



BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF COOK COUNTY
Cook County Building, Board Room, 118 North Clark Street, Chicago, Illinois

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

for the

Meeting of the Board of Commissioners

Wednesday, June 29, 2016, 11:00 AM

LUIS ARROYO, JR.
RICHARD R. BOYKIN
JERRY BUTLER
JOHN P. DALEY
JOHN A. FRITCHEY
BRIDGET GAINER
JESUS G. GARCIA
GREGG GOSLIN
STANLEY MOORE

SEAN M. MORRISON
JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY
TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER
PETER N. SILVESTRI
DEBORAH SIMS
ROBERT B. STEELE
LARRY SUFFREDIN
JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI

DAVID ORR
COUNTY CLERK

Board met pursuant to law and pursuant to Resolution 16-0557.

OFFICIAL RECORD

President Preckwinkle in the Chair.

CALL TO ORDER

At 11:00 A.M., being the hour appointed for the meeting, the President called the Board to order.

QUORUM

County Clerk David Orr called the roll of members and there was found to be a quorum present.

ATTENDANCE

Present: Commissioners Arroyo, Boykin, Butler, Daley, Fritchey, Gainer, Garcia, Goslin, Moore, Morrison, Schneider, Silvestri, Sims, Steele and Suffredin (15)

Absent: Commissioners Murphy and Tobolski (2)

INVOCATION

Reverend Charles Straight, Pastor, Faith United Methodist Church in Dolton, Illinois, gave the invocation.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

Pursuant to Cook County Code of Ordinances, public testimony will be permitted at regular and special meetings of the Board. Duly authorized public speakers shall be called upon at this time to deliver testimony germane to a specific item(s) on the meeting agenda, and the testimony must not exceed three (3) minutes. The names of duly authorized speakers shall be published in the Post Board Action Agenda and Journal of Proceedings as prepared by the Clerk of the Board.

1. Hedy M. Ratner, President Emerita - Women's Business Development Center
2. Karen Sheehan, MD, Medical Director – Injury Prevention and Research Center
3. Mark Walsh, Campaign Director – Illinois Council Against Handgun Violence
4. Carlil Pitmann – Communities United
5. Angel R. Orozco, Clinical Nurse I – Stroger Hospital
6. Dennis Kosuth, Clinical Nurse I – Stroger Hospital
7. Julie Vivanco, Clinical Nurse II – Cook County Health and Hospital System

CONSENT CALENDAR

Pursuant to Cook County Code, the Secretary to the Board of Commissioners hereby transmits Consent Calendar Resolutions for your consideration. The Consent Calendar Resolutions shall be published in the Post Board Action Agenda and Journal of Proceedings as prepared by the Clerk of the Board.

**16-3982
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE,
JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, LUIS ARROYO JR, RICHARD R. BOYKIN, JERRY BUTLER,
JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA,
GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE, SEAN M. MORRISON, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,
PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT B. STEELE
AND LARRY SUFFREDIN, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**HONORING THE WOUNDED AND ILL MEMBERS AND VETERANS
OF THE UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES FROM ALL WARS AND PROCLAIMING
JUNE 29TH SILVER STAR SERVICE BANNER DAY IN COOK COUNTY**

WHEREAS, the County of Cook has always honored the sacrifice made by the service men and women and veterans of the United States Armed Forces; and

WHEREAS, America's courageous, wounded warriors and their families have made sacrifices that deserve a lifetime of gratitude and should never be forgotten; and

WHEREAS, the Silver Star Service Banner and Flag have been officially designated by the United States Congress to represent the members of the armed forces and veterans who were wounded or became ill in combat in all wars past and present; and

WHEREAS, the Silver Star Service Banner is presented to those wounded or ill military service members and veterans who embody the integrity, honor and loyalty of military service, have inspired us all with their dedication and commitment and make every American proud; and

WHEREAS, the Silver Star Families of America, formed in 2005 to recognize, remember and assist the wounded and ill of our armed forces, designed, manufacture and provide Silver Star Service Banners and Silver Star Flags free of charge to those who qualify; the volunteers of this organization worked tirelessly to make it the official banner and flag to honor the war wounded; and

WHEREAS, the President and Cook County Board of Commissioners are honored to have the opportunity to acknowledge the meritorious efforts of our valiant men and women in uniform and their families and the heroic contributions they have made to our nation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that June 29, 2016 be proclaimed the eighth annual Silver Star Service Banner Day in Cook County, the official day to honor the wounded and ill service members and veterans of Cook County; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body and that an official copy of the same be tendered to all eligible service men and women and veterans in recognition of their bravery and of how much more they have given for our great Country and their fellow citizens.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Steele, to suspend the rules. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

16-4133

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

**Sponsored by
THE HONORABLE STANLEY MOORE, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

IN CELEBRATION OF THE LIFE AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF MRS. GLORIA D. McKEE

WHEREAS, Gloria D. McKee was born in Cleveland, Ohio on February 2, 1945 to Thomas and Juanita Wright; and

WHEREAS, during the 1960s, Gloria made the decision to devote her life to the church and enter the convent where she remained until 1968; and

WHEREAS, in 1970 she married Henry H. McKee, Jr., the love of her life; and

WHEREAS, that union blessed her with three sons, but also allowed her the opportunity to become a mother figure to several of their friends throughout the years; and

WHEREAS, Gloria McKee graduated with a dual Masters of Education degree from Loyola University of Chicago; and

WHEREAS, during her educational career she became the principal of six schools in the Archdiocese of Chicago; and

WHEREAS, she also taught at the college level at Dawson Tech College and Malcolm X College in Chicago; and

WHEREAS, always having been known as a person with a big heart and love for helping those in need, Gloria McKee went to Catholic Charities to become a foster parent for children waiting for adoption and to adopt children that she fostered; and

WHEREAS, Gloria McKee’s enduring love and commitment to her husband, her sons, her daughters-in-law, her grandchildren, and her great-grandchildren will forever be remembered and missed.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Members of the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Cook County shall recognize and honor Mrs. Gloria D. McKee in celebration of

her life and accomplishments; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Cook County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a copy of this Resolution to the family of Mrs. Gloria D. McKee.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, to suspend the rules. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

Having voted on the prevailing side, motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be reconsidered.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri voted that this Consent Calendar Resolution be deferred. The motion carried.

16-4146

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

**Sponsored by
THE HONORABLE STANLEY MOORE, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

**IN CELEBRATION OF THE LIFE AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS
OF MR. RONALD ‘KWESI’ HARRIS**

WHEREAS, Ronald “Kwesi” Harris was born on November 22, 1959, the second eldest of Willie Lee and Jannie Mae Harris’ five children; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Harris was a graduate of Simeon High School on the Chicago south side and later received his degree in Child Development and Social Work from Central State University in Ohio; and

WHEREAS, while at Central State University, Mr. Harris became a member of the Kappa Alpha Psi Fraternity Inc.; and

WHEREAS, on September 8, 1990, Mr. Harris married his best friend and his “Queen” Donna F. Edgeworth; and

WHEREAS, in 2004, Mr. Harris worked alongside the Illinois Attorney General, other attorney generals from 30 states, grassroots organizations, local public health advocated and hip-hop organization worked against the KOOL cigarettes company to bring down the advertising campaign aimed at young urban population; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Harris became the Program Director of the African American Resource Center (AAMRC) at Chicago State University in 2009; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Harris was a strong advocate in improving the rate of African American males at Universities by making them more efficient and independent learners; and

WHEREAS, in 2014 Mr. Harris began his work with the African American Coalition for Prevention and

the State of the Caner Union; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Harris was a committed and passionate family man to his wife, daughter, three (3) sons and four (4) grandson.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Members of the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Cook County shall recognize and honor the life and accomplishments of Mr. Ronald “Kwesi” Harris; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Cook County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a copy of this Resolution to the family of Mr. Ronald “Kwesi” Harris.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, to suspend the rules. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

Having voted on the prevailing side, motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be reconsidered.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri voted that this Consent Calendar Resolution be deferred. The motion carried.

**16-4163
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE,
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

HONORING THE VICTIMS OF THE ORLANDO NIGHTCLUB SHOOTING

WHEREAS, at approximately 2:00 AM on June 12, 2016, an act of hate and terror was inflicted upon the patrons of “Pulse” a popular Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender (LGBT) nightclub in Orlando, Florida; and

WHEREAS, there has been a tremendous outpouring of support for the victims, the families who have lost loved ones and the entire Orlando community by people across Cook County, and rest of the nation; and

WHEREAS, this attack is the deadliest mass shooting in the history of the United States; and

WHEREAS, during this horrific event fifty-three (53) people were injured, and forty-nine (49) people were killed. The names of those killed were: Stanley Almodovar III, 23 years old; Amanda Alvear, 25 years old; Oscar A Aracena-Montero, 26 years old; Rodolfo Ayala-Ayala, 33 years old; Antonio Davon Brown, 29 years old; Darryl Roman Burt II, 29 years old; Angel L. Candelario-Padro, 28 years old; Juan Chevez-Martinez, 25 years old; Luis Daniel Conde, 39 years old; Cory James Connell, 21 years old; Tevin Eugene Crosby, 25 years old; Deonka Deidra Drayton, 32 years old; Simon Adrian Carrillo Fernandez, 31 years

old; Leroy Valentin Fernandez, 25 years old; Mercedes Marisol Flores, 26 years old; Peter O. Gonzalez-Cruz, 22 years old; Juan Ramon Guerrero, 22 years old; Paul Terrell Henry, 41 years old; Frank Hernandez, 27 years old; Miguel Angel Honorato, 30 years old; Javier Jorge-Reyes, 40 years old; Jason Benjamin Josaphat, 19 years old; Eddie Jamoldroy Justice, 30 years old; Anthony Luis Laureanodisla, 25 years old; Christopher Andrew Leinonen, 32 years old; Alejandro Barrios Martinez, 21 years old; Brenda Lee Marquez McCool, 49 years old; Gilberto Ramon Silva Menendez, 25 years old; Kimberly Morris, 37 years old; Akyra Monet Murray, 18 years old; Luis Omar Ocasio-Capo, 20 years old; Geraldo A. Ortiz-Jimenez, 25 years old; Eric Ivan Ortiz-Rivera, 36 years old; Joel Rayon Paniagua, 32 years old; Jean Carlos Mendez Perez, 35 years old; Enrique L. Rios, Jr., 25 years old; Jean C. Nives Rodriguez, 27 years old; Xavier Emmanuel Serrano Rosado, 35 years old; Christopher Joseph Sanfeliz, 24 years old; Yilmery Rodriguez Solivan, 24 years old; Edward Sotomayor Jr., 34 years old; Shane Evan Tomlinson, 33 years old; Martin Benitez Torres, 33 years old; Jonathan Antonio Camuy Vega, 24 years old; Juan P. Rivera Velazquez, 37 years old; Luis S. Vielma, 22 years old; Franky Jimmy Dejesus Velazquez, 50 years old; Luis Daniel Wilson-Leon, 37 years old; Jerald Arthur Wright, 31 years old; and

WHEREAS, the month of June is recognized as LGBT Pride Month, we have yet another reason to stand in unity and solidarity with our LGBT brothers and sisters to celebrate, strengthen, empower, and unify with the LGBT community, their families and allies throughout Cook County, Orlando, the United States of America and the entire world; and

WHEREAS, like Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. said during his 1963 “Strength to Love” speech: “Darkness cannot drive out darkness; only light can do that. Hate cannot drive out hate; only love can do that”.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President and the Cook County Board of Commissioners, on behalf of the residents of Cook County, do extend their deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the citizens of Orange County and the City of Orlando, all survivors, victims, and those who lost loved ones in this horrific event.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, to suspend the rules. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved by rising vote. The motion carried.

**16-4185
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE ROBERT B. STEELE, JERRY BUTLER, GREGG GOSLIN,
STANLEY MOORE, SEAN M. MORRISON, DEBORAH SIMS
AND TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

RESOLUTION CELEBRATING THE 50TH ANNIVERSARY OF UNITED BAPTIST CHURCH

WHEREAS, on Saturday, June 25, 2016, United Baptist Church celebrated their 50th Anniversary with a Banner Dedication and Prayer Gathering of providing services to the North Lawndale Community; and

WHEREAS, organized by Reverend Clarence Jones on June 12, 1966 who met with a band of seventy believers that came together for a common cause, which was to worship and met at 4205 West Harrison Street; the members later secured a permanent place to worship at 4218-20 West Roosevelt Road; and

WHEREAS, a child planted the name “United” in the mind of Reverend Jones and the membership voted unanimously to accept the name United Baptist Church on July 24, 1966; and

WHEREAS, United’s membership continued to increase under Reverend Jones and by 1974 it had grown to approximately 250 members; and

WHEREAS, on April 28, 1974, Reverend Wilson Daniels became the Pastor of United and has served for 42 years with exemplary leadership, his motto being “Serving God Through Serving Humanity”; and

WHEREAS, under the leadership of Reverend Daniels, United Baptist Church has seen significant growth in its membership; and

WHEREAS, United Baptist Church (UBC) provides weekly food giveaways which feeds more than 10,000 people annually. In addition established a weekly clothing give away in the church’s parking lot, provides several impactful services such as: job training; substance abuse intervention, and ex-offender and anger management programs; and

WHEREAS, United Baptist Church also offers a computer training center, a juvenile justice program for teens, provides scholarship opportunities for students to continue their pursuit of higher education and assisted in the building of over 75 homes for low income and 1st time home owners in Lawndale; and

WHEREAS, on June 8, 1996 Roosevelt Road was renamed, “United Baptist Church Road” beginning at Karlov (on the east) to Cicero Avenue (on the west); and

WHEREAS, United Baptist Church is a true beacon of hope for the community it has served for 50 years.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President of the Board along with the Cook County Board of Commissioners do hereby congratulate Reverend Wilson Daniels and United Baptist Church on the occasion of its 50th Anniversary and for the important and commendable service and leadership it provides to its congregation and surrounding community; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be spread upon the official proceeding of this Honorable Body and a ceremonial copy be given to Reverend Wilson Daniels in commemoration of this auspicious occasion

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore, to suspend the rules. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**16-4189
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE ROBERT B. STEELE, COUNTY COMMISSIONER

OBSERVING THE HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF JUNETEENTH INDEPENDENCE DAY

WHEREAS, news of the end of slavery did not reach frontier areas of the United States, and in particular the Southwestern States for more than 2.5 years after President Lincoln’s Emancipation Proclamation, which was issued on January 1, 1863, and months after the conclusion of the Civil War; and

WHEREAS, on June 19, 1865, Union soldiers led by Major General Gordon Granger arrived in Galveston, Texas, with news that the Civil War had ended and that the enslaved were free; and

WHEREAS, African-Americans who had been slaves in the Southwest celebrated June 19th, commonly known as “Juneteenth Independence Day” as the anniversary of their emancipation; and

WHEREAS, African-Americans from the Southwest continued the tradition of celebrating Juneteenth Independence Day as inspiration and encouragement for future generations; and

WHEREAS, for more than 151 years, Juneteenth Independence Day Celebrations have been held to honor African-American freedom while encouraging self-development and respect for all cultures; and

WHEREAS, the faith and strength of character demonstrated by former slaves remains an example for all people of the United States, regardless of background, religion, or race.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President of the Board along with the Cook County Board of Commissioners recognizes the historical significance of Juneteenth Independence Day to the Nation; supports the continued celebration of Juneteenth Independence Day to provide an opportunity for the people of Cook County to learn more about the past and to better understand the experiences that have shaped our great Country; and encourage the people of Cook County to observe Juneteenth Independence Day with appropriate ceremonies, activities, and programs; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be spread upon the official proceeding of this Honorable Body to commemorate this auspicious occasion.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, to suspend the rules. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

16-4204
RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE ROBERT B. STEELE, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE,
LUIS ARROYO JR, RICHARD R. BOYKIN, JERRY BUTLER, JOHN P. DALEY,
JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA, GREGG GOSLIN,
STANLEY MOORE, SEAN M. MORRISON, JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY,
TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS,
LARRY SUFFREDIN AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

CELEBRATING THE 107TH BIRTHDAY OF MR. HENRY JENKINS

WHEREAS, Mr. Henry Jenkins of Chicago, Illinois has recently celebrated his 107th birthday surrounded by community members, family and friends; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Jenkins was born on June 25, 1909 in Coffeeville, Mississippi to the Shirley and Henry Jenkins; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Jenkins grew up farming in the small town of Coffeeville, Mississippi during the era of legal segregation in the south; and

WHEREAS, in 1939 Mr. Jenkins moved from the cotton fields of Mississippi to the Englewood Community on Chicago’s South Side as a part of the historic migration of African Americans who moved north for a better quality of life; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Jenkins served his Country as a member of the United States Army in the 1940’s during World War II. Upon his return from service, Mr. Jenkins resided in the Englewood Community and has been a dedicated public servant and a pillar in Englewood for more than 40 years.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President along with the Cook County Board of Commissioners and on behalf of the 5.2 million residents of Cook County takes great pleasure in honoring and celebrating Mr. Henry Jenkins on the occasion of his 107th Birthday and wishes his continued health and happiness for years to come; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a suitable copy of this Resolution is shared upon the official celebrations of this Honorable Gathering and that an official copy of the same is tendered to Mr. Henry Jenkins in honor of this auspicious occasion.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, to suspend the rules. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

PRESIDENT

16-3699

Presented by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): Dr. Ponni Arunkumar, Chief Medical Examiner

Position: Chief Medical Examiner

Department/Board/Commission: Office of the Medical Examiner of Cook County

Effective date: Immediately upon approval

Expiration date: Five years from the date of Board approval

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Appointment be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Committee. The motion carried.

16-4072

RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT, JERRY BUTLER,
JOHN P. DALEY, LUIS ARROYO JR, ROBERT B. STEELE, JESÚS G. GARCÍA
AND LARRY SUFFREDIN, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

Co-Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE RICHARD R. BOYKIN, JOHN A. FRITCHEY,
BRIDGET GAINER, GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE, SEAN M. MORRISON,**

**TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI
AND DEBORAH SIMS, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**IN SUPPORT OF THE AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION’S RECOGNITION
OF GUN VIOLENCE AS A PUBLIC HEALTH CRISIS AND CALLING ON CONGRESS
TO ALLOW THE CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL TO RESEARCH GUN VIOLENCE**

WHEREAS, according to the most recent statistics, firearms caused 32,888 deaths in the United States; and

WHEREAS, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the United States has the highest rates of childhood homicide, suicide and firearm-related deaths among industrialized countries; and

WHEREAS, the residents of Cook County are still mourning the 49 people killed and 53 people injured by a single terrorist using a firearm in the early morning hours of June 12, 2016, in Orlando, Florida; and

WHEREAS, the epidemic of gun violence this year in Cook County has claimed 323 lives to date; and

WHEREAS, in 2014 there were 595 gun related deaths in Cook County, and 457 of those deaths were ruled to be homicides by the Office of the Medical Examiner of Cook County; and

WHEREAS, on January 16, 2013, in response to the growing epidemic of gun deaths, President Barack Obama issued a Presidential Memorandum directing the CDC to conduct or sponsor research into the causes of gun violence and strategies to prevent it; and

WHEREAS, for 20 years through legislative action, the United States Congress has blocked any funds for the CDC to sponsor or conduct research into the causes of gun violence and strategies to prevent it; and

WHEREAS, the American Medical Association (AMA), the largest professional association of physicians in the United States, recently recognized that “uncontrolled ownership and use of firearms, especially handguns, is a serious threat to the public’s health, inasmuch as the weapons are one of the main causes of intentional and unintentional injuries and deaths”; and

WHEREAS, the AMA joined the American College of Physicians and the American College of Surgeons in recognizing that gun violence is a public health crisis.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners commends the action of the American Medical Association in officially recognizing that gun violence is a public health crisis; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners joins with the AMA in urging the United States Congress to change its 20-year policy of barring the CDC and other federal agencies from conducting or sponsoring research into the causes of gun violence and how to prevent it; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this Resolution be presented to the members of the Congressional delegation from Illinois and the American Medical Association.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Suffredin, that the Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

PRESIDENT
JUSTICE ADVISORY COUNCIL

16-3852

Presented by: LANETTA HAYNES TURNER, Executive Director, Justice Advisory Council

PROPOSED CONTRACT

Department(s): Cook County Justice Advisory Council

Vendor:

- 1) OAI, Inc., Chicago, Illinois
- 2) BUILD, Inc., Chicago, Illinois
- 3) Brighton Park Neighborhood Council, Chicago, Illinois
- 4) Youth Advocate Programs, Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Violence Prevention, Intervention, & Reduction Services

Contract Value:

- 1) OAI, Inc., \$199,974.86
- 2) BUILD, Inc., \$200,000.00
- 3) Brighton Park Neighborhood Council, \$200,000.00
- 4) Youth Advocate Programs, \$200,000.00

Contract period: 8/1/2016 - 7/31/2017

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2016 \$400,000.00, FY 2017 \$400,000.00

Accounts: 499-298

Contract Number(s):

- 1) OAI, Inc., 1653-15264A
- 2) BUILD, Inc., 1653-15264B
- 3) Brighton Park Neighborhood Council, 1653-15264C
- 4) Youth Advocate Programs, 1653-15264D

Concurrences:

The established goal for this contract is 0% MBE/ WBE participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: These represent the first FY 2016 - 2017 Violence Prevention, Intervention, and Reduction Grant Awards.

OAI, Inc.: This grant will fund a program that will serve young adults ages 18-29 from the South suburbs and the West side of Chicago. The program is a workforce development and peer mentoring with five levels of activity: 1) Outreach and engagement, 2) exposure, enrichment, and recruitment to STEM/Manufacturing opportunities, 3) productive life skills and peer supports, 4) technical skills training and job placement and 5) leadership development.

BUILD Inc.: This grant will fund a program that will serve fifty (50) youth ages 12 -19 in the Austin community. The program is Safe Space Safe Play and the components are community building, youth leadership, intensive mentoring, family and community engagement.

Brighton Park Neighborhood Council: This grant will fund a program that will serve eighty (80) youth in high school and middle school with school based therapy, mentoring, restorative justice, designed as an intervention to prevent and reduce truancy and other behavior that lead to drop out and high risk of violence. The program also includes a family engagement component.

Youth Advocate Programs: This grant will fund a program that will serve fifty (50) youth up to 21 years old that are identified as the highest risk by juvenile probation. Program components include: Wrap around services 24/7 crisis intervention, mentoring, supportive workforce development.

These were recommended through Request for Proposals (RFP) procedures in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. OAI, Inc., BUILD, Inc., Brighton Park Neighborhood Council and Youth Advocate Programs were selected based on established evaluation criteria.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.

16-3864

Presented by: LANETTA HAYNES TURNER, Executive Director, Justice Advisory Council

PROPOSED AGREEMENT

Department(s): Justice Advisory Council

Other Part(ies): Centers for Companies that Care, Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization to enter into an interagency agreement

Good(s) or Service(s): Center for Companies that Care will offer 20 positions for justice involved youth in the Career Awareness Program which will run from 7/7/2016 - 7/29/2016.

Agreement period: 7/1/2016 - 9/30/2016

Fiscal Impact: \$16,000.00

Accounts: 499-298

Agreement Number(s):

Summary/Notes: Pursuant to its home rule authority, Cook County, through the Justice Advisory Council desires to engage Center for Companies That Care (“CTC”) in an Agreement/ Pilot program to provide the opportunity for twenty (20) justice involved youth to participate in CTC’s three week Career Awareness Program. CTC will implement The Career Awareness Program that will serve sixty youth participants from 7/11/2016 - 7/29/2016; 20 of which will be identified as justice involved youth. The goal of this pilot program is to assist justice involved youth and gain a better understanding of how employment related programming can best be adapted to properly serve justice involved youth in the future. The youth participating in the Career Awareness Program will visit a variety of businesses, industries and work environments and will have an opportunity to build skills that will assist with both their academic and job performance, skills taught in the Career Awareness Program include business communication, time building time management, resume writing and interviewing; each participant will plan and complete an individual project and will be eligible for a stipend, depending on project completion. CTC will work with various JAC partners to identify and select twenty (20) justice involved youth to participate in the Career Awareness Program. The period of the Agreement will run through 9/30/2016 to allow for CTC to complete its reporting requirements. The Fee payment will be made following youth placement and the completion of the various reporting requirements.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Agreement be approved. The motion carried.i

COMMISSIONERS**16-4068
RESOLUTION****Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE LUIS ARROYO JR, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE,
JESÚS G. GARCÍA, LARRY SUFFREDIN, RICHARD R. BOYKIN,
JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY AND STANLEY MOORE, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

Co-Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE JERRY BUTTLER, JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY,
BRIDGET GAINER, GREGG GOSLIN, SEAN M. MORRISON, PETER N. SILVESTRI,
DEBORAH SIMS AND ROBERT B. STEELE, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

CALLING ON CONGRESS TO REPEAL THE DICKEY AMENDMENT

WHEREAS, on October 7, 1993, a study titled “*Gun Ownership as a Risk Factor for Homicide in the Home*” sponsored by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) and published in the New England Journal of Medicine (Vol. 329 No. 15) concluded that rather than confer protection, guns kept in the home are associated with an increase in the risk of homicide by a family member or intimate acquaintance, and that residents in homes with a gun faced a 2.7-fold greater risk of homicide and a 4.8-fold greater risk of suicide;

and

WHEREAS, this peer-reviewed published study that analyzed 1860 homicides recorded in three (3) United States Counties from August 23, 1987, and August 23, 1992, also concluded that although firearms are often kept in homes for personal protection, the practice is counterproductive and that efforts to increase home security have largely focused on preventing unwanted entry, but the greatest threat to the lives of household members appears to come from within; and

WHEREAS, as a result of the publication of this study, the National Rifle Association (NRA) moved to suppress the dissemination of the results and to block funding of future government research into the causes of firearm injuries. Hence, in 1996, the NRA lobbied to include an amendment to an appropriations bill that removed \$2.6 million from the CDC's budget, the amount the agency's injury center had spent on firearms-related research the previous year; and

WHEREAS, named after its author Jay Dickey, a Republican member of the United States House of Representatives from Arkansas, the now known as the Dickey amendment, is a provision first inserted as a rider into the 1996 federal government omnibus spending bill which mandated that "none of the funds made available for injury prevention and control at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) may be used to advocate or promote gun control"; and

WHEREAS, the Dickey amendment has been part of the federal government spending bill ever since, preventing U.S. scientists from answering a most basic question: "What works to prevent firearm injuries?"; and

WHEREAS, on July 27, 2012, Jay Dickey, through a Washington Post Opinion, called to repeal the amendment that bears his name, and to conduct scientific research into preventing firearm injuries and to find ways to prevent firearm deaths without encroaching on the rights of legitimate gun owners; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Dickey also rationalizes that "the federal government has invested billions to understand the causes of motor vehicle fatalities and, with that knowledge, has markedly reduced traffic deaths in the United States. Since the mid-1970s, research has inspired such interventions as child restraints, seat belts, frontal air bags, a minimum drinking age and motorcycle helmets. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration estimates that 366,000 lives were saved through such efforts from 1975 to 2009"; and

WHEREAS, in an editorial in the April 7, 2015 issue of *Annals of Internal Medicine*, a team of doctors wrote: "It does not matter whether we believe that guns kill people or that people kill people with guns-the result is the same: a public health crisis"; and

WHEREAS, in a Mother Jones article published in April, 2015, an analysis of data from 2012 conducted in collaboration with Ted Miller from the Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation, an independent nonprofit that studies public health, education, and safety issues, found that the annual cost of gun violence in America exceeds \$229 billion. Direct costs account for \$8.6 billion-including long-term prison costs for people who commit assault and homicide using guns, which at \$5.2 billion a year is the largest direct expense; and that the average cost to taxpayers for a single gun homicide in America is nearly \$400,000. Indirect costs amount to at least \$221 billion, about \$169 billion of which comes from what researchers consider to be the impact on victims' quality of life. Victims' lost wages, which account for \$49 billion annually, are the other major factor; and

WHEREAS, on December 2, 2015, physicians with Doctors for America joined the National Physicians Alliance, Doctors Council, American Medical Women's Association, American College of Preventive Medicine, The Committee of Interns and Residents, Physicians for the Prevention of Gun Violence,

American Medical Student Association, American Academy of Pediatrics, and Representatives David Price, Nita Lowey, Mike Thompson, Robin Kelly and Mike Quigley to urge Congress to end the effective ban on the ability for the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the National Institutes of Health (NIH) to conduct research on gun violence. Over 2,000 doctors in all 50 states plus the District of Columbia signed a petition urging Congress to remove the barriers to common sense research that have existed for nearly 20 years and include annual funding to identify causes and ways to prevent gun violence.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the members of the Cook County Board of Commissioners do hereby urge the United States Congress and the United States Senate to repeal the Dickey Amendment and fund scientific research into preventing firearm injuries and the prevention of firearm deaths; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners hereby directs the Secretary of the Board to send a copy of this Resolution to Joseph R. Biden, Vice-President; Senator Mitch McConnell, Majority Leader; Senator Harry Reid, Democratic Leader; Representative Paul D. Ryan, Speaker of the House; Representative Kevin McCarthy, Majority Leader; and Representative Nancy Pelosi, Minority Leader.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk



A motion was made by Commissioner Arroyo, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried

**16-4039
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE RICHARD R. BOYKIN, LUIS ARROYO JR, JERRY BUTTLER,
JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, STANLEY MOORE, DEBORAH SIMS,
LARRY SUFFREDIN AND ROBERT B. STEELE, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**CALLING ON THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS TO ENACT
THE GOVERNOR OF ILLINOIS TO SIGN A STATEWIDE BAN ON ASSAULT WEAPONS**

WHEREAS, in July of 2014 the Cook County Board of Commissioners approved a nonbinding, county-wide voter referendum calling on the Illinois General Assembly to enact the Illinois Public Safety Act, Senate Bill 3659, requiring universal background checks for firearm transfers and prohibiting the sale and transfer of assault weapons, assault weapon attachments and high capacity ammunition magazines; and

WHEREAS, on November 4, 2014 the voters of Cook County approved the aforementioned referendum, with 87 percent of the votes cast in favor; and

WHEREAS, the General Assembly adjourned in 2015 without taking action on Senate Bill 3659; and

WHEREAS, in the year that followed this failure to enact meaningful firearm legislation, 2015, 2,996 people in the City of Chicago were shot, with 445 of those shooting victims killed; and

WHEREAS, in 2016 to date 1,810 people have been shot, and over 300 people killed; and

WHEREAS, in 2016 to date the Chicago Police Department has confiscated and removed from the streets of Chicago over 2,400 guns; and

WHEREAS, in 2016 to date the Cook County Sheriff has confiscated and removed from the streets of Cook County 347 guns; and

WHEREAS, the recent massacre in an Orlando, Florida, as well as too many other similar incidents throughout the United States of America, have shown the extraordinary damage and loss of life that can be caused by semi-automatic assault weapons and high capacity magazines; and

WHEREAS, gun violence in Chicago and Cook County has reached a crisis point requiring swift and meaningful legislative action; and

WHEREAS, military-style assault weapons have no place on the streets of the City of Chicago in Cook County, as they have no purpose other than to kill; and

WHEREAS, it is right and proper that the Cook County Board of Commissioners should express the will of the body on the issue of gun violence generally, and on assault weapons specifically, because of the extraordinary cost of gun violence to the Health and Hospitals System, Jail and Circuit Court of Cook County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President and Cook County Board of Commissioners do hereby call upon the General Assembly of the State of Illinois to enact and the Governor of Illinois to sign a statewide ban on assault weapons.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk



A motion was made by Commissioner Boykin, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

Commissioners Goslin, Morrison and Schneider voted “no”.

16-4064

RESOLUTION

**Sponsored by
THE HONORABLE RICHARD R. BOYKIN, LUIS ARROYO JR., JOHN P. DALEY, JESUS G. GARCIA, DEBORAH SIMS AND ROBERT B. STEELE, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**CALLING FOR THE CREATION OF A GUN VIOLENCE RESEARCH CONSORTIUM
AS A PROJECT OF THE COOK COUNTY HEALTH AND HOSPITAL SYSTEM'S
COLLABORATIVE RESEARCH UNIT**

WHEREAS, every year the physicians of the Cook County Health and Hospital System's Stroger Hospital treat approximately 900 victims of gun violence; and

WHEREAS, the cost to Cook County taxpayers of treating victims of gun violence typically ranges between \$35,000.00 and \$50,000.00 per victim; and

WHEREAS, Chicago and Cook County are presently engulfed in a gun violence crisis, with 1,823 people in Chicago shot in 2016 to date, and 312 people killed during this same time period; and

WHEREAS, gun violence in Chicago and Cook County has strained the resources of Cook County and stretched the limits of many of the institutions of Cook County government, including the Cook County Medical Examiner, Cook County Jail, the Cook County Circuit Court, and the Cook County Health and Hospitals System, among others; and

WHEREAS, numerous national, regional and local public policy experts have clearly identified gun violence as a public health issue; and

WHEREAS, on June 14, 2016, the American Medical Association publicly proclaimed Gun Violence to be a "Public Health Crisis," and implored the United States Congress to lift its ban on research of gun violence by Centers for Disease Control (CDC); and

WHEREAS, on June 21, 2016, Cook County Board President Toni Preckwinkle and Cook County Health and Hospitals System CEO, Dr. Jay Shannon, stood with other leaders and advocates and demanded that the gun violence epidemic in Chicago and Cook County be treated as a public health crisis; and

WHEREAS, Dr. Shannon stated that, as a health crisis, gun violence "has to be addressed with an equally complex, data-driven approach"; and

WHEREAS, Cook County Health and Hospitals System engages in multiple forms of research in order to expand and improve understanding of the diseases and related conditions impacting the patients that the system serves; and

WHEREAS, among the research projects operating under the auspices of the Cook County Health and Hospitals System are the AIDS Malignancy Consortium, the Intimate Partner Violence Protocol, and the Chicago Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Prevention Epicenter (CARPE); and

WHEREAS, Cook County Health and Hospitals System contains a Collaborative Research Unit which was created in 1998 to promote research on significant problems impacting the health of the vulnerable and diverse patient populations of Cook County; and

WHEREAS, the current gun violence epidemic is a significant problem that impacts the health of the vulnerable and diverse patient populations of Cook County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the President and Cook County Board of Commissioners do hereby call for the creation, by the Cook County Health and Hospitals System, of a Gun Violence Research Consortium, as a project of the Cook County Health and Hospitals System's Collaborative Research Unit; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Gun Violence Research Consortium shall research and analyze a broad scope of activities, including but not limited to clinical care, health services research, medical education, and community-based studies, with the goal of improving the health of the citizens of Cook County by significantly reducing gun violence throughout Cook County.

