hours prior to the start of the reservation. Any fees charged to the County because of failure to comply with this rule will be charged to the employee and may result in disciplinary action.
6. Users must additionally comply with each of “Zipcar’s 6 Simple Rules,” listed below:
 - a. Report Damage
 - b. Keep it Clean
 - c. No Smoking
 - d. Fill’er Up
 - e. Return on Time
 - f. Pets in Carriers

See, <http://www.zipcar.com/is-it/rules> for details.

Violations

Employees who violate any applicable local, state, or federal traffic laws or Zipcar rules are responsible for

paying any fines or penalties they incur.

Should an employee receive such a fine or penalty, his/her Zipcar access maybe suspended until the fine or penalty is paid.

Failure to comply with this policy and any applicable local, state or federal traffic laws may result in loss of car sharing program privileges and/or disciplinary action; up to and including discharge.

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Miscellaneous Item of Business be received and filed. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE OF THE MEDICAL EXAMINER

14-0607

Presented by: STEPHEN J. CINA, M.D., Chief Medical Examiner

PROPOSED AGREEMENT

Department: Cook County Medical Examiner

Other Part(ies): Des Moines University, Des Moines, Iowa

Request: authorization for Cook County on behalf of the Medical Examiner's Office to enter into and execute a clinical education agreement with Des Moines University (DMU) students.

Goods or Services: The purpose of this Agreement is to set forth in writing the understanding of the parties as to the cooperative efforts of the parties in the education and instruction of DMU's undergraduate students. DMU desires to affiliate with Clinical Site to provide students an active clinical experience. DMU believes it can achieve this goal with the Clinical Site.

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Agreement Period: 4/1/2014 - 3/31/2017

Fiscal Impact: Revenue Generating.

Accounts: N/A

Summary: IV. Clinical Site Agrees:

A. to designate a program director from its professional staff who will be in charge of the student training and will serve as the site's liaison to the senior associate dean for clinical affairs within the College of Osteopathic Medicine.

- B. to provide teaching and full time supervision of clinical activities of students by fully accredited physicians and/or specialty residents appropriate to the service students are assigned to.
 - C. to determine the content and educational experience of the rotation based on the College of Osteopathic Medicine's clinical rotation guidelines, the site's clinical needs and patient availability at the site and the clinic expertise of the student. The program director or designee will provide orientation regarding the site's expected policies/procedures and provide a duty schedule for the student either upon or prior to the student's arrival to the Clinical Site.
 - D. to provide a complete evaluation and rating of the student's performance on a specified time basis as outlined in the College of Osteopathic Medicine 's clinical clerkship guidelines. A grade will also be determined by the faculty in accordance with clerkship guidelines.
 - E. to allow each student to critique the clinical experience received on each rotation at the Clinical Site.
 - F. to participate in a survey or clinical site visit by a representative of the College of Osteopathic Medicine to obtain information as needed for activities related to the accreditation of the College.
 - G. that students will not be treated as or considered employees of Clinical Site nor are students eligible for worker 's compensation as they are not employees of Clinical Site or the College of Osteopathic Medicine.
 - H. that Clinical Site at its sole cost and expense shall procure and maintain in full force and effect throughout the term of this Agreement such policies as general liability, professional liability and other insurances as shall be necessary to insure Clinical Site, its employees and agents against claim or claims for damages arising by reason of personal injuries or death occasioned directly or indirectly in connection with the performance of any service by Clinical Site in connection with this Agreement. Clinical Site may provide a program of self-insurance to comply with the requirements of this Paragraph H. Upon request by any party to this Agreement, Clinical Site shall deliver copies of such policies to that party prior to or upon execution of the Agreement and any modifications, extensions or renewals or such policies prior to or upon each anniversary date of this Agreement thereafter. Clinical Site agrees to notify the other parties to this Agreement immediately upon notification from the insurance carrier that a policy will be canceled.
- V. DMU Agrees:
- A. that the senior associate dean for clinical affairs will represent the College of Osteopathic Medicine in all matters of this contract and serve as liaison to Clinical Site.
 - B. in cooperation with Clinical Site's program director or designee, will appoint qualified physicians participating in the program as clinical faculty members. Such individuals shall be available at all times for consultation to students who are participating in the clinical experience.
 - C. and warrants that all students participating in a clinical experience shall have successfully finished pre-clinical courses and shall be in the third or fourth year of medical education. Any exception must be approved by the dean of the College of Osteopathic Medicine and Clinical Site administration.
 - D. that individual letters of good standing will be sent to Clinical Site by the College of Osteopathic Medicine for each student rotating at Clinical Site.
 - E. that each student will furnish proof of personal health insurance and verification of immunizations to include: Tuberculosis or chest x-ray, Rubeola, Rubella, Hepatitis B, Varicella and Tetanus to Clinical Site prior to rotation.

F. to acknowledge that Clinical Site or any of its clinical settings will have no responsibility for the health care needs of students except on an emergency basis and with prompt notification to the College of Osteopathic Medicine. DMU further acknowledges that the University is not responsible for the health care needs of the students and that without proof of personal health insurance students will not be allowed to leave campus for clinical rotations.

G. that each student will furnish a certificate of training as required by OSHA to Clinical Site prior to the rotation and upon request by Clinical Site and each student shall be responsible for getting a personal respiratory equipment fitting prior to his/her clinical rotation, and supplying this information to the Clinical Site.

H. to assure Clinical Site that upon request students will provide proof to Clinical Site that they have current CPR Professional Certification - two-man-CPR (American Heart Association BLS, American Red Cross or Equivalent Standard).

I. that each student has obtained a criminal background check prior to leaving campus for rotations. The College of Osteopathic Medicine will be the central repository for all files concerning criminal background checks of its students and shall be responsible to report any criminal acts in writing to Clinical Site before students report for rotation. Any failure to do this will negate the rotation and agreement between the University and Clinical Site. Any additional background checks required by Clinical Site are the responsibility of the student to obtain and all costs related to the additional background checks are that of the student as well.

J. to keep in force professional liability insurance coverage for all of its students on assignment to Clinical Site with limits of \$2 million each claim and \$4 million aggregate covering students and the University. The students must be approved and assigned by the College of Osteopathic Medicine's Clinical Affairs Department to activate this coverage. The University is not responsible for liability coverage for the Clinical Site or its employees and upon request Clinical Site, the University will deliver copies of such policy to that party prior to or upon execution of this agreement and any modifications, extensions or renewals or such policies prior to or upon each anniversary date of this agreement thereafter. The University agrees to notify the other parties to this agreement immediately upon notification from the insurance carrier that a policy will be cancelled.

K. to keep in force general liability insurance coverage for all of its students on assignment to Clinical Site with limits of \$1 million each claim and \$5 million aggregate covering students and the University. The students must be approved and assigned by the College of Osteopathic Medicine's Clinical Affairs Department to activate this coverage. The University is not responsible for general liability coverage for Clinical Site or its employees and upon request by Clinical Site, the University will deliver copies of such policy to that party prior to or upon each anniversary date of this agreement thereafter. The University agrees to notify the other parties to this agreement immediately upon notification from the insurance carrier that a policy will be cancelled.

L. to take any action necessary to correct a breach of professional decorum or unsatisfactory performance of a student as reported by the Program Director of Clinical Site.

M. to be responsible for granting leave of absence from duty. Should a long-term absence occur, a note from the dean's office will be needed prior to the student's return to rotations. No vacation time can be scheduled during a Clinical Site rotation.

N. to advise students to abide by the rules of Clinical Site during the period of rotation, including attendance at daily educational conferences, prompt reporting of illness or absence to supervising physician and the wearing of proper attire.

O. to require all students to maintain the confidentiality of all patients and all information relating to patients in accordance with the Code of Ethics established by the American Osteopathic Association. DMU further agrees to require the students to agree to maintain the confidentiality of all proprietary information of the clinical site. The unauthorized release and/or discussion of patient of Clinical Site proprietary information can result in the termination of the participation of a student in the program or the termination of the Agreement.

P. to inform and explain to students while they are at Clinical Site they will be under the jurisdiction of the Clinical Site officials for training purposes and will follow Clinical Site rules to the extent that such rules relate directly to education and training. DMU will also inform students of their need to comply with Clinical Site policies and rules including those pertaining to the patient privacy and HIPAA regulations.

Q. the parties acknowledge that many student educational records are protected by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act ("FERPA") and that student permission must be obtained before releasing specific student data to anyone other than DMU.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Interagency Agreement be approved. The motion carried.

14-0807

Presented by: STEPHEN J. CINA, M.D., Chief Medical Examiner

PROPOSED CONTRACT

Department(s): Cook County Medical Examiner

Vendor: Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, California

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Agilent Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry System and Gas Chromatography Dual Flame Ionization Detector

Contract Value: \$171,356.90

Contract period: One-time purchase

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2013 \$171,356.90

Accounts: 717/259-540

Contract Number(s): 1335-12867

Concurrences:

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: Purchase of specialized medical equipment from vendor that is compatible with all other lab equipment currently used in the Toxicology Lab of the Medical Examiner's Office.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.

14-1301

Presented by: STEPHEN J. CINA, M.D., Chief Medical Examiner

PROPOSED PAYMENT APPROVAL

Department(s): Cook County Medical Examiner

Action: To allow the Medical Examiner's Office to make payment for neuropathological consultant services while contract was being procured.

Payee: Dr. Marc Reyes, Woodridge, Illinois

Good(s) or Service(s): professional neuropathological consultant services

Fiscal Impact: \$6,700.00

Accounts: 259-272

Contract Number(s): 1328-12691

Summary: This is a professional neuropathology consultant that assists the doctors at the Medical Examiner's Office in determining cause of death by further analyzing decedent's brain. This payment is for necessary services performed during the time the Office of the Chief Procurement Officer conducted a procurement process to award a Cook County contract for these services. This is an on-going professional consultant service that is needed at the Medical Examiner

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Payment Approval be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS

14-0791

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED AGREEMENT FOR REIMBURSEMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Other Part(ies): Village of Skokie, Illinois

Request: Authorization to enter into and execute

Goods or Services: Reimbursement Agreement with the Village of Skokie wherein the County will design and construct improvements along Old Orchard Road from Harms Road to the Edens Expressway (I-94) southbound ramps, including new water main casing pipe installation.

Location: Old Orchard Road - Harms Road to the Edens Expressway (I-94) southbound ramps in the Village of Skokie

Section Number: 00-A8326-01-RP

County Board District: 13, 14

Centerline Mileage: 0.74 miles

Agreement Period: N/A

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$37,288.00 (100% to be reimbursed from the Village of Skokie)

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

Summary: The Village will reimburse the County for its share of improvement costs, estimated total Village share \$37,288.00. This Agreement is necessitated by the improvement and is needed to provide a safe, comfortable and sustainable highway.