A motion was made by Commissioner Boykin, seconded by Commissioner Butler, that this Resolution be referred to the Health and Hospitals Committee. The motion carried.

16-4046

ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

Sponsored by
THE HONORABLE JOHN A. FRITCHEY, COUNTY COMMISSIONER

AN AMENDMENT TO THE COOK COUNTY PROCUREMENT CODE

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Chapter 34, Article IV, Procurement Code, Sec. 34-126 is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 34-126. Distribution of Assets.

(a) The County Board reserves the right to designate certain unusable, surplus and/or obsolete personal property, equipment or other property (hereinafter referred to collectively as "assets") for distribution as charitable donations. Assets may be designated for distribution as charitable donations, if:

- (1) a determination has been made that the assets are not needed by any department or division of the County;
- (2) The assets are of a type that would provide a beneficial service in either the medical or education fields to another entity; and
- (3) the recipient of the assets is a legitimate nonprofit organization, local or foreign governmental entity.

(b) The assets shall be transferred by an appropriate instrument of transfer, which shall include:

- (1) a provision that requires the recipient to use the property in a manner that primarily promotes the implementation or improvement of medical or educational services available to the public; and
- (2) a provision that indicates that ownership of the assets automatically reverts to the County if the entity at any time fails to use the property in that manner.

(c) Prior to any such transfer, assets shall be properly documented and prepared for donation in accordance with the Cook County Salvage Policy.

The responsibility for determining the recipient of the donation, pursuant to the above guidelines shall reside in the Office of the President of the County Board, with approval by the County Board.

Effective date: This Ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon passage.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Butler, that this Ordinance Amendment be referred to the Asset Management Committee. The motion carried.

Having voted on the prevailing side, a motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Butler, that this Ordinance be reconsidered. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Ordinance be referred to the Finance Committee. The motion carried.

16-4065

ORDINANCE

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE: JESÚS G. GARCÍA, LUIS ARROYO, JR., RICHARD R. BOYKIN, AND DEBORAH SIMS COUNTY COMMISSIONER

ESTABLISHING EMPLOYER PAID SICK LEAVE FOR RESIDENTS OF COOK COUNTY

WHEREAS, the County of Cook is a home rule unit of government pursuant to the 1970 Illinois Constitution, Article VII, Section 6 (a); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to their home rule powers, the Cook County Commissioners may exercise any power and perform any function relating to their governments and affairs, including the power to regulate for the protection of the public health, safety, morals, and welfare; and

WHEREAS, promoting the public health and welfare for those who work within the County’s border plainly meets this criterion; and

WHEREAS, employees in every industry occasionally require time away from the workplace to tend to their own health or the health of family members; and

WHEREAS, in Cook County, XX percent of private sector workers received no paid sick leave; and

WHEREAS, paid sick leave has a positive effect of the health of not only employees and their family members, but also the health of fellow workers and public at large and the most comprehensive national survey of U.S. restaurant workers found that two-thirds of restaurant wait staff and cooks have come to work sick; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Health and Hospitals System spends between 350 and 500 million in uncompensated care and has an interest in the health of County residents; and

WHEREAS, paid sick leave reduces health care expenditures by promoting access to primary and preventative care and reduces reliance on emergency care; and

WHEREAS, nationally providing all workers with paid sick leave would result in 1.1 billion in annual savings in hospital emergency department costs; and

WHEREAS, over 20 municipalities including New York City, San Francisco, Seattle, Portland and Jersey City have passed legislation requiring employers within their jurisdictions to provide paid sick leave; and

WHEREAS, a cost model developed by the Civic Consulting Alliance found that the paid sick leave framework reflected in this ordinance would result in only a small, 0.7 to 1.5 increase in labor costs for most employers.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 42, Human Relations, Section 42-1 of the Cook County Code is hereby enacted as follows:

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 42, Human Relations, Section 42-1 of the Cook County Code is hereby enacted as follows:

Sec. 42-1. Paid sick leave

Any covered employee who works at least 80 hours for an Employer within any 120-day period shall be eligible for Paid Sick Leave as provided under this section.

Effective date: This Ordinance shall be in effect on July 1, 2017

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Ordinance be referred to the Labor Committee. The motion carried.

SECRETARY TO THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

16-3997

PROPOSED PREVIOUSLY APPROVED ITEM AMENDMENT

Department: Secretary to the Board

Request: Approval of an amendment to a previously approved item

Item Number: 16-2971

Fiscal Impact: N/A

Account(s): N/A

Original Text of Item:

16-2971

Case: Johnson v. Dart, et al.

Case No: 15 C 1327

Settlement Amount: \$5,500.00

Fixed Charges Department: 499 (Public Safety)

Payable to: ~~John Evans~~ Bernard Johnson

Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Amendment to a Previously Approved Item be approved. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY AUDITOR

16-3882

Presented by: SHELLY A. BANKS, C.P.A., County Auditor

REPORT

Department: Office of County Auditor

Request: Refer to Audit Committee

Report Title: FY' 16 2nd Quarter Open Findings Status Report

Report Period: June 2016

Summary: Report on the status of open audit findings and recommendations

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF FINANCE
DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES

16-3741

Presented by: TANYA S. ANTHONY, Budget Director

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Budget and Management Services

Vendor: JBI, Ltd., d/b/a Justice Benefits, Inc. Coppell, Texas

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to extend contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Professional Services

Original Contract Period: 1/1/2014 - 12/31/2016

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 1/1/2017-12/31/2017

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: 6% of State Criminal Alien Assistance Program Award

Amount

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 12/18/2013, \$0

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

This Increase Requested: 6% of State Criminal Alien Assistance Program Award

Potential Fiscal Impact: None

Accounts: N/A

Contract Number(s): 1341-12849

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via full M/WBE waiver.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: Justice Benefits, Inc. (JBI) is currently contracted under 1341-12849, which will end on 12/31/2016. JBI provides assistance with the identification and recovery of over \$63M in County funds incurred for detaining undocumented and unknown individuals in the County’s correctional facilities through the federal government’s State Criminal Alien Assistance Program (SCAAP). JBI will provide assistance in gathering information pertaining to the undocumented individuals and associated cost, as well as provide technical assistance with the submission of the SCAAP funding request to the federal government. FY2015 County reimbursement award amount was \$1,436,985.00; JBI received 6% or \$86,219.10.

This is a Comparable Government Procurement pursuant to Section 34-140 of the Cook County Procurement Code. JBI, Ltd. was previously awarded a contract through a Request for Proposals (RFP) process by the County of Boulder, Colorado. Cook County wishes to leverage this procurement effort.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF FINANCE
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COMPTROLLER

16-3858

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

REPORT

Department: Comptroller

Request: Receive and File

Report Title: Bills and Claims Report

Report Period: 5/19/2016 - 6/8/2016

Summary: This report to be received and filed is to comply with the Amended Procurement Code Chapter 34-125(k).

The Comptroller shall provide to the Board of Commissioners a report of all payments made pursuant to contracts for supplies, materials and equipment and for professional and managerial services for Cook County, including the separately elected Officials, which involve an expenditure of \$150,000.00 or more, within two (2) weeks of being made. Such reports shall include:

1. The name of the Vendor;
2. A brief description of the product of service provided.
3. The name of the Using Department and budgetary account from which the funds are being drawn; and
4. The contract number under which the payment is being made.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be received and filed. The motion carried.

16-3886

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller and IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Finance, Office of the County Comptroller

Request: Refer to Audit Committee

Report Title: Cook County Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for the year ended 11/30/2015

Report Period: 12/1/2014 - 11/30/2015

Summary: Annual audit of Cook County's financial statements prepared by the Office of the Cook County Comptroller and audited by RSM US LLP in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the USA.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

16-3887

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller
IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Finance, Office of the County Comptroller

Request: Refer to Audit Committee

Report Title: Cook County Illinois Report to the County President, Board of Commissioners and the Audit Committee, 5/31/2016

Report Period: 12/1/2014 - 11/30/2015

Summary: This report, presented by RSM US LLP, is intended solely for the information and use of the County President, County Board of Commissioners, the Audit Committee and management. It summarizes certain matters required by professional standards to be communicated by the independent auditors in their oversight responsibility for the County's financial reporting process.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

16-3888

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller and IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Finance, Office of the County Comptroller

Request: Refer to Audit Committee

Report Title: Cook County Illinois Report on Federal Awards (In accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996, and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133) for the Fiscal Year ended 11/30/2015.

Report Period: 12/1/2014 - 11/30/2015

Summary: Annual audits of: (1) the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards prepared by the Office of the Cook County Comptroller and (2) compliance for each major federal program and on internal control over compliance required by OMB Circular A-133, audited by Washington, Pittman & McKeever, LLC, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the USA; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations".

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

16-3889

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller and IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Finance, Office of the County Comptroller

Request: Refer to Audit Committee

Report Title: Cook County Illinois Report on Federal Awards (In accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996, and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133) for the Fiscal Year ended 11/30/2013.

Report Period: 12/1/2012 - 11/30/2013

Summary: Annual audits of (re-stated): (1) the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards prepared by the Office of the Cook County Comptroller and (2) compliance for each major federal program and on internal control over compliance required by OMB Circular A-133, audited by Washington, Pittman & McKeever, LLC, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the USA; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations".

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

16-3890

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller and IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Finance, Office of the County Comptroller

Request: Refer to Audit Committee

Report Title: Cook County Illinois Report on Federal Awards (In accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996, and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133) for the Fiscal Year ended 11/30/2012.

Report Period: 12/1/2011 - 11/30/2012

Summary: Annual audits of (re-stated): (1) the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards prepared by the Office of the Cook County Comptroller and (2) compliance for each major federal program and on internal control over compliance required by OMB Circular A-133, audited by Washington, Pittman & McKeever, LLC, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the USA; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations".

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

16-3891

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller and IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Finance, Office of the County Comptroller

Request: Refer to Audit Committee

Report Title: Cook County Health and Hospitals System of Illinois (An Enterprise Fund of Cook County Illinois), Financial Report, 11/30/2015.

Report Period: 12/1/2014 - 11/30/2015

Summary: Annual audit of the CCHHS financial statements prepared by CCHHS Finance, for the year ended 11/30/15, audited by RSM US LLP in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the USA.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

16-3893

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller and IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Finance, Office of the County Comptroller

Request: Refer to Audit Committee

Report Title: Cook County Health and Hospitals System Report to the Audit and Compliance Committee, 5/31/2015.

Report Period: 12/1/2014 - 11/30/2015

Summary: This report, presented by RSM US LLP, is intended solely for the CCHHS Audit and Compliance Committee and summarizes certain matters required by professional standards to be communicated to them in their oversight responsibility for the CCHHS's financial reporting process.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

16-3894

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller and IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Finance, Office of the County Comptroller

Request: Refer to Audit Committee

Report Title: Cook County Illinois Actuarial Study of the Workers Compensation and Liability Self-Insured Programs as of 11/30/2015.

Report Period: As of 11/30/2015

Summary: Annual actuarial study completed by Aon Global Risk Consulting.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

16-3903

RESOLUTION

**Sponsored by
THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE,
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

RESOLUTION AMENDING AND RESTATING THE DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN FOR PUBLIC EMPLOYEES FOR COUNTY OF COOK AND COOK COUNTY FOREST PRESERVE

WHEREAS, on February 2, 1978, the County adopted the County of Cook Employees Deferred Compensation Plan for employees of the County of Cook and Cook County Forest Preserve District pursuant to Section 457 of the Internal Revenue Code of the United States; Public Act 78-1277 of the Illinois General Assembly (40 ILCS 5/24-101 et seq.) and Article VII, Section 6 of the Illinois Constitution of 1970; and

WHEREAS, the declared purpose of the County of Cook Employees Deferred Compensation Plan (“the Plan”) is to enable all employees of the County of Cook and Forest Preserve District to better provide for their retirement security and for death benefits in the event of death before or after retirement; and

WHEREAS, since the adoption of the County of Cook Employees Deferred Compensation Plan, certain legislative amendments to Section 457 of the Internal Revenue Code have been enacted; and

WHEREAS, the Plan is in need of updates to reflect developments in federal law and best practices, in such areas as operations, optional participant loans, fiduciary duty, domestic relations, and the like.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and the Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, Illinois that the County of Cook Employees Deferred Compensation Plan is amended and restated in the form submitted herewith as Exhibit A.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Resolution

be referred to the Finance Committee. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF FINANCE
ENTERPRISE RESOURCE PLANNING

16-4042

Presented by: F. THOMAS LYNCH, Director, Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)

REPORT

Department: Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)

Request: Refer to Technology Committee

Report Title: ERP Project Status Report

Report Period: March 2016 through May 2016

Summary: The Director of ERP will provide a comprehensive update to the Board of Commissioners via the Technology Committee on the status of all ongoing ERP projects. The status update will reflect progress being made toward achieving the goals of selecting and implementing a Countywide Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) platform, configure and installing a Bio Metric based Time and Attendance system, and upgrading and migrating the JD Edwards HR/Payroll system to a cloud hosting environment.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Morrison, that this Report be referred to the Technology and Innovation Committee. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF FINANCE
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER

16-3529

Presented by: SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Various County Bureaus, Agencies and Office of the Elected Officials

Vendor: Various Law Firms:

1. Ancel, Glink, Diamond, Bush, DiGianni & Krafthefer, P.C., Chicago, Illinois
2. Brothers & Thompson, P.C., Chicago, Illinois
3. Burke, Burns & Pinelli, Ltd., Chicago, Illinois
4. Littler Mendelson, P.C., Chicago, Illinois
5. Neal & Leroy, LLC., Chicago, Illinois
6. Nyhan, Bambrick, Kinzie & Leroy, P.C., Chicago, Illinois
7. Peterson, Johnson & Murray, Chicago, Illinois
8. Quintairo, Prieto, Wood & Boyer, P.A., Chicago, Illinois
9. Seyfarth Shaw, LLP., Chicago, Illinois
10. Hennessy & Roach, P.C., Chicago, Illinois

11. Laner Muchin, LTD., Chicago, Illinois
12. Greene & Letts Attorneys at Law, Chicago, Illinois
13. Franczek Radelet, P.C., Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Labor and Employment Consultation and/or Representation Services for six (6) category of services:

- Category I - Union Contract Negotiations,
- Category II - Contract Interpretation,
- Category III - Labor Relations,
- Category IV - Worker's Compensation,
- Category V - Employment Law, and
- Category VI - Employee Benefits

Original Contract Period: 5/1/2013 - 4/30/2015

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 5/1/2016 - 4/30/2017

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: Combined total of \$1,900,000.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 4/17/2013, 5/1/2013 - 4/30/2015, \$1,900,000.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): 7/15/2015, 5/1/2015 - 4/30/2016

This Increase Requested: N/A

Potential Fiscal Impact: N/A

Accounts: Various 261 Accounts

Contract Number(s):

1. Ancel, Glink, Diamond, Bush, DiGianni & Krafthefer, P.C. (13-90-048A for Category I, II, III, V and VI)
2. Brothers & Thompson, P.C. (13-90-048C for Category II, III and V)
3. Burke, Burns & Pinelli, Ltd. (13-90-048D for Category I, II and V)
4. Littler Mendelson, P.C. (13-90-048J for Category I, II, III, V and VI)
5. Neal & Leroy, LLC. (13-90-048K for Category V)
6. Nyhan, Bambrick, Kinzie & Leroy, P.C. (13-90-048L for Category IV)
7. Peterson, Johnson & Murray (13-90-048M for Category I, II, III, IV and V)
8. Quintairo, Prieto, Wood & Boyer, P.A. (13-90-048O for Category IV)
9. Seyfarth Shaw, LLP. (13-90-048Q for Category I, II, III, IV, V and VI)
10. Hennessy & Roach, P.C. (13-90-048H for Category I, II, III, IV and V)
11. Laner Muchin, LTD. (13-90-048I for Category I, II, III, V and VI)
12. Greene & Letts Attorneys at Law (13-90-048G for Category I, II, III and V)
13. Franczek Radelet, P.C. (13-90-048F for Category I, II, III, V and VI)

Concurrences:

The MWBE participation goal for this contract was set for 0%.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: These contracts represent a partial list of qualified law firms to provide labor and employment consultation and/or representation for the above six (6) categories of services on an as needed basis. In the contract, there were two (2) one-year renewal options, and this request is for the second year renewal period. Using Agencies enter into letters of engagement with firms available on this list to provide legal services, as specified in the letters of engagement, for the various categories on an as needed basis. The Office of the Chief Procurement Officer is currently working with User agencies to issue a new competitive Request for Qualifications.

These firms were selected based on evaluation criteria established in the Request for Qualifications in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF FINANCE
COOK COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

16-3922

Presented by: ZAHRA ALI, Director, Department of Revenue

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT

Department: Cook County Department of Revenue

Other Part(ies): Illinois Department of Revenue, Springfield, Illinois

Request: As a result of the collaboration with the State of Illinois Department of Revenue, we are hereby requesting authorization for the President to enter into and execute an Intergovernmental Agreement between Cook County (“County”), by and through the Department of Revenue and the State of Illinois, by and through its Department of Revenue (“IDOR”)

Goods or Services: This agreement will allow sharing of sales and excise tax information between the County and the State of Illinois to enhance compliance and enforcement efforts.

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Agreement Period: Upon Approval until 6/30/2021 unless terminated earlier in writing

Fiscal Impact: N/A

Accounts: N/A

Summary: Article VII, Section 10 of the Illinois Constitution, the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act, 5 ILCS 220/1 *et seq.*, and other applicable laws permit and encourage units of local government and units of Illinois State Government to cooperate with and support each other in the exercise of their authority and the performance of their responsibilities. This information sharing agreement will increase

enforcement efforts while aiding the County and IDOR in reaching the common goals of exercising authority, fulfilling responsibilities, and promoting operations in an efficient and cost-effective manner.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Intergovernmental Agreement be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE OF THE MEDICAL EXAMINER

16-3703
RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE,
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING BANK SIGNATURES

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has the legal authority to authorize its departments and offices to open and maintain checking and savings accounts at various banks; and

WHEREAS, it is now necessary to update those persons who are authorized to be signers on the accounts; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the checking account at US Bank, for the following purposes, be updated for estate, fax cremation, petty cash and fee accounts; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the following persons who are authorized to sign checks, wire or otherwise transfer funds:

- 1.Ponni Arunkumar, M.D.
- 2.James Sledge
- 3.Nadine Jakubowski

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the following name as signer on the accounts shall be deleted:

- 1.Stephen Cina, M.D.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that any funds drawn on the account for deposit shall be transmitted to the Cook County Comptroller with an itemization of collections and designation of the account in the Office of the Comptroller.

No lobbying contact was made for this item.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President

Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS

16-3051

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Various Cook County Agencies

Vendor: Automotive Tech Center, Village of Oak Park, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Automobile Maintenance and Repair for Zone Two (2)

Original Contract Period: 11/1/2012 - 10/30/2015, with two (2), one (1) year renewal options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 11/1/2016 - 10/30/2017

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$1,003,100.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 10/16/2012, \$289,100.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): 6/18/2014, \$100,000.00; 4/29/2015, \$465,000.00, 11/1/2015 - 10/30/2016

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): 4/3/2014, \$149,000.00

This Increase Requested: \$188,000.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2016, \$15,666.67; FY 2017, \$172,333.33

Accounts: Various 444 Accounts

Contract Number(s): 11-53-185B

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via indirect participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This contract was awarded through the competitive bidding process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Automotive Tech Center was the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder for Zone Two (2). This increase and final renewal option will allow for continuation of automobile maintenance and repair of County-owned vehicles in Zone Two (2).

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

16-3052

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Various County Agencies

Vendor: Auto Experts of Oak Park, Oak Park, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Automobile Maintenance and Repair for Zone Three (3)

Original Contract Period: 11/1/2012 - 10/30/2015, with a two (2) one (1) year renewal options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 11/1/2016 - 10/30/2017

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$635,100.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 10/16/2012, \$289,100.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): 6/18/2014, \$160,000.00; 4/29/2015, \$136,000.00, 11/1/2015 - 10/30/2016

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): 5/24/2014, \$50,000.00

This Increase Requested: \$160,000.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2016; \$13,333.33; FY 2017; \$146,666.67

Accounts: Various 444 Accounts

Contract Number(s): 11-53-185C

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via indirect participation.

The Chief Procurement concurs.

Summary: This contract was originally awarded through the competitive bidding process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Auto Experts of Oak Park was the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder for Zone Three (3). This increase and final renewal option will allow for a continuation of automobile maintenance and repair of County-owned vehicles in Zone Three (3).

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

16-3403

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Various Cook County Agencies

Vendor: E & R Towing and Garage, Inc., Markham, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Automobile Maintenance and Repair for Zone Seven (7)

Original Contract Period: 7/15/2012 - 7/14/2015, with two (2), one (1) year renewal options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 7/15/2016 - 7/14/2017

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$672,607.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 7/10/2012, \$362,607.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): 7/1/2015, \$190,000.00, 7/15/2015 - 7/14/2016

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): 7/18/2014, \$120,000.00

This Increase Requested: \$165,000.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2016: \$61,875.00, FY 201: \$103,125.00

Accounts: Various Accounts 444

Contract Number(s): 11-53-185 G

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This contract was awarded through the competitive bidding process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. E & R Towing and Garage, Inc. was the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder for Zone Seven (7). This increase and final renewal option will allow for a

continuation of automobile maintenance and repair of County-owned vehicles in Zone Seven (7).

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

Commissioner Moore voted “present”.

16-3452

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Various Cook County Agencies Department name

Vendor: E & R Towing and Garage, Inc., Markham, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Automobile Maintenance and Repair for Zone 8

Original Contract Period: 7/15/2012 - 7/14/2015, with two (2), one (1) year renew options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 7/15/2016 - 7/14/2017

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$522,607.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 7/10/2012, \$362,607.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): 7/1/2015, \$80,000.00, 7/15/2015 - 7/14/2016

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): 7/24/2014, \$80,000.00

This Increase Requested: \$98,000.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2016: \$36,750.00, FY 2017; \$61,250.00

Accounts: Various 444 Account

Contract Number(s): 11-53-185H

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This contract was awarded through the competitive bidding process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. E & R Towing and Garage, Inc. was the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder for Zone Eight (8). This increase and final renewal option will allow for continuation of automobile maintenance and repair of County-owned vehicles in Zone Eight (8).

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

16-3744

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Project Type: Motor Fuel Tax Project Improvement Resolution

Request: Approval of appropriation of Motor Fuel Tax Funds

Project: Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 - North)

Location: West Frontage Road (Edens), from Illinois Road to Orchard Lane in the Villages of Northfield, and Wilmette

Section: 16-WFEDN-00-FP

County Board District(s): 13

Centerline Mileage: N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$1,315,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

Summary: These improvements as proposed will consist of grinding and overlaying the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp construction as required, traffic control and protection, pavement marking, landscaping, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances.

This improvement is needed to protect the public investment in the highway system and provide a safe, efficient and sustainable highway.

16-3744

IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

State of Illinois

Resolution for Improvement by County Under the Illinois Highway Code

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

West Frontage Road, from Illinois Road to Orchard Lane in the Villages of Northfield, and Wilmette; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the services as proposed shall be Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 – North) and shall include grinding and overlaying of the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp construction as required, pavement markings, traffic control and protection, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances, and has been designated as Section: 16-WFEDN-00-FP; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of One Million Three Hundred Fifteen Thousand and NO/100 Dollars (\$1,315,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for this improvement; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

June 29, 2016

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Improvement Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

Commissioner Moore voted “present”.

16-3745

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Project Type: Motor Fuel Tax Project Improvement Resolution

Request: Approval of appropriation of Motor Fuel Tax Funds

Project: Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 - North)

Location: East Frontage Road (Edens), from Old Orchard Road to Glenview Road Villages of Skokie, and Wilmette

Section: 16-EFEDN-00-FP

County Board District(s): 13

Centerline Mileage: N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$600,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

Summary: These improvements as proposed will consist of grinding and overlaying the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp construction as required, traffic control and protection, pavement marking, landscaping, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances.

This improvement is needed to protect the public investment in the highway system and provide a safe, efficient and sustainable highway. Summarize and Justify project and the responsibilities of the County.

**16-3745
IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**State of Illinois
Resolution for Improvement by
County Under the Illinois Highway
Code**

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

East Frontage Road, from Old Orchard Road to Glenview Road in the Villages of Skokie, and Wilmette; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the services as proposed shall be Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 – North) and shall include grinding and overlaying of the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp construction as required, pavement markings, traffic control and protection, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances, and has been designated as Section: 16-EFEDN-OO-FP; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of Six Hundred Thousand and N0/100 Dollars (\$600,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for this improvement; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

June 29, 2016

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Improvement Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

16-3746

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Project Type: Motor Fuel Tax Project Improvement Resolution

Request: Approval of appropriation of Motor Fuel Tax Funds

Project: Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 - North)

Location: Lake-Cook Road at Takeda Parkway in the Village of Northbrook

Section: 16-A5019-00-FP

County Board District(s): 14

Centerline Mileage: N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$375,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

Summary: These improvements as proposed will consist of grinding and overlaying the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp construction as required, traffic control and protection, pavement marking, landscaping, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances.

This improvement is needed to protect the public investment in the highway system and provide a safe, efficient and sustainable highway.

16-3746

IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

State of Illinois

Resolution for Improvement by County Under the Illinois Highway Code

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

Lake-Cook Road, at Takeda Parkway in the Village of Northbrook; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the services as proposed shall be Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 – North) and shall include grinding and overlaying of the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp construction as required, pavement markings, traffic control and protection, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances, and has been designated as Section: 16-AS019-00-FP; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of Three Hundred Seventy Five Thousand and N0/100 Dollars (\$375,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for this improvement; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

June 29, 2016

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Improvement Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

16-3747

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Project Type: Motor Fuel Tax Project Improvement Resolution

Request: Approval of appropriation of Motor Fuel Tax Funds

Project: Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 - North)

Location: Mundhank Road, Barrington Road to Freeman Road in the Village of South Barrington

Section: 16-A8106-00-FP

County Board District(s): 15

Centerline Mileage: N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$1,200,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

Summary: These improvements as proposed will consist of grinding and overlaying the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp construction as required, traffic control and protection, pavement marking, landscaping, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances.

This improvement is needed to protect the public investment in the highway system and provide a safe, efficient and sustainable highway.

16-3747

IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

State of Illinois

Resolution for Improvement by County Under the Illinois Highway Code

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

Mundhank Road, from Barrington Road to Freeman Road in the Village of South Barrington; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the services as proposed shall be Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 – North) and shall include grinding and overlaying of the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp construction as required, pavement markings, traffic control and protection, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances, and has been designated as Section: 16-A8106-00-FP; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of One Million Two Hundred Thousand and NO/100 Dollars (\$1,200,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for this improvement; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

June 29, 2016

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Improvement Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

16-3754

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Project Type: Motor Fuel Tax Project Improvement Resolution

Request: Approval of appropriation of Motor Fuel Tax Funds

Project: Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 - South)

Location: Kedzie Frontage Roads (East and West), 143rd Street to 141st Street in the City of Blue Island

Section: 16-W4612-00-FP

County Board District(s): 5

Centerline Mileage: N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$465,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

Summary: These improvements as proposed will consist of grinding and overlaying the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp construction as required, traffic control and protection, pavement marking, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances.

This improvement is needed to protect the public investment in the highway system and provide a safe, efficient and sustainable highway.

16-3754

IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

State of Illinois

Resolution for Improvement by County Under the Illinois Highway Code

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

Kedzie Avenue Frontage Roads (East and West), from 143rd Street to 141st Street in the City of Blue Island; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the services as proposed shall be Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 – South) and shall include grinding and overlaying of the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp construction as required, pavement markings, traffic control and protection, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances, and has been designated as Section: 16-W4612-00-FP; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of Four Hundred Sixty Five Thousand and NO/100 Dollars (\$465,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for this improvement; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

June 29, 2016

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Improvement Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

16-3755

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Project Type: Motor Fuel Tax Project Improvement Resolution

Request: Approval of appropriation of Motor Fuel Tax Funds

Project: Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 - South).

Location: West Frontage Road at Crawford Avenue and Interstate 57 in the City of Country Club Hills

Section: 16-WFCRA-00-FP

County Board District(s): 5

Centerline Mileage: N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$355,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

Summary: These improvements as proposed will consist of grinding and overlaying the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp construction as required, traffic control and protection, pavement marking, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances.

This improvement is needed to protect the public investment in the highway system and provide a safe, efficient and sustainable highway.

16-3755

IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

State of Illinois

Resolution for Improvement by County Under the Illinois Highway Code

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

West Frontage Road, at Crawford Avenue and Interstate 57 in the City of Country Club Hills; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the services as proposed shall be Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 – South) and shall include grinding and overlaying of the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp construction as required, pavement markings, traffic control and protection, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances, and has been designated as Section: 16-WFCRA-00-FP; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of Three Hundred Fifty Five Thousand and N0/100 Dollars (\$355,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for this improvement; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

June 29, 2016

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Improvement Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

16-3756

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Project Type: Motor Fuel Tax Project Improvement Resolution

Request: Approval of appropriation of Motor Fuel Tax Funds

Project: Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016-South)

Location: Kedzie Avenue at 163rd Street in the City of Markham

Section: 16-W4609-00-FP

County Board District(s): 5

Centerline Mileage: N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$200,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

Summary: These improvements as proposed will consist of grinding and overlaying the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp construction as required, traffic control and protection, pavement marking, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances.

This improvement is needed to protect the public investment in the highway system and provide a safe, efficient and sustainable highway. Summarize and Justify project and the responsibilities of the County.

16-3756

IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

State of Illinois

Resolution for Improvement by County Under the Illinois Highway Code

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

Kedzie Avenue, at 163rd Street in the City of Markham; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the services as proposed shall be Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 – South) and shall include grinding and overlaying of the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp construction as required, pavement markings, traffic control and protection, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances, and has been designated as Section: 16-W4609-00-FP; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of Two Hundred Thousand and NO/100 Dollars (\$200,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for this improvement; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

June 29, 2016

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Improvement Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

16-3757

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Project Type: Motor Fuel Tax Project Improvement Resolution

Request: Approval of appropriation of Motor Fuel Tax Funds

Project: Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 - South)

Location: Joe Orr Road, Cottage Grove Avenue to Stony Island Avenue in the City of Chicago Heights

Section: 16-B6735-00-PV

County Board District(s): 5

Centerline Mileage: N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$1,475,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

Summary: These improvements as proposed will consist of grinding and overlaying the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching and diamond grinding the existing concrete pavements, shoulder

repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, curb and gutter removal and replacement, traffic signal improvements, traffic control and protection, pavement marking, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances.

This improvement is needed to protect the public investment in the highway system and provide a safe, efficient and sustainable highway.

**16-3757
IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

State of Illinois

Resolution for Improvement by County Under the Illinois Highway Code

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

Joe Orr Road, from Cottage Grove Avenue to Stony Island Avenue in the City of Chicago Heights; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the services as proposed shall be Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 – South) and shall include grinding and overlaying of the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching and diamond grinding the existing concrete pavements, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, curb and gutter removal and replacement, traffic signal improvements, pavement markings, traffic control and protection, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances, and has been designated as Section: **16-B6735-00-PV**; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of One Million Four Hundred Seventy Five Thousand and N0/100 Dollars (\$1,475,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for this improvement; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

June 29, 2016

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Improvement Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

16-3758

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Project Type: Motor Fuel Tax Project Improvement Resolution

Request: Approval of appropriation of Motor Fuel Tax Funds

Project: Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 - South)

Location: Sauk Trail at Richton Square Road in the Village of Richton Park

Section: 16-C1129-00-RP

County Board District(s): 6

Centerline Mileage: N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$415,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

Summary: This improvement as proposed will consist of patching and diamond grinding the existing concrete pavements, drainage repairs and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp replacement, curb and gutter removal and replacement, traffic signal improvements, traffic control and protection, pavement marking, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances.

This improvement is needed to protect the public investment in the highway system and provide a safe, efficient and sustainable highway.

**16-3758
IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**State of Illinois
Resolution for Improvement by
County Under the Illinois Highway
Code**

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

Joe Orr Road, from Cottage Grove Avenue to Stony Island Avenue in the City of Chicago Heights;

and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the services as proposed shall be Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 – South) and shall include grinding and overlaying of the existing hot-mix asphalt pavements, patching and diamond grinding the existing concrete pavements, shoulder repair, drainage improvements and adjustments, curb and gutter removal and replacement, traffic signal improvements, pavement markings, traffic control and protection, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances, and has been designated as Section: **K-B6735-00-PV**; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of One Million Four Hundred Seventy Five Thousand and NO/100 Dollars (\$1,475,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for this improvement; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

June 29, 2016

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Improvement Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

16-3759

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Project Type: Motor Fuel Tax Project

Request: Approval of appropriation of Motor Fuel Tax Funds

Project: Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 - South)

Location: Sauk Trail at Lakewood Boulevard and Blackhawk Drive in the Village of Park Forest

Section: 16-C1129-01-RP

County Board District(s): 5

Centerline Mileage: N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$320,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

Summary: These improvements as proposed will consist of patching and diamond grinding the existing concrete pavements, drainage repairs and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp replacement, curb and gutter removal and replacement, traffic signal improvements, traffic control and

protection, pavement marking, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances.

This improvement is needed to protect the public investment in the highway system and provide a safe, efficient and sustainable highway.

16-3759

IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

State of Illinois

Resolution for Improvement by County Under the Illinois Highway Code

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

Sauk Trail, at Lakewood Boulevard and Blackhawk Drive in the Village of Park Forest; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the services as proposed shall be Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 – South) and shall include patching and diamond grinding the existing concrete pavements, drainage repairs and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp replacement, curb and gutter removal and replacement, traffic signal improvements, traffic control and protection, pavement markings, restoration, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances, and has been designated as Section: 16-C1129-01-RP; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of Three Hundred Twenty Thousand and NO/100 Dollars (\$320,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for this improvement; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

June 29, 2016

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Improvement Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

16-3760

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Project Type: Motor Fuel Tax Project Improvement Resolution

Request: Approval of appropriation of Motor Fuel Tax Funds

Project: Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 - South)

Location: Flossmoor Road at Kedzie Avenue in the Village of Flossmoor

Section: 16-B6529-00-RP

County Board District(s): 5

Centerline Mileage: N/A

Fiscal Impact: \$110,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

Summary: The improvement as proposed will consist of joint repair patching the existing concrete pavements, traffic signal improvements, traffic control and protection, pavement markings, restoration, and other necessary highway appurtenances.