14-0791

RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLVED by the members of The Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, on behalf of the County of Cook, to authorize and direct its President to execute, by original signature or authorized signature stamp, two (2) copies of a Reimbursement Agreement with the Village of Skokie, said agreement attached, wherein the County will include installation of new water main casing pipe for the Village as part of the County's roadway construction project along Old Orchard Road from Harms Road to the Edens Expressway (I-94) southbound ramps, Section: 00-A8326-01-RP; that the Village of Skokie shall reimburse the County of Cook for its share of construction costs for said water main casing pipe, estimated total Village cost \$37,288.00; and, the Department of Transportation and Highways is authorized and directed to return one (1) executed copy of this Resolution with Agreement to the Village of Skokie and implement the terms of the Agreement.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Agreement for Reimbursement (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

14-0796

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Other Part(ies): South Suburban Mayors and Managers Association, East Hazel Crest, Illinois

Request: Authorization to enter into and execute

Goods or Services: Corridor Study along the Bishop Ford Freeway (IL-394)

Location: Bishop Ford Freeway (IL-394) from the proposed location of an interchange with the Illiana Expressway south of Beecher to the Moline Expressway (I-80)

Section: 12-6HISP-36-ES

County Board District: 5 and 6

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Agreement Period: N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$15,625.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

Summary: The Department of Transportation and Highways submits for execution an Intergovernmental Agreement between the South Suburban Mayors and Managers Association (SSMMA) and Cook County, wherein the SSMMA will conduct a Corridor Study along the Bishop Ford Freeway (IL-394) from the proposed location of an interchange with the Illiana Expressway south of Beecher to the Moline Expressway (I-80).

The purpose of the study is to identify and address transportation needs, land use, economic development, infrastructure and other related issues along the corridor. The County will reimburse the South Suburban Mayors and Managers Association for five (5%) percent of the study costs, estimated total County share \$15,625.00, under Cook County Section: 12-6HISP-36-ES.

This Agreement has been reviewed and approved as to form by the Cook County State's Attorney's Office.

**14-0796
APPROPRIATING RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

WHEREAS, Cook County has the largest concentration of Class I rail lines and intermodal facilities in the US; and,

WHEREAS, more than three-quarters of truck trips generated by intermodal facilities involve transporting freight to or through Cook County; and, many of these truck trips utilize and/or intersect County Highways; and,

WHEREAS, there is a need to study and evaluate the current conditions in rail and truck infrastructure in Central and South Cook County to accommodate current and future freight traffic, ease congestion, and promote the growth of Freight, Manufacturing and Distribution business; and,

WHEREAS, it is necessary to ensure the judicious use of funds available for County Highways to satisfy the needs of the general motoring public and regional redevelopment; and,

WHEREAS, the undertaking of studies and investigations related to County highways and regional development is authorized under Section 605 ILCS 5/5-701.6 of the Road and Bridge Laws of Illinois; and,

WHEREAS, the County Department of Transportation and Highways does not have the trained manpower nor sophisticated computer software to develop a Freight Rail Study.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that an outside consulting engineering firm conduct a Freight Rail Study to gather freight data, identify existing freight rail systems and grade crossings, identify intermodal facilities and freight corridors, analyze and evaluate current system capability and needs that impact truck and freight rail flow, review various related publications and research, identify potential rail freight investments and alternatives, conduct a series of open and participatory meetings, develop rail freight models and simulations, and provide recommendations and a comprehensive report and other necessary engineering tasks and shall include supervision by County Forces as more fully described in a forthcoming contract to be executed by this Honorable Body; and that said services shall be designated as Section: 12-6HISP-36-ES; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of Nine Hundred Thousand and NO/100 Dollars, (\$900,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the development of this study; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President

Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Intergovernmental Agreement (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

14-0803

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Other Part(ies): Village of Skokie. Illinois

Request: Authorization to enter into and execute

Goods or Services: Intergovernmental Agreement with the Village of Skokie wherein the County will design and construct improvements along Crawford Avenue from Devon Avenue to Oakton Street, including sidewalk, landscaping and EVPD System for the Village of Skokie.

Location: Crawford Avenue - Devon Avenue to Oakton Street in the Village of Skokie Centerline Mileage: 2.00 miles

Section: 08-W4337-03-PV

County Board District: 13

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Agreement Period: N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$9,600,000.00 (\$257,232.00 to be reimbursed from the Village of Skokie)

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

Summary: The Village will reimburse the County for its share of improvement costs, estimated total Village share \$257,232.00.

This Agreement is necessitated by the improvement and is needed to provide a safe, comfortable and sustainable highway. The Agreement has been examined and approved by this Department and by the State's Attorney's Office.

**14-0803
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLVED by the members of The Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, on behalf of the County of Cook, to authorize and direct its President to execute, by original signature or authorized signature stamp, two (2) copies of an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Village of Lincolnwood, said agreement attached, wherein the County will include the installation of water main, fire hydrants, new sidewalk, Emergency Vehicle Pre-Emptive Device (EVPD) system, street lighting and tree plantings/landscaped medians for the Village as part of the County's roadway construction project along Crawford Avenue from Devon Avenue to Oakton Street, Section: 08-W4337-03-PV; and, in consideration of said tree plantings/landscaped medians, the Village will operate and maintain the landscaped medians and parkways along Crawford Avenue from Devon Avenue to Jarvis Avenue; that the Village shall reimburse the County of Cook for its share of construction costs for said improvements, estimated total Village cost \$2,511,211.44; and, the Department of Transportation and Highways is authorized and directed to return an executed copy of this Resolution with Agreement to the Village of Lincolnwood and implement the terms of the Agreement.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Intergovernmental Agreement (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

14-0835

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

REPORT

Department: Department of Transportation and Highways

Request: Receive and File.

Report Title: Construction Bureau Progress Report

Report Period: Ending 12/31/2013

Summary: Submitting a copy of the Construction Bureau Progress Report ending 12/31/2013.

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Report be approved. The motion carried.

14-0884

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION APPROVAL RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Other Part(ies): Martam Construction Inc., City of Elgin, Illinois.

Action: Approve

Good(s) or Service(s): The awarded contract amount of this project was \$10,874,385.65 and the final construction cost is \$10,473,123.06. The decrease reflects the deduction in aggregate base course, concrete median, concrete curb and gutter, asphalt stabilized subbase, binder and surface courses per field conditions.

Location of Project: Group 1-2009: West Bartlett Road-Spitzer Road to Illinois Rt. 59 (Ingaltion Avenue); Munger/Naperville Road-DuPage County Line to West Bartlett Road; DuPage County Highway 18- North Munger Road in the Village of Bartlett, the City of Elgin and Unincorporated Hanover Township, Cook County.

Section: 00-B1003-05-RP

County Board District: 15

Contract Number: N/A

Federal Project Number: N/A

Federal Job Number: N/A

Final Cost: \$10,473,123.06 Final cost of project Motor Fuel Tax Funds Account # 600-600

Percent Above or Below Construction Contract Bid Amount: -\$401,262.59 or 3.7% below the Construction Contract Bid Amount

Summary: The Department of Transportation and Highways respectfully submits a resolution approving the construction of the above captioned project in the Village of Bartlett, the City of Elgin and Unincorporated Hanover Township, Cook County.

On 5/5/2009, your Honorable Body awarded a contract to Martam Construction Inc., Elgin, Illinois for the aforesaid improvement to be completed in accordance with the plans and specifications.

The work, consisting of complete removal and reconstruction of West Bartlett Road from station 49+00 to station 113+07 with concrete pavement, aggregate subgrade, combination concrete curb and gutter, concrete and landscape medians, storm sewer, drainage structures, guardrail removal and replacement, traffic signals, detector loops, restoring with topsoil and sodding, tree planting, traffic protection, pavement striping and in addition, resurfacing West Bartlett Road from station 34+64 to 49+00 was repaired with patching, widening and overlaid with leveling binder and surface course, aggregate subgrade, storm sewer, water main removal and replacement, restoring with topsoil and sodding, traffic protection and pavement striping, the work on North Munger/Naperville Road from station 365+50 to station 406+52 consisting of concrete pavement, aggregate subgrade, concrete curb and gutter, landscaped median, traffic control and pavement striping, the work on Naperville Road from station 406+52 to station 413+50, consisting of total removal and reconstruction with binder and surface course, aggregate subgrade, restoring with topsoil and sodding, traffic and pavement striping, in addition along the side of Naperville Road a bituminous pathway was constructed with binder and surface course, aggregate subgrade, concrete curb and gutter, traffic control, sodding, pavement striping and miscellaneous appurtenances, has been completed under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Superintendent of Transportation and Highways.

14-0084

APPROVAL RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, the highway improvement, Group 1-2009: West Bartlett Road- Spitzer Road to Illinois Rt. 59 (Ingalt Avenue), Munger/Naperville Road- Dupage County Line to West Bartlett Road, Dupage County Highway 18- North Munger Road, Section 00-B1003-05-RP. The work, consisting of complete removal and reconstruction of West Bartlett Road from station 49+00 to station 113+07 with concrete pavement, aggregate subgrade, combination concrete curb and gutter, concrete and landscape medians, storm sewer, drainage structures, guardrail removal and replacement, traffic signals, detector loops, restoring with topsoil and sodding, tree planting, traffic protection, pavement striping and in addition, resurfacing West Bartlett Road from station 34+64 to 49+00 was repaired with patching, widening and overlaid with leveling binder and surface course, aggregate subgrade, storm sewer, water main removal and replacement, restoring with topsoil and sodding, traffic protection and pavement striping, the work on North Munger/Naperville Road from station 365+50 to station 406+52 consisting of concrete pavement, aggregate subgrade, concrete curb and gutter, landscaped median, traffic control and pavement striping, the work on Naperville Road from station 406+52 to station 413+50, consisting of total removal and reconstruction with binder and surface course, aggregate subgrade, restoring with topsoil and sodding, traffic protection and pavement striping, in addition along the side of Naperville Road a bituminous pathway was constructed with binder and surface course, aggregate subgrade, concrete curb and gutter, traffic control, sodding, pavement striping, all other related work and miscellaneous appurtenances, have been regularly awarded by the Board of County Commissioners for construction as a County Highway improvement, and

WHEREAS, the aforesaid highway improvement has been satisfactorily completed in accordance with the provisions and stipulations of aforesaid contract

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the work and construction of aforesaid contract be, and hereby, is approved.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Completion of

Construction Approval Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

14-1017

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED APPROPRIATING RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Other Part(ies): N/A

Request: Approval

Good(s) or Services(s): Construction Engineering and Inspection Services to be conducted by an outside consulting engineering firm for the construction of County projects at various locations throughout Cook County, and said services shall be supervised by County Forces.

Location: Various locations throughout Cook County in County Board Districts: Countywide

Section: 14-8CEGN-03-EG

Fiscal Impact: \$4,400,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

Summary: These services are needed to enable the Department to continue its mission of providing safe, efficient and effective transportation facilities for the general public in Cook County. This appropriation is made in anticipation of a forthcoming contract to be presented to your Honorable Body at a later date.

14-1017

APPROPRIATING RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

WHEREAS, there is an increasing need for the improvement of County Highways to ensure the maximum of services and safety along Cook County Highways; and,

WHEREAS, the County's construction program periodically exceeds the County Department of Transportation and Highways' staff capacity to supervise the construction of projects; and,

WHEREAS, the County Department of Transportation and Highways will periodically be required to augment its staff with outside manpower to supervise the construction of projects in its program, in a timely manner at various locations throughout the County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that an outside consulting engineering firm shall be contracted to provide construction engineering and inspection services, including supervision, construction surveys, measurement, computation and documentation of quantities, reporting and record keeping, QA of materials, project correspondence, coordination with other entities and other duties in accordance with a forthcoming engineering services agreement for construction of the projects at various locations on County highways, and said services shall be supervised by County Forces and designated as Section: 14-8CEGN-03-EG; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of Four Million Four Hundred Thousand and NO/100 Dollars, (\$4,400,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the completion of this project; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Appropriating Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

14-1018

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED APPROPRIATING RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Other Part(ies): N/A

Request: Approval

Good(s) or Services(s): Freight Rail Study to be conducted by an outside consulting engineering firm to evaluate freight system needs and recommend vital improvements to accommodate current and future freight traffic, promote the growth of the freight, manufacturing and distribution sectors and improve truck and rail freight traffic in Central and South Cook County, and said services shall be supervised by County Forces.