This improvement is needed to protect the public investment in the highway system and provide a safe, efficient and sustainable highway.

16-3760

IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

State of Illinois

Resolution for Improvement by County Under the Illinois Highway Code

BE IT RESOLVED, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

Flossmoor Road, at Kedzie Avenue in the Village of Flossmoor; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the services as proposed shall be Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program (2016 – South) and shall include joint repair patching the existing concrete pavements, traffic signal improvements, traffic control and protection, pavement markings,

restoration, and other necessary highway appurtenances, and has been designated as Section: 16-B6529-00-RP; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of One Hundred Ten Thousand and NO/100 Dollars (\$110,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for this improvement; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

June 29, 2016

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Improvement Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

16-3825

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Other Part(ies): Village of Northfield, Illinois

Request: Approval

Goods or Services: The Village of Northfield will conduct the Phase I Engineering Study to refine an improvement scope of work.

Location: Happ Road from Winnetka Road to Willow Road in the Village of Northfield.

Section: 16-W4044-00-FP

Centerline Mileage: 0.60 miles

County Board District: 13

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Agreement Period: one-time agreement

Fiscal Impact: \$485,177.43

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

Summary: The Department of Transportation and Highways submits for execution an Intergovernmental Agreement. The County will reimburse the Village of Northfield for its share of costs for the Phase I Engineering Study (total County share \$485,177.43) under County Section: 16-W4044-00-FP.

This Agreement is necessitated by the improvement to ensure safe, comfortable and sustainable highways in accordance with the Department’s mission statement.

The Agreement has been examined and approved by this Department and by the State’s Attorney’s Office.

**16-3825
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

**PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF
COMMISSIONERS**

RESOLVED, by the members of The Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, on behalf of the County of Cook, to authorize and direct its President to execute, by original signature or authorized signature stamp, two (2) copies of an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Village of Northfield, attached, wherein the Village will be the lead agency for Phase I Engineering Study to refine an improvement scope of work along Happ Road from Winnetka Road to Willow Road, said study to include field data collection, develop base aerial mosaics, topographic survey, analyze data and prepare crash analysis report, alternative geometric studies, roadway drainage structure plans, traffic maintenance analysis, intersection design studies, environmental analyses, project development report, meetings and coordination with regulatory agencies, administration and project coordination; that the County of Cook will pay for one hundred (100%) percent of the costs for the Phase I Engineering Study and reimburse the Village of Northfield for its share of said costs (estimated County share \$485, 177.43) under County Section: 16-W4044-00-FP; and, the Department of Transportation and Highways is authorized and directed to return one (1) executed copy of the Agreement with a certified copy of this Resolution to the Village of Northfield and implement the terms of the Agreement.

June 29, 2016



A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Intergovernmental Agreement be approved. The motion carried.

16-3850

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Transportation and Highways

Vendor: Arrow Road Construction Company, Mount Prospect, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Bituminous (Hot Patch) and Prime Coat Materials Maintenance

Original Contract Period: 7/28/2014 - 7/27/2016, with one (1), two (2), year renewal option

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 7/28/2016 - 7/27/2018

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$126,515.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 8/5/2014; \$126,515.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

This Increase Requested: \$126,515.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2016 \$63,257.50; FY 2017 \$63,257.50

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Fund Account (600-585)

Contract Number(s): 1428-13632

Concurrences:

The M/WBE participation goal for this contract was set at 0%.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This renewal and increase will allow the Department of Transportation and Highways to continue to receive bituminous (hot patch) and prime coat materials for northern Cook County Highway maintenance operations.

This contract was awarded through the competitive bidding process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Arrow Road Construction Company was the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder.

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ASSET MANAGEMENT
OFFICE OF ASSET MANAGEMENT

16-3912

Presented by: ELAINE LOCKWOOD BEAN, Chief, Bureau of Asset Management

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Bureau of Asset Management

Vendor: The Chicago Consultants Studio, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Professional Consulting Services

Original Contract Period: 3/12/2014 - 5/11/2015, with one (1), three (3) month renewal option

Proposed Contract Period Extension: N/A

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$3,286,671.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 3/12/2014, \$2,408,000.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): 11/18/2015, \$730,000.00, 11/1/2015 - 5/31/2016

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): 5/13/2015, 5/12/2015 - 8/11/2015; 9/15/2015, \$148,671.00, 8/12/2015 - 10/31/2015; 6/15/2016, 6/1/2016 - 11/30/2016

This Increase Requested: \$495,000.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2016 \$495,000.00

Accounts: 031-260 (\$78,000.00); 01569-760030470010-580 (\$417,000.00)

Contract Number(s): 1430-13421

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: The Chicago Consulting Studio (“CCS”) has successfully completed the scope of the base contract and authorized extensions and increases on-schedule and within budget. There is a need for continued program/development management services for the project through the next six months until a construction management team is on board to manage the Central Campus redevelopment. This proposed amendment engages the services of the existing professional service firms. The team includes CCS and Cotter Consulting for strategic program/development management for the Central Campus Health Center and Market Rate development. The Bureau believes continuation with this team as the County’s program/development manager will be an efficient means to ensure a successful launch of the implementation phases of the overall Campus redevelopment.

This contract was awarded as a Comparable Government Procurement pursuant to Section 31-140 of the Procurement Code. Chicago Consultants Studio, Inc. was previously awarded a contract by the Illinois Medical District Commission through a competitive Request for Proposals (RFP) process.

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Butler, that this

Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

Commissioner Schneider voted “no”.

Commissioner Silvestri voted “present”.

BUREAU OF ASSET MANAGEMENT
CAPITAL PLANNING AND POLICY

16-2105

Presented by: PHIL BOOTHBY, Director, Office of Capital Planning and Policy

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Department of Capital Planning

Vendor: Interior Investments LLC, Lincolnshire, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to increase & renew contract for 1 year

Good(s) or Service(s): Herman Miller Furniture

Original Contract Period: 2/1/2015 - 9/30/2016, with three (3) one (1) year renewal options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 10/1/2016 - 9/30/2017

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$1,500,000.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 1/21/2015, \$1,500,000.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

This Increase Requested: \$1,500,000.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2016 \$1,500,000.00

Accounts: Capital Improvement Program - 530

Contract Number(s): 1430-14165

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation and partial waiver.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: The Department of Capital Planning & Policy and the Adult Probation Office requests to increase and renew for one year Contract #1430-14165 with Interior Investments LLC, Lincolnshire,

Illinois. To procure office furniture for 205 FTE an increase of 60 new FTE (Full Time Employees). The Adult Probation project is to be a total gut-rehab, resulting in implementation of the Space Standard Ordinance #132 standards on a large scale.

Interior Investment LLC was previously awarded a contract through a Request for Proposal (RFP) process through U.S. Communities, a national government purchasing cooperative sponsored by the National Association of Counties (NACO) and the National Institute of Government Purchasing (NIGP), and in cooperation with the County of Fairfax, Virginia. U.S. Communities is a group purchasing organization which services public agencies, and its procurements are led by public procurement agencies. Cook County wishes to leverage this procurement effort.

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Butler, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

16-3810

Presented by: PHIL BOOTHBY, Director, Office of Capital Planning and Policy

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Capital Planning & Policy

Vendor: Koo, LLC, f/k/a Koo & Associates, Ltd., Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to extend contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Professional Design Services

Original Contract Period: 4/22/2014 - 4/21/2015, with one (1), one (1) year renewal option

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 4/22/2016 - 4/21/2017

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$83,095.57

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 4/22/2014, \$83,095.57

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): 8/31/2015, 4/22/2015 - 4/21/2016

This Increase Requested: N/A

Potential Fiscal Impact: No fiscal impact

Accounts: Capital Improvement Program 580

Contract Number(s): 1428-13300

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: Capital Planning and Policy requests authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to extend this contract due to a change in the project schedule. This project provides for two new children’s advocacy rooms at the Rolling Meadows and Criminal Court Building courthouses. These rooms will provide a safe, nurturing environment free from the stressful, emotionally charged atmosphere of a courtroom for children whose parents are conducting court business. These rooms seek to ensure every child is recognized as an individual whose gender, abilities, personal privacy, choice of activities, and cultural, ethnic and religious background are treated with dignity and respect.

The Children’s Advocacy Rooms provide free, on-site child care, staffed by experienced early child development professionals who are trained to respond compassionately to children whose experience with the court can involve highly charged & emotional issues. The rooms are welcoming environments, cheerfully decorated and child centered with age and developmentally appropriate activities. An array of books, toys games, and movies allow the children to be children.

Each room will have a Parent Resource Center with brochures and pamphlets provided by social service and other outreach agencies.

This contract was awarded through Request for Qualifications (RFQ) procedures in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Koo, LLC f/k/a Koo & Associates, Ltd. was selected based on established evaluation criteria.

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Butler, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ASSET MANAGEMENT
FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

16-3652

Presented by: BILQIS JACOBS-EL, Director, Department of Facilities Management

PROPOSED CONTRACT

Department(s): Various Cook County Agencies

Vendor: Lizzette Medina & Company, d/b/a Lizzette Medina Landscape Management, Evanston, Illinois; City Escape Garden and Design, LLC, Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Landscape Services, North South and Central Zones

Contract Value:

\$712,500.00: Lizzette Medina & Company, d/b/a Lizzette Medina Landscape Management

\$727,750.50: City Escape Garden and Design, LLC

Contract period: 7/1/2016 - 6/31/2018, with two (2), one (1) year renewal options

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2016 \$300,052.20, FY 2017 \$720,125.28, FY2018 \$420,073.02

Accounts: 200-235, 891-235, 897-235

Contract Number(s):

1545-15147A: Lizzette Medina & Company d/b/a Lizzette Medina Landscape Management

1545-15147B: City Escape Garden and Design, LLC

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: The Department of Facilities Management, John H. Stroger, Jr. Hospital and Provident Hospital request authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute a contract with Lizzette Medina & Company, d/b/a Lizzette Medina Landscape Management and City Escape Garden and Design, LLC to provide landscaping services for Cook County facilities.

The competitive bidding process was followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Lizzette Medina & Company d/b/a Lizzette Medina Landscape Management is the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder for the North and South Zones and City Escape Garden and Design, LLC is the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder for the Central Zone.

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Butler, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.

16-3770

Presented by: BILQIS JACOBS-EL, Director, Department of Facilities Management

PROPOSED PAYMENT APPROVAL

Department(s): Facilities Management

Action: payment approval

Payee: Tyco Integrated Security LLC, Oakbrook, Illinois

Good(s) or Service(s): Fire alarm monitoring

Fiscal Impact: \$1,430.55

Accounts: 200-461

Contract Number(s): N/A

Summary: The Department of Facilities Management is asking for payment approval of the fire alarm

monitoring systems for the Cook County Criminal Court Building, Maywood lockup and Hawthorn warehouse. These systems are used to monitor the fire alarms within these locations. Due to an increase in the number of fire alarms County-wide, the annual cost has exceeded the forecasted annual amount. The Department of Facilities Management is working with the Office of the Chief Procurement Officer to establish a contract for these services.

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Butler, that this Payment Approval be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

16-3870

ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

**PROPOSED ORDINANCE
AMENDMENT**

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE CURRENT CAL SAG ENTERPRISE ZONE SUBJECT TO THE ENTERPRISE ZONE ACT OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS.

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 14 – Community Development, Article III-Cal-Sag Enterprise Zone, Section 14-29 through Section 14-38 of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as Follows:

ARTICLE III. – CAL- SAG ENTERPRISE ZONE

WHEREAS, the State of Illinois Enterprise Zone Act (20 ILCS 655/1 et seq) provides for the creation of enterprise zones to encourage private sector investments in economically distressed areas throughout the State; and

WHEREAS, The Village of Alsip, The City of Blue Island, The Village of Calumet Park, The City of Country Club Hills, The Village of Dixmoor, The Village of East Hazel Crest, The Village of Homewood, The City of Harvey, The Village of Hazel Crest, The City of Markham, The Village of Merrionette Park, The Village of Midlothian, The City of Oak Forest, The Village of Phoenix, The Village of Robbins, The

Village of Worth, and the County of Cook (the County), a body Political and Corporate of the State of Illinois, are organized and existing under the laws of the State of Illinois. Each have areas within their respective legal boundaries that are economically distressed and would benefit from private sector investments under the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act; and

WHEREAS, the aforesaid Municipalities and the County have joined in the collective pursuit of a joint Enterprise Zone, subject to approval of their respective governing bodies; and

WHEREAS, the Municipalities and the County have declared and established an Enterprise Zone pursuant to the authority granted by the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act, as amended, subject to the approval by the Illinois Enterprise Zone Board and certification by the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity. This Enterprise Zone is named and designated as the "Cal Sag" Enterprise Zone; and

WHEREAS, the Cal Sag Enterprise Zone was duly approved by the Illinois Enterprise Zone Board, certified by the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity and currently exists; and

WHEREAS, it is determined that it is in the best interest of the citizens of the County and Municipalities to amend the current Cal Sag Enterprise Zone to change its boundaries by deleting certain territories from the existing Cal Sag Enterprise Zone and include additional territories to the proposed amended Cal Sag Enterprise Zone, as well as to encourage private sector investments within said proposed amended Enterprise Zone; and

WHEREAS, prior to filing of an application for approval of the designation of an amended Enterprise Zone, under the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act, it is required that the County and Municipalities respectively adopt Ordinances designating the proposed amended Enterprise Zone; and

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 14, Community Development, Article III, Cal-Sag Enterprise Zone, Sections 14-29 through 14-38 of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 14-29. – Establishment of enterprise zone and enterprise zone designation; Amendments.

In accordance with the Enterprise Zone Act (20 ILCS 655/1 et seq.), the Board of Commissioners hereby establishes an Enterprise Zone in cooperation with the Village of Alsip, the City of Blue Island, the Village of Calumet Park, the City of Country Club Hills, the Village of Dixmoor, the Village of East Hazel Crest, the Village of Homewood, the City of Harvey, the Village of Hazel Crest, the City of Markham, the Village of Merrionette Park, the City of Oak Forest, the Village of Phoenix, the Village of Robbins, the Village of Worth, the Village of Midlothian and the County of Cook. Each ~~have~~has areas within their respective legal boundaries that are economically distressed and would benefit from private sector investments under the Enterprise Zone Act. This Enterprise Zone and Enterprise Zone amendments are ~~is~~ hereby declared and established pursuant to authority granted by the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act, as amended. The Enterprise Zone is named and designated as the Cal-Sag Enterprise Zone; said Enterprise Zone and any amendments to the Enterprise Zone are ~~is~~ further subject and contingent on approval by the Illinois Enterprise Zone Board and certification by the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity. Effective July 13, 2016, the Board of Commissioners authorized amending the existing Cal- SAG Enterprise Zone in cooperation with the Villages and Cities noted above in Section 14-29. The July 13, 2016 amended Cal-Sag Enterprise Zone amends the boundaries of the Enterprise Zone in order to accommodate new development opportunities; the amended Enterprise Zone is further outlined and described in Exhibits A, B, C and D which are attached to the Ordinance amendment. The current Enterprise Zone will continue to exist until the amended Enterprise Zone is approved by the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity.

Sec 14-30.-

Term.

The term of the Enterprise Zone is 15 years, subject to the effective date of certification of the Enterprise

Zone and the potential ten-year renewal prescribed under the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act. Once certified, the term of the July 13, 2016 amended Enterprise Zone will be the same as the current Cal Sag Enterprise Zone; the current Cal Sag Enterprise Zone is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2030, subject to the 10 year renewal prescribed under the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act.

Sec. 14-31. - Description of zone.

The area of the designated amended Enterprise Zone is outlined in the map in Exhibit A and the Zone's boundaries are delineated in ~~the legal description provided in~~ Exhibit B which exhibits are attached to the Ordinance [codified in this Article] and incorporated herein by reference.

Sec. 14-32. - Qualifications.

The County and the Municipalities hereby declare and affirm that the Zone Area and amended Zone Area ~~are~~ is qualified for designation as an Enterprise Zone in accordance with the provisions of the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act, and it is declared herein:

- (a) The Enterprise Zone and amended Zone Area is a contiguous area;

- (b) The Enterprise Zone and amended Zone Area comprises an area larger than one-half square mile and not more than 15 square miles in total area;
- (c) The Enterprise Zone and amended Zone Area is a depressed area;
- (d) The Enterprise Zone and amended Zone Area addresses a reasonable need to encompass portions of more than one Municipality and adjacent unincorporated areas of the County;
- (e) The Enterprise Zone and amended Zone Area exceeds the minimum requirement of meeting three of the ten criteria specified in the Illinois Enterprise Act (20 ILCS 655/4 (f));
- (f) A public hearing was conducted pursuant to a Notice duly published in a newspaper of general circulation, within the Cal Sag Enterprise Zone Area and within the proposed amended Zone Area, not more than 20 days nor less than five days before the hearing date; and
- (g) The Enterprise Zone and amended Zone Area satisfies any additional criteria stated in the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act or established by the Rules of the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity.

All of the above stated findings regarding the amended Zone Area are supported, sustained and consistent with the substantive materials contained in Exhibit C, attached here to, and incorporated herein by reference.

**Sec. 14-33. -
Incentives.**

The State of Illinois, Counties and Municipalities offer incentives designed to encourage businesses in the private sector to locate or expand within an Enterprise Zone, subject to terms, conditions, rules and legal limitations in the law:

- (a) *State Incentives.*
 - (1) *Sales Tax Exemption.* A 6.25 -percent state sales tax exemption is permitted on building materials to be used in an Enterprise Zone. Materials must be permanently affixed to the property and must be purchased from a qualified retailer.
 - (2) *Enterprise Zone Machinery and Equipment Consumables/Pollution Control Facilities Sales Tax Exemption.* A 6.25 -percent state sales tax exemption on purchases of tangible personal property to be used in the manufacturing or assembly process or in the operation of a pollution control facility within an Enterprise Zone is available. Eligibility is based on a business making an investment in an Enterprise Zone of at least \$5,000,000.00 in qualified property that creates a minimum of 200 full-time-equivalent jobs, a business investing at least \$40,000,000.00 in a zone and retaining at least 2,000 jobs, or a business investing at least \$40,000,000.00 in a zone which causes the retention of at least 80 percent of the jobs existing on the date it is certified to receive the exemption.

- (3) *Enterprise Zone Utility Tax Exemption.* A state utility tax exemption on gas, electricity and the Illinois Commerce Commission's administrative charge and telecommunication excise tax is available to businesses located in Enterprise Zones. Eligible businesses must make an investment of at least \$5,000,000.00 in qualified property that creates a minimum of 200 full-time equivalent jobs in Illinois, an investment of \$20,000,000.00 that retains at least 1,000 full-time-equivalent jobs, or an investment of \$175,000,000.00 that creates 150 full-time equivalent jobs in Illinois. The majority of the jobs created must be located in the Enterprise Zone where the investment occurs.
- (4) *Enterprise Zone Investment Tax Credit.* A state investment tax credit of one-half of one percent is allowed a taxpayer who invests in qualified property in a Zone. Qualified property includes machinery, equipment and buildings. The credit may be carried forward for up to five years. This credit is in addition to the regular one-half percent Investment tax credit, which is available throughout the state, and up to one-half of one percent credit for increased employment over the previous year.
- (5) *Contribution Deduction.* Businesses may deduct double the value of a cash or in-kind contribution to an approved project of a Designated Zone Organization from taxable income.

(b) *Local incentives and fees.* Local governments, through the assistance and coordination of the Enterprise Zone Administrators, may provide a variety of local incentives to further encourage economic growth and investment within enterprise zones. The incentives offered are determined by counties and municipalities. The following local Enterprise Zone incentives are hereby offered:

- (1) Abatement of 50 percent of the municipal portion of property taxes on new improvements for the first five years following the completion of these improvements for industrial or commercial properties, or for residential properties of 12 or more housing units, so long as the residential property remains under one ownership. This benefit will not be applicable if the project investor is also the recipient of tax relief under the terms of a tax increment finance (TIF) agreement or other substantial property tax abatement provided by a unit of local government.
- (2) Waiver of 50 percent of building permit or zoning application fees for industrial or commercial properties, or for residential properties of 12 or more housing units.
- (3) The Enterprise Zone will provide officials of municipalities that are signatories to the Intergovernmental Agreement, which is Attachment D to this Ordinance [No. 14-6427], with certain written documentation and materials relative to additional incentives, including public or not for profit financing and workforce development programs, which municipal officials may make available to the project developer, and other interested individuals. There is no representation that the available documents and materials include all incentives and program available to the project.

The Zone Administrator shall file a copy of the Enterprise Zone's fee schedule with the Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity by April 1 of each year. The Zone Administrator may charge up to one half of one percent of the cost of building materials of the project associated with the Enterprise

Zone, provided that a maximum fee of no more than \$50,000.00 is permitted (20 ILCS 655/8.2 (c)). as to each project.

Sec. 14-34. - Zone administrator.

The Zone Administrator is responsible for the day-to-day operation of the Enterprise Zone including:

- 1) Supervise the implementation of the provisions of ~~this~~ the Cal Sag Intergovernmental Agreement and under the Illinois Enterprise Zone Act.
- 2) Act as a liaison between the ~~Counties,~~ County, Municipalities, the Illinois Department of Commerce Economic Opportunity, Designated Zone Organizations, and other State, Federal and local agencies, whether public or private.
- 3) Conduct an ongoing evaluation of the Enterprise Zone programs and submit evaluative reports, at least annually, to the Enterprise Zone Governing Council ("the Council"). Promote the coordination of other relevant programs, including, but not limited to, housing, community and economic development, small business, financial assistance and employment training within the amended Enterprise Zone.
- 4) Recommend qualified Designated Zone Organizations to the Council of the amended Enterprise Zone.
- 5) Have other such duties as specified by the Council, including the appointment of authorized personnel as appropriate, to assure the smooth operation of the amended Cal Sag Enterprise Zone.

Sec. 14-35. - Intergovernmental agreement.

The amended Enterprise Zone shall be governed, managed and operated in accordance with the Intergovernmental Agreement between the County and Municipalities as set forth in Exhibit D, which is attached hereto and incorporated into this Ordinance, by reference. The attached Intergovernmental Agreement (Exhibit D) was presented to the legislative body of Cook County and its attorney for review. The President is hereby authorized to execute this Agreement, on behalf of the County of Cook. Further, the President or his or her designee is authorized to sign all documents reasonably necessary in the furtherance of the Joint Application for said amended Enterprise Zone, to be filed with the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity.

Sec. 14-36- Continuation of management.

Section 4, Zone Management, including Subsections (a), (b) and (c) of the Amended Intergovernmental Agreement (Exhibit D) is identical to the likewise enumerated provisions in the Intergovernmental Agreement of the current existing Cal Sag Enterprise Zone. So as to provide continuity between the existing and amended Cal Sag Enterprise Zone, the prior zone management actions of the Parties and the Joint Enterprise Zone Governing Council are hereby adopted for the purposes of the amended Cal Sag Enterprise Zone. The adopted management actions include the appointment of representatives of the Parties to the Council, the adoption of rules and procedures by the Council and the appointment of a Zone Administrator, if any. This provision does not limit the Parties or the Council from duly implementing changes in zone management to the amended Cal Sag Enterprise Zone.

Sec. 14-~~36~~37. - Severability.

This Ordinance [Article] and every provision thereof shall be considered severable and the invalidity of any section clause, paragraph, sentence or provision of this Ordinance [Article] will not affect the validity of any other portion of this Ordinance [Article].

Sec. 14-~~37~~38. - Publication and effective date.

Cook County is hereby authorized to publish this Ordinance [No. 14-6427] in pamphlet form. This Ordinance [Article] shall be in full force and effect from after its passage, approval and publication as required by law.

Effective date: This ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Arroyo, that this Ordinance Amendment be referred to the Business and Economic Development Committee as substituted. The motion carried

16-3908

RESOLUTION

**Sponsored by
THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT,
AND SEAN M. MORRISON, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

BEAR REAL ESTATE, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: Bear Real Estate, LLC

Address: 7515 Santa Fe Drive, Hodgkins, Illinois

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Hodgkins

Cook County District: 17

Permanent Index Number: 18-28-300-068-0000 and 18-28-400-013-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: Village of Hodgkins Resolution Number 2015-13

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: Number of months vacant 13

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: 20 full-time

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: none

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: not applicable

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 20 construction jobs

Proposed use of property: This property will be used for warehousing, manufacturing and/or distribution

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Arroyo, that this Resolution (Class 6B) Purchase for Value be referred to the Business and Economic Development Committee. The motion carried.

16-3909

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, and JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, County Commissioner

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

EDWARD T. MCGOWAN & EDON CONSTRUCTION 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: Edward T. McGowan & Edon Construction

Address: 5440 W. 122nd Street, Alsip, Illinois 60803

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Alsip

Cook County District: 6

Permanent Index Number: 24-28-100-016-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: Village of Alsip Resolution Number 2015-11-R-1

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: Number of months vacant over 24 months

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: not available

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: not applicable

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: not applicable

Estimated Number of construction jobs: none

Proposed use of property: This property will be used for warehousing, manufacturing and/or distribution

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for more than 24 continuous months, there has been no purchased for value by a purchaser and the property is in need of substantial rehabilitation ; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of over 24 months and no purchase for value by a disinterested buyer, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property as being deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires a resolution by the County Board validating the property as abandoned for the purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Arroyo, that this Resolution (Class 6B) Purchase for Value be referred to the Business and Economic Development Committee. The motion carried.

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, and JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, County Commissioner

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

PACOR MORTGAGE COMPANY CLASS 8 PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 8 application containing the following information:

Applicant: Pacor Mortgage Company

Address: 14930 Cicero Avenue, Oak Forest, Illinois

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: City of Oak Forest

Cook County District: 6

Permanent Index Number: 28-09-404-079-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: City of Oak Forest Resolution Number 2015-10-0272R

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: Six (6) months vacant

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: Three (3) - five (5) full-time

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: 40 full-time

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: Not applicable

Estimated Number of construction jobs: Five (5) - seven (7) construction companies

Proposed use of property: This property will be used for commercial office space

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: No, not applicable

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 8 that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned commercial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 8; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 8 requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 8 is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, commercial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying commercial real estate eligible for the Class 8 can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 8 will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 8; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Arroyo, that this Resolution (Class 6B) Purchase for Value be referred to the Business and Economic Development Committee. The motion carried.

16-3923

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, and GREGG GOSLIN, County Commissioner

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

311 ILLINOIS LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: 311 Illinois LLC

Address: 311 East Illinois Street, Palatine, Illinois 60067

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Palatine

Cook County District: 14

Permanent Index Number: 02-26-103-011-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: R-17-16

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: 6 months at time of application

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: 10 full-time, 3 part-time

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: 45 full-time, 2 part-time

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: 45 full-time, 2 part-time

Estimated Number of construction jobs: N/A

Proposed use of property: Industrial-warehousing and offices

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon

purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Arroyo, that this Resolution (Class 6B) Purchase for Value be referred to the Business and Economic Development Committee. The motion carried.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY AND EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

16-3327

Presented by: ERNEST BROWN, Executive Director, Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management

PROPOSED CONTRACT

Department(s): Homeland Security and Emergency Management; Facilities Management;

Transportation and Highways; Sheriff's Office

Vendor: W. W. Grainger, Inc., Lake Forest, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Countywide Maintenance, Repair and Operational Supplies and Related Services

Contract Value: \$33,103,800.00

Contract period: 9/1/2016 - 8/31/2019, with two (2), one (1) year renewal options

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2016 \$1,080,656.43 capital/corporate funds and \$2,160,933.33 grant funds, FY 2017 \$3,257,772.84 capital/corporate funds and \$7,018,033.34 grant funds, FY 2018 \$4,733,170.00 capital/corporate funds and \$6,852,466.66 grant funds, FY 2019 capital/corporate funds \$787,900.00 and \$7,212,866.40 grant funds

Accounts: 769-449 (and various others)

Contract Number(s): 1550-14323

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via indirect participation and partial MWBE waiver.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: The Cook County Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management in conjunction with the Departments of Facilities Management, Transportation and Highways and the Sheriff's Office are requesting to enter into a new contract with W.W. Grainger, Inc. The contract will be used primarily for the purchase of homeland security and emergency management supplies and equipment for first responders throughout Cook County. Additional funds are also allocated for countywide needs for maintenance, repair and operational supplies.

This contract is awarded through Request for Proposals (RFP) procedures in accordance with Cook County Procurement Code. W.W. Grainger was selected based on established evaluation criteria.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Contract be referred to the Finance Committee. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF HUMAN RESOURCES

**16-3892
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE,
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**APPROVING AMENDMENTS TO THE NATIONAL NURSES ORGANIZING COMMITTEE
(NNOC) COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT**

WHEREAS, the Illinois Public Employee Labor Relations Act (5 ILCS 315/1 et seq.) has established regulations regarding collective bargaining with a union; and

WHEREAS, a Collective Bargaining Agreement for the period of December 1, 2012 through November 30, 2017 between the County of Cook and the National Nurses Organizing Committee (NNOC) has been previously approved; and

WHEREAS, salary adjustments and general wage increases have been previously approved and are reflected in the Salary Schedules included in the Collective Bargaining Agreement negotiated between the County of Cook and the National Nurses Organizing Committee (NNOC); and

WHEREAS, Amendments to Article XIV - In-House Registry of the NNOC Collective Bargaining Agreement have been negotiated between the County of Cook/Cook County Health and Hospital System and the National Nurses Organizing Committee (NNOC); and

- (a) Effective within 90 days of the February 2, 2016 signing of the attached Amendments, In-House Registry RNs' hourly rate shall be increased to \$47.00; and
- (b) In-House Registry Specialty Unit Nurses shall be paid \$50.00 per hour; and
- (c) All other modifications listed in the attached Amendments shall become effective upon County Board approval.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners does hereby approve the Amendments to the Collective Bargaining agreement between the County of Cook and the National Nurses Organizing Committee (NNOC) as provided by the Bureau of Human Resources.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

Commissioner Schneider voted "no".

**16-3895
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by
THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE,**

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

**APPROVING MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION (SEIU) LOCAL 73**

WHEREAS, the Illinois Public Employee Labor Relations Act (5 ILCS 315/1 et seq.) has established regulations regarding collective bargaining with a union; and

WHEREAS, a Collective Bargaining Agreement for the period of December 1, 2012 through November 30, 2017 has been negotiated between the County of Cook and Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 73 representing Technologists, Technicians, Stroger/Cermak and Oak Forest Service Employees and Maintenance and the Healthcare Professional employees at Cook County Health Facilities; and

WHEREAS, salary adjustments and general wage increases previously approved are reflected in the Salary Schedules included in the Collective Bargaining Agreement negotiated between the County of Cook and Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 73; and

WHEREAS, a Memorandum of Agreement approving a salary adjustment has been negotiated between the County of Cook/Cook County Health and Hospital System and Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 73; and

- (a) Effective upon approval by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, Dental Assistants represented by SEIU will have a change in pay grade from Grade 13 to Grade 14 on the current pay schedule previously approved for the SEIU Local 73 Technicians Collective Bargaining Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners does hereby approve the Memorandum of Agreement for a salary adjustment as provided by the Bureau of Human Resources.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

Commissioner Schneider voted “no”.