Location: Central and South Cook County

County Board District(s): 1 - 12, 17

Section: 12-6HISP-36-ES

Fiscal Impact: \$900,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

Summary: This appropriating resolution is related to the Intergovernmental Agreement 14-0665, approved on 1/15/2014. This appropriation is made in anticipation of a forthcoming contract to be presented to your Honorable Body at a later date.

**14-1018
APPROPRIATING RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

WHEREAS, Cook County has the largest concentration of Class I rail lines and intermodal facilities in the US; and,

WHEREAS, more than three-quarters of truck trips generated by intermodal facilities involve transporting freight to or through Cook County; and, many of these truck trips utilize and/or intersect County Highways; and,

WHEREAS, there is a need to study and evaluate the current conditions in rail and truck infrastructure in Central and South Cook County to accommodate current and future freight traffic, ease congestion, and promote the growth of Freight, Manufacturing and Distribution business; and,

WHEREAS, it is necessary to ensure the judicious use of funds available for County Highways to satisfy the needs of the general motoring public and regional redevelopment; and,

WHEREAS, the undertaking of studies and investigations related to County highways and regional development is authorized under Section 605 ILCS 5/5-701.6 of the Road and Bridge Laws of Illinois; and,

WHEREAS, the County Department of Transportation and Highways does not have the trained manpower nor sophisticated computer software to develop a Freight Rail Study.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that an outside consulting engineering firm conduct a Freight Rail Study to gather freight data, identify existing freight rail systems and grade crossings, identify intermodal facilities and freight corridors, analyze and evaluate current system capability and needs that impact truck and freight rail flow, review various related publications and research, identify potential rail freight investments and alternatives, conduct a series of open and participatory meetings, develop rail freight models and simulations, and provide recommendations and a comprehensive report and other necessary engineering tasks and shall include supervision by County Forces as more fully described in a forthcoming contract to be executed by this Honorable Body; and that said services shall be designated as Section: 12-6HISP-36-ES; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of Nine Hundred Thousand and NO/100 Dollars, (\$900,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the development of this study; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Appropriating Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

14-1104

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED CONTRACT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department(s): Transportation and Highways

Vendor: Inland Power Group, Carol Stream, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract.

Good(s) or Service(s): This contract as proposed, shall be the procurement and retrofit installation of diesel emission reduction technology on various diesel-powered vehicles owned and operated by the Cook County Highway Department (23 trucks) and the Cook County Forest Preserve District (20 trucks) in compliance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and shall include Diesel Oxidation Catalyst (DOC) with Closed Crankcase Ventilation System (CCV), Electric Active Diesel Particulate Filters (EADPF), Replacement Particulate Filters, Off Board Regeneration Control Panel, training on the operation of the Control Panel and other necessary appurtenances to complete the project. Coordination is conducted by Cook County Environmental Control on behalf of the Cook County Department of Transportation and Highways and the Cook County Forest Preserve District.

Location: Cook County Department of Transportation and Highways Maintenance Facilities in Maintenance Districts # 1, 2, 3, 4, & 5 and at the Cook County Forest Preserve District Facilities in the Villages of La Grange Park, Maywood, Orland Park, Riverdale, Schaumburg and the City of Des Plaines in County Board Districts: #1, 5, 14, 16 and 17

Section: 09-00002-00-EQ

Contract Value: \$529,210.11

Contract period: The contract term shall be within twenty six (26) weeks in which all installations are to be completed and all deliverables. The warranty period is effective for one year after the final acceptance.

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: \$529,210.11

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Funds (600-585 Account)

Contract Number(s): 1328-12996

Concurrences:

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This contract as proposed, shall be the procurement and retrofit installation of diesel emission reduction technology on various diesel-powered vehicles owned and operated by the Cook County Highway Department (23 trucks) and the Cook County Forest Preserve District (20 trucks) in compliance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and shall include Diesel Oxidation Catalyst (DOC) with Closed Crankcase Ventilation System (CCV), Electric Active Diesel Particulate Filters (EADPF), Replacement Particulate Filters, Off Board Regeneration Control Panel, training on the operation of the Control Panel and other necessary appurtenances to complete the project.

TIP ID: 13-09-001 State Job Number: C91-146-10 Federal Project Number: CMM-9003-(517)

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

14-1210

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Other Part(ies): Village of Skokie, Illinois

Request: Approve

Goods or Services: Intergovernmental Agreement with the Village of Skokie wherein the Village will be the lead agency for design and construction of an intersection improvement along Crawford Avenue at Church Street. The County will reimburse the Village for thirty (30%) percent of the improvement costs, estimated total County share \$388,122.00

Location: Crawford Avenue at Church Street in the Village of Skokie

Section: 11-W4340-01-CH

County Board District: 13

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Agreement Period: N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$388,122.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

Summary: Intergovernmental Agreement with the Village of Skokie wherein the Village will be the lead agency for design and construction of an intersection improvement along Crawford Avenue at Church Street. The County will reimburse the Village for thirty (30%) percent of the improvement costs, estimated total County share \$388,122.00, under Cook County Section: 11-W4340-01-CH.

The Agreement has been examined and approved by this Department and by the State's Attorney's Office.

14-1210

RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLVED, by the members of The Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, on behalf of the County of Cook, to authorize and direct its President to execute, by original signature or authorized signature stamp, two (2) copies of an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Village of Skokie, attached, wherein the Village will be the lead agency for design and construction of an intersection improvement along Crawford Avenue at Church Street, including resurfacing and channelization to provide eastbound and westbound left turn lanes, enlarging corner radii, new curb and gutter, traffic signal modernization, pedestrian signal upgrade and Emergency Vehicle Pre-emptive Device installation; that the County of Cook will reimburse the Village of Skokie for thirty (30%) percent of the Phase II design engineering, construction and construction engineering costs incurred by the Village for the improvements (estimated County share \$388,122.00) under County Section: 11-W4340-01-CH; and, the Department of Transportation and Highways is authorized and directed to return one (1) executed copy of the Agreement with a certified copy of this Resolution to the Village of Skokie and implement the terms of the Agreement.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Intergovernmental Agreement (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

14-1361

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED CONTRACT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department(s): Transportation and Highways

Vendor: Capitol Cement Company, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract.

Good(s) or Service(s): The contract work for this QC/QA contract improvement is to provide for the reconstruction of all four lanes of traffic on Crawford Avenue from Devon Avenue to Oakton Street. The improvement provides for the removal of the existing pavement and reconstruction of a 10 inch portland cement concrete pavement , with a 12 inch aggregate subgrade, and. Also included in the improvement are the removal and installation of sewer and water main utilities, pavement markings, replacement of street lights, sidewalks, landscaping, traffic signals, and associated work necessary to complete the project.

Location: Crawford Avenue at Devon Avenue to Oakton Street in the Villages of Lincolnwood and Skokie and Niles Township in Cook County Board District #13.

Section: 08-W4337-03-PV

Contract Value: \$18,728,293.17

Contract period: 2/19/2014 - 8/28/2015

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2013, \$18,728,293.17

Accounts: MFT Account (600-585)

Contract Number(s): 1388-13051

Concurrences:

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: The lowest responsive and responsible bidder, Capitol Cement Company, Inc., submitted a bid in the amount of \$18,728,293.17, 13.5% above the Engineer's estimate of cost. Primarily higher than the estimated unit prices for Pavement removal, Aggregate subgrade, Portland cement concrete pavement (10 In.) and various sewer and water main utility pay items contributed to the difference between the Engineer's estimate and the Capitol Cement Company, Inc. bid.

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

14-1362

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED CONTRACT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department(s): Transportation and Highways

Vendor: Bentley Systems, Inc., Exton, Pennsylvania

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract.

Good(s) or Service(s): Purchase of Enterprise Licenses, Training and Software Support Service

Location: Department of Transportation and Highways

Section: 14-IFCAS-13-ES

Contract Value: \$151,340.00

Contract period: 12/1/2013 - 11/30/2016 with two (2) one (1) year extension options

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2014 \$151,340.00

Accounts: 600-585

Contract Number(s): 1385-12932

Concurrences:

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Bureau of Technology concurs.

Summary: The Department of Transportation and Highways respectfully recommends approval of a sole source contract to Bentley Systems Inc. The Department of Transportation and Highways utilizes a variety of Engineering Design and CAD Software provided by Bentley Systems including Microstation CADD software.

Bentley Systems is the sole provider of the support and updates for this software. The Department was receiving maintenance and support services under a previous contract with Bentley Systems which expired 11/30/2013 and is requesting to enter into a contract for a continuation in software support services and updates, as well as access to the Bentley Learn Training program.

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract (Technology) be approved. The motion carried.

14-1369

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED CONTRACT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department(s): Transportation and Highways

Vendor: Wang Engineering Inc., Lombard, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract.

Good(s) or Service(s): Geotechnical Engineering Services

Location: Various Locations throughout Cook County in County Board Districts: Countywide

Section: 13-6SOIL-01-ES

Contract Value: \$300,000.00

Contract period: 3/1/2014 - 2/29/2016 with one (1), one (1) year extension option

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2014 \$300,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

Contract Number(s): 13-18-062

Concurrences:

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: Vendor will provide Geotechnical Engineering Services consisting of soil borings and related soils analyses, geotechnical reports with recommendations derived from soil investigations, definition of strength properties and characteristics of the underlying soils to support the roadway pavement and highway structures, and other engineering tasks and shall include supervision by County forces.

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract(Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

14-1381

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED RESOLUTION, MAINTENANCE (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Request: Approval

Type of Project: Motor Fuel Tax Project, Maintenance County Highway Maintenance Resolution Tree Removal Services for Calendar Years 2014 and 2015 at Various Locations, Section: 14-8TREE-01-GM

Maintenance District(s): 1, 2, 3, 4, 5

County Board District(s): 1, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 13-17

Fiscal Impact: \$150,000.00

Account(s): Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585)

Summary: The Department of Transportation and Highways respectfully submits for adoption a maintenance resolution appropriating funds for the contract maintenance tree removal services required to combat the Emerald Ash Borer infestation, as well as in-house costs, along various County Highways during the calendar years 2014 and 2015.

These services are needed to enable the Department to continue its mission of providing safe, efficient and effective highways and transportation facilities for the general public in Cook County. This appropriation is made in anticipation of a forthcoming contract to be presented to your Honorable Body at a later date.

14-1381
RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

County Maintenance Resolution

RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners, Cook County, that \$150,000.00 is appropriated from the Motor Fuel Tax allotment for tree removal maintenance along various County Highways and meeting the requirements of the Illinois Highway Code.