**16-3897
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE,
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

COUPE TRADES PREVAILING RATES

WHEREAS, the County is obligated to pay the prevailing rate for these categories of employees pursuant to the state statute and the collective bargaining agreement between the County of Cook and the Union(s); and

WHEREAS, the unions representing this category of employees have been properly certified that the below-listed rates are the prevailing rates for the effective date(s) set forth herein; and

WHEREAS, the Annual Appropriation Bill creates Accounts 490-115 499-115 899-115 for Appropriation Adjustments for the Corporate, Public Safety and Health Funds if necessary.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the prevailing wages and salaries of the following positions be fixed as follows:

Job Code	Title Represented	Wage Rate	Effective Date
Local 2 - International Union of Elevator Constructors:			
1411	Elevator Mechanic	\$51.94	1/1/16
1413	Elevator Inspector	\$51.94	1/1/16
Local 13 - Chicago Regional Council of Carpenters:			
1402	Building Construction Plan Examiner I	\$45.35	6/1/16
1404	Building & Zoning Inspector I	\$45.35	6/1/16
1412	Fire Prevention Inspector	\$45.35	6/1/16
1415	Building & Zoning Inspector II	\$45.35	6/1/16
1420	Zoning Plan Examiner I	\$45.35	6/1/16
2317	Carpenter	\$45.35	6/1/16
2318	Carpenter Foreman	\$47.85	6/1/16
2321	Lather	\$45.35	6/1/16
2366	Maintenance Worker	\$36.28	6/1/16
2367	Maintenance Worker Foreman	\$38.28	6/1/16
Local 14 - Painters District Council:			
2354	Painter	\$44.55	6/1/16
2356	Painter Foreman	\$50.11	6/1/16
Local 17 International Association of Heat & Frost Insulators & Allied Workers:			
2342	Pipe coverer	\$49.95	6/1/16
2368	Pipecoverer Foreman	\$52.45	6/1/16

2388	Pipe Cover Material Handler	\$37.46	6/1/16
Local 21 Bricklayers and Allied Craftworkers:			
2311	Bricklayer	\$44.88	6/1/16
2312	Bricklayer Foreman	\$49.37	6/1/16
2431	Marble Polisher	\$33.45	6/1/16
Local 27 Glaziers, Architectural Metal and Glass Workers:			
2320	Glaziers	\$41.70	6/1/16
Local 63 Architectural & Ornamental Iron Workers Union:			
2334	Master Locksmith	\$45.75	6/1/16
2335	Architectural Iron Worker Foreman	\$49.25	6/1/16
2336	Architectural Iron Worker	\$45.75	6/1/16
Local 73 Sheet Metal Workers:			
2225	Ventilating Inspector	\$46.47	6/8/16
2340	Tinsmith	\$43.03	6/8/16
2341	Tinsmith Foreman	\$46.47	6/8/16
Local 126 International Association of Machinists:			
2331	Machinists	\$46.35	7/1/16
2339	Machinist Foreman	\$48.85	7/1/16
Local 130 Chicago Journeymen Plumbers:			
2348	Chief Plumbing Inspector	\$55.00	6/1/16
2349	Plumbing Plan Examiner	\$50.25	6/1/16
2350	Plumber	\$48.25	6/1/16
2352	Plumber Foreman	\$50.25	6/1/16
2353	Plumbing Inspector/Foreman	\$50.25	6/1/16
Local 134 International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers: (IBEW)			
2323	Electrical Plan Examiner	\$46.10	6/6/16
2324	Electrician	\$46.10	6/6/16
2326	Electrician Foreman	\$49.10	6/6/16
2327	Chief Electrical Inspector	\$52.10	6/6/16
2328	Electrical Equipment Technician	\$46.10	6/6/16
2329	Electrical Mechanic	\$46.10	6/6/16
2330	Electrical Inspector	\$49.10	6/6/16
2346	Electrical Equipment Foreman	\$49.10	6/6/16
2378	Telecommunications Electrician Form.	\$49.10	6/6/16
2379	Telecommunications Electrician	\$46.10	6/6/16
2390	Biomedical Electrical Technician	\$46.10	6/6/16
2391	Biomedical Electrical Tech. Form.	\$49.10	6/6/16
4013	Chief Telecommunications Electrician	\$52.10	6/6/16

Local 150 International Union of Operating Engineers:

2372	Road Equipment Operator	\$47.30	6/1/16
2373	Road Equipment Master Mechanic	\$50.30	6/1/16
2376	Road Equipment Master Mechanic Form	\$51.30	6/1/16

Local 597 Chicago Pipefitters:

2343	Refrigerator Man	\$47.50	6/1/16
2344	Steamfitter	\$47.50	6/1/16
2345	Steamfitter Foreman	\$50.50	6/1/16

Local 700 State & Municipal Teamsters Chauffeurs & Helpers Union:

2371	Motor Vehicle Driver Road Repairman	\$35.60	6/1/16
2381	Motor Vehicle Driver I	\$35.60	6/1/16
2382	Motor Vehicle Driver II	\$35.85	6/1/16

Local 1092 Construction & General Laborers' District Council of Chicago & Vicinity:

2363	Plasterer Helper	\$40.20	6/1/16
2392	Laborer	\$40.20	6/1/16
2393	Laborer I	\$40.20	6/1/16
2394	Laborer II	\$40.60	6/1/16
2395	Laborer Foreman	\$41.30	6/1/16
2396	Laborer Foreman (Highway)	\$41.30	6/1/16

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chief of the Bureau of Human Resources and the Cook County Comptroller are hereby authorized to implement the prevailing rates and salary adjustments pursuant to state statute.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**16-3898
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE,
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

APPROVING ECONOMIC PACKAGE INCLUDING WAGE INCREASES

AND HEALTHCARE METROPOLITAN ALLIANCE OF POLICE (MAP 438)

WHEREAS, the Illinois Public Employee Labor Relations Act (5 ILCS 315/1 et seq.) has established regulations regarding collective bargaining with a union; and

WHEREAS, a Collective Bargaining Agreement for the period of December 1, 2012 through November 30, 2017 has been negotiated between the County of Cook/Sheriff of Cook County and the Metropolitan Alliance of Police (MAP 438) representing the Cook County DCSI Deputy Chiefs; and

WHEREAS, salary adjustments and general wage increases are reflected in the Salary Schedules included in the Collective Bargaining Agreement negotiated between County of Cook/Sheriff of Cook County and the Metropolitan Alliance of Police (MAP 438); and

- (a) effective the first full pay period on or after June 1, 2013 the pay rates for all classifications shall be increased 1.00%
- (b) effective the first full pay period on or after June 1, 2014 the pay rates for all classifications shall be increased 1.50%
- (c) effective the first full pay period on or after June 1, 2015 the pay rates for all classifications shall be increased 2.00%
- (d) effective the first full pay period on or after December 1, 2015 the pay rates for all classifications shall be increased 2.00%
- (e) effective the first full pay period on or after December 1, 2016 the pay rates for all classifications shall be increased 2.25%
- (f) effective the first full pay period on or after June 1, 2017 the pay rates for all classifications shall be increased 2.00%

WHEREAS, the current healthcare plan shall be revised as follows:

Item	12/1/15
Classic Blue	Eliminate
HMO OOP Maximum	\$1,600/\$3,200
HMO Accident/Illness	\$15
HMO Urgent Care	\$15
HMO Specialists	\$20
HMO ER	\$75
PPO Deductible	\$350/\$700
PPO OOP Maximum	\$1,600/\$3,200
PPO Accident/Illness	90% after \$25
PPO Specialist	90% after \$35

PPO ER	\$75
RX	\$10/\$25/\$40
Generic Step Therapy	Implement
Mandatory Maintenance Choice	Implement
Healthcare Contributions	Additional 1 percent of salary aggregate increase (.50 percent increase on 12/1/15 and .50 percent increase on 12/1/16)

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners does hereby approve the economic package including wage increases and healthcare as provided by the Bureau of Human Resources.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

Commissioner Schneider voted “no”.

16-4033

Presented by: VELISHA HADDOX, Chief, Bureau of Human Resources

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Human Resources

Request: Receive and File

Report Title: HR Activity Reports for Pay Periods 10 and 11

Report Period: Pay Period 10 5/1/2016 - 5/14/2016, Pay Period 11 5/15/2016 - 5/28/2016

Summary: Grades 17 - 24 Only

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be received and filed. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF TECHNOLOGY
CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER

16-3488

Presented by: SIMONA ROLLINSON, Chief Information Officer, Bureau of Technology

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT (TECHNOLOGY)

Department(s): Bureau of Technology

Vendor: Revenue Solutions, Inc., Pembroke, Massachusetts

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Integrated Home Rule Tax Processing System

Current Contract Period: 10/1/2015 - 9/30/2020 with five (5) one (1) year renewal options

Proposed Contract Extension Period: N/A

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$10,971,946.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 9/9/2015, \$10,971,946.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

This Increase Requested: \$692,000.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2016 \$108,000.00; FY 2017 \$456,500.00; FY 2018 \$127,500.00

Accounts: 1600909604-579

Contract Number(s): 1518-14681

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via partial direct participation

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: In September, 2015, this Board approved Contract No. 1518-14681 with Revenue Solutions, Inc. to implement an Integrated Tax Processing System (ITSP). In November, 2015, this Board approved Agenda Item No. 15-6468, enacting a Hotel Accommodations Tax. The Bureau of Technology, in

cooperation with the Department of Revenue, now seeks Board approval of an amendment to the ITSP contract in order to incorporate into the ITSP the automatic processing of tax information and revenue generated by the new tax.

This is a Comparable Government Procurement pursuant to Section 34-140 of the Cook County Procurement Code. Revenue Solutions, Inc. was previously awarded a contract through a competitive Request for Proposal (RFP) process by the State of Rhode Island. Cook County wishes to leverage this procurement effort.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Morrison, that this Contract Amendment (Technology) be referred to the Technology and Innovation Committee. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE ASSESSOR

16-3307

Presented by: JOSEPH BERRIOS, Cook County Assessor and DAVID ORR, County Clerk

PROPOSED CONTRACT

Department(s): Cook County Assessor's Office and the Cook County Clerk's Office

Vendor: Cook County Suburban Publishers, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Mandated Publications

Contract Value: \$879,170.45

Contract period: 7/1/2016 - 6/30/2017, with two (2), one (1) year renewal options

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY2016 \$879,170.45

Accounts: 040-245: \$617,781.36; 524-245: \$261,389.09

Contract Number(s): 1623-15293

Concurrences:

The M/WBE participation goal for this contract was set at 0%.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: The Cook County Assessor’s Office and Cook County Clerk’s Office request authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute a contract with Cook County Suburban Publishers, Inc.

This contract will satisfy the statutory requirements for the Cook County Clerk’s Office set forth in Article 12 of the Election Code, 10 ILCS 5/12-1 et seq. which requires the Cook County Clerk’s Office to place notices in a minimum of two newspapers for each suburban municipality in the election jurisdiction on the same day and for the same duration of time. If multiple vendors are involved, there could be a scenario where some newspapers in certain municipalities have different publication dates. This would result in a loss of coordination of the notices and possible confusion among the voters. Therefore, it is necessary to have one source that can publish notices in all election municipalities on the same day. Cook County Suburban Publishers, Inc. can provide the necessary geographical coverage and guarantee that notices are placed in the correct papers on the same day.

This contract will also satisfy the statutory requirements for the Cook County Assessor’s Office set forth in 35 ILCS 200/12-20 and 715 ILCS 10/1 which require assessments to be published at the same time for the North and South suburban townships. Cook County Suburban Publishers can publish the North and South suburban townships under one umbrella.

This contract is awarded as a Sole Source procurement pursuant to Section 34-139 of the Cook County Procurement Code.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUDGE
ADULT PROBATION

16-2388

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Adult Probation Department, Circuit Court of Cook County

Vendor: McDermott Center d/b/a Haymarket Center, Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Substance abuse treatment services for drug court participants

Original Contract Period: 6/1/2013 - 5/31/2016 with two, one-year renewal options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 6/1/2016 - 5/31/2017

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$787,740.42

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 5/29/2013, \$207,000.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): 3/12/2014, \$173,131.00; 2/10/2016, \$267,609.42

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): 6/19/2015, \$140,000.00

This Increase Requested: \$200,000.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2016 - \$5,000.00, FY 2017 - \$195,000.00 funded with probation fees collected by probationers

Accounts: 532-272

Contract Number(s): 1388-12593

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via indirect participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This proposed contract amendment exercises the first year renewal option available for contract No. 1388-12593 with McDermott Center, dba Haymarket Center, and increases the contract value by \$200,000.00. The contract provides specialized treatment services ordered by the court for adult criminal offenders, who participate in the Circuit Court's drug treatment court programs. Terms of service and pricing during the renewal period are unchanged from the original contract period. Services provided under the contract include assessment, detoxification, counseling, residential rehabilitation, Level I and Level II outpatient treatment, recovery home services, case management, toxicology and aftercare, as deemed appropriate, billed to Cook County at prescribed hourly rates. . McDermott Center is part of a service network of many providers, encompassing all of Cook County, that assist offenders in their own communities.

This contract was awarded through the Request for Proposal (RFP) procedures in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. McDermott Center selected based on established evaluation criteria.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUDGE
JUDICIARY

16-2834

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Office of the Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

Vendor: Center for Divorce Education, Athens, Ohio

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to extend contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Online Parenting Education

Original Contract Period: 11/1/2012 - 10/31/2015 with two (2), one (1) year renewal options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 11/1/2016 - 10/31/2017

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$0; no cost contract to Cook County

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 10/30/2012, no cost contract to Cook County

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): 10/07/2015, 11/1/2015 - 10/31/2016, no cost contract to Cook County

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

This Increase Requested: \$0

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2016: \$0 cost, \$0 revenue; FY 2017: \$0 cost, \$12,900 estimated program cost reimbursements revenue to County

Accounts: 300-186

Contract Number(s): 12-23-288

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement concurs.

Summary: The Center for Divorce Education (CDE) operates an online parenting education course for parents with children appearing in the Circuit Court's Domestic Relations Division, pursuant to section 404.1 of the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act and Illinois Supreme Court Rule 924. Participants are ordered by the court to complete either this online course or the court's Focus On Children classroom course. Either option generally requires four to five hours to complete. In calendar year 2015, more than 4,000 parents completed the online course.

This proposed amendment exercises the second of the two (2) no-cost, one-year renewal options available in contract #12-23-288 with CDE. Terms of service and pricing during the renewal period are unchanged from the original contract period. There is no cost to Cook County for the contract. The online course is paid by the participants at a rate of \$39.95 each. Cook County receives a portion of those fees, estimated at \$12,900 per year, to offset program costs.

This contract was awarded through the Request for Proposal (RFP) procedures in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Center for Divorce Education was selected based on established evaluation criteria.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

16-3663

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED GRANT AWARD

Department: Office of the Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

Grantee: Circuit Court of Cook County

Grantor: Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority

Request: Authorization to accept grant

Purpose: The Circuit Court Criminal Division's Adult Redeploy Illinois, Access to Community Treatment (ACT) Court seeks to help certain low-level criminal defendants suffering from substance abuse problems from becoming repeat offenders and being incarcerated. The ACT Court achieves this goal by linking participants with behavioral health, vocational, and educational treatment services from community-based sources.

Grant Amount: \$1,498,886.00

Grant Period: 7/1/2016 - 6/30/2017

Fiscal Impact: None

Accounts: N/A

Concurrences:

The Budget Department has received all requisite documents and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any.

Summary: This grant would continue funding from the State of Illinois Adult Redeploy Illinois Program, through the Criminal Justice Information Authority, for two of the Circuit Court of Cook County's newest specialty courts: the Access to Community Treatment Court (ACT) and the Adult Redeploy Illinois (ARI) HOPE Program.

The ACT Court is a drug court probation program that operates in the Circuit Court Criminal Division. Its goal is to help certain nonviolent, felony criminal offenders suffering from substance abuse problems from becoming repeat offenders and being incarcerated. The program links participants with behavioral health, vocational, and educational treatment services from community-based sources. The court closely monitors participants' compliance with program protocols and provides encouragement for success through a range of rewards and sanctions. Incarceration is used as a last resort and for short periods. The annual service goal for the ACT Court is 170 participants.

The Circuit Court's ARI HOPE Court is a structured probation program that operates in the Circuit Court's Municipal District One (City of Chicago). The ARI HOPE judge and team work to give offenders the support and services they need to complete their probation sentence and move toward productive lives. Offenders who qualify are diverted from the Illinois Department of Corrections into the program which combines intensive probation supervision with cognitive behavioral therapy, trauma-based treatment, and substance abuse treatment. Additional community-based social services, such as housing and vocational services, are also offered as part of the program. The court closely monitors participants' compliance with program protocols provides encouragement for success through a range of rewards and sanctions. Incarceration is used as a last resort and for short periods. The annual service goal for the ARI-HOPE Court is 300 participants.

The grant will fund treatment and toxicology services not covered by Medicaid health insurance. Funds will also be used for court staff, professional services for project management, program evaluation, and equipment.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Grant Award be approved. The motion carried.

16-3940

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT

Department: Office of the Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

Other Part(ies): Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services (IDHFS)

Request: Requesting authorization to enter into an Intergovernmental Agreement with the State of Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services on behalf of the Office of the Chief Judge for a Child Support Agreement between IDHFS and the Office of the Chief Judge on behalf of the Cook County Child Support Enforcement Unit which would provide the County with a \$897,165.00

Intergovernmental Agreement under Title IV-D of the Social security Act. The Budget Department has received all requisite grant documents.

Goods or Services: Under the Agreement with IDHFS, Title IV-D cases involving poor, single parents with children for parentage and/or child support are initially heard by administrative hearing officers. The hearing officers' function is to obtain the expedited agreement of the parties before them and avoid the matter going to a judge for determination on issues of parentage and support. This streamlining of the judicial process results in a more prompt and efficient resolution to parentage and child support disputes.

Agreement Number(s): 2017-55-028-K

Agreement Period: 7/1/2016 - 6/30/2017

Fiscal Impact: None

Accounts: NA

Summary: This intergovernmental Agreement funds the salaries and fringe benefits of eight (8) administrative hearing officers and seven (7) support staff. Each administrative hearing officer is paired with a courtroom to help never-married, poor, single parents with children reach agreement on matters related to paternity and child support issues in Title IV-D cases. If no agreement results, parties are entitled to a hearing before a judge. Approximately 22,000 cases per year are directed to the hearing officers for resolution.

Funds available under this agreement represent approximately 60 percent of funds allocated in recent previous agreements and are expected to last until February 2017. At that time, or upon expiration of the Agreement at 6/30/2017, whichever occurs first, the Intergovernmental Agreement program will be terminated.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Intergovernmental Agreement be approved. The motion carried.

16-3984

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED GRANT AWARD

Department: Office of the Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

Grantee: Office of the Chief Judge

Grantor: Center for Court Innovation, New York, New York

Request: Authorization to accept grant

Purpose: Create a Restorative Justice Community Court in North Lawndale, Chicago, Illinois, to provide an alternative means of addressing low-level criminality which invests in the future of nonviolent offenders, their victims and the community.

Grant Amount: \$200,000.00

Grant Period: 7/1/2016 - 6/30/2018

Fiscal Impact: \$4,271.00, Supplemental Cook County funding first year

Accounts: 310-818

Concurrences:

The Budget Department has received all requisite documents and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any.

Summary: The Circuit Court of Cook County will use this grant award from the Center for Court Innovation to develop and implement the court's first ever Restorative Justice Community Court pilot (RJCC) program for young adults. The RJCC will be initially piloted in Chicago's North Lawndale community and will target young adults, ages 18-26, accused of committing low-level, nonviolent felony and misdemeanor offenses. The court will follow Restorative Justice concepts which invest in the future of nonviolent offenders, their victims, and the community. The RJCC will partner with various community social service organizations to address the social, vocational and educational needs of the offenders, repair the harm done to victims and the community, and help to reintegrate the offender back into the community, and reduce further involvement in the criminal justice system. -

Grant funds will be used to fund the salary and fringe benefits of a program director, as well as to provide funds for training and travel.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Grant Award be approved. The motion carried.

CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

16-3615

Presented by: DOROTHY BROWN, Clerk of the Circuit Court

REPORT

Department: Clerk of the Circuit Court

Request: Transmitting a Communication

Report Title: Independent Auditor's Report of the Financial Statements of the Clerk of the Circuit

Court of Cook County

Report Period: Fiscal Year Ended 11/30/2015

Summary: Submitting herewith is a copy of the Independent Auditor's Report of the Financial Statements of the Office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County for the year ended 11/30/2015

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY CLERK

16-3575

Presented by: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): County Clerk

Vendor: All Global Solutions International, Inc., Hypoluxo, Florida

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Foreign Language Translation Services

Original Contract Period: 3/14/2013 - 3/13/2015, with three (3), one (1) year renewal options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 3/14/2016 - 3/13/2017

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$26,400.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 3/14/2013, \$26,400.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): 4/6/2015, 3/15/2015 - 3/13/2016

This Increase Requested: N/A

Potential Fiscal Impact: N/A

Accounts: 524-260

Contract Number(s): 12-45-255

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via full waiver.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This second of three (3), one (1) year renewal options will allow the County Clerk's Office to continue to receive foreign language translation services for all ballots, forms and posters used on Election Day.

This contract was awarded through the competitive bidding process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. All Global Solutions International, Inc. was the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

16-3927

Presented by: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

PROPOSED PAYMENT APPROVAL

Department(s): County Clerk

Action: Approval of Payment

Payee: HaystackID, LLC, Boston, Massachusetts

Good(s) or Service(s): Candidate Petition Scanning/Printing

Fiscal Impact: \$2614.79

Accounts: 524-240

Contract Number(s): N/A

Summary: HaystackID provides scanning, printing and digital copies of Candidate Filing Petitions. Petition pages exceeded projections resulting in services exceeding direct payment limit of less than \$5000.00.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Payment Approval be approved. The motion carried.

RECORDER OF DEEDS

16-3937

Presented by: KAREN A. YARBROUGH, Recorder of Deeds

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT (TECHNOLOGY)

Department(s): Recorder of Deeds

Vendor: Paradigm Solutions, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Project Management Services (PMP) for Core Application technology solution.

Current Contract Period: 4/1/2014 - 3/31/2016 with two (2) one (1) year renewal options

Proposed Contract Extension Period: 4/1/2016 - 3/31/2017

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$589,000.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 6/18/2014, \$589,000.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

This Increase Requested: \$322,800.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2016 \$150,000.00 FY 2017 \$172,800.00

Accounts: 527-260

Contract Number(s): 1441-13490

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

The Bureau of Technology concurs

Summary: These services will be required for the implementation of a new state of the art Recording, Cashiering, Indexing, Accounting, and Information Reporting “core application” for the Cook County

Recorder of Deeds (CCRD). The time frame for the full implementation may be 1.5 to 2 years. The new CCRD application is expected to be in effect for at least 10 years or more after deployment. The goal of this project is to support CCRD's goal of enhancing operational capabilities that will improve the quality of its IT service delivery, enable business process improvements, increase operational efficiencies and drive down transaction costs.

This is a Comparable Government Procurement pursuant to Section 34-140 of the Cook County Procurement Code. Paradigm Solutions, Inc. was previously awarded a contract through a Request for Qualification (RFQ) process by the City of Chicago. Cook County wishes to leverage this procurement effort.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Contract Amendment (Technology) be approved. The motion carried.

Commissioner Fritchey voted "no".

Commissioner Moore voted "present".

OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF
FISCAL ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT SERVICES

16-3297

Presented by: THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT

Department: Cook County Sheriff's Office

Other Part(ies): Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago, Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization to enter into an Intergovernmental Agreement between the Cook County Sheriff's Office and the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago.

Goods or Services: The Sheriff's Office seeks to expand the Prescription Drug Take-Back Program, which pharmaceutical drugs are collected and disposed of through environmentally sound methods to maximize the volume of pharmaceutical drugs prevented from entering the Chicago Area Waterway System.

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Agreement Period: Upon execution of the agreement. The agreement is for one (1) year and may be renewed by mutual agreement of the parties.

Fiscal Impact: None. Revenue Neutral.

Accounts: N/A

Summary: Authorization for the Cook County Sheriff's Office and the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago to enter into an Intergovernmental Agreement for the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago to provide funding to support the Sheriff's Office expansion of the Prescription Drug Take-Back Program as follows:

Up to \$66,930.00 annually for the reimbursement of funds equivalent to one (1) full-time salary of a Sheriff's Office deputy assigned to carry out this Program.

Up to \$33,070.00 annually for reimbursement of the purchase and installation of collection receptacles.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Intergovernmental Agreement be approved. The motion carried.

16-3386

Presented by: THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

PROPOSED CONTRACT (TECHNOLOGY)

Department(s): Cook County Sheriff's Office

Vendor: MorphoTrak, LLC., Anaheim, California

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Computer Aided Booking System (CABS)

Contract Value: \$4,573,250.00

Contract period: 7/1/2016 - 6/30/2021 with two (2), one (1)-year renewal options

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2016 \$2,959,300.00 (\$2,794,300, 217-579; \$165,000.00, 217-441); FY 2017 \$403,487.50, 217-441; FY 2018 \$403,487.50, 217-441; FY 2019 \$403,487.50, 217-441; FY 2020 \$403,487.50, 217-441

Accounts: 1621709711-579, 217-441

Contract Number(s): 1411-14271

Concurrence(s):

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement officer concurs.

The Bureau of Technology concurs

Summary: The Cook County Sheriff's Office, in conjunction with the Office of the Chief Procurement Officer issued a Request for Proposal (RFP) for Computer Aided Booking System (CABS) hardware, maintenance and software support. MorphoTrak, LLC, will replace our current Live Scan Arrest Booking System. The current equipment was purchased in November 2006 and is in need of an upgrade. One of the goals of this Request for Proposal (RFP) was to replace all of the existing Live Scan hardware, (i.e. mobile fingerprinting, arrest and booking, print collection, and transmission technologies), throughout the County for 165 Booking Systems and this upgrade is to correspond with the technological capabilities available today.

Request for Proposal (RFP) procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. MorphoTrak, LLC was selected based on established evaluation criteria.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Contract (Technology) be approved. The motion carried.

16-3477

Presented by: THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT AMENDMENT

Department: Cook County Sheriff's Office

Other Part(ies): Chicago Commission on Animal Care and Control, Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the County of Cook on behalf of the Sheriff of Cook County to enter into and execute a First Amendment to the Intergovernmental Agreement ("IGA") with the City of Chicago's Commission on Animal Care and Control ("CACCC") and the Cook County Department of Corrections ("CCDOC").

Goods or Services: CCDOC detainee volunteers to perform services, including: cleaning and disinfecting animal cages in the areas in which animals are housed and treated, and feeding and watering of housed animals per established schedules.

Agreement Number: N/A

Agreement Period: 2/21/2013 - 2/20/2016. Extension period 2/21/2016 - 2/20/2017, with the option of renewing for a subsequent one (1) year period.

Fiscal Impact: Revenue Generating

Accounts: N/A

Summary: Authorization for the County of Cook on behalf of the Sheriff of Cook County to enter into and execute a First Amendment to the IGA with the CACC and the CCDOC detainee volunteers to perform services, including cleaning and disinfecting animal cages and feeding and watering of housed animals.

Also, the CACC and the Sheriff's Office will work in partnership with Safe Humane Chicago, an Illinois not-for-profit focused on creating safe communities by inspiring positive relationships between people and animals, to create a pilot program called Lifetime Bonds Re-entry in which detainees will learn how to socialize and train shelter dogs; maintain the dogs' basic physical and emotional health; and will be introduced to animal-related employment opportunities which exist within the animal welfare and pet industries."

The CACC agrees to reimburse the Sheriff on a monthly basis in accordance with the work actually performed by the Sheriff plus a 10.28% administrative fee. The total per annum of \$339,835.00 is estimated; however said amount may be subject to further increase following required negotiations in November 2016 in anticipation of the second option to renew. The Amendment also modifies existing IGA language and authorizes the Sheriff of Cook County and the CACC to execute a Second Amendment exercising the second option to renew; said second option to renew may result in a revenue increase.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Intergovernmental Agreement Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

16-3612

Presented by: THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT AMENDMENT

Department: Cook County Sheriff's Office

Other Part(ies): Forest Preserve District, Cook County, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the County of Cook on behalf of the Sheriff of Cook County to enter into and execute Second Amendment to the Intergovernmental Agreement ("IGA") with the Forest Preserve District of Cook County for the deconstruction and salvage services with respect to certain sites owned by the Forest Preserve for the purpose of extending the term of the IGA and to add to Exhibit A, deconstruction and salvage projects on property owned by the District with a total cost for additional projects not to exceed \$100,000.00.

Goods or Services: Deconstruction and salvage services with respect to certain sites owned by the Forest Preserve

Agreement Number: N/A

Agreement Period: Original contract period - 4/11/2014 - 4/11/2015. First Renewal Period - 4/12/2015 - 6/30/2016. This Renewal Period - 7/1/2016 - 6/30/2017

Fiscal Impact: None. Revenue Generating.

Accounts: N/A

Summary: Authorization for the County of Cook on behalf of the Sheriff of Cook County to enter into and execute a Second Amendment to the Intergovernmental Agreement (“IGA”) with the Forest Preserve District of Cook County for participants from the Vocational Rehabilitation Impact Center (“VRIC”) to provide deconstruction and salvage services with respect to certain sites owned by the Forest Preserve of Cook County. The Forest Preserve District agrees to reimburse the Sheriff’s Office for salary, benefits and administrative costs. Contract Second Amendment is to extend time to complete deconstruction and building material salvage services specified in original contract and to add to Exhibit A, deconstruction and salvage projects on property owned by the District with a total costs for additional projects not to exceed \$100,000.00.

This Second Amendment was approved by the Forest Preserve Board on 6/7/2016.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Intergovernmental Agreement Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

16-3862

Presented by: THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

PROPOSED CONTRACT (TECHNOLOGY)

Department(s): Cook County Sheriff's Office

Vendor: Taser International, Inc., Scottsdale, Arizona

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Tasers, Body Cameras, Related Products and Services

Contract Value: \$4,786,784.28

Contract period: 6/30/2016 - 6/29/2021

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2016 \$1,059,200.28, FY 2017 \$931,896.00, FY 2018 \$931,896.00, FY 2019 \$931,896.00, FY 2020 \$931,896.00

Accounts: 1523109432-521

Contract Number(s): 1611-15514

Concurrence(s):

The M/WBE participation goal for this contract was set at 0%.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

The Bureau of Technology concurs.

Summary: The Sheriff's Office requests authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute a contract with Taser International, Inc., for Tasers, Body Cameras, Software Licenses, Data Storage and Services for law enforcement equipment and supplies.

This is a Comparable Government Procurement pursuant to Section 34-140 of the Cook County Procurement Code. Taser International, Inc., was previously awarded a contract by the State of New Jersey through a Request for Proposals (RFP) process. Cook County would like to leverage this procurement effort.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Contract (Technology) be approved. The motion carried.

16-3872

Presented by: THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT

Department: Cook County Sheriff's Office

Other Part(ies): City of Chicago ("City") through its Department of Fleet and Facility Management ("2FM") and Department of Streets and Sanitation ("Streets and San"), Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization to enter into an Intergovernmental Agreement between the Cook County Sheriff's Office and the City of Chicago's 2FM and Streets and San.

Goods or Services: The Sheriff's Office will have the exclusive use of two surplus backhoes and a woodchipper from the City's 2FM to be utilized in the Sheriff's Neighborhoods Workforce Program ("RENEW") in exchange for the continued use of the Sheriff's Work Alternative Program ("SWAP") crews for City's Streets and San projects.

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Agreement Period: 6/29/2016 - 6/28/2017 and shall automatically renew for one (1) additional year.

Fiscal Impact: None

Accounts: None

Summary: Authorization for the Cook County Sheriff's Office and the City through its' 2FM and Streets and Sans to enter into an Intergovernmental Agreement between the City's 2FM and Streets and Sans to provide the exclusive use of two surplus backhoes and a woodchipper for use by the Sheriff's RENEW program in exchange for continued use of SWAP crews for Streets and Sans projects.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Intergovernmental Agreement be approved. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY TREASURER

16-3709

Presented by: MARIA PAPPAS, Cook County Treasurer

REPORT

Department: Treasurer

Request: Refer to Audit Committee

Report Title: Independent Auditor's Report

Report Period: Fiscal Years 2014 and 2015

Summary: Submitting herewith, the Financial Statements as of 11/30/2015 and 2014, Supplemental Information as of 11/30/2015, and the Independent Auditor's Report.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Boykin, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

COMMITTEE ITEMS REQUIRING BOARD ACTION

**CONTRACT COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE
MEETING OF JUNE 29, 2016**

16-3597

REPORT

Department: Office of Contract Compliance

Request: Receive and file

Report Title: Disparity Study

Report Period: 7/2009 - 7/2014

Summary: Disparity Study of the County and Health and Hospital System's Minority- and Women-owned Business Enterprise Program

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Report be received and filed. The motion carried.

**16-3598
ORDINANCE AMENDMENT**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT
AND ROBERT B. STEELE, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

MINORITY AND WOMAN-OWNED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 34 Finance, Article IV Procurement Code, Division 8 Minority and Women-Owned Business Enterprises, various sections within Subdivisions I and II of the Cook County Code are hereby amended as follows:

DIVISION 8. - MINORITY- AND WOMAN-OWNED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES

Subdivision I. - General Provisions

Sec. 34-260. - Short title.

This Subdivision shall be known and may be cited as the Cook County Minority- and Women-Owned Business Enterprise General Ordinance. This Subdivision is applicable to all Contracts, except Public Works Contracts which are governed by Subdivision II of this Division 8.

Sec. 34-261. - Preface and Findings.

(a) The County has heretofore adopted a Minority and Woman-Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance to ensure that small businesses owned by economically disadvantaged minorities ~~y~~-and ~~women's businesses~~ are provided full and equal opportunity to participate in Contracts.

(b) The Supreme Court of the United States in *City of Richmond v. Croson*, 488 U.S. 469 (1989), has enunciated certain standards which are necessary to maintain effective affirmative action programs in compliance with constitutional requirements.

(c) The County continues to be ~~is~~ committed to implementing an ~~its~~ affirmative action program associated with small businesses owned by economically disadvantaged minorities and women in conformance with the United States Supreme Court's decision in *City of Richmond v. Croson* and other relevant law.

(d) In furtherance of this commitment, ~~and at the direction of~~ the Board and the President of the Board, supported by County staff, professionals, and consultants, conducted an investigation to determine whether and to what degree (1) there continues to be into the scope of any discrimination in County Procurements; and in the award of and participation in contracts in the metropolitan County Chicago economy; ~~the extent to which~~ (2) such discrimination or the effects thereof has denied and continues to deny small and economically disadvantaged minority and women's business enterprises equal opportunity to participate in such Procurements and contracts; (3) small businesses owned and managed by economically disadvantaged minorities and women in the County Marketplace experience disparate negative impacts in terms of participating in public and private transactions, including but not limited to transactions with the County, those private enterprises with whom it does business, and other private enterprises; (4) small, economically disadvantaged businesses owned and managed by minorities and women in the County Marketplace experience reduced earnings; (5) the formation of businesses owned and managed by minorities and women in the County Marketplace is disproportionately low; (6) there continues to be a chronic lack of wealth, business loans, and financial liquidity among the County's minority communities and among minorities who own and operate their own small businesses; (7) the disproportionate levels of poverty among minorities in the County and any of the foregoing elements detrimentally affect the County's efforts to protect and promote public safety, health and welfare, and to identify the appropriate affirmative action steps to be taken to eliminate any such discrimination, obstacles, and poverty and its their continuing effects.

(e) Minority and women small businesses continue to be awarded prime contracts and subcontracts in dollar amounts that are disproportionately lower than the availability of such businesses willing and able to perform Contracts.

(f) The County's procurement practices in the past have contributed to the above identified underutilization of ~~Minority~~ minority, and women small businesses on ~~contracts and subcontracts~~ Contracts.

(g) Minority and women's small businesses continue to be disadvantaged by discriminatory practices in the local construction industry and economy when competing for ~~contracts~~ Contracts and in seeking subcontracting opportunities on such ~~contracts~~ Contracts.

(h) The County was a passive participant in the discriminatory practices of businesses which discriminate against minority, women businesses by entering into contracts with such discriminating businesses.

(i) Despite the County's ~~its~~ good faith efforts and implementation of previous affirmative action programs, minority and women small businesses remain at a competitive disadvantage in competing for ~~C~~ contracts and subcontracts.

(j) Race and gender neutral measures or affirmative action programs without numerical goals have not and are not likely to eliminate the competitive disadvantage of minority and women small businesses in participating in ~~C~~ contracts due to discrimination in the local economy.

(k) The numerical goals for the participation of minority and women's businesses in Contracts are commensurate with the availability of minority and women's businesses willing and able to perform County work.

(l) The reduction of such discrimination in the local economy will help reduce the disproportionate levels of poverty among minorities in the County and positively affect the County's efforts to protect and promote public safety, health, and welfare.

Sec. 34-262. - Policy and purpose.