DescriptionAmount

1) Tree Removal Services along various County Highways and State Highways under County maintenance in Maintenance Districts #1, #2, #3, #4 and #5, required to combat the Emerald Ash Borer infestation.	\$ 100,000.00
2)Supervision by County Forces	\$ 10,000.00
3)Contingencies	\$ 40,000.00
Total	\$150,000.00

and be it further

RESOLVED, that the above designated roadways be maintained under the provisions of said Illinois Highway Code during the calendar years 2014 and 2015, for the contract identified as Section: 14-8TREE-01-GM and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County Superintendent of Highways shall, as soon as practicable after the close of the period as given above, submit to the Department of Transportation, on forms furnished by said Department, a certified statement showing expenditures from and balance remaining in funds authorized for expenditure by said Department under this appropriation, and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the district office of the Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Resolution, Maintenance (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

14-1382

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED RESOLUTION, MAINTENANCE (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Request: Approval

Type of Project: Motor Fuel Tax Project, Maintenance Climatological Forecasting Consultant Services for Calendar Years 2014 and 2015, Various Locations, Section: 14-IFCFS-14-GM

Maintenance District(s): 1, 2, 3, 4, 5

County Board District(s): 1, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 13-17

Fiscal Impact: \$150,000.00

Account(s): Motor Fuel Tax Fund 600-585 Account

Summary: The Department of Transportation and Highways respectfully submits for adoption a maintenance resolution appropriating funds for the contract climatological weather forecasting services needed for forecasting snowfall, ice, frost warnings, and other adverse weather conditions, as well as in-house costs and contingencies, to aid the deployment of County Forces and equipment along various highways under County maintenance during the calendar years 2014 and 2015.

These services are needed to enable the Department to continue its mission of providing safe, efficient and effective highways and transportation facilities for the general public in Cook County. This appropriation is made in anticipation of a forthcoming contract to be presented to your Honorable Body at a later date.

**14-1382
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners, Cook County, that \$50,000.00 is appropriated from the Motor Fuel Tax allotment for climatological forecasting consulting services in forecasting adverse weather conditions affecting various highways under County maintenance and meeting the requirements of the Illinois Highway Code.

Description	Amount
<u>1)Climatological Forecasting Services for forecasting snowfall, ice, frost warnings and other adverse weather conditions affecting County Highways and State Highways under County maintenance.</u>	\$ 25,000.00
<u>2)Supervision by County Forces</u>	\$ 5,000.00
<u>3)Contingencies</u>	\$ 20,000.00
<u>Total</u>	\$ 50,000.00

and be it further

RESOLVED, that the above designated roadways be maintained under the provisions of said Illinois Highway Code during the calendar years 2014 and 2015, for the contract identified as Section: 14-IFCFS-14-GM and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County Superintendent of Highways shall, as soon as practicable after the close of the period as given above, submit to the Department of Transportation, on forms furnished by said Department, a certified statement showing expenditures from and balance remaining in funds authorized for expenditure by said Department under this appropriation, and be it further

RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the district office of the Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Resolution, Maintenance (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

14-1392

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Project Type: Motor Fuel Tax Project Motor Fuel Tax Project Supplemental Improvement Resolution

Request: Approval

Project: The existing soil conditions necessitated a change in the roadway construction method to a more costly use of timber piles to support the roadway embankment. Additional funding is needed for replacement of approximately 900 feet of existing pavement with a pile supported embankment system, reconstruction of side streets to the curb return, and removal and replacement of existing drainage structures, storm sewers and driveway culverts.

Location: 104th Avenue, 167th Street to 159th Street, in the Village of Orland Park and Unincorporated Orland Township

Section: 06-W2509-05-FP

County Board District: 17

Centerline Mileage: 1.01

Fiscal Impact: \$865,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585)

Board Approved Date and Amount: 4/5/2006

Increased Amount: \$865,000.00

Total Adjusted Amount: \$8,365,000.00

Summary: The Department of Transportation and Highways respectfully submits for adoption, a supplemental resolution appropriating additional funds for the improvement of 104th Avenue from 167th Street to 159th Street in the Village of Orland Park and unincorporated Orland Township.

This improvement, as proposed, will be reconstruction and widening of the existing two-lane bituminous pavement to a single lane in each direction separated by a continuous/flush median with shoulders and ditch drainage, replacement of approximately 900 feet of existing pavement with a pile supported embankment system and corresponding raising of the road to address flooding of the roadway and shall include channelization, replacement of an existing culvert, reconstruction of side streets to the curb return; removal and replacement of existing drainage structures, storm sewers and driveway culverts; right-of-way acquisition, landscaping, pavement marking, traffic control and protection, detour routing, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances.

On 4/5/2006, your Honorable Body approved an Improvement Resolution appropriating \$7,500,000.00 towards completion of this improvement. At the time of this improvement resolution, the proposed construction method for raising the roadway profile included the placement of Light Weight Fill and Porous Granular Embankment.

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

State of Illinois

**Supplemental Resolution for Improvement by County
Under the Illinois Highway Code**

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

County Highway W25, 104th Avenue, beginning at a point near 167th Street and extending along said route in a northerly direction to a point near 159th Street, a distance of approximately 1.01 miles; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the type of improvement shall be the reconstruction and widening of the existing two lane bituminous pavement to include a single lane in each direction separated by a continuous/flush median with shoulders and ditch drainage, replacement of approximately 900 feet of existing pavement with a pile supported embankment system and corresponding raising of the road to address flooding of the roadway and shall include channelization, replacement of an existing culvert, reconstruction of side streets to the curb return; removal and replacement of existing drainage structures, storm sewers and driveway culverts; right-of-way acquisition, landscaping, pavement marking, traffic control and protection, detour routing, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances and shall be designated as Section: 06-W2509-05-FP MFT; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the additional sum of Eight Hundred Sixty-Five Thousand and NO/100 Dollars, (\$865,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the construction of this improvement; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Supplemental Improvement Resolution (Highway) be referred to the Roads and Bridges Committee. The motion Carried.

14-1393

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND

HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Project Type: Motor Fuel Tax Project Supplemental Appropriating Resolution

Request: Approval

Project: The Department of Transportation and Highways respectfully submits for adoption, a supplemental resolution appropriating additional funds for a preliminary engineering study along 88th Avenue from 87th Street to 79th Street in the City of Hickory Hills and the Village of Justice.

This appropriation, as proposed, shall be for the development of Part A preliminary engineering plans and includes data collection and coordination, surveys, traffic counts and accident analysis, geotechnical investigations, intersection design studies, hydraulic analysis, drainage investigations, alternate geometric studies, local coordination, administration and other tasks as specified in an Engineering Services Agreement and includes review by County Forces.

Location: 88th Avenue - 87th Street to 79th Street in the City of Hickory Hills and the Village of Justice

Section: 01-W3019-06-EG

County Board District: 6

Centerline Mileage: 1.03

Fiscal Impact: \$20,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Funds (600-585)

Board Approved Date and Amount: 12/1/2001, \$315,000.00

Increased Amount: \$20,000.00

Total Adjusted Amount: \$335,000.00

Summary: On 12/4/2001, your Honorable Body approved an Appropriating Resolution appropriating \$315,000.00 towards the implementation of this study. Additional funding is required for unanticipated drainage investigations, alternate geometric studies, local coordination and administration which were not included in the original appropriating resolution.

14-1393

SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATING RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

County Highway W30, 88th Avenue, beginning at a point near 87th Street and extending along said route in a northerly direction to a point near 79th Street, a distance of approximately 1.03 miles; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the appropriation shall be for the development of preliminary engineering for a proposed reconstruction improvement and includes data collection, coordination, surveys, traffic counts and accident analysis, geotechnical investigations, intersection design studies, hydraulic analysis, drainage investigations, alternate geometric studies, local coordination, administration and other tasks as specified in an Engineering Services Agreement previously approved by this Honorable Body, and includes review by County Forces and shall be designated as Section: 01-W3019-06-EG MFT; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the studies shall be conducted by an outside engineering consultant; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the additional sum of Twenty Thousand and NO/100 Dollars, (\$20,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the design of this improvement; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 19th day of February 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Supplemental Improvement Resolution (Highway) be referred to the Roads and Bridges Committee. The motion Carried.

BUREAU OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND ZONING

14-1084

Presented by: TIMOTHY P. BLEUHER, Commissioner, Department of Building and Zoning

PROPOSED REDUCED FEE PERMIT

Department: Building and Zoning

Other Part(ies): Chicago Botanic Garden

Request: Approval of a fee waiver request

County District: 13

Township: Northfield

Property: 1000 Lake Cook Road, Glencoe, Illinois

Permit Number: 131593

Description: Relocation of Visitor Center

Original Permit Fee: \$5,244.20

Percent Waived: 10%

Amount Waived and Fiscal Impact: \$524.42

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Reduced Fee Permit be approved. The motion carried.

14-1248

Presented by: TIMOTHY P. BLEUHER, Commissioner, Department of Building and Zoning

PROPOSED REDUCED FEE PERMIT

Department: Building and Zoning

Other Part(ies): Forest Preserve District of Cook County/Camp Sagawau

Request: Approval of a fee waiver request

County District: 17

Township: Lemont

Property: 12454 W. 111th Street, Lemont, Illinois

Permit Number: 140098

Description: Natural Gas Standby Generator

Original Permit Fee: \$2,406.98

Percent Waived: 5%

Amount Waived and Fiscal Impact: \$120.35

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Reduced Fee Permit be approved. The motion carried.

14-1336

Presented by: TIMOTHY P. BLEUHER, Commissioner, Department of Building and Zoning

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT

Department: Building and Zoning

Other Part(ies): Village of Sauk Village, Illinois

Request: Authorization to enter into and execute the execution of an Intergovernmental Agreement with respect to the Cook County Vacant Building Ordinance between Cook County and the Village of Sauk Village

Goods or Services: Inspection Services

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Agreement Period: Two (2) years from the date of execution

Fiscal Impact: Revenue Generating

Accounts: N/A

Summary: In accordance with the Cook County Code provisions regulating the registration and maintenance of vacant buildings and as a result of efforts undertaken by the Department of Building and Zoning, the Bureau of Economic Development, the Department of Administrative Hearings and representatives of the Village of Sauk Village, authorization is hereby requested for the President to enter into and execute an Intergovernmental Agreement between Cook County (“County”) and the Village of Sauk Village, Illinois (“Sauk Village”).

Per Article VII, Section 10 of the Illinois Constitution, the Illinois Intergovernmental Cooperation Act, 5 ILCS 220/1 et seq., and other applicable law, units of local government are permitted to cooperate with and support each other in exercise of their authority and the performance of their responsibilities. In addition, per the County’s Vacant Building Code provisions, Sauk Village wishes to document its position as a “participating municipality” as authorized under the County’s Vacant Building Code.

The purpose of this Intergovernmental Agreement (“Agreement”) is to authorize the collaboration and cooperation between the County and Sauk Village to enforce Cook County’s Vacant Building Code provisions within the borders of Sauk Village and to document and set forth the obligations of each party to the Agreement. Per the Agreement, the Cook County Department of Building and Zoning will inspect and be the enforcing authority with respect to vacant buildings located within Sauk Village’s boundaries. The Department of Building and Zoning will institute administrative adjudication proceedings where necessary with the Department of Administrative Hearings and Sauk Village will work with the County to assist the County and State’s Attorney’s Office in its prosecutions of violations of the Vacant Building Ordinance.

As a result of Sauk Village’s agreement, the County shall be authorized to pay Sauk Village fifty percent (50%) of the registration fee for all vacant building registrations in incorporated Sauk Village and twenty-five (25%) of all fines and penalties that the County collects from any and all enforcement actions of vacant building violations within the Sauk Village’s borders.

The term of the Agreement shall be for two (2) years unless otherwise extended and the Agreement may be terminated by either party upon ninety (90) days written notice.

This Intergovernmental Agreement has been reviewed and approved as to form by the Cook County State’s Attorney’s Office.

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Intergovernmental Agreement be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
OFFICE OF CAPITAL PLANNING AND POLICY

14-1102

Presented by: JOHN COOKE, Director, Office of Capital Planning and Policy

JOB ORDER CONTRACT

Department: Office of Capital Planning and Policy

Summary: The Office of Capital Planning and Policy, as authorized by the Cook County Chief Procurement Officer, plans to participate in the Cook County’s Job Order Contract (JOC) program to complete the three (3) capital projects listed below. JOC is a quantity based procurement process that allows facility owners to complete a multitude of repair/maintenance and construction projects with a single, competitively bid contract. The JOC process generally involves first selecting a pool of potential contractors through a competitive procurement process, and then identifying projects for such selected contractors.

The County has already completed a JOC competitive procurement process, and it has created the pool of general contractors to perform certain projects generally associated with maintenance, repairs, replacement and routine work.

The JOC program has an administrative component managed by the Gordian Group. The Gordian Group maintains an area price book, which captures the prices for specific construction work items within this region. JOC contractors bid against the prices included in the price book. The cost, therefore, of any work performed by the contractor is a contractor markup from the price book. The Gordian Group would receive 5% administrative fee from Cook County based on the total project cost of the work. The Gordian Group will manage the JOC process and will verify that all proposals and work are compliant with the terms and conditions outlined in the JOC contract with the County.

The Office of Capital Planning and Policy, proposes to assign the projects listed below to the Gordian Group to share with participating JOC contractors.

1. **Department of Corrections (DOC) ADA Compliance Renovation** - The project is in response to corrective measures required per Department of Justices' (DOJ) citations at DOC campus. The DOJ representatives have issued non-compliance citations addressing inaccessibility pertaining to existing facilities that are below the acceptable ADA guidelines.
2. **Criminal Courts Administration Building (CCAB) Elevator Room Air Conditioning (AC)** - The project will provide proper cooling to control humidity and heat load caused by equipment and panels installed during the elevators most recent modernization. A 15 ton unit AC unit will be placed on the building roof. Additionally, the freight elevator machine room will receive a 2.5 ton air conditioning split.
3. **Maywood Courthouse Site preparation for additional parking** - The Fourth District Courthouse Campus, in Maywood, Illinois, requires additional parking. This project will provide site preparation for the new additional surface parking on the Maywood Campus.

Budgets:

DOC ADA Compliance Renovation	\$500,000.00
CCAB Installation of Air Conditioning, in the elevator room	\$168,000.00
Maywood Courthouse Site Preparation for Additional Parking	\$400,000.00
Total	\$1,068,000.00

Schedule: N/A

Account(s): County Physical Plant – 20000

A motion was made by Commissioner Butler, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Job Order Contract be approved. The motion carried.

14-1197

Presented by: JOHN COOKE, Director, Office of Capital Planning and Policy

PROPOSED CONTRACT

Department(s): Office of Capital Planning and Policy

Vendor: HOH, Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Professional Design Services

Contract Value: \$228,460.12

Contract period: 24.5 months

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: \$228,460.12

Accounts: County Physical Plant - 20000

Contract Number(s): 1323-12581

Concurrences:

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: Division VI: located on the Department of Corrections (DOC campus, Division XI: located on the DOC campus and 6th District Courthouse: located in Markham Fire and life safety systems are over 15 years of age without upgrades to date. System upgrades are required due to aged components and the difficulty of obtaining replacement parts. In addition, modifications to the spaces in each facility require that systems be reconfigured to assure that all fire and supervisory alarms signals are accurately indicated on the control panels.

A motion was made by Commissioner Butler, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.

14-1384

Presented by: JOHN COOKE, Director, Office of Capital Planning and Policy

PROPOSED CONTRACT

Department(s): Office of Capital Planning and the Office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court

Vendor: Smith Movers, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Moving Services

Contract Value: \$940,500.00

Contract period: 2/19/2014 - 4/25/2014

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2014 \$940,500.00

Accounts: 499-260

Contract Number(s): 1423-13327

Concurrences:

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: An Invitation for Bid was issued for the 89th Street Facility Warehouse Move. A competitive bid process was followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Smith Movers, Inc., Chicago, Illinois was the lowest responsive and responsible bidder and is recommended for this award. The intent of this project is to centralize the Clerk's files and evidence into one warehouse location - Records Center located at 1330 S. 54th Avenue, Cicero, Illinois. The work requires that the Contractor provide new boxes as specified for all file storage and transfer all files into new boxes prior to the move. The Contractor will be required to provide all equipment and personnel necessary to re-box, tag, pack, track, move, and place items at the Cicero Records Center. Having one central location for the Clerks records will maximize staff efficiency and reduce overhead costs for the 89th street location.

A motion was made by Commissioner Butler, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

14-0713

Presented by: HERMAN BREWER, Chief Bureau of Economic Development

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE and ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

HMK 1100, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from HMK 1100, LLC and Resolution No. 42-13 from the Village of Elk Grove Village for an abandoned industrial facility located at 1100 Touhy Avenue, Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 17, Permanent Index Number 08-27-303-013-0000; and

WHEREAS, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS; industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real

estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for 18 months at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

WHEREAS, the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will retain 302 full-time jobs, 12 part-time jobs, eight (8) new full-time jobs and 15-20 construction jobs; and

WHEREAS, the Village of Elk Grove Village states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for less than 24 months; will be purchased for value pending approval of the Class 6b; and is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

WHEREAS, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 1100 Touhy Avenue, Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Resolution be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development. The motion carried.

14-0715

Presented by: HERMAN BREWER, Chief Bureau of Economic Development

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE and ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

CHARLES EQUIPMENT ENERGY SYSTEM, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Charles Equipment Energy System, LLC and Resolution No. R-91-13 from the City of Des Plaines for an abandoned industrial facility located at 530 Santa Rose, Des Plaines, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 17, Permanent Index Number 09-31-200-016-0000; and

WHEREAS, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was 100 % abandoned for two (2) months at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

WHEREAS, the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will create an estimated 6-8 full-time jobs; 5 part-time jobs; retain 14 full-time jobs; and 10-20 construction jobs; and

WHEREAS, the City of Des Plaines states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for less than 24 months; will be purchased for value and is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

WHEREAS, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 530 Santa Rose, Des Plaines, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor..

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Resolution be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development. The motion carried.

14-1353

Presented by: HERMAN BREWER, Chief Bureau of Economic Development

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE and ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

VK ACQUISITIONS II, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from VK Acquisitions II, LLC or Assignee and Resolution No. 28-13 from the Village of Elk Grove Village for an abandoned industrial facility located at 221 Joey Drive, Elk Grove Village Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 17, Permanent Index Numbers 08-22-102-230-0000; and

WHEREAS, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value. Qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for the purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of under 24 months and purchase for value by a disinterested buyer, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires a resolution by the County Board validating the property is deemed abandoned for the purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building has been abandoned for 18 months, at the time of application, with no purchase for value and that special circumstances are present; and

WHEREAS, the re-occupancy will create an estimated 15-20 new full-time jobs, and 10 construction jobs; and

WHEREAS, the Village of Elk Grove Village states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for under 24 months; there has been a purchase for value; and is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

WHEREAS, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 221 Joey Drive, Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Resolution be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development. The motion carried.

14-1359

Presented by: HERMAN BREWER, Chief Bureau of Economic Development

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE and JOHN P. DALEY

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

GERALD G. WARNER 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Gerald G. Warner and Resolution No. 13-001 from the Village of Bedford Park for an abandoned industrial facility located at 6312 W. 74th Street, Bedford Park, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 11, Permanent Index Number 19-29-100-055-0000 and 19-29-100-062-0000; and

WHEREAS, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for 17 months at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

WHEREAS, the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will create an estimated 15-20 full-time jobs and retain 40 full-time jobs; and

WHEREAS, the Village of Bedford Park states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for less than 24 months; will be purchased for value pending approval of the Class 6b; and is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

WHEREAS, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 6312 W. 74th Street, Bedford Park, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Resolution be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development. The motion carried.

14-1366

Presented by: HERMAN BREWER, Chief Bureau of Economic Development

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE and ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

GULLO DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from George Gullo Development Corporation located in Unincorporated Cook County for a renewal of an existing Class 6b tax

incentive for an industrial facility located at 2050 Higgins Road, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, Cook County District 17, Permanent Index Numbers 08-26-102-041-0000;and

WHEREAS, Cook County has defined that the classification period for Class 6b shall continue for 12 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition if any), or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial reoccupancy; and

WHEREAS, the Class 6b classification may be renewed during the last year in which a property is entitled to a 10% assessment level or when the incentive is still applied at the 15% or 20% assessment level, by filing a renewal application and a certified copy of a resolution or ordinance adopted by the municipality in which the real estate is located, or by the County Board, if located in an unincorporated area of Cook County; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year.

WHEREAS, the real estate is located in an unincorporated area of Cook County, the Cook County Board must by lawful resolution or ordinance, expressly state that it supports and consents to the filling of a Renewal Class 6b Application and that it finds Class 6b is necessary and beneficial to the local economy; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners confirms that the nature of the original development allowing the Class 6b was new construction and the original resolution was approved April 27, 2000; and

WHEREAS, the applicant estimate an currently employs 30 full time jobs and 10 part time jobs and the applicant estimate to create 10 full time jobs and 3 part time jobs and the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the industrial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy and supports and consents to the renewal of the Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the renewal Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 2050 Higgins Road, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed eligible for the renewal of the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Resolution be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT DIVISION

14-0622

Presented by: ANNA ASHCRAFT, Director, Real Estate Management Division

PROPOSED LEASE AGREEMENT

Department: Real Estate Management

Request: Approval of a (New) Lease Agreement

Landlord: County of Cook

Tenant: Subway Real Estate, LLC

Location: 69 West Washington Street, Lower Level, Suite LL13

Term/Extension Period: 6/1/2014 - 5/31/2024, subject to completion of improvements

Space Occupied: 1,332 Square feet

Monthly Rent:

Gross Rent:

Lease Year	Rent (psf)	Annual	Monthly
1	\$28.00	\$37,296.00	\$3,108.00
2	\$28.70	\$38,228.40	\$3,185.70
3	\$29.42	\$39,187.44	\$3,265.62
4	\$30.15	\$41,158.80	\$3,429.90
6	\$31.67	\$42,184.44	\$3,515.37
7	\$32.46	\$43,236.72	\$3,603.06
8	\$33.27	\$44,315.64	\$3,692.97
9	\$34.10	\$45,421.20	\$3,785.10
10	\$34.95	\$46,553.40	\$3,879.45

Fiscal Impact: Revenue Generating

Accounts: N/A

Option to Renew: Two 5 year options (At Market Rate, not less than existing rent)

Termination: N/A

Utilities Included: HVAC and water included, Tenant pays electric metered to Premises

Summary/Notes: Operating Expenses and Taxes: In addition to Base Rent, Tenant shall pay its proportionate share of operating expenses for the building and leasehold taxes for the premises in excess of a 2014 base year.

Improvement Allowance: The County is providing certain improvements to the Premises to prepare it for Tenant’s occupancy, estimated to cost \$90,800.00. Tenant will perform and pay for all other improvements to the Premises in accordance with plans approved by Landlord.

*This item was deferred at the 1/15/2014 meeting of the Board of Commissioners.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Lease Agreement be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

14-1391

ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT AND PETER N. SILVESTRI, COUNTY
COMMISSIONER**

**AMENDMENT TO COOK COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE -
13.2.2. DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND ZONING
AND THE ZONING ADMINISTRATOR**

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Appendix A, Zoning, Article 13, Administration and Enforcement, Section 13.2.2 of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

13.2.2. Duties of the Zoning Administrator.

A. Administration of this ordinance shall be exercised through a person in the Department of Building and Zoning designated as the Zoning Administrator. The Zoning Administrator shall be appointed by the President of the Cook County Board of Commissioners.