Based on the foregoing findings, the policy and purpose of this division are as follows:

(a) It is the continuing public policy of the County to strive to achieve the full and equitable participation of local small businesses owned by economically disadvantaged minorities and women in the County's procurement process as both prime contractors and subcontractors.

(b) The County is committed to a policy of preventing and reducing discrimination in making Procurements, and eliminating arbitrary barriers to participation in Procurements by all persons, regardless of race, sex, or ethnicity.

(c) To offset the effects of negative disparate impacts on small businesses owned and managed by economically disadvantaged minorities and women with respect to public and private transactions; reduced earnings among County-based small businesses owned by minorities and women; reduced business formation among County-based minorities and women; a chronic lack of wealth and financial liquidity among the County's minority communities; the obstacles and hindrances in obtaining business loans faced by County-based small businesses owned by minorities and women; the underutilization of regionally-based small businesses owned by minorities and women in public and private-sector business; and the grossly disproportionate levels of poverty among minorities in the County. ~~The~~ the purpose of this Division is to establish and implement goals for participation of PCEs in Procurements, in compliance with all applicable laws.

Sec. 34-263. - Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this Division, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this Section, Terms not defined in this Section are defined in Division 1 of this Procurement Code, or in Section 1-3 of the County Code. Additional terms applicable to Subdivision II are set forth in such subdivision.

Affiliate. An "Affiliate" of or a Person "Affiliated" with, a specified Person shall mean any Person that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, Controls, is Controlled by, or is under common Control with, the Person Specified. Affiliates shall be considered together in determining whether a firm is a small business.

Applicant means a person who submits documents and information seeking certification, continued certification, or re-certification as an MBE or WBE to the Office of Contract Compliance.

Broker means a Person who or which neither manufactures the supplies, equipment or goods supplied nor owns or operates a store, warehouse or other establishment (and related distribution equipment) in which it maintains, consistent with industry standards, an inventory of the supplies, equipment or goods required for performance of the Contract for sale in the normal course of business. A Broker provides no substantial service other than acting as a conduit between his or her supplier and his or her customer.

Business means a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity.

Certified firm means a firm that has been accepted by the County as a certified MBE or WBE.

Commercially Useful Function means the performance of a distinct element of work required for the Procurement, with the requisite skill and expertise.

Compliance Contract Director or "CCD" means the Contract Compliance Director.

Contract means any Procurement or Contract (as defined in Section 34-121) in an amount exceeding \$25,000.00.

Contractor means any business that seeks to enter into a contract with the County, and includes all partners and Affiliates Business.

Contract Specific Goals means the goals established under Section 34-267(b) that are based upon relevant factors, including, but not limited to, the availability of MBEs or WBEs relative to ~~in~~ the scopes of work of the Project.

County Marketplace means the six-county region, currently the counties of Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will.

Economically Disadvantaged, with respect to an individual, means having an individual with a Personal Net Worth less than \$2,000,000.00, indexed annually for the Chicago Metro Area Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Standards, beginning January 2008.

Expertise means demonstrated skills, knowledge or ability to perform, as defined by normal industry practices, including licensure where required, in a field.

Good Faith Efforts shall have the meaning set forth in Section 34-271.

Joint Venture means an association of two or more Businesses proposing to perform a for-profit business enterprise. Joint Ventures must have an agreement in writing specifying the terms and conditions of the relationships between the partners and their relationship and responsibility to the contract.

Local Business means a Business located within the County's Marketplace which has the majority of its regular, full-time work force located within the County's Marketplace.

Local Small Business means a Local Business which is also a Small Business.

Manufacturer means a Person that operates or maintains a factory or establishment that produces, on the premises, the materials, supplies, articles, or equipment required for a Procurement and of the general character described by the specifications.

Minority Business Enterprise or MBE means a Local Small Business, including a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity:

- (1) Which is at least 51 percent owned by one or more Minority Individuals who are economically disadvantaged, or in the case of a publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of all classes of the stock of which is owned by one or more such Minority Individuals;

- (2) Whose management, policies, major decisions and daily business operations are independently managed and Controlled by one or more such Minority Individuals; and
- (3) Which has its principal place of business and a majority of its regular, full-time workforce located within the County's Marketplace.

Minority Individual means an individual in one of the following groups:

- (1) African-Americans or Blacks, which includes persons having origins in any of the Black racial groups of Africa;
- (2) Hispanic-Americans, which includes persons who are Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Caribbean, Dominican, Central or South American, regardless of race;
- (3) Native-Americans, which includes persons who are American Indians, Eskimos, Aleuts, or Native Hawaiians; or
- (4) Asian-Americans (persons whose origins are in any of the original peoples of the Far East Asia, Southeast Asia, the islands of the Pacific or the Northern Marianas, or the Indian Subcontinent); or
- (5) Other groups, including, but not limited to, Arab-Americans, found by the County to be socially disadvantaged by having suffered racial or ethnic prejudice or cultural bias within American society, without regard to individual qualities, resulting in decreased opportunities to compete in the County's Marketplace.

Owned means having all the customary incidents of ownership, including the right of disposition, and the sharing in all risks and profits commensurate with the degree of ownership interest.

Person or Persons means any natural person, corporation, partnership, Joint Venture, trust, association, limited liability company, sole proprietorship or other legal entity.

Personal Net Worth means the net value of the assets of an individual after total liabilities are deducted. An individual's personal net worth does not include the individual's ownership interest in an applicant or other Certified MBE or WBE, provided that the other Person is certified by a governmental agency that meets the County's eligibility criteria or the individual's equity in his or her primary place or residence. As to assets held jointly with a spouse, an individual's Personal Net Worth includes only that individual's share of such assets. An individual's Personal Net Worth also includes the present value of the individual's interest in ~~any vested pension plans~~, individual retirement accounts, or other retirement savings or investment programs less the tax and interest penalties that would be imposed if the asset were distributed at the present time, and shall not include future payments receivable from a pension or Social Security.

Program means the Minority- and Women-Owned Businesses Enterprise Program established in this division, and shall include the Public Works Participation Program.

Program Goals means the goals set forth in Section 34-267(a).

Protected Class Enterprise or *PCE* shall mean ~~a business meeting those Persons qualifying under the definitions of Minority Business Enterprise and Women's Business Enterprise as set forth contained in this section.~~

Public Works Participation Program means the program established pursuant to Subdivision II.

Regular Dealer means a Person that owns, operates, or maintains a store, warehouse, or other establishment in which the supplies, equipment, or goods (excluding software licenses) of the general character required for the Procurement are bought, kept in stock, and regularly sold or leased in the usual course of business. To be a Regular Dealer, the Person must be an established business that engages, as its principal business and under its own name, in the Procurement and sale or lease of the products in question. A Person may be a Regular Dealer in such bulk items as petroleum products, steel, cement, gravel, stone, or asphalt without owning, operating, or maintaining a place of business if the Person both owns and operates distribution equipment for the products. Any supplementing of such Person's distribution equipment shall be by a long-term lease agreement and not on an ad hoc or contract-by-contract basis. Packagers, manufacturer representatives, or other Persons who arrange or expedite transactions are not Regular Dealers.

Socially Disadvantaged means ~~an individual who has been~~ having been subjected to racial, ethnic or gender prejudice or cultural bias within American society because of ~~his or her~~ identity as a member of a group, and without regard to individual qualities, ~~Social disadvantage must~~ stemming from circumstances beyond the relevant person's individual's control. A Socially Disadvantaged natural person individual must be a citizen or lawfully admitted permanent resident of the United States.

Using Agency or User Agency means the departments or agencies within Cook County government, including Elected Officials.

Utilization Plan means the document, submitted to the County as part of a bid or proposal, in which one or more bidders or proposers commit to a level of PCE participation in the subject contract, identify the associated responsibilities and scope of work, and dollar value or the percentages of the work to be performed.

Woman means a person of the female gender.

Woman-owned Business Enterprise or *WBE* means a Local Small Business, including a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity:

- (1) Which is at least 51 percent Owned by one or more economically disadvantaged Women, or in the case of a publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of all classes of the stock of which is Owned by one or more such Women;
- (2) Whose management, policies, major decisions and daily business operations are independently managed and Controlled by one or more such Women; and
- (3) Which has its principal place of business and a majority of its regular, full-time work force located within the County's Marketplace.

Sec. 34-265. - Program administration.

(a) The Office of Contract Compliance, under the direction of the CCD, who shall report to the President, shall administer the Program. The duties of the CCD shall include:

- (1) Formulating, proposing and implementing rules and regulations for the development, implementation and monitoring of the Program, certification process, recertification process, and no-change affidavits, including time limitations for the submission of documents and information regarding certification applications and contract participation. The CCD is authorized to collect certification and recertification processing fees in the amount of \$250.00 per Application; the collection of said processing fees shall be transacted by the CCD through the Bureau of Finance.
- (2) Providing information and assistance to PCEs and Small Businesses relating to the Program, and serve as a liaison to community, contractor, professional and supplier groups, and associations and organizations.
- (3) Establishing uniform procedures and criteria for certifying, recertifying and decertifying Persons as PCEs, accepting certifications by other agencies, and maintaining a directory of Certified PCEs. Such procedures and criteria shall include non-certification or decertification for the willful submission of false or inaccurate material information, the failure to submit complete and accurate material information to the CCD regarding certification, or a Procurement on a timely basis, and shall relate individually and jointly to both PCEs and PCE owners.
- (4) Establishing Contract Specific Goals, in collaboration with the User Department Agency, based upon the availability of PCEs to provide the supplies, materials and equipment or services required by the Contract.

Sec. 34-267. - Program goals.

(a) The County aspires to the following annual Program Goals: A goal of 25 percent of the annual total dollar amount of Contracts other than Public Works Contracts to MBEs, and ten percent of the total dollar amount of such Contracts to WBEs. In addition, the County ~~sets an~~ aspires to annual “best efforts” goal of 35 percent PCE participation for the total professional services and consulting services utilized by the County.

(b) The CCD, following the compilation and stringent review of the most current data that is feasibly and practicably available relative to the availability of MBEs and WBEs who have the capacity to successfully supply the relevant goods and services, and in consultation with the CPO and the Using Agency, shall establish Contract Specific Goals for each Contract, which shall be incorporated into each bid and request for proposal. ~~In establishing a Contract Specific Goal, the CCD shall consider the availability of sufficient Certified MBEs and WBEs for the supplies, equipment, goods, or services and the CCD shall consider the availability of sufficient Certified PCEs for the services required as part of the Procurement~~

(c) No goal shall be treated as a quota nor shall it be used to discriminate against any Person on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion or sex.

Sec. 34-268. - Certification criteria and process.

(a) Only Persons that meet the criteria for certification as a PCE may participate in the Program. The applicant has the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence.

(b) The Person must be either an individual who is Socially and Economically Disadvantaged or _51 percent Owned by one or more individuals who are Socially and Economically Disadvantaged.

(1) The Ownership by a Socially and Economically Disadvantaged Person must be real, substantial, and continuing, going beyond pro forma ownership of the Person as reflected in Ownership documents. The owner(s) must enjoy the customary incidents of ownership and share in the risks and profits commensurate with that ownership interest.

(2) The contributions to acquire the Ownership interest must be real and substantial, direct, and in accord with generally accepted industry standards. If expertise is part of the contribution, the Expertise must be of the requisite quality generally recognized in a specialized field, necessary to the Person's potential success, specific to the type of work the Person performs and documented in the Person's records.

(f) The County shall certify the eligibility of Joint Ventures involving ~~MBEs or WBEs~~ PCEs and non-Certified Firms, provided that the Joint Venture meets the criteria for certification as an ~~MBE or WBE~~ PCE. To be considered an eligible Joint Venture, at least one partner of the Joint Venture must be a Certified Firm, with a share in the capital contribution, control, management, risks, and profits of the Joint Venture which is equal to its ownership interest. Each Certified Firm partner must contribute property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge and be responsible for a distinct, clearly defined portion of the work of the contract. Joint Ventures must have an agreement in writing specifying the terms and conditions of the relationships between the partners and their relationship and responsibility to the contract.

(g) In lieu of conducting its own certifications, the CCD by rule may accept formal certifications by other entities, provided that Cook County's requirements are met.

(h) The certification status of all ~~MBEs and WBEs~~ PCEs shall be reviewed periodically by the Office of Contract Compliance. Failure of the Person to seek recertification by filing the necessary documentation with the CCD as provided by rule may result in decertification.

(i) It is the responsibility of the Certified PCE to notify the CCD of any change in its circumstances affecting its continued eligibility for the Program. Failure to do so may result in the PCE's decertification.

(j) The CCD shall decertify a PCE that does not continuously meet the eligibility criteria.

(k) Disqualification pursuant to Division 4 of the Procurement Code shall create a prima facie case for decertification by the County. The challenged PCE shall have the burden of proving that its County certification should be maintained.

(l) Decertification by another agency shall create a prima facie case for decertification by the County. The challenged PCE shall have the burden of proving that its County certification should be maintained.

(m) PCEs shall submit to the CCD complete and accurate material information, and shall not submit to the CCD false, deceptive, fraudulent, or inaccurate material information, relative to (1) its status as a PCE; (2) certification or recertification; (3) proposed or actual contract participation; and (4) any other matter that arises during an investigation by the CCD or another County official, and shall be subject to the penalties set forth in Section 34-175 and 34-275 for a violation of this subsection.

~~(nn)~~ A Person that has been denied certification or recertification or has been decertified may protest the denial or decertification by submitting a petition for review in a proceeding made pursuant to Part I, Chapter 2, Article IX, Administrative Hearings, and the Administrative Rules promulgated thereunder.

~~(oo)~~ A Person found to be ineligible for certification or continuing certification for reasons other than those referenced in Section 34-275 may not apply for certification for one year after the effective date of the final decision.

~~(pp)~~ A third party may challenge the eligibility of an applicant for Certification or a Certified PCE pursuant to procedures established by the CCD. Such challenges shall be signed and sworn by the individual challenging the eligibility of an applicant for Certification or a Certified PCE. The burden of proof shall rest with the complainant. Such challenges to eligibility shall be subject to an appeal. The CCD shall be the final arbiter of all challenges. The presumption that the challenged PCE is eligible shall remain in effect until the CCD renders a final decision.

Sec. 34-269. - Utilization plan; commercially useful function.

(a) Utilization Plan. The CPO shall include in Contract Documents for Contracts covered by this Division a requirement that a Utilization Plan be submitted which either: (i) commits to PCE participation equal to or greater than the applicable ~~Program Goals or Contract Specific Goal or Goals~~, or (ii) requests a waiver of all or a portion of a ~~Program Goal or Contract Specific Goal~~.

- (1) The Utilization Plan shall be in such form and contain such information as is required by the CCD, and may include such components as direct PCE participation, indirect PCE participation, and a mentoring relationship with one or more PCEs.
- (2) The Utilization Plan shall be due at the time the bid ~~or~~ proposal is due. In the event a bid or proposal leads to a Contract with the County, the Utilization Plan as approved by the CCD shall be incorporated as a material commitment on the part of the Contractor to each relevant PCE and the contractor and the County. Failure to include a Utilization Plan shall ~~will~~ render the bid or proposal ~~submission~~ not Responsive.
- (3) The CCD shall review and either approve or reject the Utilization Plan. For purposes of ~~evaluation~~ evaluating a Utilization Plan, only PCEs which perform a Commercially Useful Function relative to the supplies, equipment, goods, services, or types of work for which the PCE has been certified shall be considered.

- (4) Once a Utilization Plan has been approved, the Contractor ~~may not~~ ~~cannot~~ ~~make~~ changes to the Utilization Plan, including substituting PCEs named in the Utilization Plan, without the prior written approval of the CCD, in consultation with the CPO and the Using Agency. Upon such written approval by the CCD, the revised Utilization Plan shall be incorporated into the Contract as an amendment by the CPO. The CCD shall promulgate policies and procedures with respect to ~~for~~ changes to a ~~the~~ Utilization Plan.

(b) Commercially Useful Function. To be considered in meeting Goals, a PCE must perform a Commercially Useful Function.

- (1) In the case of a Procurement of supplies, equipment, or goods, placing an order for delivery directly to the Using Agency is not a Commercially Useful Function; provided, however, that to the extent such practice is consistent with normal industry practices, a PCE subcontractor may enter into second tier subcontracts. However, if a PCE Contractor or subcontractor subcontracts a significantly greater portion of the work of the Contract than would be expected on the basis of normal industry practices, the PCE shall be presumed not to be performing a Commercially Useful Function.
- (2) In the case of a Procurement of services, a Person which subcontracts with another Person to perform the services required does not perform a Commercially Useful Function unless such Person also performs significant supervisory or management responsibilities. In the case of a Joint Venture partner, each Joint Venture partner must perform a Commercially Useful Function.
- (3) A PCE does not perform a Commercially Useful Function if its role is limited to that of an extra participant in the Contract through which funds are passed in order to obtain the appearance of PCE participation.
- (4) When a PCE is presumed not to be performing a Commercially Useful Function, the Certified PCE and the Person seeking to include that PCE in its Utilization Plan, may present evidence to rebut this presumption.

Sec. 34-270. - Methods to achieve goals and compliance.

A Person may achieve the applicable Contract Specific Goals in any one or more of the methods set forth below. The same PCE, whether as a contractor, subcontractor or supplier, may not ~~cannot~~ be utilized duplicatively as ~~both~~ an MBE and a WBE on the same Contract.

- (1) PCE as prime Contractor. An MBE or WBE may count its own participation toward the achievement of the applicable MBE or WBE goal. Such PCE will be required to meet the other goals by another method described herein. If a PCE is certified as a WBE and is also a MBE, such PCE's ~~WBE's~~ participation may count toward ~~either~~ the MBE or WBE Goal ~~but not both~~.
- (2) Joint Venture with one or more PCE. Where a Person engages in a Joint Venture with one or more PCEs, the Utilization Plan shall include a written agreement containing at least the

information set forth in this Subsection ~~34-384(i)1 through 4 [(2)a. through d.]~~ below. The CCD shall consider the following in determining whether the proposed Utilization Plan satisfies the Program Goals based upon such written Joint Venture agreement and the Utilization Plan.

- a. Each Joint Venture partner's initial capital investment;
 - b. The extent to which ~~the~~ each PCE's' proposed participation in the performance of the Contract constitutes a Commercially Useful Function;
 - c. Whether the relevant PCE's shares in the risks and profits of the Joint Venture is proportional to their ownership interest;
 - d. Whether the relevant PCE will have duties, responsibilities, management Control and risk with respect to the Joint Venture in proportion to its ownership interest;
- (3) Subcontracting. A Person may achieve the Contract Specific Goals by means of subcontracting with, or purchasing from one or more PCEs.

Sec. 34-271. - Request for a total or partial waiver; good faith efforts.

(a) Parties submitting bids or proposals for Procurements may in all instances request a partial or full waiver of one or more established contract-specific Goal for PCE participation. In reviewing a request for a partial or total request for waiver of a Contract Specific Goal, the CCD shall determine whether a Person has made good faith efforts to meet the applicable Goals and to what extent the waiver request should be granted. In determining whether a Person has made Good faith efforts, the CCD will consider whether the Person has ~~taken the following actions:~~

- (1) Reviewed lists of PCEs maintained by the County and other State and local governments and agencies to identify qualified PCEs for solicitation for Bids;
- (2) Divided Procurement requirements into small tasks or quantities. This shall include, where appropriate, breaking out Contract work items into economically feasible units, consistent with the availability of PCEs, to facilitate PCE participation, even when the Contractor would otherwise prefer to perform these work items with its own forces;
- (3) Adjusted any insurance requirements imposed by the Person seeking PCEs, or otherwise assist PCEs in obtaining any required insurance, where economically feasible, to encourage participation by PCEs;
- (4) ~~Make~~ Made timely attempts to contact PCEs providing the type of supplies, equipment, goods or services required for the Procurement; and provide them with a convenient and timely opportunity to obtain and review all information concerning the Procurement necessary to enable such PCE to respond;
- (5) Followed up initial contacts of PCEs to determine if they are interested participating in the Procurement;

- (6) Negotiated in good faith and on a timely basis with PCEs to enable them to participate in the Procurement. Evidence of such negotiation includes the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of PCEs that were contacted; a description of the information provided regarding the plans and specifications for the work selected for subcontracting; and why agreements could not be reached. The Contractor may not reject PCEs as being unqualified without sound reasons. That there may be some additional costs involved in finding and using PCEs is not in itself sufficient reason for a Contractor's failure to meet the Goals, as long as such costs are reasonable;
- (7) ~~Make~~ Made efforts to assist interested PCEs in obtaining necessary equipment, supplies, materials, or related assistance or services, where appropriate; provided, however, that such efforts shall not be inconsistent with the requirement that the PCE be responsible for actually obtaining and paying for such items;
- (8) Established delivery schedules which will encourage participation by PCEs, where the requirements of the Procurement permit;
- (9) Used the services and assistance of the CCD's staff, the Small Business Administration, the Office of Minority Business Enterprises of the U.S. Department of Commerce;
- (10) Timely ~~notify~~ notified appropriate community and minority and women's business organizations identified as assist agencies of the opportunity for participation in the Procurement;
- (11) Maintains or commits to establish and maintain a mentor-protégé agreement with one or more PCEs that is enforceable and that, in the judgment of the CCD, has performance standards and outcomes that are clearly established and effective in terms of assisting the PCE in acquiring additional skills, experience, and relationships helpful to the long-term success of the PCE, consistent with the provisions of Section 34-271(d). Demonstrate to the CCD that no PCE exists with which a mentor/protége relationship could be established, as described in Section 34-271.

(b) In determining whether a Bidder or Respondent has made Good Faith Efforts, the levels of participation by PCEs set forth in Utilization Plans submitted by other Persons for the same Procurement may be considered. For example, if the apparent successful Bidder or Respondent fails to meet the Contract Specific Goals, but meets or exceeds the average PCE participation obtained by other Bidders or Respondents, this may be evidence that the apparent successful Bidder or Respondent made Good Faith Efforts.

(c) Where the County requires professional services, the County must be able to call upon those professionals whose particular training and experience are most beneficial to the County.

- (1) A Utilization Plan shall be required, and if a waiver or partial waiver is requested, "good faith" efforts shall be demonstrated as set forth in Section 34-271; provided, however, that such Persons shall not be required to attempt to subcontract with PCEs if subcontractors would not typically be utilized for the type of Procurement. In such cases, the Person shall document the reasons for not subcontracting in a waiver request.

- (2) The Contractor will endeavor to maximize use of PCEs for supplies, equipment, goods or services for such Contractor's business operations not specifically for the Procurement.

- (3) If such Person is required to have or has an affirmative action plan and goals, such plan and goals shall be submitted with their Utilization Plan. The CCD shall compare such plan and goals with the Person's actual affirmative action achievements and such achievements may be considered by the County in future Procurements.

(d) Mentor/protégé agreements. Where a Contractor enters into or maintains a mentor/protege agreement with a PCE to improve or develop certain aspects of the business of the PCE, the CCD shall evaluate the effect of such agreement as a factor in determining good faith efforts. The mentor/protégé agreement may provide for the Contractor to assist the PCE in such areas as technical aspects of the PCE's business, improving financial management, or providing on-the-job training. To constitute good faith efforts, the mentor/protégé agreement shall satisfy the following requirements.

- (1) The PCE performs a Commercially Useful Function;
- (2) The agreement shall be included in the Utilization Plan; and
- (3) The agreement clearly defines the respective responsibilities of the Contractor and the PCE and includes specific, measurable goals to be attained by both parties through the performance of the agreement. In order to be a factor in establishing best efforts, the mentor/protégé agreement must be for a reasonable period of time.

(e) The CCD may grant a total or partial waiver based upon the following criteria:

- (1) There are not sufficient PCEs capable of providing the supplies, equipment, goods or services required for the Procurement;
- (2) The Procurement cannot reasonably be divided;
- (3) The price required by potential PCEs is more than ten percent above competitive levels; and
- (4) Any other factor relating to good faith efforts as set forth in the Person's Utilization Plan.

Sec. 34-272. - Calculating PCE participation.

(g) If a PCE ceases to be a certified for any other reason than graduation from the M/WBE Program during its performance on a contract Procurement, the dollar value of work performed under a contract with that firm Person after it has ceased to be cCertified shall not be counted for the remaining term of the Contract.

(h) Only the dollar amount actually paid to the PCE shall be counted toward the participation of a PCE.

Sec. 34-273. - Review of contract performance.

(a) Compliance with Utilization Plan. The CCD shall review the Contractor and PCE's compliance with its Utilization Plan as necessary during the performance of the Contract. The CCD may establish such requirements for periodic Contractor and PCE reporting on compliance with its Utilization Plan as the CCD determines appropriate and necessary. A Contractor and PCE shall be required to provide any additional requested compliance documentation within 14 days of request by the CCD.

- (1) If the CCD determines that the Contractor has failed in good faith to comply with ~~its~~ a Utilization Plan and has failed to provide a cure accepted by the CCD in his or her discretion, the CCD shall notify the CPO of such failure. The CPO shall then send notice of default to the Contractor, and the Contractor shall have such time to cure the default as is provided in the Contract. If no such period is provided, the Contractor shall have ten days to cure such default. For purposes of this section, providing a plan for bringing the Contract into compliance with the Utilization Plan may constitute a cure, if compliance cannot reasonably be achieved within the applicable cure period, and if compliance is achieved in accordance with such plan.
- (2) If a Contractor fails to provide any documentation required by the CCD, the CCD shall notify the CPO of such failure. The CPO shall then send notice of default to the Contractor, and the Contractor shall have such time to cure the default as is provided in the Contract. If no such period is provided, the Contractor shall have ten days to cure such default.

Sec. 34-275. - Sanctions and penalties.

(a) In addition to such penalties as are provided in Section 34-175 and Section 34-176, the following violations of this Section may result in an imposition of penalties as provided herein:

- (1) A PCE who violates Section 34-268(m) shall not be eligible for certification for a period of up to five years and shall be liable for a fine of \$2,500.00, and such sanctions shall apply jointly and individually to the PCE and the relevant PCE owner or owners.
- (2) The CCD may recommend to the County's Chief Procurement Officer that a Contractor, subcontractor, or PCE be disqualified from participation in a County contract for period of up to five years and contractually penalized in the manner and degree identified by the CCD, pursuant to the Procurement Code, for a violation of this Section.

(b) In the event a party wishes to pursue administrative review, under Section 34-178, of the CCD's decision or determination relative to the denial or revocation of certification, or the CCD's imposition of a penalty under Section 34-175, Section 34-176 or this Section, said party shall, within 60 days of the Final Notice issued by the CCD, seek administrative review by submitting a written petition to both the Department of Administrative Hearings and the CCD, which petition may be supported by information, documents, and arguments, provided that the information and documents so submitted were available to and in the possession of the CCD at the time of the Final Notice, and the administrative hearing process shall be

limited to such documents and information as were available to and in possession of the CCD at the time of such Final Notice. In such administrative review, the petitioning party shall have the burden of proving, by a preponderance of evidence, that CCD's decision or determination, as set forth in the Final Notice, is clearly erroneous.

Sec. 34-2756. - Reporting and review.

The CCD shall report to the Board on an annual basis with respect to the following:

- (1) The percentage of the total dollar amount of Procurements for such year actually received by PCEs;
- (2) The number of MBEs and WBEs available for participation in Procurements, by category;
- (3) An evaluation of the effectiveness of this division in ensuring equitable participation by PCEs in Procurements;
- (4) An assessment of the continuing need for the Program;
- (5) Identification of any enforcement problems; and
- (6) Any recommendations with respect to modifying or improving the Program, including discontinuing or modifying Program Goals in those cases where Minority Business Enterprises and Women's Business Enterprises no longer are disadvantaged by the effects of discrimination in their participation in Procurements.

Sec. 34-2767. - Prohibited provisions.

Any agreement between a Contractor and a MBE or WBE in which the Contractor requires that the MBE or WBE not provide subcontracting quotations to other Contractors is prohibited.

Sec. 34-278. Outreach and Training.

The CCD shall from time to time, by way of seminars, workshops, and internet-based communications, make available to the business community such information, documents, and personnel as well as:

- (a) Assist otherwise eligible businesses in applying for, gaining, and maintaining certification.
- (b) Assist the business community in understanding the manner in which to properly complete a Utilization Plan including how a full or partial waiver may be requested, and the manner in which such a request may be supported.
- (c) Identify best practices by other governmental entities and private sector firms that may improve the Program/.
- (d) Identify systemic or organizational problems and related solutions associated with certification and contract participation.

Secs. 34-279. - Program review and sunset.

(a) The President and the Board of Commissioners shall receive quarterly and annual reports from the CCD detailing the County's performance under the Program.

(b) The President and the Board of Commissioners will review these reports, including the Annual Participation Goals and the County's progress towards meeting those Goals and eliminating discrimination in its contracting activities and marketplace.

(c) Within five years after the effective date of this ordinance, the County will review the operation of the Program and the evidentiary basis for the Program in order to determine whether the County has a continuing compelling interest in remedying discrimination against MBEs and WBEs in its marketplace, and the permissible scope of any narrowly tailored remedies to redress discrimination against MBEs or WBEs so that the County will not function as a passive participant in a discriminatory marketplace.

(d) This subdivision shall sunset on or before June 30, 2021

Subdivision II. - Participation in Cook County Public Works Contracts

Sec. 34-285. - Short title; incorporation of provisions.

This Subdivision may be known and cited as the "Cook County Public Works Minority- and Women-Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance" and may be cited as such.

Sec. 34-286. - Preface and Findings.

(a) The findings set forth in Subdivision I, Section 34-261 of this Division 8 are incorporated herein by this reference;

(b) After the requirement in Subdivision I that Minority- and Women-Owned Businesses (M/WBEs) be allotted certain percentages of County construction contracts was ruled unconstitutional, the County witnessed a drastic reduction in M/WBE construction prime contract and subcontract participation;

(c) The President and the Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, after considering:

- (1) Evidence presented at trial in Builders Association of Greater Chicago v. City of Chicago, 298 F.Supp.2d 725 (N.D. Ill. 2003) and Northern Contracting, Inc. v. Illinois Department of Transportation, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19868 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 8, 2005);
- (2) County statistical evidence of continuing discrimination against Blacks, Hispanics, Asians and women in the County's Procurements;
- (3) The Report title, "Review of Compelling Evidence of Discrimination Against Minority- and Women-Owned Business Enterprise in the Chicago Area Construction Industry and Recommendations for Narrowly Tailored Remedies for Cook County, Illinois;" as well as;
- (4) Anecdotal evidence of discrimination against minorities and women in the County's Public Works Contracts; and

- (5) Receiving and considering written reports, adopts the following findings as a strong basis in evidence supporting a narrowly tailored, remedial affirmative action program in Public Works Contracts;

(d) The County seeks to provide a level playing field and equal access for all prime contractors and subcontractors to participate in Public Works Contracts;

(e) The County continues to be committed to implementing an affirmative action program associated with local small businesses owned by economically disadvantaged minorities and women in conformance with the United States Supreme Court's decision in *City of Richmond v. Croson* and other relevant law.

(f) In furtherance of this commitment, the Board and the President of the Board, supported by County staff, professionals, and consultants, conducted an investigation to determine whether and to what degree (1) there continues to be discrimination in County Procurements, and in the award of and participation in contracts in the metropolitan County economy; (2) such discrimination or the effects thereof has denied and continues to deny small and economically disadvantaged minority and women's business enterprises equal opportunity to participate in such Procurements and contracts; (3) small businesses owned and managed by economically disadvantaged minorities and women in the County Marketplace experience disparate negative impacts in terms of participating in public and private transactions, including but not limited to transactions with the County, those private enterprises with whom it does business, and other private enterprises; (4) small, economically disadvantaged businesses owned and managed by minorities and women in the County Marketplace experience reduced earnings; (5) the formation of businesses owned and managed by minorities and women in the County Marketplace is disproportionately low; (6) there continues to be a chronic lack of wealth, business loans, and financial liquidity among the County's minority communities and among minorities who own and operate their own small businesses; (7) the disproportionate levels of poverty among minorities in the County and any of the foregoing elements detrimentally affect the County's efforts to protect and promote public safety, health and welfare, and to identify the appropriate affirmative action steps to be taken to eliminate any such discrimination, obstacles, and poverty and their continuing effects.

(g) The County has ~~engaged in committee hearings~~ collected and analyzed data, conducted interviews with stakeholders, and otherwise conducted a study of its Program to determine whether and to what degree disparities exist relative to the participation of minority and woman-owned businesses in County and non-County contracts "Cook County, Illinois Disparity Study 2015" (the "NERA Disparity Study") on the levels of PCE participation in Public Works Contracts, and has considered the evidence in relevant case law; and

(h) The NERA Disparity Study ~~entails~~ made recommendations for an ~~revised~~ improved Minority- and Women-owned business program for construction contracting, emphasizing the establishment of Project-specific goals, implementation of race- and gender-neutral measures, and enhancements to data gathering, implementation and performance monitoring of the program;

(i) The County has a compelling interest in preventing discrimination and desires to reaffirm its commitment to full and fair opportunities for all firms to participate in its construction contracts.

Sec. 34-287. - Policy and purpose.

It is hereby found, determined and declared that the purpose of this Ordinance is to ensure the full and equitable participation of local small businesses owned by economically disadvantaged ~~Minority-ies~~ and ~~Women-Owned Business Enterprises~~ in the County's procurement process as both prime and subcontractors in the County's Public Works contracts. The County is committed to a policy of preventing discrimination in the award of or participation in Public Works contracts and has recommended appropriate narrowly tailored remedies to eliminate any such discrimination.

Sec. 34-290. - Definitions.

The following terms shall have the following meanings:

Affiliate. An "Affiliate" of or a Person "Affiliated" with, a specified Person shall mean any Person that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, Controls, is Controlled by, or is under common Control with, the Person Specified. Affiliates shall be considered together in determining whether a firm is a small business.

Annual Participation Goals means the targeted levels established by the County for the annual aggregate participation of MBEs and WBEs in County construction contracts.

Applicant means a person who submits documents and information seeking certification, continued certification, or re-certification as an MBE, or WBE, ~~VBE, or SDVBE~~ to the Office of Contract Compliance.

Broker means a Person who or which neither manufactures the supplies, equipment or goods supplied nor owns or operates a store, warehouse or other establishment (and related distribution equipment) in which it maintains, consistent with industry standards, an inventory of the supplies, equipment or goods required for performance of the Contract for sale in the normal course of business. A Broker provides no substantial service other than acting as a conduit between his or her supplier and his or her customer.

Business means a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity.

~~Certified or certification means the granting of Minority Business Enterprise, or Women's Business Enterprise status to an Applicant.~~

Certified firm means a firm that has been accepted by the County as a certified MBE or WBE.

Contract means any Procurement or Contract (as defined in Section 34-121) in an amount exceeding \$25,000.00.

Contractor means any Business that seeks to enter into a construction contract with the County, other than for professional services, and includes all partners and Affiliates Business.

Commercially Useful Function means responsibility for the execution of a distinct element of the work of the contract, which is carried out by actually performing, managing, and supervising the work involved, or fulfilling such responsibilities as a Joint Venture partner.

Compliance Contract Director or "CCD" means the Contract Compliance Director.

County means the County of Cook and its participating User ~~Departments~~ Agencies.

~~Contract means any Procurement or Contract (as defined in Section 34-121) in an amount exceeding \$25,000.00.~~

Contract Specific Goals means the goals established under Section 34-267(b) that are based upon relevant factors, including, but not limited to, the availability of MBEs or WBEs relative to the scope of work of the Project.

County's Marketplace means the six-county region, currently the counties of Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will.

~~*Doing Business* means having a physical location from which to engage in for-profit activities in the scope(s) of expertise of the Business.~~

Economically Disadvantaged means, with respect to an individual, having ~~an individual with~~ a Personal Net Worth less than \$2,000,000.00, indexed annually for the Chicago Metro Area Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Standards, beginning January 2008.

Expertise means demonstrated skills, knowledge or ability to perform in the field of endeavor in which certification is sought by the Business, as defined by normal industry practices, including licensure where required.

~~*Good Faith Efforts* means actions undertaken by a Contractor pursuant to Section 34-271 to achieve an MBE or WBE goal, which, by their scope, intensity, and appropriateness to the objective, can reasonably be expected to fulfill the Program's goals.~~

Joint Venture means an association of two or more Businesses proposing to perform a for-profit business enterprise. Joint Ventures must have an agreement in writing specifying the terms and conditions of the relationships between the partners and their relationship and responsibility to the contract.

Local Business means a Business located within the County's Marketplace which has the majority of its regular, full-time work force located within the County's Marketplace.

Local Small Business means a Local Business which is also a Small Business.

Manufacturer means a firm that operates or maintains a factory or establishment that produces, on the premises, the materials, supplies, articles, or equipment required under the contract and of the general character described by the specifications.

Minority Business Enterprise or MBE means a Local Small Business, including a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity:

- (1) Which is at least 51 percent owned by one or more Minority Individuals who are economically disadvantaged, or in the case of a publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of all classes of the stock of which is owned by one or more such Minority Individuals;
- (2) Whose management, policies, major decisions and daily business operations are independently managed and Controlled by one or more such Minority Individuals; and
- (3) Which has its principal place of business and a majority of its regular, full-time workforce located within the County's Marketplace.

Minority Individual means an individual in one of the following groups:

- (1) African-Americans or Blacks, which includes persons having origins in any of the Black racial groups of Africa;
- (2) Hispanic-Americans, which includes persons who are Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Caribbean, Dominican, Central or South American, regardless of race;
- (3) Native-Americans, which includes persons who are American Indians, Eskimos, Aleuts, or Native Hawaiians;
- (4) Asian-Americans (persons whose origins are in any of the original peoples of the Far East Asia, Southeast Asia, the islands of the Pacific or the Northern Marianas, or the Indian Subcontinent); or
- (5) Other groups, including, but not limited to, Arab-Americans, found by the County to be socially disadvantaged by having suffered racial or ethnic prejudice or cultural bias within American society, without regard to individual qualities, resulting in decreased opportunities to compete in the County's Marketplace.

Owned means having all of the customary incidents of ownership, including the right of disposition, and the sharing in all of the risks profits, and responsibilities ~~and profits~~ commensurate with the degree of ownership interest.

Personal Net Worth means the net value of the assets of an individual after total liabilities are deducted. An individual's personal net worth does not include the individual's ownership interest in an applicant or other Certified MBE or WBE, provided that the other Person is certified by a governmental agency that meets the County's eligibility criteria or the individual's equity in his or her primary place or residence. As to assets held jointly with a spouse, an individual's Personal Net Worth includes only that individual's share of such assets. An individual's Personal Net Worth also includes the present value of the individual's interest in, individual retirement accounts, or other retirement savings or investment programs less the tax and interest penalties that would be imposed if the asset were distributed at the present time, and shall not include future payments receivable from a pension or Social Security.

Program means the means the Minority- and Women-Owned Businesses Enterprise Program established in subdivision I. ~~Program established by the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Interim Ordinance.~~

Project Specific Goals means the Goals (as set forth in Section 34-295) established for a particular project or contract based upon the availability of MBEs or WBEs in the scopes of work of the Project.

Protected Class Enterprise or PCE shall mean an business meeting the definitions of Minority Business Enterprise and Women's Business Enterprise as set forth in this section.

Public Works means all fixed works constructed or demolished by the County, or paid for wholly or in part out of public funds administered by the County, or funds or financing derived from assets owned or controlled by the County. "Public Works" as defined herein includes all projects financed in whole or in part with bonds, grants, loans, or other funds made available by or through federal or State government, or the County. "Public Works" does not include projects undertaken by the owner at an owner-occupied single-family residence or at an owner-occupied unit of a multifamily residence. "Public Works" includes any maintenance, repair, assembly, or disassembly work performed on equipment whether owned, leased, or rented.

Regular Dealer means a ~~firm~~ Person that owns, operates, or maintains a store, warehouse, or other establishment in which the materials, supplies, articles or equipment of the general character described by the specifications and required under the contract are bought, kept in stock, and regularly sold or leased to the public in the usual course of business. To be a Regular Dealer, the ~~firm~~ Person must be an established, regular Business that engages, as its principal business and under its own name, in the purchase and sale or lease of the products in question. A ~~firm~~ Person may be a Regular Dealer in such bulk items as petroleum products, steel, cement, gravel, stone, or asphalt without owning, operating, or maintaining a place of business if the firm both owns and operates distribution equipment for the products. Any supplementing of a ~~Regular Dealer's~~ Person's distribution equipment shall be by a long-term lease agreement and not on an ad hoc or contract-by-contract basis. Packagers, manufacture representatives, or other persons who arrange or expedite transactions are not Regular Dealers.

Socially Disadvantaged means ~~a Minority Individual or Woman who has been~~ having been subjected to racial, ethnic or gender prejudice or cultural bias within American society because of his or her identity as a member of a group, and without regard to individual qualities, ~~Social disadvantage must~~ stemming from circumstances beyond the relevant person's ~~individual's~~ control. A Socially Disadvantaged natural person ~~individual~~ must be a citizen or lawfully admitted permanent resident of the United States.

Using Agency or User Agency means the departments or agencies within Cook County government, including Elected Officials.

~~*User Department* means the department of the County or elected official responsible for initiating the procurement process.~~

Utilization Plan means the document, submitted to the County as part of a bid or proposal, in which one or more bidders or proposers commit to a level of PCE participation in the subject contract, identify the associated responsibilities and list of MBEs and WBEs that the Bidder/Proposer commits will be utilized, the scopes of the work, and the dollar values or the percentages of the work to be performed.

Woman means a person of the female gender.

Woman-owned Business Enterprise or WBE means a Local Small Business, including a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity:

- (1) Which is at least 51 percent Owned by one or more economically disadvantaged Women, or in the case of a publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of all classes of the stock of which is Owned by one or more such Women;
- (2) Whose management, policies, major decisions and daily business operations are independently managed and Controlled by one or more such Women; and
- (3) Which has its principal place of business and a majority of its regular, full-time work force located within the County's Marketplace.

Sec. 34-291. - Program administration.

(a) The CCD, who shall report to the President of the Board of Commissioners of Cook County, shall administer the Program, and whose duties shall include:

- (1) Formulating, proposing and implementing rules and regulations for the development, implementation and monitoring of the Program, certification process, recertification process, and no-change affidavits, including time limitations for the submission of documents and information regarding certification applications and contract participation. The CCD is authorized to collect certification and recertification processing fees in the amount of \$250.00 per Application; the collection of said processing fees shall be transacted by the CCD through the Bureau of Finance.
- (2) Providing information and assistance to MBEs and WBEs relating to County procurement practices and procedures, and bid specifications, requirements, goals and prerequisites.
- (3) Establishing uniform procedures and criteria for certifying, recertifying and decertifying Businesses as MBEs and WBEs, accepting certifications by other agencies, and maintaining a directory of Certified Firms. Such procedures and criteria shall include non-certification or decertification the willful submission of false or inaccurate material information, the failure to submit complete and accurate material information to the CCD regarding certification, or a Procurement on a timely basis, and shall relate individually and jointly to both PCEs and PCE owners.
- (4) Establishing Project Specific Goals, in collaboration with the User Agency ~~Department~~, based upon the availability of PCEs to provide the supplies, materials and equipment or services required by the Contract.
- (5) Evaluating Contractors' achievement of Project Specific Goals and/or Good Faith Efforts to meet Project Specific Goals.
- (6) Working with User ~~Departments~~ Agencies to monitor contracts to ensure prompt payments to MBEs and WBEs and compliance with Project Specific Goals and commitments, including gathering data to facilitate such monitoring.

- (7) Receiving, reviewing, and acting upon complaints and suggestions concerning the Program.
- (8) Collecting data to evaluate the Program and other County contracting initiatives.

- (9) Monitoring the Program and the County's progress towards the Annual Participation Goals. The CCD shall report on a quarterly and annual basis to the President on the administration and operations of the Program.

(b) The User ~~Departments~~ Agencies that receive appropriate delegation for project management, contract management, and/or construction and/or design contract responsibility shall have the following duties and responsibilities with regard to the Program:

Sec. 34-293. - Certification criteria and process.

(a) Only Businesses that meet the criteria for certification as an MBE or WBE may participate in the Program. The applicant has the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence.

(b) Only a firm owned by a Socially and Economically Disadvantaged person(s) may be certified as an MBE or WBE.

- (1) The firm's ownership by a Socially and Economically Disadvantaged person must be real, substantial, and continuing, going beyond pro forma ownership of the firm as reflected in ownership documents. The owner(s) must enjoy the customary incidents of ownership and share in the risks and profits commensurate with that ownership interest.
- (2) The contributions of capital or Expertise by the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) to acquire the ownership interest must be real and substantial, direct and in accord with generally accepted industry standards. If Expertise is relied upon as part of a Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner's contribution to acquire ownership, the Expertise must be of the requisite quality generally recognized in a specialized field, in areas critical to the firm's operations, indispensable to the firm's potential success, specific to the type of work the firm performs and documented in the firm's records. The individual whose Expertise is relied upon must have a commensurate financial investment in the firm.

(f) The County shall certify the eligibility of Joint Ventures involving ~~MBEs or WBEs~~ PCEs and non-Certified Firms, provided that the Joint Venture meets the criteria for certification as an ~~MBEs or WBEs~~ PCEs. To be considered an eligible Joint Venture, at least one partner of the Joint Venture must be a Certified Firm, with a share in the capital contribution, control, management, risks, and profits of the Joint Venture which is equal to its ownership interest. Each Certified Firm partner must contribute property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge and be responsible for a distinct, clearly defined portion of the work of the contract. Joint Ventures must have an agreement in writing specifying the terms and conditions of the relationships between the partners and their relationship and responsibility to the contract.

(g) In lieu of conducting its own certifications, the CCD by rule may accept formal certifications by other entities as meeting the requirements of the Program, if the CCD determines that the certification standards of such entities are comparable to those of the County.

(h) The certification status of all ~~MBEs and WBEs~~ PCEs shall be reviewed periodically by the Office of Contract Compliance. Failure of the firm to seek recertification by filing the necessary documentation with the CCD as provided by rule may result in decertification.

(i) It is the responsibility of the Certified Firm to notify the CCD of any change in its circumstances affecting its continued eligibility for the Program, including decertification by another agency. Failure to do so may result in the firm's decertification.

(j) The CCD shall decertify a firm that does not continuously meet the eligibility criteria.

(k) Disqualification pursuant to Division 4 of the Procurement Code shall create a prima facie case for decertification by the County. The challenged PCE shall have the burden of proving that its County certification should be maintained.

(l) Decertification by another agency shall create a prima facie case for decertification by the County. The challenged firm shall have the burden of proving that its County certification should be maintained.

(m) PCEs shall submit to the CCD complete and accurate material information, and shall not submit to the CCD false, deceptive, fraudulent, or inaccurate material information, relative to (1) its status as a PCE; (2) certification or recertification; (3) proposed or actual contract participation; and (4) any other matter that arises during an investigation by the CCD or another County official, and shall be subject to the penalties set forth in Section 34-175 and 34-299 for a violation of this subsection.

~~(nn)~~ A firm that has been denied certification or recertification or has been decertified may protest the denial or decertification by submitting a petition for review in a proceeding made pursuant to Part I, Chapter 2, Article IX, Administrative Hearings, and the Administrative Rules promulgated thereunder.

~~(oo)~~ A firm found to be ineligible for certification or continuing certification for reasons other than those referenced in Section 34-299 may not apply for certification for one year after the effective date of the final decision.

~~(pp)~~ A third party may challenge the eligibility of an applicant for certification or a Certified Firm as provided by rule. Such challenges shall be signed and sworn by the individual challenging the eligibility of an applicant for certification or a certified firm. The burden of proof shall rest with the complainant. Such challenges to eligibility shall be subject to an appeal. The CC Director shall be the final arbiter of all challenges. The presumption that the challenged firm is eligible shall remain in effect until the CCD renders a final decision.

Sec. 34-294. - Annual aspirational goals.

The ~~Annual Aspirational~~ Goals for the utilization of MBEs and WBEs on County Public Works contracts and subcontracts shall be 24 percent for MBEs and ten percent for WBEs.

Sec. 34-295. - Project specific goals.

The CCD, following the compilation and stringent review of the most current data that is feasibly and practicably available relative to the availability of MBEs and WBEs who have the capacity to successfully supply the relevant goods and services, and in consultation with the User Department Agency, shall establish Project Specific Goals for construction, which shall be incorporated into each bid and RFP. Contracts based upon the availability of at least three MBEs and three WBEs to perform the anticipated subcontracting functions of the project and the County's utilization of MBEs and WBEs to date.

Sec. 34-296. - Counting MBE and WBE participation.

(h) If a PCE ceases to be cCertified Firm for any other reason than graduation from the M/WBE Construction Program during its performance on a contract, the dollar value of work performed under a contract with that firm after it has ceased to be certified shall not be counted for the remaining term of the Contract.

(i) In determining achievement of Project Specific Goals, the participation of an MBE or WBE shall not be counted until that amount has been paid to the MBE or WBE.

Sec. 34-297. - Contract pre-award compliance procedures.

(a) For all solicitations, the bidder/proposer shall submit a Utilization Plan detailing all subcontractors from which the Contractor solicited bids or quotations, and if Project Specific Goals have been established, its achievement of the Goals or its Good Faith Efforts to do so. The Utilization Plan shall be due at the time the bid/proposal is due. In the event a bid or proposal leads to a Contract with the County, the Utilization Plan as approved by the CCD shall be incorporated as a material commitment on the part of the Contractor to each relevant PCE, and the contractor and the County. Failure to include a Utilization Plan shall render the bid or proposal not Responsive.

(b) Any agreement between a Contractor and an MBE or WBE in which the Contractor requires that the MBE or WBE not provide subcontracting quotations to other Contractors is prohibited.

(c) Where the Contractor cannot achieve the Project Specific Goal(s), the CCD will determine whether the Contractor has made Good Faith Efforts to meet the Goal(s). Parties submitting bids or proposals for Procurements may in all instances request a partial or full waiver of one or more established Project Specific Goal for PCE participation. In making this determination, the Director will consider, at a minimum, whether the Contractor's efforts to ~~has:~~

- (1) Solicited through all reasonable and available means (e.g., attendance at pre-bid meetings, advertising and written notices) the interest of all MBEs and WBEs certified in the scopes of work of the contract. The Contractor shall provide interested MBEs and WBEs with timely, adequate information about the plans, specifications, and requirements of the contract to allow MBEs and WBEs to respond to the solicitation. The Contractor must follow up initial solicitations with interested MBEs and WBEs.

- (2) Selected portions of the work to be performed by MBEs and WBEs in order to increase the likelihood that the Project Specific Goals will be achieved. This includes, where appropriate, breaking out contract work items into economically feasible units to facilitate MBE and WBE participation, even when the Contractor would otherwise prefer to perform these work items with its own forces. It is the Contractor's responsibility to make a portion of the work available to MBEs and WBEs and to select those portions of the work or material needs consistent with the availability of MBEs and WBEs to facilitate their participation.
- (3) Negotiated in good faith with interested MBEs and WBEs. Evidence of such negotiation includes the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of MBEs and WBEs that were contacted; a description of the information provided regarding the plans and specifications for the work selected for subcontracting; and why agreements could not be reached with MBEs and WBEs. The Contractor may not reject MBEs and WBEs as being unqualified without sound reasons based on a thorough investigation of their capabilities. That there may be some additional costs involved in finding and using MBEs and WBEs is not in itself sufficient reason for a Contractor's failure to meet the Project Specific Goals, as long as such costs are reasonable. The ability or desire of a Contractor to perform the work of a contract with its own organization does not relieve it of the responsibility to make Good Faith Efforts on all scopes of work that could be subcontracted.
- (4) Make efforts to assist interested MBEs and WBEs in obtaining bonding, lines of credit, or insurance as required by the County or the prime Contractor, where appropriate.
- (5) Make efforts to assist interested MBEs and WBEs in obtaining necessary equipment, supplies, materials, or related assistance or services, where appropriate.
- (6) Use the services of the Office of Contract Compliance, available minority/women community organizations, minority/women contractors' groups, government-sponsored minority/women business assistance offices and other appropriate organizations to provide assistance in the recruitment and placement of MBEs and WBEs.
- (7) Maintains or commits to establish and maintain a mentor-protégé agreement with one or more PCEs that is enforceable and that, in the judgement of the CCD, has performance standards and outcomes that are clearly established and effective in terms of assisting the PCE in acquiring additional skills, experience, and relationships helpful to the long-term success of the PCE consistent with the provisions of Section 34-271(d).

(g) If the CCD determines that the Utilization Plan demonstrates that the Project Specific Goals have been achieved or Good Faith Efforts made, with the concurrence of the User ~~Department~~ Agency, the CCD and User ~~Department~~ Agency shall recommend award to Purchasing Agent.

Sec. 34-298. - Contract administration procedures.

(a) Upon award of a contract by the County that includes Project Specific Goals, the Project Specific Goals become covenants of performance by the Contractors and incorporated in the contract.

(b) The Contractor shall provide a listing of all subcontractors to be used in the performance of the contract, and detailed subcontractor information to the County with each request for payment submitted to the County or as otherwise directed by the County. The CCD and the User ~~Department~~ Agency shall monitor subcontractor participation during the course of the contract. The County shall have full and timely access to the Contractor's books and records, including, without limitation, payroll records, tax returns and records and books of account, to determine the Contractor's compliance with its commitment to MBE and WBE participation and the status of any MBE or WBE performing any portion of the contract. This provision shall be in addition to, and not a substitute for, any other provision allowing inspection of the Contractor's records by any officer or official of the County for any purpose.

(c) The Contractor cannot make changes to the Utilization Plan or substitute MBEs or WBEs named in the Utilization Plan without the prior written approval of the CCD, in consultation with the Purchasing Agent CPO and the User ~~Department~~ Agency. Unauthorized changes or substitutions shall be a violation of this subdivision and a breach of contract, and may constitute grounds for rejection of the bid or proposal or cause termination of the executed contract for breach, the withholding of payment and/or subject the Contractor to contract penalties or other sanctions. Upon such written approval by the CCD, the revised Utilization Plan shall be incorporated into the Contract as an amendment by the CPO. The CCD shall promulgate policies and procedures with respect to changes to a Utilization Plan.

- (1) All requests for changes or substitutions of an MBE or WBE Subcontractor(s) named in the Utilization Plan shall be made to the CCD, ~~Purchasing Agent CPO~~ and the User ~~Department~~ Agency in writing, and shall clearly and fully set forth the basis for the request. A Contractor shall not substitute an MBE or WBE subcontractor or perform the work designated for an MBE or WBE subcontractor with its own forces unless and until the CCD, in consultation with the CPO ~~Purchasing Agent~~ in consultation with and the User ~~Department~~ Agency, approves such substitution in writing. A Contractor shall not allow a substituted subcontractor to begin work until the CCD Director, ~~Purchasing Agent CPO~~ and the User ~~Department~~ Agency have approved the substitution.

(e) Changes to the scopes of work shall be documented by the User ~~Agency~~ Department at the time they arise to establish the reasons for the change and the effect on achievement of the MBE or WBE goal.

Sec. 34-299. - Sanctions and penalties.

(a) In addition to such penalties as are provided in Section 34-175 and Section 34-176, the following violations of this subdivision Section may result in an imposition of penalties breach of contract as provided herein:

- (1) A PCE who violates Section 34-293(m) shall not be eligible for certification for a period of up to five years and shall be liable for a fine of \$2,500.00, and such sanctions shall apply jointly and individually to the PCE and the relevant PCE owner or owners.
- (2) The CCD may recommend to the County's Chief Procurement Officer that a Contractor, subcontractor, or PCE be disqualified from participation in a County contract for period of

up to five years and contractually penalized in the manner and degree identified by the CCD, pursuant to the Procurement Code, for a violation of this Section.

~~(1) Providing false or misleading information to the County in connection with submission of a bid, responses to requests for qualifications or proposals, Good Faith Efforts documentation, post award compliance, or other Program operations.~~

~~(2) Committing any other violations of this subdivision.~~

~~(b) A Contractor, or subcontractor is subject to withholding of payments under the contract, termination of the contract for breach, contract penalties, or being barred or deemed nonresponsive in future County solicitations and contracts as determined by the County's Purchasing Agent, if it is found to have:~~

~~(1) Provided false or misleading information in connection with an application for certification or recertification or colluded with others to do so;~~

~~(2) Provided false or misleading information in connection with the submission of a bid or proposal or documentation of Good Faith Efforts, post award compliance, or other Program operations or colluded with others to do so;~~

~~(3) Failed in bad faith to fulfill Project Specific Goals, thereby materially breaching the contract; or~~

~~(4) Failed to comply in good faith with substantive provisions of this subdivision.~~

(b) In the event a party wishes to pursue administrative review, under Section 34-178, of the CCD's decision or determination relative to the denial or revocation of certification, or the CCD's imposition of a penalty under Section 34-175, Section 34-176 or this Section, said party shall, within 60 days of the Final Notice issued by the CCD, seek administrative review by submitting a written petition to both the Department of Administrative Hearings and the CCD, which petition may be supported by information, documents, and arguments, provided that the information and documents so submitted were available to and in the possession of the CCD at the time of the Final Notice, and the administrative hearing process shall be limited to such documents and information as were available to and in possession of the CCD at the time of such Final Notice. In such administrative review, the petitioning party shall have the burden of proving, by a preponderance of evidence, that CCD's decision or determination, as set forth in the Final Notice, is clearly erroneous.

Sec. 34-300. Outreach and Training.

The CCD shall from time to time, by way of seminars, workshops, and internet-based communications, make available to the business community such information, documents, and personnel as well as:

(a) Assist otherwise eligible businesses in applying for, gaining, and maintaining certification.

(b) Assist the business community in understanding the manner in which to properly complete a Utilization Plan including how a full or partial waiver may be requested, and the manner in which such a request may be supported.

(c)Identify best practices by other governmental entities and private sector firms that may improve the Program.

(d)Identify systemic or organizational problems and related solutions associated with certification and contract participation.

Sec. 34-3001. - Program review and sunset.

(a) The President and the Board of Commissioners shall receive quarterly and annual reports from the CCD detailing the County's performance under the Program.

(b) The President and the Board of Commissioners will review these reports, including the Annual Participation Goals and the County's progress towards meeting those Goals and eliminating discrimination in its contracting activities and marketplace.

(c) Within five years after the effective date of this ordinance, the County will review the operation of the Program and the evidentiary basis for the Program in order to determine whether the County has a continuing compelling interest in remedying discrimination against MBEs and WBEs in its construction marketplace, and the permissible scope of any narrowly tailored remedies to redress discrimination against MBEs or WBEs so that the County will not function as a passive participant in a discriminatory marketplace.

(d) This subdivision shall sunset on or before June 30, ~~2021~~2016.

Effective date: This Ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

16-3598
ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT
AND ROBERT B. STEELE, COUNTY COMMISSIONER

MINORITY AND WOMAN-OWNED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 34 Finance, Article IV Procurement Code, Division 8 Minority and Women-Owned Business Enterprises, various sections within Subdivisions I and II of the Cook County Code are hereby amended as follows:

DIVISION 8. - MINORITY- AND WOMAN-OWNED BUSINESS ENTERPRISES

Subdivision I. - General Provisions

Sec. 34-260. - Short title.

This Subdivision shall be known and may be cited as the Cook County Minority- and Women-Owned Business Enterprise General Ordinance. This Subdivision is applicable to all Contracts, except Public Works Contracts which are governed by Subdivision II of this Division 8.

Sec. 34-261. - Preface and Findings.

(a) The County has heretofore adopted a Minority and Woman-Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance to ensure that small businesses owned by economically disadvantaged minorities ~~and women's businesses~~ are provided full and equal opportunity to participate in Contracts.

(b) The Supreme Court of the United States in *City of Richmond v. Croson*, 488 U.S. 469 (1989), has enunciated certain standards which are necessary to maintain effective affirmative action programs in compliance with constitutional requirements.

(c) The County continues to be ~~is~~ committed to implementing an affirmative action program associated with small businesses owned by economically disadvantaged minorities and women in conformance with the United States Supreme Court's decision in *City of Richmond v. Croson* and other relevant law.

(d) In furtherance of this commitment, the Board and the President of the Board, supported by County staff , professionals, and consultants, conducted an investigation to determine whether and to what degree (1) there continues to be discrimination in County Procurements and in the award of and participation in contracts in the metropolitan County economy; (2) such discrimination or the effects thereof has denied and continues to deny small and economically disadvantaged minority and women's business enterprises equal opportunity to participate in such Procurements and contracts; (3) small businesses owned and managed by economically disadvantaged minorities and women in the County Marketplace experience disparate negative impacts in terms of participating in public and private transactions, including but not limited to

transactions with the County, those private enterprises with whom it does business, and other private enterprises; (4) small, economically disadvantaged businesses owned and managed by minorities and women in the County Marketplace experience reduced earnings; (5) the formation of businesses owned and managed by minorities and women in the County Marketplace is disproportionately low; (6) there continues to be a chronic lack of wealth, business loans, and financial liquidity among the County's minority communities and among minorities who own and operate their own small businesses; (7) the disproportionate levels of poverty among minorities in the County and any of the foregoing elements detrimentally affect the County's efforts to protect and promote public safety, health and welfare, and to identify the appropriate affirmative action steps to be taken to eliminate any such discrimination, obstacles, and poverty and their continuing effects.

(e) Minority and women small businesses continue to be awarded prime contracts and subcontracts in dollar amounts that are disproportionately lower than the availability of such businesses willing and able to perform Contracts.

(f) The County's procurement practices in the past have contributed to the above identified underutilization of minority, and women small businesses on contracts and subcontracts.

(g) Minority and women's; small businesses continue to be disadvantaged by discriminatory practices in the local construction industry and economy when competing for contracts and in seeking subcontracting opportunities on such contracts.

(h) The County was a passive participant in the discriminatory practices of businesses which discriminate against minority, women businesses by entering into contracts with such discriminating businesses.

(i) Despite the County's ~~its~~ good faith efforts and implementation of previous affirmative action programs, minority and women small businesses remain at a competitive disadvantage in competing for contracts and subcontracts.

(j) Race and gender neutral measures or affirmative action programs without numerical goals have not and are not likely to eliminate the competitive disadvantage of minority and women small businesses in participating in contracts due to discrimination in the local economy.

(k) The numerical goals for the participation of minority and women's businesses in Contracts are commensurate with the availability of minority and women's businesses willing and able to perform County work.

(l) The reduction of such discrimination in the local economy will help reduce the disproportionate levels of poverty among minorities in the County and positively affect the County's efforts to protect and promote public safety, health, and welfare.

Sec. 34-262. - Policy and purpose.

Based on the foregoing findings, the policy and purpose of this division are as follows:

(a) It is the continuing public policy of the County to strive to achieve the full and equitable participation of local small businesses owned by economically disadvantaged minorities and women in the County's procurement process as both prime contractors and subcontractors.

(b) The County is committed to a policy of preventing and reducing discrimination in making Procurements, and eliminating arbitrary barriers to participation in Procurements by all persons, regardless of race, sex, or ethnicity.

(c) To offset the effects of negative disparate impacts on small businesses owned and managed by economically disadvantaged minorities and women with respect to public and private transactions; reduced earnings among County-based small businesses owned by minorities and women; reduced business formation among County-based minorities and women; a chronic lack of wealth and financial liquidity among the County's minority communities; the obstacles and hindrances in obtaining business loans faced by County-based small businesses owned by minorities and women; the underutilization of regionally-based small businesses owned by minorities and women in public and private-sector business; and the grossly disproportionate levels of poverty among minorities in the County, the purpose of this Division is to establish and implement goals for participation of PCEs in Procurements, in compliance with all applicable laws.

Sec. 34-263. - Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this Division, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this Section, Terms not defined in this Section are defined in Division 1 of this Procurement Code, or in Section 1-3 of the County Code. Additional terms applicable to Subdivision II are set forth in such subdivision.

Affiliate. An "Affiliate" of or a Person "Affiliated" with, a specified Person shall mean any Person that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, Controls, is Controlled by, or is under common Control with, the Person Specified. Affiliates shall be considered together in determining whether a firm is a small business.

Applicant means a person who submits documents and information seeking certification, continued certification, or re-certification as an MBE or WBE to the Office of Contract Compliance.

Broker means a Person who or which neither manufactures the supplies, equipment or goods supplied nor owns or operates a store, warehouse or other establishment (and related distribution equipment) in which it maintains, consistent with industry standards, an inventory of the supplies, equipment or goods required for performance of the Contract for sale in the normal course of business. A Broker provides no substantial service other than acting as a conduit between his or her supplier and his or her customer.

Business means a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity.

Certified firm means a firm that has been accepted by the County as a certified MBE or WBE.

Commercially Useful Function means the performance of a distinct element of work required for the Procurement, with the requisite skill and expertise.

Compliance Contract Director or "CCD" means the Contract Compliance Director.

Contract means any Procurement or Contract (as defined in Section 34-121) in an amount exceeding \$25,000.00.

Contractor means any business that seeks to enter into a contract with the County, and includes all partners and Affiliates Business.

Contract Specific Goals means the goals established under Section 34-267(b) that are based upon relevant factors, including, but not limited to, the availability of MBEs or WBEs relative to the scopes of work of the Project.

County Marketplace means the six-county region, currently the counties of Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will.

Economically Disadvantaged, with respect to an individual, means having a Personal Net Worth less than \$2,000,000.00, indexed annually for the Chicago Metro Area Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Standards, beginning January 2008.

Expertise means demonstrated skills, knowledge or ability to perform, as defined by normal industry practices, including licensure where required, in a field.

Good Faith Efforts shall have the meaning set forth in Section 34-271.

Joint Venture means an association of two or more Businesses proposing to perform a for-profit business enterprise. Joint Ventures must have an agreement in writing specifying the terms and conditions of the relationships between the partners and their relationship and responsibility to the contract.

Local Business means a Business located within the County's Marketplace which has the majority of its regular, full-time work force located within the County's Marketplace.

Local Small Business means a Local Business which is also a Small Business.

Manufacturer means a Person that operates or maintains a factory or establishment that produces, on the premises, the materials, supplies, articles, or equipment required for a Procurement and of the general character described by the specifications.

Minority Business Enterprise or *MBE* means a Local Small Business, including a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity:

- (1) Which is at least 51 percent owned by one or more Minority Individuals who are economically disadvantaged, or in the case of a publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of all classes of the stock of which is owned by one or more such Minority Individuals;
- (2) Whose management, policies, major decisions and daily business operations are independently managed and Controlled by one or more such Minority Individuals; and
- (3) Which has its principal place of business and a majority of its regular, full-time workforce located within the County's Marketplace.

Minority Individual means an individual in one of the following groups:

- (1) African-Americans or Blacks, which includes persons having origins in any of the Black racial groups of Africa;
- (2) Hispanic-Americans, which includes persons who are Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Caribbean, Dominican, Central or South American, regardless of race;
- (3) Native-Americans, which includes persons who are American Indians, Eskimos, Aleuts, or Native Hawaiians; or
- (4) Asian-Americans (persons whose origins are in any of the original peoples of the Far East Asia, Southeast Asia, the islands of the Pacific or the Northern Marianas, or the Indian Subcontinent); or
- (5) Other groups, including, but not limited to, Arab-Americans, found by the County to be socially disadvantaged by having suffered racial or ethnic prejudice or cultural bias within American society, without regard to individual qualities, resulting in decreased opportunities to compete in the County's Marketplace.

Owned means having all the customary incidents of ownership, including the right of disposition, and the sharing in all risks and profits commensurate with the degree of ownership interest.

Person or Persons means any natural person, corporation, partnership, Joint Venture, trust, association, limited liability company, sole proprietorship or other legal entity.

Personal Net Worth means the net value of the assets of an individual after total liabilities are deducted. An individual's personal net worth does not include the individual's ownership interest in an applicant or other Certified MBE or WBE, provided that the other Person is certified by a governmental agency that meets the County's eligibility criteria or the individual's equity in his or her primary place or residence. As to assets held jointly with a spouse, an individual's Personal Net Worth includes only that individual's share of such assets. An individual's Personal Net Worth also includes the present value of the individual's interest in individual retirement accounts, or other retirement savings or investment programs less the tax and interest penalties that would be imposed if the asset were distributed at the present time, and shall not include future payments receivable from a pension or Social Security.

Program means the Minority- and Women-Owned Businesses Enterprise Program established in this division, and shall include the Public Works Participation Program.

Program Goals means the goals set forth in Section 34-267(a).

Protected Class Enterprise or *PCE* shall mean a business meeting the definitions of Minority Business Enterprise and Women's Business Enterprise as set forth in this section.