B. Duties of the Zoning Administrator shall be as follows:

1. Issue, upon request, a letter verifying the zoning classification of property to a person who is entitled to initiate a zoning amendment including interpretations giving the meaning and intent of provisions of this Ordinance.
2. Maintain permanent and current records of this Ordinance including, but not limited to, all maps, map amendments, special uses, planned unit developments, variances ~~variations~~, appeals and related applications.
3. Provide and maintain a source of public information for all matters arising out of this Ordinance.
4. Receive, file and forward to the Zoning Committee of the Cook County Board of Commissioners, applications for ~~special uses and~~ map amendments. The Zoning Administrator shall not forward applications which fail to comply with this Ordinance.
5. Receive, file and forward to the Zoning Board of Appeals applications for variances ~~variations~~, special uses, appeals and other matters which the Zoning Board of Appeals is required to act upon as provided in this Ordinance. The Zoning Administrator shall reject applications ~~for variations~~ which are not authorized by this Ordinance.

6. Review properties subject to legal action which have resulted in the invalidation of zoning under this ordinance and change official zoning maps to reflect court decrees.
7. Review properties which have been disconnected from a municipality, or which have come within the jurisdiction of Cook County as the result of the dissolution of a municipality, and recommend to the Zoning Board of Appeals amendments for reclassification of properties.
8. Determine use, lot and bulk requirements provided in this Ordinance.
9. Forward plans to the Cook County Superintendent of Highways for proposed uses which provide one hundred parking spaces for Highway Department review comment and approval pursuant to this article.
10. Duties as the Cook County Board of Commissioners shall by ordinance, Resolution or direction provide.

Effective date: This Ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Ordinance Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

DEPARTMENT OF FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

14-1073

Presented by: JOHN COOKE, Interim Director, Department of Facilities Management

PROPOSED PAYMENT APPROVAL

Department(s): Facilities Management

Action: Approval of payment

Payee: Anderson Pest Control

Good(s) or Service(s): Pest control services

Fiscal Impact: \$450.00

Accounts: 200-235

Contract Number(s): 10-53-65

Summary: This request for payment approval is for an invoice for services provided that were not included in the scope of work for contract 10-53-65, which provides pest control services. The department has reached the annual allotment for Direct Pay with this vendor.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Payment Approval be approved. The motion carried.

14-1090

Presented by: JOHN COOKE, Interim Director, Department of Facilities Management

PROPOSED PAYMENT APPROVAL

Department(s): Facilities Management

Action: Approval of payment

Payee: Waste Management

Good(s) or Service(s): Scavenger Service

Fiscal Impact: \$494.99

Accounts: 200-215

Contract Number(s): N/A

Summary: The request for payment approval is for invoices for services that were not included in the scope of work for contract 10-53-027, which provided scavenger services. The department has reached the annual allotment for Direct Pay with this vendor.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Payment Approval be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF HUMAN RESOURCES

14-1383

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Human Resources

Request: Receive and File

Report Title: Human Resources Activity Reports for Pay Period 26 2013 and Pay Period 1 2014

Report Period: Pay Period 26: 12/1/2013 - 12/14/2013 and Pay Period 1: 12/15/2013 - 12/28/2013

Summary: Submitting the Human Resources Activity report covering the pay periods listed above.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be received and files. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF TECHNOLOGY
CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER

14-1156

Presented by: MARY JO HORACE, Interim Chief Information Officer, Bureau of Technology

REPORT

Department: Cook County Bureau of Technology

Request: Refer to the Committee on Technology

Report Title: Quarterly Progress Report on the Creation of the Automated Criminal Justice System

Report Period: 12/1/2013 - 2/28/2014

Summary: Pursuant to Resolution 13-2002, the CIO shall update the Board of Commissioners via the Technology Committee on progress being made towards achieving the goal of an integrated, automated Cook County Criminal Justice System on a quarterly basis beginning with the first quarter of the FY2014.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Report be referred to the Technology Committee. The motion carried.

14-1370

Presented by: MARY JO HORACE, Interim Chief Information Officer, Bureau of Technology

PROPOSED CONTRACT (TECHNOLOGY)

Department(s): Bureau of Technology, Department of GIS

Vendor: Radio Satellite Integrators, Inc., Torrance, California

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Automated vehicle tracking software and devices

Contract Value: \$1,736,800.00

Contract period: 3/1/2014 - 2/28/2019 (Five-year contract with five (5) one-year extension options)

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2014: \$576,240.00, FY 2015: \$290,140.00, FY 2016: \$290,140.00, FY 2017: \$290,140.00, FY 2018: \$290,140.00.

Accounts: 545-260

Contract Number(s): 12-28-318

Concurrence(s):

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: BOT desires to implement an AVL system to enhance the ability to efficiently manage the assignment of County vehicle operations. BOT will also use the AVL and mobile data system to increase safety, productivity, and service to the citizens in area of operations. The County needs to manage and operate GPS hardware, software and services to support the effective management of the County's fleet. Some of the desired outcomes include: improving emergency response, tracking snow removal and roadway maintenance, analyzing routes, having robust reporting capabilities and support for telemetry and telematics technology.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract (Technology) be approved as amended. The motion carried.

14-1371

Presented by: MARY JO HORACE, Interim Chief Information Officer, Bureau of Technology

PROPOSED CONTRACT (TECHNOLOGY)

Department(s): Bureau of Technology, Department of GIS

Vendor: Pro-West and Associates, Inc., Walker, Minnesota

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Building and Zoning Permit Tracking Application, Stage II

Contract Value: \$379,365.00

Contract period: 3/1/2014 - 2/28/2016

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: \$379,365.00

Accounts: 545-260

Contract Number(s): 1318-12726

Concurrence(s):

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

Summary: If approved, this new contract will allow BOT to extend and complete the development of the Building Permit Tracking Application that was initiated under a separate project for the Department of Building and Zoning (BZ). The development goals of this second stage will include a module to manage the inspection process for various permits, a mobile tool for use by field inspectors, an online site to manage the E-permit application process, enhancements to the current County public-facing permit status web application, enhancements to an existing workflow application, as well as a number of specialty enhancements for the Application. With the completion of this stage, BZ will have achieved full migration toward an automated paperless permitting system with full integration to Geographic Information System (GIS) technology.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract (Technology) be approved. The motion carried.

14-1372

Presented by: MARY JO HORACE, Interim Chief Information Officer, Bureau of Technology

PROPOSED CONTRACT (TECHNOLOGY)

Department(s): Bureau of Technology, Department of GIS

Vendor: Pro-West and Associates, Inc., Walker, Minnesota

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Municipal Incorporation Inventory Services

Contract Value: \$186,486.00

Contract period: 3/1/2014 - 2/29/2016 (Two-year contract with two (2) one-year extension options)

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: \$186,486.00

Accounts: 545-260

Contract Number(s): 12-90-12816

Concurrence(s):

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This contract will allow BOT to create a comprehensive record of municipal incorporation. Policy, statutes, ordinances, and decisions regarding incorporation and jurisdictional responsibility are solely dependent upon the rigorous inventory of documents that govern such information. Geospatial by definition, the County

intends to manage it as part of its enterprise GIS and to have it accessible to all County agencies, as well as local governments.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract (Technology) be approved as amended. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUDGE
JUDICIARY

14-0594

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED CONTRACT

Department(s): Office of the Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

Vendor: TASC, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): This contract is for patient care management services and will provide a variety of services to adult offenders participating in the Circuit Court of Cook County's six adult mental health treatment courts who are diverted from incarceration. These services are client care management, which includes screening and clinical assessments, case planning, referral to substance use and mental health treatment services and intensive case management services.

Contract Value: \$825,260.24

Contract period: 03/01/2014 - 02/28/2017

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2014 \$183,391.20, FY 2015 \$275,086.80, FY 2016 \$275,086.80, and FY 2017 \$91,695.44

Accounts: 310-260

Contract Number(s): 1323-12393

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: The court operates 19 specialty/treatment courts in Chicago and across suburban Cook County that seek to help low level criminal defendants who suffer from an underlying mental health, social or substance abuse problem from becoming repeat offenders. This is undertaken through intensive judicial supervision and the delivery of treatment and services from community-based sources. The Circuit Court's Specialty/Treatment Courts include drug treatment court, mental health treatment court, veterans' treatment court and a court for

women charged with felony prostitution. On 8/1/2013, the Office of the Chief Procurement Officer issued a RFP for Patient Care Management Services, Contract #1323-12393. TASC was one of two proposers which responded to the RFP and was selected as the proposer with the best overall value for these services Cook County.

The Contract was withdrawn by the sponsor.

14-1206

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED GRANT AWARD AMENDMENT

Department: Office of the Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

Grantee: Circuit Court of Cook County

Grantor: Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority

Request: Authorization to extend and increase

Purpose: The grant is available under a federal Recovery Justice Assistance program designed to assist and protect victims of domestic violence. Since the initiation of the program in 2010, the grant has helped fund the victim assistance program in the court's Domestic Violence Division. Under the program, staff members coordinate the delivery services to thousands of domestic violence litigants, including legal advice, direct representation in court or referrals to supportive services, all at no cost. Staff members have also engaged more than 100 court and community stakeholders to improve victim access to legal remedies and to ensure compliance with court orders. Program funds are used for staff salaries, as well as for related travel and training.

Supplemental Grant Amount: \$99,822.00

Grant Period:

Extension Period: 10/1/2013 - 4/30/2014

Fiscal Impact: \$33,274.00 match requirement.

Accounts: 310-818

Date of Previous Board Authorization for Grant: The authorization to accept the original grant was given on 4/20/2010, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners in the amount of \$500,000.00. On 3/1/2012, the County Board approved a time-only extension through 7/31/2012; on 7/24/2012, an additional time extension to 7/31/2013 with an increase of \$199,643.00; on 5/29/2013, an additional time extension to 9/30/2013, with an additional increase of \$44,500.00.

Previous Grant Amount: \$744,143.00 as above.

Concurrences:

The Budget Department has received all requisite documents and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any

Summary: This increase and time extension will provide additional funds to allow the continuation of the Circuit Court's victim assistance program in the Domestic Violence Division.

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Grant Award Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

14-1368

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED GRANT AWARD RENEWAL

Department: Circuit Court of Cook County, Office of the Chief Judge

Grantee: Cook County

Grantor: Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority

Request: Authorization to renew grant

Purpose: The purpose of the program is to improve community response to family violence.

Grant Amount: \$35,100.00

Grant Period: 1/1/2014 - 6/30/2014

Fiscal Impact: \$11,450.00

Accounts: 310-818

Most Recent Date of Board Authorization for Grant: 6/19/2013

Most Recent Grant Amount: \$77,000.00

Concurrences:

The Budget Department has received all requisite documents and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any

Summary: The Circuit Court's Family Violence Coordinating Councils Program has six (6) councils, one (1) for each of the court's five (5) suburban municipal districts and one county-wide that provides oversight and coordination. The councils operate as local forums to improve community response to family violence by

providing education, community outreach, prevention, and services for victims and perpetrators of child abuse, domestic violence and elder abuse. Program funds are used for the salary and fringe benefits of the program director.

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Grant Award Renewal be approved. The motion carried.

14-1378

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED CONTRACT

Department(s): Circuit Court of Cook County, Office of the Chief Judge

Vendor: Justice Benefits, Inc., Dallas, Texas

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Title IV-E Planning and Claiming Implementation Services

Contract Value: 10% of child welfare program cost reimbursements (Title IV-E of the Social Security Act) on the first \$15 million dollars, 15% on individual claims exceeding \$15 million dollars.

Contract period: 4/1/2014 - 3/31/2017

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: None, Revenue Generating

Accounts: N/A

Contract Number(s): 1490-13306

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: Since 2007, Justice Benefits, Inc. has assisted Cook County in the recovery of costs incurred by the Circuit Court of Cook County's Juvenile Probation and Court Services Department for preventive measures aimed at keeping delinquent, at-risk youth in their homes. These measures include foster care referrals, and other preventative case management services. For example, for the most recent year ended 6/30/2013, JBI helped Cook County recover \$1,558,267.52 in Title IV-E cost reimbursements from the State of Illinois. JBI's assistance will include the compilation of time and cost data on services provided and technical assistance with the submission of the Title IV-E reimbursement requests.

It is proposed that JBI provide these services pursuant to Section 34-140 of the Cook County Procurement Code (the "Reference Contract Ordinance"). JBI was previously awarded a contract by Washington County, Oregon, for similar services through a competitive Request for Proposal process. Cook County wishes to leverage these procurement efforts. A similar reference contract arrangement with JBI was approved by the Cook County Board

of Commissioners on 12/4/2013, for the recovery of costs associated with the federal government's State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP).

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

14-1208

Presented by: DOROTHY BROWN, Clerk of the Circuit Court

PROPOSED CONTRACT

Department(s): Clerk of the Circuit Court

Vendor: Crowe Horwath LLP, Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Professional Services for Case Management System Needs Analysis

Contract Value: \$244,650.00

Contract period: 03/01/2014 - 02/28/2015

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2013 \$244,650.00

Accounts: 715-579

Contract Number(s): 1328-12928

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Bureau of Technology concurs.

Summary: A comprehensive and complete needs analysis will provide an effective and efficient remedy to replace the current case management system.

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.

14-1351

Presented by: DOROTHY BROWN, Clerk of the Circuit Court

PROPOSED TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Department: Clerk of the Circuit Court

Request: Approval of Transfer of Funds within Department 529

Reason: Transfer of Funds needed to enter into a contract for Professional Services of three programmers for Mainframe and .Net programming to assist with electronic filing, imaging operations and the implementation of the Standard File Room Operating Procedures (SFROP) Project.

From Account(s): 529-660, (\$149,600.00)

To Account(s): 529-260, \$149,600.00

Total Amount of Transfer: \$149,600.00

On what date did it become apparent that the receiving account would require an infusion of funds in order to meet current obligations? What was the balance in the account on that date, and what was the balance 30 days prior to that date?

On 12/30/2013 it became apparent that the receiving account would require an infusion of funds in order to meet current obligations. The balance in the account on that date was \$216,310.00, and the balance 30 days prior to that date was \$216,310.00.

How was the account used for the source of transferred funds identified? List any other accounts that were also considered (but not used) as the source of the transferred funds.

Account 660-Rent Office and Medical Facility was identified as the source of transferred funds as the 89th Street storage facility will be relocated to the new Cicero location by the end of April 2014, hence only 5 months of rent will be needed at 89th Street facility resulting in the availability of funds for the transfer.

Identify any projects, purchases, programs, contracts, or other obligations that will be deferred, delayed, or canceled as a result of the reduction in available spending authority that will result in the account that funds are transferred from.

None.

If the answer to the above question is “none” then please explain why this account was originally budgeted in a manner that caused an unobligated surplus to develop at this point in the fiscal year.

Account 660-Rent Office and Medical Facility has the needed funds for the transfer as the relocation from 89th Street storage facility to the new Cicero location is now expected to be completed by the end of April 2014, hence only 5 months of rent will be needed at 89th Street facility, resulting in the availability of funds for the transfer.

The Contract was withdrawn by the sponsor.

14-1352

Presented by: DOROTHY BROWN, Clerk of the Circuit Court

PROPOSED CONTRACT (TECHNOLOGY)

Department(s): Clerk of the Circuit Court

Vendor: Clarity Partners, LLC, Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Professional Services for Mainframe and .Net programmers

Contract Value: \$249,600.00

Contract period: 3/01/2014 - 8/31/2014

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2013 \$100,000.00, FY 2014 \$149,600.00

Accounts: (335-260) - (529-260)

Contract Number(s): 1388-13194

Concurrence(s):

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Bureau of Technology concurs.

Summary: This contract is for Mainframe and .Net programmers to assist with electronic filing, imaging operations and the implementation of the standard file room operating procedures project.

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Contract (Technology) be approved. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY

14-1096

Presented by: ANITA ALVAREZ, Cook County State's Attorney; DANIEL KIRK, Chief of Staff, State's Attorney's Office

PROPOSED GRANT AWARD RENEWAL

Department: Cook County State's Attorney's Office

Grantee: Cook County State's Attorney's Office

Grantor: The Illinois Motor Vehicle Theft Prevention Council

Request: Authorization to renew grant

Purpose: This grant renewal provides one year of continued funding for the Motor Vehicle Theft Prosecutions Unit. This grant funds the salaries and benefits for five (5) assistant state's attorneys, one (1) state's attorney investigator, and one (1) administrative assistant located at 2650 South California Ave.

Grant Amount: \$823,644.00

Grant Period: 1/1/2014 - 12/31/2014

Fiscal Impact: None

Accounts: N/A

Most Recent Date of Board Authorization for Grant: 1/16/2013

Most Recent Grant Amount: \$823,644.00

Concurrences:

The Budget Department has received all requisite documents and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any

Summary: This grant renewal provides one year of continued funding for the Motor Vehicle Theft Prosecutions Unit. This grant funds the salaries and benefits for five (5) assistant state's attorneys, one (1) state's attorney investigator, and one (1) administrative assistant located at 2650 South California Ave. The personnel funded by this grant work to increase the prosecution of offenders involved in motor vehicle theft and other motor vehicle related crimes. There is no match requirement for this grant.

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Grant Award Renewal be approved. The motion carried.

14-1101

Presented by: ANITA ALVAREZ, Cook County State's Attorney; DANIEL KIRK, Chief of Staff, State's Attorney's Office

PROPOSED GRANT AWARD RENEWAL

Department: Cook County State's Attorney's Office

Grantee: Cook County State's Attorney's Office

Grantor: Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority

Request: Authorization to renew grant

Purpose: This grant provides continued funding for our Complex Drug Prosecutions Initiative. This program funds the salaries of eight (8) assistant state's attorneys and two (2) investigators. The Complex Drug Prosecutions Initiative focuses on implementing strategies that have proven to be effective in combating drug enterprises and networks operating in the city and suburban Cook County

Grant Amount: \$939,283.00

Grant Period: 10/1/2013 - 9/30/2014.

Fiscal Impact: \$319,308.00

Accounts: 250-818

Most Recent Date of Board Authorization for Grant: 12/4/2012

Most Recent Grant Amount: \$1,174,104.00

Concurrences:

The Budget Department has received all requisite documents and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any

Summary: This grant provides continued and combined funding for our Complex Drug Prosecutions initiative. This program funds the salaries of eight (8) assistant state's attorneys and two (2) investigators. The Complex Drug Prosecutions Initiative focuses on implementing strategies that have proven to be effective in combating drug enterprises and networks operating in the city and suburban Cook County. This grant requires that our Office match one dollar for every three dollars of federal funding. The match commitment for this program is a cash match and covers a portion of the salaries, a portion of the grant-funded personnel fringe benefits and the independent audit.

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Grant Award Renewal be approved. The motion carried.

14-1103

Presented by: ANITA ALVAREZ, Cook County State's Attorney; DANIEL KIRK, Chief of Staff, State's Attorney's Office

PROPOSED GRANT AWARD RENEWAL

Department: Cook County State's Attorney's Office

Grantee: Cook County State's Attorney's Office

Grantor: Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority

Request: Authorization to renew grant

Purpose: The program provides the salaries and fringe benefits of two Prevention Coordinators and two administrative assistants who are staffed at the West Side Community Justice Center and the Central Community Justice Center.

Grant Amount: \$252,198.00

Grant Period: 10/1/2013 - 9/30/2014.

Fiscal Impact: \$69,067.00

Accounts: 250-818

Most Recent Date of Board Authorization for Grant: 4/6/2010

Most Recent Grant Amount: \$877,650.00

Concurrences:

The Budget Department has received all requisite documents and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any

Summary: This grant provides continued funding for the Community Justice Centers. The program provides the salaries and fringe benefits of two Prevention Coordinators and two administrative assistants who are staffed at the West Side Community Justice Center and the Central Community Justice Center. This grant does not require a match, however due to a reduction in funding, the office is providing a partial cash match to fund salaries and fringe benefits of the grant-funded staff that are not covered by the award.

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Grant Award Renewal be approved. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY CIVIL ACTIONS BUREAU

14-0973

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Dexter Saffold v. Thomas Dart

Case Number: 13 M1 17037

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0974

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Joseph Wilkins v. Merkle, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 375

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0975

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Tonia Arthur-Zekas v. Thomas Dart, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 9013

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0976

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Taurus Blossom v. Thomas Dart, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 9202

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0977

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Michael Gardner v. Thomas Dart, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 7623

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0979

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Simon Lee v. Officer Polino, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 6523

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0980

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Bato Petrov v. Thomas Dart, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 6080

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0981

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Bato Petrov v. Thomas Dart, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 6080

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0982

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Scott Elvers and April L. Aragon v. Officer Karlos, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 8912

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0983

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Mark Howard a/k/a Clarence Williamson v. Dorothy Brown

Case Number: 13 C 1970

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0984

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Oneal Johnson v. Circuit Clerk of Cook County

Case Number: 13 M1 161607

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0985

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Benyehuda Whitfield v. Lester Finkle, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 4910

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0986

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Anthony Johnson v. County of Cook, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 6093

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0987

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Anthony Johnson v. Officer Lassere

Case Number: 13 C 6091

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0988

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Pierre Davis v. Thomas Dart, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 5922

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0989

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Ashley Gay v. Cook County, et al.

Case Number: Pre-Litigation

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0990

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Keycie Streets v. Thomas Dart, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 9324

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0991

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Curtis Whisby v. Thomas Dart, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 8781

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0992

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Gogins v. Thomas Dart, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 7304

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0993

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Christine Opp v. State's Attorney's Office

Case Number: 21B-2007-02173

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0994

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Leonard Cahnmann v. State's Attorney's Office

Case Number: 21B-2007-02242

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-0995

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Ricky Granderson v. State's Attorney's Office

Case Number: 440-2011-04997

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-1127

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Daroush Ebrahimi v. Sgt. Lewis, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 4727

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-1129

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Craig Harris v. Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

Case Number: 13 L 14296

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-1130

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Miguel Saucedo v. Merit Board

Case Number: 13 CH 26839

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-1131

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Rick Sylvester v. Merit Board

Case Number: 13 CH 19373

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-1132

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Cook County Sheriff v. Cook County Human Rights Commission and Cynthia Walker

Case Number: 13 CH 17663

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-1133

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Tyrone McCullough v. Thomas Dart

Case Number: 13 CH 23188

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-1134

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Justin Washington v. Cook County

Case Number: 13 C 7715

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-1135

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Justin Washington v. Cook County

Case Number: 13 CH 20011

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

14-1136

Presented by: DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney; Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING

Department: State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

Request: Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

Case Name: Stevie Jackson v. Thomas Dart, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 6005

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY TREASURER

14-1244

Presented by: MARIA PAPPAS, Cook County Treasurer

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Treasurer

Vendor: R & S Associates, Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to increase and extend contract

Good(s) or Service(s): IT Consulting Services

Original Contract Period: 12/1/2012 - 11/30/2013

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 12/1/2013 - 11/30/2014

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$95,000.00

Original Approval: 11/16/2012, \$95,000.00

Previous Board Increase(s): N/A

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s): N/A

This Increase Requested: \$125,000.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2014, \$125,000.00

Accounts: 534-260

Contract Number(s): 12-90-362

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Bureau of Technology concurs.