Public Works Participation Program means the program established pursuant to Subdivision II.

Regular Dealer means a Person that owns, operates, or maintains a store, warehouse, or other establishment in which the supplies, equipment, or goods (excluding software licenses) of the general character required for the Procurement are bought, kept in stock, and regularly sold or leased in the usual course of business. To be a Regular Dealer, the Person must be an established business that engages, as its principal business and under its own name, in the Procurement and sale or lease of the products in question. A Person may be a Regular Dealer in such bulk items as petroleum products, steel, cement, gravel, stone, or asphalt without owning, operating, or maintaining a place of business if the Person both owns and operates distribution equipment for the products. Any supplementing of such Person's distribution equipment shall be by a long-term lease agreement and not on an ad hoc or contract-by-contract basis. Packagers, manufacturer representatives, or other Persons who arrange or expedite transactions are not Regular Dealers.

Socially Disadvantaged means having been subjected to racial, ethnic or gender prejudice or cultural bias within American society because of identity as a member of a group, and without regard to individual qualities, stemming from circumstances beyond the relevant person's ~~individual's~~ control. A Socially Disadvantaged natural person must be a citizen or lawfully admitted permanent resident of the United States.

Using Agency or *User Agency* means the departments or agencies within Cook County government, including Elected Officials.

Utilization Plan means the document, submitted to the County as part of a bid or proposal, in which one or more bidders or proposers commit to a level of PCE participation in the subject contract, identify the associated responsibilities and scope of work, and dollar value or the percentages of the work to be performed.

Woman means a person of the female gender.

Woman-owned Business Enterprise or *WBE* means a Local Small Business, including a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity:

- (1) Which is at least 51 percent Owned by one or more economically disadvantaged Women, or in the case of a publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of all classes of the stock of which is Owned by one or more such Women;

- (2) Whose management, policies, major decisions and daily business operations are independently managed and Controlled by one or more such Women; and
- (3) Which has its principal place of business and a majority of its regular, full-time work force located within the County's Marketplace.

Sec. 34-265. - Program administration.

(a) The Office of Contract Compliance, under the direction of the CCD, who shall report to the President, shall administer the Program. The duties of the CCD shall include:

- (1) Formulating, proposing and implementing rules and regulations for the development, implementation and monitoring of the Program, certification process, recertification process, and no-change affidavits, including time limitations for the submission of documents and information regarding certification applications and contract participation. The CCD is authorized to collect certification and recertification processing fees in the amount of \$250.00 per Application; the collection of said processing fees shall be transacted by the CCD through the Bureau of Finance.
- (2) Providing information and assistance to PCEs and Small Businesses relating to the Program, and serve as a liaison to community, contractor, professional and supplier groups, and associations and organizations.
- (3) Establishing uniform procedures and criteria for certifying, recertifying and decertifying Persons as PCEs, accepting certifications by other agencies, and maintaining a directory of Certified PCEs. Such procedures and criteria shall include non-certification or decertification for the willful submission of false or inaccurate material information, the failure to submit complete and accurate material information to the CCD regarding certification, or a Procurement on a timely basis, and shall relate individually and jointly to both PCEs and PCE owners.
- (4) Establishing Contract Specific Goals, in collaboration with the User Agency, based upon the availability of PCEs to provide the supplies, materials and equipment or services required by the Contract.

Sec. 34-267. - Program goals.

(a) The County aspires to the following annual Program Goals: A goal of 25 percent of the annual total dollar amount of Contracts other than Public Works Contracts to MBEs, and ten percent of the total dollar amount of such Contracts to WBEs. In addition, the County aspires to annual "best efforts" goal of 35 percent PCE participation for the total professional services and consulting services utilized by the County.

(b) The CCD, following the compilation and stringent review of the most current data that is feasibly and practicably available relative to the availability of MBEs and WBEs who have the capacity to successfully supply the relevant goods and services, and in consultation with the CPO and the Using Agency, shall establish Contract Specific Goals for each Contract, which shall be incorporated into each bid and request for proposal.

(c) No goal shall be treated as a quota nor shall it be used to discriminate against any Person on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion or sex.

Sec. 34-268. - Certification criteria and process.

(a) Only Persons that meet the criteria for certification as a PCE may participate in the Program. The applicant has the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence.

(b) The Person must be either an individual who is Socially and Economically Disadvantaged or 51 percent Owned by one or more individuals who are Socially and Economically Disadvantaged.

(1) The Ownership by a Socially and Economically Disadvantaged Person must be real, substantial, and continuing, going beyond pro forma ownership of the Person as reflected in Ownership documents. The owner(s) must enjoy the customary incidents of ownership and share in the risks and profits commensurate with that ownership interest.

(2) The contributions to acquire the Ownership interest must be real and substantial, direct, and in accord with generally accepted industry standards. If expertise is part of the contribution, the Expertise must be of the requisite quality generally recognized in a specialized field, necessary to the Person's potential success, specific to the type of work the Person performs and documented in the Person's records.

(f) The County shall certify the eligibility of Joint Ventures involving PCEs and non-Certified Firms, provided that the Joint Venture meets the criteria for certification as PCE. To be considered an eligible Joint Venture, at least one partner of the Joint Venture must be a Certified Firm, with a share in the capital contribution, control, management, risks, and profits of the Joint Venture which is equal to its ownership interest. Each Certified Firm partner must contribute property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge and be responsible for a distinct, clearly defined portion of the work of the contract. Joint Ventures must have an agreement in writing specifying the terms and conditions of the relationships between the partners and their relationship and responsibility to the contract.

(g) In lieu of conducting its own certifications, the CCD by rule may accept formal certifications by other entities, provided that Cook County's requirements are met.

(h) The certification status of all PCEs shall be reviewed periodically by the Office of Contract Compliance. Failure of the Person to seek recertification by filing the necessary documentation with the CCD as provided by rule may result in decertification.

(i) It is the responsibility of the Certified PCE to notify the CCD of any change in its circumstances affecting its continued eligibility for the Program. Failure to do so may result in the PCE's decertification.

(j) The CCD shall decertify a PCE that does not continuously meet the eligibility criteria.

(k) Disqualification pursuant to Division 4 of the Procurement Code shall create a prima facie case for decertification by the County. The challenged PCE shall have the burden of proving that its County certification should be maintained.

(l) Decertification by another agency shall create a prima facie case for decertification by the County. The challenged PCE shall have the burden of proving that its County certification should be maintained.

(m) PCEs shall submit to the CCD complete and accurate material information, and shall not submit to the CCD false, deceptive, fraudulent, or inaccurate material information, relative to (1) its status as a PCE; (2) certification or recertification; (3) proposed or actual contract participation; and (4) any other matter that arises during an investigation by the CCD or another County official, and shall be subject to the penalties set forth in Section 34-175 and 34-275 for a violation of this subsection.

(n) A Person that has been denied certification or recertification or has been decertified may protest the denial or decertification by submitting a petition for review in a proceeding made pursuant to Part I, Chapter 2, Article IX, Administrative Hearings, and the Administrative Rules promulgated thereunder.

(o) A Person found to be ineligible for certification or continuing certification for reasons other than those referenced in Section 34-275 may not apply for certification for one year after the effective date of the final decision.

(p) A third party may challenge the eligibility of an applicant for Certification or a Certified PCE pursuant to procedures established by the CCD. Such challenges shall be signed and sworn by the individual challenging the eligibility of an applicant for Certification or a Certified PCE. The burden of proof shall rest with the complainant. Such challenges to eligibility shall be subject to an appeal. The CCD shall be the final arbiter of all challenges. The presumption that the challenged PCE is eligible shall remain in effect until the CCD renders a final decision.

Sec. 34-269. - Utilization plan; commercially useful function.

(a) Utilization Plan. The CPO shall include in Contract Documents for Contracts covered by this Division a requirement that a Utilization Plan be submitted which either: (i) commits to PCE participation equal to or greater than the applicable Contract Specific Goal or Goals, or (ii) requests a waiver of all or a portion of a Contract Specific Goal.

(1) The Utilization Plan shall be in such form and contain such information as is required by the CCD, and may include such components as direct PCE participation, indirect PCE participation, and a mentoring relationship with one or more PCEs.

(2) The Utilization Plan shall be due at the time the bid or proposal is due. In the event a bid or proposal leads to a Contract with the County, the Utilization Plan as approved by the

CCD shall be incorporated as a material commitment on the part of the Contractor to each relevant PCE and the contractor and the County. Failure to include a Utilization Plan shall render the bid or proposal not Responsive.

- (3) The CCD shall review and either approve or reject the Utilization Plan. For purposes of evaluating a Utilization Plan, only PCEs which perform a Commercially Useful Function relative to the supplies, equipment, goods, services, or types of work for which the PCE has been certified shall be considered.
- (4) Once a Utilization Plan has been approved, the Contractor may not changes-the Utilization Plan, including substituting PCEs named in the Utilization Plan, without the prior written approval of the CCD, in consultation with the CPO and the Using Agency. Upon such written approval by the CCD, the revised Utilization Plan shall be incorporated into the Contract as an amendment by the CPO. The CCD shall promulgate policies and procedures with respect to changes to a Utilization Plan.

(b) Commercially Useful Function. To be considered in meeting Goals, a PCE must perform a Commercially Useful Function.

- (1) In the case of a Procurement of supplies, equipment, or goods, placing an order for delivery directly to the Using Agency is not a Commercially Useful Function; provided, however, that to the extent such practice is consistent with normal industry practices, a PCE subcontractor may enter into second tier subcontracts. However, if a PCE Contractor or subcontractor subcontracts a significantly greater portion of the work of the Contract than would be expected on the basis of normal industry practices, the PCE shall be presumed not to be performing a Commercially Useful Function.
- (2) In the case of a Procurement of services, a Person which subcontracts with another Person to perform the services required does not perform a Commercially Useful Function unless such Person also performs significant supervisory or management responsibilities. In the case of a Joint Venture partner, each Joint Venture partner must perform a Commercially Useful Function.
- (3) A PCE does not perform a Commercially Useful Function if its role is limited to that of an extra participant in the Contract through which funds are passed in order to obtain the appearance of PCE participation.
- (4) When a PCE is presumed not to be performing a Commercially Useful Function, the Certified PCE and the Person seeking to include that PCE in its Utilization Plan, may present evidence to rebut this presumption.

Sec. 34-270. - Methods to achieve goals and compliance.

A Person may achieve the applicable Contract Specific Goals in any one or more of the methods set forth below. The same PCE, whether as a contractor, subcontractor or supplier, may not be utilized duplicatively as an MBE and a WBE on the same Contract.

- (1) PCE as prime Contractor. An MBE or WBE may count its own participation toward the achievement of the applicable MBE or WBE goal. Such PCE will be required to meet the other goals by another method described herein. If a PCE is certified as a WBE and ~~is also~~ a MBE, such PCE's participation may count toward the MBE or WBE Goal.
- (2) Joint Venture with one or more PCE. Where a Person engages in a Joint Venture with one or more PCEs, the Utilization Plan shall include a written agreement containing at least the information set forth in this Subsection. The CCD shall consider the following in determining whether the proposed Utilization Plan satisfies the Program Goals based upon such written Joint Venture agreement and the Utilization Plan.
 - a. Each Joint Venture partner's initial capital investment;
 - b. The extent to which ~~the~~ each PCE's' proposed participation in the performance of the Contract constitutes a Commercially Useful Function;
 - c. Whether the relevant PCE's shares in the risks and profits of the Joint Venture is proportional to their ownership interest;
 - d. Whether the relevant PCE will have duties, responsibilities, management Control and risk with respect to the Joint Venture in proportion to its ownership interest;
- (3) Subcontracting. A Person may achieve the Contract Specific Goals by means of subcontracting with, or purchasing from one or more PCEs.

Sec. 34-271. - Request for a total or partial waiver; good faith efforts.

(a) Parties submitting bids or proposals for Procurements may in all instances request a partial or full waiver of one or more established contract-specific Goal for PCE participation. In reviewing a request for a partial or total waiver of a Contract Specific Goal, the CCD shall determine whether a Person has made good faith efforts to meet the applicable Goals and to what extent the waiver request should be granted. In determining whether a Person has made Good faith efforts, the CCD will consider whether the Person has:

- (1) Reviewed lists of PCEs maintained by the County and other State and local governments and agencies to identify qualified PCEs for solicitation for Bids;
- (2) Divided Procurement requirements into small tasks or quantities. This shall include, where appropriate, breaking out Contract work items into economically feasible units, consistent with the availability of PCEs, to facilitate PCE participation, even when the Contractor would otherwise prefer to perform these work items with its own forces;
- (3) Adjusted any insurance requirements imposed by the Person seeking PCEs, or otherwise assist PCEs in obtaining any required insurance, where economically feasible, to encourage participation by PCEs;

- (4) Made timely attempts to contact PCEs providing the type of supplies, equipment, goods or services required for the Procurement; and provide them with a convenient and timely opportunity to obtain and review all information concerning the Procurement necessary to enable such PCE to respond;
- (5) Followed up initial contacts of PCEs to determine if they are interested participating in the Procurement;
- (6) Negotiated in good faith and on a timely basis with PCEs to enable them to participate in the Procurement. Evidence of such negotiation includes the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of PCEs that were contacted; a description of the information provided regarding the plans and specifications for the work selected for subcontracting; and why agreements could not be reached. The Contractor may not reject PCEs as being unqualified without sound reasons. That there may be some additional costs involved in finding and using PCEs is not in itself sufficient reason for a Contractor's failure to meet the Goals, as long as such costs are reasonable;
- (7) Made efforts to assist interested PCEs in obtaining necessary equipment, supplies, materials, or related assistance or services, where appropriate; provided, however, that such efforts shall not be inconsistent with the requirement that the PCE be responsible for actually obtaining and paying for such items;
- (8) Established delivery schedules which will encourage participation by PCEs, where the requirements of the Procurement permit;
- (9) Used the services and assistance of the CCD's staff, the Small Business Administration, the Office of Minority Business Enterprises of the U.S. Department of Commerce;
- (10) Timely notified appropriate community and minority and women's business organizations identified as assist agencies of the opportunity for participation in the Procurement;
- (11) Maintains or commits to establish and maintain a mentor-protégé agreement with one or more PCEs that is enforceable and that, in the judgment of the CCD, has performance standards and outcomes that are clearly established and effective in terms of assisting the PCE in acquiring additional skills, experience, and relationships helpful to the long-term success of the PCE, consistent with the provisions of Section 34-271(d).

(b) In determining whether a Bidder or Respondent has made Good Faith Efforts, the levels of participation by PCEs set forth in Utilization Plans submitted by other Persons for the same Procurement may be considered. For example, if the apparent successful Bidder or Respondent fails to meet the Contract Specific Goals, but meets or exceeds the average PCE participation obtained by other Bidders or Respondents, this may be evidence that the apparent successful Bidder or Respondent made Good Faith Efforts.

(c) Where the County requires professional services, the County must be able to call upon those professionals whose particular training and experience are most beneficial to the County.

- (1) A Utilization Plan shall be required, and if a waiver or partial waiver is requested, "good faith" efforts shall be demonstrated as set forth in Section 34-271; provided, however, that such Persons shall not be required to attempt to subcontract with PCEs if subcontractors would not typically be utilized for the type of Procurement. In such cases, the Person shall document the reasons for not subcontracting in a waiver request.
- (2) The Contractor will endeavor to maximize use of PCEs for supplies, equipment, goods or services for such Contractor's business operations not specifically for the Procurement.
- (3) If such Person is required to have or has an affirmative action plan and goals, such plan and goals shall be submitted with their Utilization Plan. The CCD shall compare such plan and goals with the Person's actual affirmative action achievements and such achievements may be considered by the County in future Procurements.

(d) Mentor/protégé agreements. Where a Contractor enters into or maintains a mentor/protege agreement with a PCE to improve or develop certain aspects of the business of the PCE, the CCD shall evaluate the effect of such agreement as a factor in determining good faith efforts. The mentor/protégé agreement may provide for the Contractor to assist the PCE in such areas as technical aspects of the PCE's business, improving financial management, or providing on-the-job training. To constitute good faith efforts, the mentor/protégé agreement shall satisfy the following requirements.

- (1) The PCE performs a Commercially Useful Function;
- (2) The agreement shall be included in the Utilization Plan; and
- (3) The agreement clearly defines the respective responsibilities of the Contractor and the PCE and includes specific, measurable goals to be attained by both parties through the performance of the agreement. In order to be a factor in establishing best efforts, the mentor/protégé agreement must be for a reasonable period of time.

(e) The CCD may grant a total or partial waiver based upon the following criteria:

- (1) There are not sufficient PCEs capable of providing the supplies, equipment, goods or services required for the Procurement;
- (2) The Procurement cannot reasonably be divided;
- (3) The price required by potential PCEs is more than ten percent above competitive levels; and
- (4) Any other factor relating to good faith efforts as set forth in the Person's Utilization Plan.

Sec. 34-272. - Calculating PCE participation.

(g) If a PCE ceases to be a certified for any other reason than graduation from the M/WBE Program during its performance on a contract, the dollar value of work performed under a contract with that firm after it has ceased to be certified shall not be counted for the remaining term of the Contract.

(h) Only the dollar amount actually paid to the PCE shall be counted toward the participation of a PCE.

Sec. 34-273. - Review of contract performance.

(a) Compliance with Utilization Plan. The CCD shall review the Contractor and PCE's compliance with its Utilization Plan as necessary during the performance of the Contract. The CCD may establish such requirements for periodic Contractor and PCE reporting on compliance with its Utilization Plan as the CCD determines appropriate and necessary. A Contractor and PCE shall be required to provide any additional requested compliance documentation within 14 days of request by the CCD.

- (1) If the CCD determines that the Contractor has failed in good faith to comply with a Utilization Plan and has failed to provide a cure accepted by the CCD in his or her discretion, the CCD shall notify the CPO of such failure. The CPO shall then send notice of default to the Contractor, and the Contractor shall have such time to cure the default as is provided in the Contract. If no such period is provided, the Contractor shall have ten days to cure such default. For purposes of this section, providing a plan for bringing the Contract into compliance with the Utilization Plan may constitute a cure, if compliance cannot reasonably be achieved within the applicable cure period, and if compliance is achieved in accordance with such plan.
- (2) If a Contractor fails to provide any documentation required by the CCD, the CCD shall notify the CPO of such failure. The CPO shall then send notice of default to the Contractor, and the Contractor shall have such time to cure the default as is provided in the Contract. If no such period is provided, the Contractor shall have ten days to cure such default.

Sec. 34-275. - Sanctions and penalties.

(a) In addition to such penalties as are provided in Section 34-175 and Section 34-176, the following violations of this Section may result in an imposition of penalties as provided herein:

- (1) A PCE who violates Section 34-268(m) shall not be eligible for certification for a period of up to five years and shall be liable for a fine of \$2,500.00, and such sanctions shall apply jointly and individually to the PCE and the relevant PCE owner or owners.
- (2) The CCD may recommend to the County's Chief Procurement Officer that a Contractor, subcontractor, or PCE be disqualified from participation in a County contract for period of up to five years and contractually penalized in the manner and degree identified by the CCD, pursuant to the Procurement Code, for a violation of this Section.

(b) In the event a party wishes to pursue administrative review, under Section 34-178, of the CCD's decision or determination relative to the denial or revocation of certification, or the CCD's imposition of a penalty under Section 34-175, Section 34-176 or this Section, said party shall, within 60 days of the Final Notice issued by the CCD, seek administrative review by submitting a written petition to both the Department of Administrative Hearings and the CCD, which petition may be supported by information, documents, and arguments, provided that the information and documents so submitted were available to and in the possession of the CCD at the time of the Final Notice, and the administrative hearing process shall be limited to such documents and information as were available to and in possession of the CCD at the time of such Final Notice, In such administrative review, the petitioning party shall have the burden of proving, by a preponderance of evidence, that CCD's decision or determination, as set forth in the Final Notice, is clearly erroneous.

Sec. 34-2756. - Reporting and review.

The CCD shall report to the Board on an annual basis with respect to the following:

- (1) The percentage of the total dollar amount of Procurements for such year actually received by PCEs;
- (2) The number of MBEs and WBEs available for participation in Procurements, by category;
- (3) An evaluation of the effectiveness of this division in ensuring equitable participation by PCEs in Procurements;
- (4) An assessment of the continuing need for the Program;
- (5) Identification of any enforcement problems; and
- (6) Any recommendations with respect to modifying or improving the Program, including discontinuing or modifying Program Goals in those cases where Minority Business Enterprises and Women's Business Enterprises no longer are disadvantaged by the effects of discrimination in their participation in Procurements.

Sec. 34-2767. - Prohibited provisions.

Any agreement between a Contractor and a MBE or WBE in which the Contractor requires that the MBE or WBE not provide subcontracting quotations to other Contractors is prohibited.

Sec. 34-278. Outreach and Training.

The CCD shall from time to time, by way of seminars, workshops, and internet-based communications, make available to the business community such information, documents, and personnel as well as:

- (a) Assist otherwise eligible businesses in applying for, gaining, and maintaining certification.

- (b) Assist the business community in understanding the manner in which to properly complete a Utilization Plan including how a full or partial waiver may be requested, and the manner in which such a request may be supported.
- (c) Identify best practices by other governmental entities and private sector firms that may improve the Program/.
- (d) Identify systemic or organizational problems and related solutions associated with certification and contract participation.

Secs. 34-279. - Program review and sunset.

- (a) The President and the Board of Commissioners shall receive quarterly and annual reports from the CCD detailing the County's performance under the Program.
- (b) The President and the Board of Commissioners will review these reports, including the Annual Participation Goals and the County's progress towards meeting those Goals and eliminating discrimination in its contracting activities and marketplace.
- (c) Within five years after the effective date of this ordinance, the County will review the operation of the Program and the evidentiary basis for the Program in order to determine whether the County has a continuing compelling interest in remedying discrimination against MBEs and WBEs in its marketplace, and the permissible scope of any narrowly tailored remedies to redress discrimination against MBEs or WBEs so that the County will not function as a passive participant in a discriminatory marketplace.
- (d) This subdivision shall sunset on or before June 30, 2021

Subdivision II. - Participation in Cook County Public Works Contracts

Sec. 34-285. - Short title; incorporation of provisions.

This Subdivision may be known and cited as the "Cook County Public Works Minority- and Women-Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance" and may be cited as such.

Sec. 34-286. - Preface and Findings.

- (a) The findings set forth in Subdivision I, Section 34-261 of this Division 8 are incorporated herein by this reference;
- (b) After the requirement in Subdivision I that Minority- and Women-Owned Businesses (M/WBEs) be allotted certain percentages of County construction contracts was ruled unconstitutional, the County witnessed a drastic reduction in M/WBE construction prime contract and subcontract participation;
- (c) The President and the Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, after considering:

- (1) Evidence presented at trial in Builders Association of Greater Chicago v. City of Chicago, 298 F.Supp.2d 725 (N.D. Ill. 2003) and Northern Contracting, Inc. v. Illinois Department of Transportation, 2005 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19868 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 8, 2005);
- (2) County statistical evidence of continuing discrimination against Blacks, Hispanics, Asians and women in the County's Procurements;
- (3) The Report title, "Review of Compelling Evidence of Discrimination Against Minority- and Women-Owned Business Enterprise in the Chicago Area Construction Industry and Recommendations for Narrowly Tailored Remedies for Cook County, Illinois;" as well as;
- (4) Anecdotal evidence of discrimination against minorities and women in the County's Public Works Contracts; and
- (5) Receiving and considering written reports, adopts the following findings as a strong basis in evidence supporting a narrowly tailored, remedial affirmative action program in Public Works Contracts;

(d) The County seeks to provide a level playing field and equal access for all prime contractors and subcontractors to participate in Public Works Contracts;

(e) The County continues to be committed to implementing an affirmative action program associated with local small businesses owned by economically disadvantaged minorities and women in conformance with the United States Supreme Court's decision in *City of Richmond v. Croson* and other relevant law.

(f) In furtherance of this commitment, the Board and the President of the Board, supported by County staff, professionals, and consultants, conducted an investigation to determine whether and to what degree (1) there continues to be discrimination in County Procurements, and in the award of and participation in contracts in the metropolitan County economy; (2) such discrimination or the effects thereof has denied and continues to deny small and economically disadvantaged minority and women's business enterprises equal opportunity to participate in such Procurements and contracts; (3) small businesses owned and managed by economically disadvantaged minorities and women in the County Marketplace experience disparate negative impacts in terms of participating in public and private transactions, including but not limited to transactions with the County, those private enterprises with whom it does business, and other private enterprises; (4) small, economically disadvantaged businesses owned and managed by minorities and women in the County Marketplace experience reduced earnings; (5) the formation of businesses owned and managed by minorities and women in the County Marketplace is disproportionately low; (6) there continues to be a chronic lack of wealth, business loans, and financial liquidity among the County's minority communities and among minorities who own and operate their own small businesses; (7) the disproportionate levels of poverty among minorities in the County and any of the foregoing elements detrimentally affect the County's efforts to protect and promote public safety, health and welfare, and to identify the appropriate affirmative action steps to be taken to eliminate any such discrimination, obstacles, and poverty and their continuing effects.

(g) The County has collected and analyzed data, conducted interviews with stakeholders, and otherwise conducted a study of its Program to determine whether and to what degree disparities exist relative to the participation of minority and woman-owned businesses in County and non-County contracts “Cook County, Illinois Disparity Study 2015” (the "Disparity Study"); and

(h) The Disparity Study entails recommendations for an improved Minority- and Women-owned business program, emphasizing the establishment of Project-specific goals, implementation of race- and gender-neutral measures, and enhancements to data gathering, implementation and performance monitoring of the program;

(i) The County has a compelling interest in preventing discrimination and desires to reaffirm its commitment to full and fair opportunities for all firms to participate in its construction contracts.

Sec. 34-287. - Policy and purpose.

It is hereby found, determined and declared that the purpose of this Ordinance is to ensure the full and equitable participation of local small businesses owned by economically disadvantaged minorities and women in the County's procurement process as both prime and subcontractors in the County's Public Works contracts. The County is committed to a policy of preventing discrimination in the award of or participation in Public Works contracts and has recommended appropriate narrowly tailored remedies to eliminate any such discrimination.

Sec. 34-290. - Definitions.

The following terms shall have the following meanings:

Affiliate. An "Affiliate" of or a Person "Affiliated" with, a specified Person shall mean any Person that directly or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, Controls, is Controlled by, or is under common Control with, the Person Specified. Affiliates shall be considered together in determining whether a firm is a small business.

Annual Participation Goals means the targeted levels established by the County for the annual aggregate participation of MBEs and WBEs in County construction contracts.

Applicant means a person who submits documents and information seeking certification, continued certification, or re-certification as an MBE or WBE to the Office of Contract Compliance.

Broker means a Person who or which neither manufactures the supplies, equipment or goods supplied nor owns or operates a store, warehouse or other establishment (and related distribution equipment) in which it maintains, consistent with industry standards, an inventory of the supplies, equipment or goods required for performance of the Contract for sale in the normal course of business. A Broker provides no substantial service other than acting as a conduit between his or her supplier and his or her customer.

Business means a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity.

Certified firm means a firm that has been accepted by the County as a certified MBE or WBE.

Contract means any Procurement or Contract (as defined in Section 34-121) in an amount exceeding \$25,000.00.

Contractor means any Business that seeks to enter into a construction contract with the County, other than for professional services, and includes all partners and Affiliates Business.

Commercially Useful Function means responsibility for the execution of a distinct element of the work of the contract, which is carried out by actually performing, managing, and supervising the work involved, or fulfilling such responsibilities as a Joint Venture partner.

Compliance Contract Director or "CCD" means the Contract Compliance Director.

County means the County of Cook and its participating User Agencies.

Contract Specific Goals means the goals established under Section 34-267(b) that are based upon relevant factors, including, but not limited to, the availability of MBEs or WBEs relative to the scope of work of the Project.

County's Marketplace means the six-county region, currently the counties of Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will.

Economically Disadvantaged means, with respect to an individual, having a Personal Net Worth less than \$2,000,000.00, indexed annually for the Chicago Metro Area Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers, published by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Standards, beginning January 2008.

Expertise means demonstrated skills, knowledge or ability to perform in the field of endeavor in which certification is sought by the Business, as defined by normal industry practices, including licensure where required.

Good Faith Efforts means actions undertaken by a Contractor pursuant to Section 34-271.

Joint Venture means an association of two or more Businesses proposing to perform a for-profit business enterprise. Joint Ventures must have an agreement in writing specifying the terms and conditions of the relationships between the partners and their relationship and responsibility to the contract.

Local Business means a Business located within the County's Marketplace which has the majority of its regular, full-time work force located within the County's Marketplace.

Local Small Business means a Local Business which is also a Small Business.

Manufacturer means a firm that operates or maintains a factory or establishment that produces, on the premises, the materials, supplies, articles, or equipment required under the contract and of the general character described by the specifications.

Minority Business Enterprise or MBE means a Local Small Business, including a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity:

- (1) Which is at least 51 percent owned by one or more Minority Individuals who are economically disadvantaged, or in the case of a publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of all classes of the stock of which is owned by one or more such Minority Individuals;
- (2) Whose management, policies, major decisions and daily business operations are independently managed and Controlled by one or more such Minority Individuals; and
- (3) Which has its principal place of business and a majority of its regular, full-time workforce located within the County's Marketplace.

Minority Individual means an individual in one of the following groups:

- (1) African-Americans or Blacks, which includes persons having origins in any of the Black racial groups of Africa;
- (2) Hispanic-Americans, which includes persons who are Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Caribbean, Dominican, Central or South American, regardless of race;
- (3) Native-Americans, which includes persons who are American Indians, Eskimos, Aleuts, or Native Hawaiians;
- (4) Asian-Americans (persons whose origins are in any of the original peoples of the Far East Asia, Southeast Asia, the islands of the Pacific or the Northern Marianas, or the Indian Subcontinent); or
- (5) Other groups, including, but not limited to, Arab-Americans, found by the County to be socially disadvantaged by having suffered racial or ethnic prejudice or cultural bias within American society, without regard to individual qualities, resulting in decreased opportunities to compete in the County's Marketplace.

Owned means having all of the customary incidents of ownership, including the right of disposition, and the sharing in all of the risks profits, and responsibilities commensurate with the degree of ownership interest.

Personal Net Worth means the net value of the assets of an individual after total liabilities are deducted. An individual's personal net worth does not include the individual's ownership interest in an applicant or other Certified MBE or WBE, provided that the other Person is certified by a governmental agency that meets the County's eligibility criteria or the individual's equity in his or her primary place or residence. As to

assets held jointly with a spouse, an individual's Personal Net Worth includes only that individual's share of such assets. An individual's Personal Net Worth also includes the present value of the individual's interest in, individual retirement accounts, or other retirement savings or investment programs less the tax and interest penalties that would be imposed if the asset were distributed at the present time, and shall not include future payments receivable from a pension or Social Security.

Program means the means the Minority- and Women-Owned Businesses Enterprise Program established in subdivision I.

Project Specific Goals means the Goals (as set forth in Section 34-295) established for a particular project or contract based upon the availability of MBEs or WBEs in the scopes of work of the Project.

Protected Class Enterprise or PCE shall mean a business meeting the definition of Minority Business Enterprise and Women's Business Enterprise as set forth in this section.

Public Works means all fixed works constructed or demolished by the County, or paid for wholly or in part out of public funds administered by the County, or funds or financing derived from assets owned or controlled by the County. "Public Works" as defined herein includes all projects financed in whole or in part with bonds, grants, loans, or other funds made available by or through federal or State government, or the County. "Public Works" does not include projects undertaken by the owner at an owner-occupied single-family residence or at an owner-occupied unit of a multifamily residence. "Public Works" includes any maintenance, repair, assembly, or disassembly work performed on equipment whether owned, leased, or rented.

Regular Dealer means a Person that owns, operates, or maintains a store, warehouse, or other establishment in which the materials, supplies, articles or equipment of the general character described by the specifications and required under the contract are bought, kept in stock, and regularly sold or leased to the public in the usual course of business. To be a Regular Dealer, the Person must be an established, regular Business that engages, as its principal business and under its own name, in the purchase and sale or lease of the products in question. A Person may be a Regular Dealer in such bulk items as petroleum products, steel, cement, gravel, stone, or asphalt without owning, operating, or maintaining a place of business if the firm both owns and operates distribution equipment for the products. Any supplementing of a Person's distribution equipment shall be by a long-term lease agreement and not on an ad hoc or contract-by-contract basis. Packagers, manufacture representatives, or other persons who arrange or expedite transactions are not Regular Dealers.

Socially Disadvantaged means having been subjected to racial, ethnic or gender prejudice or cultural bias within American society because of his or her identity as a member of a group, and without regard to individual qualities, stemming from circumstances beyond the relevant person's control. A Socially Disadvantaged natural person must be a citizen or lawfully admitted permanent resident of the United States.

Using Agency or *User Agency* means the departments or agencies within Cook County government, including Elected Officials.

Utilization Plan means the document, submitted to the County as part of a bid or proposal, in which one or more bidders or proposers commit to a level of PCE participation in the subject contract, identify the associated responsibilities and scopes of the work, and ~~the~~ dollar values or the percentages of the work to be performed.

Woman means a person of the female gender.

Woman-owned Business Enterprise or WBE means a Local Small Business, including a sole proprietorship, partnership, corporation, limited liability company, Joint Venture or any other business or professional entity:

- (1) Which is at least 51 percent Owned by one or more economically disadvantaged Women, or in the case of a publicly owned business, at least 51 percent of all classes of the stock of which is Owned by one or more such Women;
- (2) Whose management, policies, major decisions and daily business operations are independently managed and Controlled by one or more such Women; and
- (3) Which has its principal place of business and a majority of its regular, full-time work force located within the County's Marketplace.

Sec. 34-291. - Program administration.

(a) The CCD, who shall report to the President of the Board of Commissioners of Cook County, shall administer the Program, and whose duties shall include:

- (1) Formulating, proposing and implementing rules and regulations for the development, implementation and monitoring of the Program, certification process, recertification process, and no-change affidavits, including time limitations for the submission of documents and information regarding certification applications and contract participation. The CCD is authorized to collect certification and recertification processing fees in the amount of \$250.00 per Application; the collection of said processing fees shall be transacted by the CCD through the Bureau of Finance.
- (2) Providing information and assistance to MBEs and WBEs relating to County procurement practices and procedures, and bid specifications, requirements, goals and prerequisites.
- (3) Establishing uniform procedures and criteria for certifying, recertifying and decertifying Businesses as MBEs and WBEs, accepting certifications by other agencies, and maintaining a directory of Certified Firms. Such procedures and criteria shall include non-certification or decertification the willful submission of false or inaccurate material information, the failure to submit complete and accurate material information to the CCD regarding certification, or a Procurement on a timely basis, and shall relate individually and jointly to both PCEs and PCE owners.