Summary: I. T. Consulting in the area of maintaining, modifying and creating existing Treasurer's Mainframe-MIS critical interfaces and electronic warrant book project.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

NEW ITEMS

In accordance with Cook County Code Section 2-107(z)(1) Amendment or suspension of rules, Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, moved to suspend Section 2-107(h)(1) Prior notice to public; agendas. The motion carried unanimously.

14-1557

Sponsored by: LARRY SUFFREDIN and EDWIN REYES, County Commissioners

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

AN ORDINANCE ALLOWING FOR THE CREATION OF A MUNICIPAL INSPECTOR GENERAL

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Chapter 46 Law Enforcement, Article II, Sheriff, Division 4, Municipal Inspector General of the Cook County Code, Sections 46-93 - 46-101 is hereby enacted as follows:

Sec. 46-93. Title

This amendment shall be known and cited as the Cook County Municipal Inspector General Ordinance.

Sec. 46-94. Definitions

Municipality shall mean any city, village, town or other municipal entity, except the city of Chicago, whether such city, village, town or municipal entity is located partially or wholly within Cook County.

Compliance Audit shall have the meaning ascribed to it in the Illinois State Auditing Act and any additional auditing powers declared in Section 46-97 below.

Financial Audit shall have the meaning ascribed to it in the Illinois State Auditing Act and any additional auditing powers declared in Section 46-97 below.

Performance Audit shall have the meaning ascribed to it in the Illinois State Auditing Act and any additional auditing powers declared in Section 46-97 below.

Comptroller means the Comptroller of the State of Illinois.

Sheriff means the Cook County Sheriff.

Sec. 46-95. Municipal Inspector General - Establishment.

If any Municipality fails to file two consecutive annual audit reports or annual financial reports with the Comptroller as required pursuant to the Governmental Account Audit Act, 50 ILCS 310/01 *et. seq.*, and the Comptroller has not caused an audit of such Municipality's finances to be performed within the twelve months of the close of the fiscal year of the second consecutive unfiled annual audit report or annual financial report, the Cook County Board President may, upon a majority vote of the Cook County Board, create the Office of Municipal Inspector General for such Municipality and appoint the Sheriff to such Office of Municipal Inspector General for a period of at least 90 days; however, the term of Municipal Inspector General may be extended by a majority vote of the Cook County Board.

Sec. 46-96. Municipal Inspector General - Appointment.

If the Office of Municipal Inspector General is created, the Sheriff shall be appointed as the Municipal Inspector General; however the Sheriff may conduct any investigation or audit in consultation or coordination with the Comptroller or the Cook County Office of the Comptroller, as determined by the Sheriff.

Sec. 46-97. Municipal Inspector General - Powers and Duties.

In addition to the rights and responsibilities conferred to the Sheriff under Section 3-6021 of the Counties Code as the conservator of the peace for Cook County, the Municipal Inspector General may:

(a) Exercise a general supervision over all the officers of the subject Municipality charged in any manner with the receipt, collection, or disbursement of the municipal revenue, or with the collection and return of the municipal revenue, or with the collection and return of the municipal revenue into the treasury.

(b) Have custody and control of all municipal documents, books and papers designated by the corporate authorities.

(c) Have the right to prepare and submit to the Municipality's corporate authorities and Cook County Board a report of the Municipal Inspector General's estimate, as nearly as may be, of the money necessary to defray the expenses of the Municipality in the current fiscal year. For the purpose of making this report, the Municipal Inspector General is authorized to require all officers to submit statements of the condition and expenses of their respective offices or departments, with any proposed Municipal improvements and the probable expense of those improvements, all unperformed contracts, and the amount of all unexpended appropriations of the preceding year.

(d) Prepare an annual audit or annual financial report required under state law for the most recent fiscal year and any proceeding year, and file such audit or financial report with the Comptroller. For the purpose of making this report, the Municipal Inspector General is authorized to take custody and control of all municipal documents, books and papers designated by the corporate authorities for the most recent fiscal year or any proceeding years.

(e) Complete or order any Compliance Audit, Financial Audit, or Performance Audit for the current year or any proceeding years, as deemed necessary, including, but not limited to, an audit of the subject Municipality's:

- (1)Criminal investigation reports;
- (2)administrative investigation reports;
- (3)firearm tracing records;
- (4)officer ethics;
- (5)the carry and use of weapons;

- (6)search and seizure procedures;
- (7)procedures for arrests with and without warrants;
- (8)alternatives to arrest;
- (9)the use of officer discretion;
- (10)strip searches and body cavity searches;
- (11)profiling;
- (12)use of reasonable force;
- (13)use of deadly force;
- (14)use of authorized less than lethal weapons;
- (15)reporting uses of force;
- (16)weapons and ammunition;
- (17)weapons proficiency and training;
- (18)crime analysis;
- (19)purchasing and requisitions;
- (20)department property;
- (21)inventory and control;
- (22)issue and reissue;
- (23)recruitment;
- (24)training attendance;
- (25)lesson plans;
- (26)remedial training;
- (27)officer training record maintenance;
- (28)department animals;
- (29)response procedures;
- (30)pursuit of motor vehicles;
- (31)roadblocks and forcible stops;
- (32)missing or mentally ill persons;
- (33)use of equipment;
- (34)use of vehicle lights and sirens;
- (35)equipment specifications and maintenance;
- (36)vehicle safety restraints;
- (37)authorized personal equipment;
- (38)protective vests and high risk situations;
- (39)mobile data access;
- (40)in-car video and audio;
- (41)case file management;
- (42)investigative checklists;
- (43)informants;
- (44)cold cases;
- (45)polygraphs;
- (46)shift briefings;
- (47)interviews of witnesses and suspects;
- (48)line-ups and show-ups;
- (49)confidential information;
- (50)juvenile operations;
- (51)offenders, custody, and interrogation;
- (52)crime prevention and community interface;
- (53)critical incident response and planning;
- (54)hostage negotiation;
- (55)search and rescue;

- (56)special events;
- (57)personnel, equipment, and facility inspections;
- (58)victim/witness rights, preliminary contact, and follow up;
- (59)next of kin notification;
- (60)traffic stops and approaches;
- (61)speed-measuring devices;
- (62)DUI procedures;
- (63)traffic collision reporting and investigation;
- (64)citation inventory, control and administration;
- (65)escorts;
- (66)towing procedures;
- (67)detainee searches and transportation;
- (68)search and inventory of vehicles;
- (69)escape prevention procedures and detainee restraint;
- (70)sick, injured, and disabled detainees;
- (71)vehicle safety;
- (72)holding facility standards;
- (73)collection and preservation of evidence including but not limited to:
 - a.photos;
 - b.video;
 - c.fingerprints;
 - d.computers;
 - e.records;
 - f.DNA samples;
 - g.controlled substances;
 - h.weapons;
 - i.and/or physical evidence;
- (74)police report standards and format;
- (75)submission of evidence to laboratories;
- (76)follow up of outstanding cases;
- (77)and/or application for charges with the State's Attorney, United States Attorney, Attorney General, or other prosecuting authority.

Sec. 46-98. Audit Expenses.

The expenses of any audit and investigation conducted or ordered by the Municipal Inspector General pursuant to this Ordinance shall be paid by the subject Municipality. Payment shall be ordered by the subject Municipality out of the funds of the Municipality and such Municipality authorities shall make provision for payment. Contracts for the performance of audits required by this Ordinance may be entered into without competitive bidding. If the audit is made by a licensed public accountant retained by the Municipal Inspector General or the State Comptroller, the subject municipality shall pay for the audit.

Sec. 46-99. Municipality - Cooperation.

During the term of the Municipal Inspector General, it shall be the duty of every officer, employee, contractor, subcontractor and licensee of the Municipality to cooperate with the Municipal Inspector General in the management and day-to-day operations of law enforcement duties and public safety initiatives overseen by the Municipal Inspector General.

Sec. 46-100. Municipality - Auditing Duties.

This Ordinance does not relieve the subject Municipality, Comptroller, any other governmental entity or any officer of such entity of the duties required by law with respect to the auditing of public funds or the disbursement of public funds.

Sec. 46-101. Severability.

If any provision, clause, section, part or application of this chapter to any person or circumstance is declared invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity shall not affect, impair or invalidate the remainder hereof or its application to any other person or circumstance. It is hereby declared to be the legislative intent of the Cook County Board that this chapter would have been adopted had such invalid provision, clause, section, part or application not been included herein.

Effective date: This ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Suffredin, that this Ordinance be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion carried.

14-1648

Sponsored by: JESÚS G. GARCÍA, County Commissioner

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): Betty Gutierrez

Position: Commissioner for 7th District

Department/Board/Commission: Cook County Commission for Women's Issues

Effective date: Immediate

Expiration date: 12/1/2015, or until reappointed or a successor is appointed.

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Appointment be referred to the Committee on Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations. The motion carried.

BID OPENINGS

January 15, 2014

Honorable President and Members
Board of Commissioners of Cook County
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Wednesday, January 15, 2014, at 10:00 A.M., in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<u>CONTRACT NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>USING DEPARTMENT</u>
1381-12917	Double reception desk	Recorder of Deeds
1381-13086	Prepaid parking passes	Clerk of the Circuit Court

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective departments for review and consideration.

* * * * *

January 17, 2014

Honorable President and Members
Board of Commissioners of Cook County
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Friday, January 17, 2014, at 10:00 A.M., in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<u>CONTRACT NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>USING DEPARTMENT</u>
1422-13337	Digital duplicator	Department of Central Services

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective departments for review and consideration.

* * * * *

January 22, 2014

Honorable President and Members
Board of Commissioners of Cook County
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Wednesday, January 22, 2014, at 10:00 A.M., in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<u>CONTRACT NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>USING DEPARTMENT</u>
1484-13251	Data logger instruments	Department of Environmental Control
1322-13163	Maintenance and repair for DVR and camera systems	Sheriff's Office

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective department for review and consideration.

* * * * *

January 31, 2014

Honorable President and Members
Board of Commissioners of Cook County
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Friday, January 31, 2014, at 10:00 A.M., in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<u>CONTRACT NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>USING DEPARTMENT</u>
1484-13251	Data logger instruments	Department of Environmental Control
1322-13163	Maintenance and repair for DVR and camera systems	Sheriff's Office

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective department for review and consideration.

* * * * *

February 5, 2014

Honorable President and Members
Board of Commissioners of Cook County
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Wednesday, February 5, 2014 at 10:00 A.M. in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<u>CONTRACT NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>USING DEPARTMENT</u>
1484-13277	Autopsy, microscopic, neuropathology and radiology report transcript services	Medical Examiner's Office

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective department for review and consideration.

ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the meeting do now adjourn to meet again at the same time and same place on March 12, 2014, in accordance with County Board Resolution 14-0007.

The motion prevailed and the meeting stood adjourned.



County Clerk