- (4) Establishing Project Specific Goals, in collaboration with the User Agency, based upon the availability of PCEs to provide the supplies, materials and equipment or services required by the Contract.
- (5) Evaluating Contractors' achievement of Project Specific Goals and/or Good Faith Efforts to meet Project Specific Goals.
- (6) Working with User Agencies to monitor contracts to ensure prompt payments to MBEs and WBEs and compliance with Project Specific Goals and commitments, including gathering data to facilitate such monitoring.
- (7) Receiving, reviewing, and acting upon complaints and suggestions concerning the Program.
- (8) Collecting data to evaluate the Program and other County contracting initiatives.
- (9) Monitoring the Program and the County's progress towards the Annual Participation Goals. The CCD shall report on a quarterly and annual basis to the President on the administration and operations of the Program.

(b) The User Agencies that receive appropriate delegation for project management, contract management, and/or construction and/or design contract responsibility shall have the following duties and responsibilities with regard to the Program:

Sec. 34-293. - Certification criteria and process.

(a) Only Businesses that meet the criteria for certification as an MBE or WBE may participate in the Program. The applicant has the burden of proof by a preponderance of the evidence.

(b) Only a firm owned by a Socially and Economically Disadvantaged person(s) may be certified as an MBE or WBE.

- (1) The firm's ownership by a Socially and Economically Disadvantaged person must be real, substantial, and continuing, going beyond pro forma ownership of the firm as reflected in ownership documents. The owner(s) must enjoy the customary incidents of ownership and share in the risks and profits commensurate with that ownership interest.
- (2) The contributions of capital or Expertise by the Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner(s) to acquire the ownership interest must be real and substantial, direct and in accord with generally accepted industry standards. If Expertise is relied upon as part of a Socially and Economically Disadvantaged owner's contribution to acquire ownership, the Expertise must be of the requisite quality generally recognized in a specialized field, in areas critical to the firm's operations, indispensable to the firm's potential success, specific to the type of

work the firm performs and documented in the firm's records. The individual whose Expertise is relied upon must have a commensurate financial investment in the firm.

(f) The County shall certify the eligibility of Joint Ventures involving PCEs and non-Certified Firms, provided that the Joint Venture meets the criteria for certification as an PCEs. To be considered an eligible Joint Venture, at least one partner of the Joint Venture must be a Certified Firm, with a share in the capital contribution, control, management, risks, and profits of the Joint Venture which is equal to its ownership interest. Each Certified Firm partner must contribute property, capital, efforts, skill and knowledge and be responsible for a distinct, clearly defined portion of the work of the contract. Joint Ventures must have an agreement in writing specifying the terms and conditions of the relationships between the partners and their relationship and responsibility to the contract.

(g) In lieu of conducting its own certifications, the CCD by rule may accept formal certifications by other entities as meeting the requirements of the Program, if the CCD determines that the certification standards of such entities are comparable to those of the County.

(h) The certification status of all PCEs shall be reviewed periodically by the Office of Contract Compliance. Failure of the firm to seek recertification by filing the necessary documentation with the CCD as provided by rule may result in decertification.

(i) It is the responsibility of the Certified Firm to notify the CCD of any change in its circumstances affecting its continued eligibility for the Program, including decertification by another agency. Failure to do so may result in the firm's decertification.

(j) The CCD shall decertify a firm that does not continuously meet the eligibility criteria.

(k) Disqualification pursuant to Division 4 of the Procurement Code shall create a prima facie case for decertification by the County. The challenged PCE shall have the burden of proving that its County certification should be maintained.

(l) Decertification by another agency shall create a prima facie case for decertification by the County. The challenged firm shall have the burden of proving that its County certification should be maintained.

(m) PCEs shall submit to the CCD complete and accurate material information, and shall not submit to the CCD false, deceptive, fraudulent, or inaccurate material information, relative to (1) its status as a PCE; (2) certification or recertification; (3) proposed or actual contract participation; and (4) any other matter that arises during an investigation by the CCD or another County official, and shall be subject to the penalties set forth in Section 34-175 and 34-299 for a violation of this subsection.

(n) A firm that has been denied certification or recertification or has been decertified may protest the denial or decertification by submitting a petition for review in a proceeding made pursuant to Part I, Chapter 2, Article IX, Administrative Hearings, and the Administrative Rules promulgated thereunder.

(o) A firm found to be ineligible for certification or continuing certification for reasons other than those referenced in Section 34-299 may not apply for certification for one year after the effective date of the final decision.

(p) A third party may challenge the eligibility of an applicant for certification or a Certified Firm as provided by rule. Such challenges shall be signed and sworn by the individual challenging the eligibility of an applicant for certification or a certified firm. The burden of proof shall rest with the complainant. Such challenges to eligibility shall be subject to an appeal. The CC Director shall be the final arbiter of all challenges. The presumption that the challenged firm is eligible shall remain in effect until the CCD renders a final decision.

Sec. 34-294. - Annual aspirational goals.

The annual aspirational goals for the utilization of MBEs and WBEs on County Public Works contracts and subcontracts shall be 24 percent for MBEs and ten percent for WBEs.

Sec. 34-295. - Project specific goals.

The CCD, following the compilation and stringent review of the most current data that is feasibly and practicably available relative to the availability of MBEs and WBEs who have the capacity to successfully supply the relevant goods and services, and in consultation with the User Agency, shall establish Project Specific Goals for construction, which shall be incorporated into each bid and RFP.

Sec. 34-296. - Counting MBE and WBE participation.

(h) If a PCE ceases to be certified for any other reason than graduation from the M/WBE Construction Program during its performance on a contract, the dollar value of work performed under a contract with that firm after it has ceased to be certified shall not be counted for the remaining term of the Contract.

(i) In determining achievement of Project Specific Goals, the participation of an MBE or WBE shall not be counted until that amount has been paid to the MBE or WBE.

Sec. 34-297. - Contract pre-award compliance procedures.

(a) For all solicitations, the bidder/proposer shall submit a Utilization Plan detailing all subcontractors from which the Contractor solicited bids or quotations, and if Project Specific Goals have been established, its achievement of the Goals or its Good Faith Efforts to do so. The Utilization Plan shall be due at the time the bid/proposal is due. In the event a bid or proposal leads to a Contract with the County, the Utilization Plan as approved by the CCD shall be incorporated as a material commitment on the part of the Contractor to each relevant PCE, and the contractor and the County. Failure to include a Utilization Plan shall render the bid or proposal not Responsive.

(b) Any agreement between a Contractor and an MBE or WBE in which the Contractor requires that the MBE or WBE not provide subcontracting quotations to other Contractors is prohibited.

(c) Where the Contractor cannot achieve the Project Specific Goal(s), the CCD will determine whether the Contractor has made Good Faith Efforts to meet the Goal(s). Parties submitting bids or proposals for Procurements may in all instances request a partial or full waiver of one or more established Project Specific Goal for PCE participation. In making this determination, the Director will consider, at a minimum, whether the Contractor has:

- (1) Solicited through all reasonable and available means (e.g., attendance at pre-bid meetings, advertising and written notices) the interest of all MBEs and WBEs certified in the scopes of work of the contract. The Contractor shall provide interested MBEs and WBEs with timely, adequate information about the plans, specifications, and requirements of the contract to allow MBEs and WBEs to respond to the solicitation. The Contractor must follow up initial solicitations with interested MBEs and WBEs.
- (2) Selected portions of the work to be performed by MBEs and WBEs in order to increase the likelihood that the Project Specific Goals will be achieved. This includes, where appropriate, breaking out contract work items into economically feasible units to facilitate MBE and WBE participation, even when the Contractor would otherwise prefer to perform these work items with its own forces. It is the Contractor's responsibility to make a portion of the work available to MBEs and WBEs and to select those portions of the work or material needs consistent with the availability of MBEs and WBEs to facilitate their participation.
- (3) Negotiated in good faith with interested MBEs and WBEs. Evidence of such negotiation includes the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of MBEs and WBEs that were contacted; a description of the information provided regarding the plans and specifications for the work selected for subcontracting; and why agreements could not be reached with MBEs and WBEs. The Contractor may not reject MBEs and WBEs as being unqualified without sound reasons based on a thorough investigation of their capabilities. That there may be some additional costs involved in finding and using MBEs and WBEs is not in itself sufficient reason for a Contractor's failure to meet the Project Specific Goals, as long as such costs are reasonable. The ability or desire of a Contractor to perform the work of a contract with its own organization does not relieve it of the responsibility to make Good Faith Efforts on all scopes of work that could be subcontracted.
- (4) Made efforts to assist interested MBEs and WBEs in obtaining bonding, lines of credit, or insurance as required by the County or the prime Contractor, where appropriate.
- (5) Made efforts to assist interested MBEs and WBEs in obtaining necessary equipment, supplies, materials, or related assistance or services, where appropriate.
- (6) Used the services of the Office of Contract Compliance, available minority/women community organizations, minority/women contractors' groups, government-sponsored minority/women business assistance offices and other appropriate organizations to provide assistance in the recruitment and placement of MBEs and WBEs.

- (7) Maintains or commits to establish and maintain a mentor-protégé agreement with one or more PCEs that is enforceable and that, in the judgment of the CCD, has performance standards and outcomes that are clearly established and effective in terms of assisting the PCE in acquiring additional skills, experience, and relationships helpful to the long-term success of the PCE consistent with the provisions of Section 34-271(d).

(g) If the CCD determines that the Utilization Plan demonstrates that the Project Specific Goals have been achieved or Good Faith Efforts made, with the concurrence of the User Agency, the CCD and User Agency shall recommend award to Purchasing Agent.

Sec. 34-298. - Contract administration procedures.

(a) Upon award of a contract by the County that includes Project Specific Goals, the Project Specific Goals become covenants of performance by the Contractors and incorporated in the contract.

(b) The Contractor shall provide a listing of all subcontractors to be used in the performance of the contract, and detailed subcontractor information to the County with each request for payment submitted to the County or as otherwise directed by the County. The CCD and the User Agency shall monitor subcontractor participation during the course of the contract. The County shall have full and timely access to the Contractor's books and records, including, without limitation, payroll records, tax returns and records and books of account, to determine the Contractor's compliance with its commitment to MBE and WBE participation and the status of any MBE or WBE performing any portion of the contract. This provision shall be in addition to, and not a substitute for, any other provision allowing inspection of the Contractor's records by any officer or official of the County for any purpose.

(c) The Contractor cannot make changes to the Utilization Plan or substitute MBEs or WBEs named in the Utilization Plan without the prior written approval of the CCD, in consultation with the CPO and the User Agency. Unauthorized changes or substitutions shall be a violation of this subdivision and a breach of contract, and may constitute grounds for rejection of the bid or proposal or cause termination of the executed contract for breach, the withholding of payment and/or subject the Contractor to contract penalties or other sanctions. Upon such written approval by the CCD, the revised Utilization Plan shall be incorporated into the Contract as an amendment by the CPO. The CCD shall promulgate policies and procedures with respect to changes to a Utilization Plan.

- (1) All requests for changes or substitutions of an MBE or WBE Subcontractor(s) named in the Utilization Plan shall be made to the CCD, CPO and the User Agency in writing, and shall clearly and fully set forth the basis for the request. A Contractor shall not substitute an MBE or WBE subcontractor or perform the work designated for an MBE or WBE subcontractor with its own forces unless and until the CCD, in consultation with the CPO and the User Agency, approves such substitution in writing. A Contractor shall not allow a substituted subcontractor to begin work until the CCD CPO and the User Agency have approved the substitution.

(e) Changes to the scopes of work shall be documented by the User Agency at the time they arise to establish the reasons for the change and the effect on achievement of the MBE or WBE goal.

Sec. 34-299. - Sanctions and penalties.

(a) In addition to such penalties as are provided in Section 34-175 and Section 34-176, the following violations of this Section may result in an imposition of penalties as provided herein:

- (1) A PCE who violates Section 34-293(m) shall not be eligible for certification for a period of up to five years and shall be liable for a fine of \$2,500.00, and such sanctions shall apply jointly and individually to the PCE and the relevant PCE owner or owners.
- (2) The CCD may recommend to the County's Chief Procurement Officer that a Contractor, subcontractor, or PCE be disqualified from participation in a County contract for period of up to five years and contractually penalized in the manner and degree identified by the CCD, pursuant to the Procurement Code, for a violation of this Section.

(b) In the event a party wishes to pursue administrative review, under Section 34-178, of the CCD's decision or determination relative to the denial or revocation of certification, or the CCD's imposition of a penalty under Section 34-175, Section 34-176 or this Section, said party shall, within 60 days of the Final Notice issued by the CCD, seek administrative review by submitting a written petition to both the Department of Administrative Hearings and the CCD, which petition may be supported by information, documents, and arguments, provided that the information and documents so submitted were available to and in the possession of the CCD at the time of the Final Notice, and the administrative hearing process shall be limited to such documents and information as were available to and in possession of the CCD at the time of such Final Notice, In such administrative review, the petitioning party shall have the burden of proving, by a preponderance of evidence, that CCD's decision or determination, as set forth in the Final Notice, is clearly erroneous.

Sec. 34-300. Outreach and Training.

The CCD shall from time to time, by way of seminars, workshops, and internet-based communications, make available to the business community such information, documents, and personnel as well as:

- (a) Assist otherwise eligible businesses in applying for, gaining, and maintaining certification.
- (b) Assist the business community in understanding the manner in which to properly complete a Utilization Plan including how a full or partial waiver may be requested, and the manner in which such a request may be supported.
- (c) Identify best practices by other governmental entities and private sector firms that may improve the Program.
- (d) Identify systemic or organizational problems and related solutions associated with certification and contract participation.

Sec. 34-3001. - Program review and sunset.

(a) The President and the Board of Commissioners shall receive quarterly and annual reports from the CCD detailing the County's performance under the Program.

(b) The President and the Board of Commissioners will review these reports, including the Annual Participation Goals and the County's progress towards meeting those Goals and eliminating discrimination in its contracting activities and marketplace.

(c) Within five years after the effective date of this ordinance, the County will review the operation of the Program and the evidentiary basis for the Program in order to determine whether the County has a continuing compelling interest in remedying discrimination against MBEs and WBEs in its construction marketplace, and the permissible scope of any narrowly tailored remedies to redress discrimination against MBEs or WBEs so that the County will not function as a passive participant in a discriminatory marketplace.

(d) This subdivision shall sunset on or before June 30, 2021~~2016~~.

Effective date: This Ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by President Pro Tempore Steele, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Ordinance Amendment be approved as substituted. The motion carried.

**BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
MEETING OF JUNE 29, 2016**

**16-3483
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT
AND JOHN P. DALEY, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

N4 HOLDINGS LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: N4 Holdings LLC

Address: 7424 South Mason Avenue, Bedford Park, Illinois 60638

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Bedford Park

Cook County District: 11

Permanent Index Number: (2) PINs 19-29-100-038-0000; 19-29-200-031-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: 16-005

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: 3

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: 4 full-time, 0 part-time

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: 2 full-time, 0 part-time

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: 47 full-time, 1 part-time

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 2

Proposed use of property: Industrial-Logistics and warehousing

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Resolution (Class 6B) Purchase for Value be approved. The motion carried.

**16-3484
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT
AND DEBORAH SIMS, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

OSCAR WINSKI COMPANY INC. CLASS 8 PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 8 application containing the following information:

Applicant: Oscar Winski Company Inc.

Address: 14100 Halsted Street, Riverdale, Illinois 60827

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Riverdale

Cook County District: 5

Permanent Index Number: 29-05-204-005-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: 2016-05

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: 3

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: 10 full-time, 0 part-time

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: 0 full-time, 0 part-time

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: 20 full-time, 0 part-time

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 5

Proposed use of property: Industrial-manufacturing and warehousing

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 8 that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned commercial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 8; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 8 requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 8 is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, commercial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying commercial real estate eligible for the Class 8 can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 8 will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 8; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Resolution (Class 6B) Purchase for Value be approved. The motion carried.

**16-3491
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT
AND GREGG GOSLIN, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

**MATRIX FINANCIAL PARTNERS LLC OR
ITS ASSIGNEE 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST**

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: Matrix Financial Partners LLC or its Assignee

Address: 3411 Woodhead Drive, Northbrook, Illinois 60062

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Northbrook

Cook County District: 14

Permanent Index Number: 04-05-102-014-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: 2016-28

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: 18

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: 22 full-time, 0 part-time

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: 63 full-time, 0 part-time

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: 63 full-time, 0 part-time

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 12

Proposed use of property: Industrial-warehousing and manufacturing

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Resolution (Class 6B) Purchase for Value be approved. The motion carried.

**16-3498
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT
AND LARRY SUFFREDIN, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

8050 MONTICELLO, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: 8050 Monticello, LLC

Address: 8050 Monticello, Skokie, Illinois

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Skokie

Cook County District: 13

Permanent Index Number: 10-23-332-015-0000 and 10-23-332-040-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: Resolution Number 15-9-R-1309

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: 18 months vacant

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: 25 full-time, 25 part-time

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: 25 full-time, 10 part-time

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: not applicable

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 40-45 construction jobs

Proposed use of property: The property will be used for light manufacturing, warehousing and distribution

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Resolution (Class 6B) Purchase for Value be approved. The motion carried.

**16-3500
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT
AND LARRY SUFFREDIN, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

PHAR SADIE, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: Phar Sadie, LLC

Address: 3412-3426 W. Touhy Avenue, Skokie, Illinois

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Skokie

Cook County District: 13

Permanent Index Number: 10-26-402-061-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: Resolution Number 15-4-R-1291

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: 12 months vacant

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

TEERM (TEMPORARY EMERGENCY ECONOMIC RECOVERY MODIFICATION (Vacant for more than 12 months but less than 24 months - No Purchase for Value) Justification: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: 200 full-time

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: None provided

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: Not applicable

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 30 construction jobs

Proposed use of property: The applicant plans to use the vacant units for pharmaceutical warehousing and distribution

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial/commercial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for more than 24 continuous months, there has been no purchased for value by a purchaser and the property is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property as abandoned for purpose of the Class 6b Temporary Emergency Economic Recovery Modification (TEERM); and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment according to the TEERM definition, abandonment is defined as a facility being vacant over 12 months but less than 24 months with no purchase for value by a disinterested buyer, in such instances, the County may determine that special circumstances exist under TEERM; thus qualifying the property as abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b TEERM requires a Resolution by the County Board validating the property as abandoned for the purpose of the Class 6b TEERM; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b TEERM is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, commercial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying commercial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b TEERM; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Resolution (Class 6B) Purchase for Value be approved. The motion carried.

**16-3543
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT
AND TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

PROLOGIS-EXCHANGE IL, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: Prologis-Exchange II, LLC

Address: 2001 Lunt Avenue, Elk Grove Village, Illinois 60007

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Elk Grove Village

Cook County District: 15

Permanent Index Number: 08-35-104-074-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: Village of Elk Grove Resolution Number 30-14

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: 22 months vacant

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: not available

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: not available

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: 75 full-time, 100-175 part-time

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 175-250 construction jobs

Proposed use of property: manufacturing, warehousing and distribution

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for more than 24 continuous months, there has been no purchased for value by a purchaser and the property is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of over 24 months and no purchase for value by a disinterested buyer, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property as being deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires a Resolution by the County Board validating the property as abandoned for the purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS; industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Resolution (Class 6B) Purchase for Value be approved. The motion carried.

16-3519

PROPOSED CONTRACT

Department(s): Planning and Development

Vendor: CDM Smith, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Professional Services/Technical Assistance for CDBG-Disaster Recovery Program

Contract Value: \$1,997,184.00

Contract period: 6/29/2016 - 12/31/2019

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2016 - FY 2019 - No fiscal impact; funded by HUD Grant

Accounts: 9411401.520830.100

Contract Number(s): 1685-15562

Concurrences:

The Vendor has met the Minority- and Woman-owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This contract is to retain the services of CDM Smith, Inc. to administer Cook County's allocation of \$83.6 Million from the United States Office of Housing and Urban Development in Community Development Block Grant Disaster Recover grant funds in order to effectively and expeditiously assist the Department in its implementation of Cook County Disaster Recovery Program.

This is a Comparable Government Procurement pursuant to Section 34-140 of the Cook County Procurement Code. CDM Smith, Inc. was previously awarded a contract by the City of Minot, North Dakota through a Request for Proposals (RFP) process. Cook County would like to leverage this procurement effort.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.

16-3643

PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

SPONSORED BY: Luis Arroyo Jr., County Commissioner

YOUTH EMPLOYMENT CLASSIFICATION

WHEREAS, areas of Cook County have seen substantial unemployment in the last few years, with youth between the ages of 16 and 24 experiencing the highest rate of unemployment at above 50%; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board has created property tax classifications to retain and encourage economic development in areas of need in Cook County; and

WHEREAS, these property tax classifications have also facilitated commercial and industrial development, with incentives that result in the reduction in property taxes offered for periods of 12 years; and

WHEREAS, applicants seeking these incentives comply with a list of requirements that include: location in identified blighted areas, increase employment opportunities and the need for public assistance to accomplish modernization, rehabilitation and development; and

WHEREAS, it is incumbent upon this body to seek long term solutions to the multifaceted impact of the economic hardships Cook County residents of all ages face.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 74 - Taxation, Article II - Real Property Taxation, Division 2 - Classification System for Assessment, Section 74, of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 74-61. - Purpose.

The County Board finds and declares that:

(10)The creation of a new property tax classification to encourage employment and increase employment opportunities for youth as defined in Sec. 74-62 (b) and contribute to the long-term economic development and growth of the real property tax base.

Sec. 74-62. - System established; terms defined.

(b)*Definitions.* The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this division, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this Section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Youth means a person of age 16 to 24 of low- or moderate-income or belonging to a household of low- or moderate-income as defined in this Section.

Sec. 74-63. - Assessment classes.

Real estate is divided into the following assessment classes:

(16)Class E. Real estate property used for industrial or commercial purposes not qualifying for any other classifications stated in this Section.

- a. To be eligible for a Class E classification an applicant must prove intent to employ youth as defined in Sec. 74-62 for day-to-day operations of the business, part-time seasonal, part-time permanent, full-time permanent or full-time seasonal employment per year as follows:
 1. The monetary value of the amount of employment hours per year corresponding to at least twice the monetary value of savings realized by this classification in the real estate assessment.
- b. An applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an Ordinance or Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the incentive provided by Class E is necessary for economic development and/or youth employment to occur on that specific real estate and that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the Class E application to the Assessor. A certified copy of the Ordinance or Resolution must be filed at the time of application for the Class E classification. A copy of that Ordinance or Resolution, whichever is submitted, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.
- c. To qualify for the Class E classification, an application for Class E classification must be filed with the Office of the Assessor.
- d. The initial Class E classification shall continue for a period of 5 years for both industrial and commercial property complying with employment of youth as stated in this Section. This incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a 90-percent assessment level, if the following requirements are met:
 1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of the taxpayer's intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
 2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial or commercial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class E; and
 3. A copy of that Resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Office of the Assessor before the expiration of the 90-percent assessment level period.

The number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply, qualifies for Class E and complies with the youth employment requirements stated in this Section. Any property which applies for Class E treatment on or before the adoption date of the ordinance from which this division is derived will be eligible for this renewal term at the end of their original incentive period subject to the above requirements. The notice of intent to request renewal which is filed with the Assessor's Office will be forwarded by the Assessor's

Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the effected districts.

- e. The Assessor shall review the application and supporting documentation to determine eligibility for the Class E classification. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with the foregoing necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine initial and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under the Class E classification.
- f. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class E recipients as to the use of the property and the number of youth employed at the Class E site. Such reports shall be verified. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the non-filing.

Sec. 74-64. - Market value percentages.

The Assessor shall assess, and the Board of Review shall review, assessments on real estate in the various classes at the following percentages of market value:

(16)Class E: 90 percent for first 5 years and for any subsequent 5-year renewal periods.

Sec. 74-73. - Revocation or cancellation of incentive classification.

- (a) The following Incentive Classifications are subject to revocation herein: Class 7c- and Class E.
- (b) The incentive may be revoked under the following circumstances:

- 1. By rule, as provided by the Assessor;
- 2. By the County Board by Resolution or Ordinance;
- 3. By the County Board by Resolution or Ordinance at the request of the Municipality's submission of a Municipal Resolution or Ordinance; and
- 4. By request of the taxpayer to cancel.

In case of revocation or cancellation, the Incentive Classification shall be deemed null and void for the tax year in which the incentive was revoked or cancelled as to the subject property. In such an instance, the taxpayer shall be liable for and shall reimburse to the County Collector an amount equal to the difference in the amount of taxes that would have been collected had the subject property been assessed as a Class 5 property and the amount of taxes collected under the Incentive Classification as determined by the Assessor.

Effective date: This ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption

This item was deferred.

LEGISLATION AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE
MEETING OF JUNE 29, 2016

16-3640

Appointee(s): Kathy Bilski

Position: Trustee

Department/Board/Commission: South Stickney Sanitary District Board of Trustees

Effective date: Immediate

Expiration date: 5/1/2018. Ms. Bilski will replace Jay Grider, who has been serving on an expired term

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, that this Appointment be approved. The motion carried.

TECHNOLOGY AND INNOVATION COMMITTEE
MEETING OF JUNE 29, 2016

16-3490

PROPOSED CONTRACT (TECHNOLOGY)

Department(s): Bureau of Technology

Vendor: Sentinel Technologies, Inc., Downers Grove, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Wide Area Network operations and support services

Contract Value: \$11,158,838.00

Contract period: 8/1/2016 - 7/31/2021, with two (2) one (1) year renewal options

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2016 \$392,075.00; FY 2017 \$2,337,420.00; FY 2018

\$2,368,811.00; FY 2019 \$2,400,850.00; FY 2020 \$2,433,492.00; FY 2021 \$1,226,190.00

Accounts: 490-441; 1400908853-570; 1500909509-441

Contract Number(s): 1518-14654

Concurrence(s):

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: The Sentinel Technologies, Inc. contract provides Wide Area Network (“WAN”) operations and support services. Under the contract, Sentinel will provide operational support, proactive network performance monitoring, after hours helpdesk support, and 24/7/365 network monitoring, as well as numerous other mission-critical services. Without such services, communication throughout Cook County would be greatly impacted and key customers to the Bureau of Technology, such as Public Safety, Life Safety, and elected official agencies would be adversely affected.

Request for Proposal (RFP) procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Sentinel Technologies, Inc. was selected based on established evaluation criteria.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Morrison, that this Contract (Technology) be approved. The motion carried.

16-3501

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Technology

Request: Refer to the Committee on Technology

Report Title: Quarterly Progress Report on the Creation of the Automated Criminal Justice System

Report Period: 1st Quarter 2016

Summary: Pursuant to Resolution 13-2002, the CIO shall update the Board of Commissioners via the Technology Committee on progress being made towards achieving the goal of an integrated, automated Cook County Criminal Justice System.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Morrison, that this Report be received and filed. The motion carried.

**RULES AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE
MEETING OF JUNE 29, 2016**

16-4075

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

COOK COUNTY CLERK, David Orr, presented in printed form a record of the Journal of Proceedings of the regular meeting held on 5/11/2016 .

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Journal of Proceedings be approved. The motion carried.

FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING OF JUNE 29, 2016

COURT ORDERS

16-3546

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,387.50
Case Name: In the Interest of Corday R.
Trial Court No(s): 11JA22
Appellate Court No(s): 16-0261
This Appellate Cases was approved.

16-3489

Attorney/Payee: Sabra Ebersole
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$6,349.25
Services Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Clifton Lemon
Case No(s): 13CR1334905
This Criminal Division was approved.

16-3548

Attorney/Payee: Daniel E. Radakovich

Presenter: Same
Fees: \$20,697.38
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): William Moore
Case No(s): 08CR4658
This Criminal Division was approved.

16-3613

Attorney/Payee: Sabra Ebersole
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,273.97
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Linda Garton
Case No(s): 15MC1600043
This Criminal Division was approved.

16-3772

Attorney/Payee: Joseph P. Kennelly Attorney
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,437.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent
respondent(s): legal representation
Name (s) of respondent (s): Jennifer Vojinovic
Case No(s): 11CR19229-03, 14CR8561-01
This Criminal Division was approved.

16-3678

Attorney/Payee: Rodney W. Stewart
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$3,306.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): M. Malone
Case No(s): 10D2413
This Domestic Relations Division was approved.

16-3716

Attorney/Payee: ROTMAN & ROTMAN, LTD.
Presenter: Michael H. Rotman
Fees: \$610.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): G. P. Jiang
Case No(s): 2010D011276

This Domestic Relations Division was approved.

16-3392

Attorney/Payee: Monica M. Torres
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$487.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ruby Garmon (mother)
In Re: J. Key (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA1081

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3393

Attorney/Payee: Monica M. Torres
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$450.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tamika Holmes (mother)
In Re: T. Carbins, T. Carbins (minors)
Case No(s): 15JA00351, 15JA00352

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3397

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$250.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Ernie Davis (father)
In Re: B. Haynes (minor)
Case No(s): 02JA00257

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3398

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida, Attorney
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$937.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of Respondent(s): Antonio Maxey (father)
In Re: C. Maxey, A. Dominguez (minors)
Case No (s): 15JA0681, 14JA0628

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3399

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida, Attorney
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$612.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of Respondent(s): S. Peterson (minor) GAL
In Re: S. Peterson (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA215

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3400

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida, Attorney
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$762.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of Respondent(s): R. Willis, Sr. (father)
In Re: R. Willis (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA0749

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3401

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$875.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): L. Harper, L. Harper (minors) GAL
In Re: L. Harper, L. Harper (minors)
Case No(s): 14JA1340, 14JA1341

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3419

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida, Attorney
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$825.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of Respondent(s): Katherine Hatcher (mother)
In Re: J. Hatcher, K. Gates, K. Gates, R. Gates (minors)
Case No(s): 11JA090, 11JA089, 11JA088, 11JA087

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3420

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$631.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of Respondent(s): Tresa Jackson (mother)
In Re: R. Houston (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA1386

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3421

Attorney/Payee: Joseph G. Gebhart, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$837.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Alastar Ford (father)

In Re: B. Ford (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA846

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3422

Attorney/Payee: Joseph G. Gebhart, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$412.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Angela Lyons (mother)
In Re: K. Loller, K. Lyons (minors)
Case No(s): 14JA1079, 14JA1080

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3423

Attorney/Payee: Joseph G. Gebhart, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$775.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Shaune Burns (father)
In Re: S. Burns (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA33

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3424

Attorney/Payee: Joseph G. Gebhart, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees \$725.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D'Anthony Ford (father)
In Re: Z. Barnes (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA314

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3425

Attorney/Payee: Joseph G. Gebhart, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$375.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Berry III (father)
In Re: A. Berry, A. Berry (minors)
Case No(s): 14JA1120, 14JA1121

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3426

Attorney/Payee: Joseph G. Gebhart, Attorney At Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$562.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Crystal Johnson (mother)
In Re: K. House, L. House, D. Johnson (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA00830, 09JA00831, 10JA00419

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3427

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$656.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Nicole Belcastro (mother)
In Re: L. Belcastro, T. Belcastro (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA650, 09JA651

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3428

Attorney/Payee: Samuel Warsawsky
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$525.00

Services Rendered for court-appointed representation indigent
respondent(s): legal representation
Name (s) of respondent (s): Dejuan Wilkins (father)
In Re: D. Wilkins, I. Wilkins (minors)
Case No(s): 14JA00274, 14JA00276

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3429

Attorney/Payee: Donna L. Ryder
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,395.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Hart (minor) GAL
In Re: A. Hart (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA647

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3430

Attorney/Payee: Donna L. Ryder
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,248.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Williams (father)
In Re: D. Williams (minor)
Case No(s): 07JA1051

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3436

Attorney/Payee: Crystal B. Ashley
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,015.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Price (minor) GAL
In Re: J. Price (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA0455

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3448

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$693.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): E. Howard, Sr. (father)
In Re: E. Howard (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA00717

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3449

Attorney/Payee: Thomas J. Esler
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$435.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jesse Chagoya (father)
In Re: J. Chagoya, J. Chagoya (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA0866, 09JA0867

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3450

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$500.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lyn'shay Davis (mother)
In Re: A. Davis, A. Davis, E. Davis (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA554, 14JA1123, 14JA1124

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3455

Attorney/Payee: Robert A. Horwitz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$237.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Bernardino Lopez (father)
In Re: A. Lopez (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA11

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3468

Attorney/Payee: Terence Whitney, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$393.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jasmine Payton (mother)
In Re: D. Payton (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA73

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3469

Attorney/Payee: Terence Whitney, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,062.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Rachel Eddings (mother)
In Re: D. Eddings, J. Flagg, M. Alexander (minors)
Case No(s): 15JA612, 15JA613, 15JA614

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3470

Attorney/Payee: Terence Whitney, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$593.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Hill, S. Smith (minors) GAL
In Re: A. Hill, S. Smith (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA0659, 14JA1449

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3472

Attorney/Payee: Terence Whitney, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$637.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): N. Dyckman, C. Armstrong, M. Benford (minors) GAL
In Re: N. Dyckman, C. Armstrong, M. Benford (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA739, 13JA740, 14JA795
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3473

Attorney/Payee: Terence Whitney, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$475.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): K. Brooks (mother)
In Re: T. Brooks (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA684
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3474

Attorney/Payee: Terence Whitney, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$275.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): B. Holt (father)
In Re: D. Randolph (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA496
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3486

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,156.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Dorothy Jordan (mother)
In Re: N. Boswell (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA361
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3487

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond, Esq.
Presenter: Darlene Redmond
Fees: \$274.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Catherine Reed (mother)
In Re: I. Walker (minor)
Case No(s): 04JA882
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3502

Attorney/Payee: Robert A. Horwitz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$212.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jose Eduardo Gomez (father)
In Re: J. Mena (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA322
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3512

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$900.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Patricia Cox (mother)

In Re: A. Williams, D. Cox, D. Cox (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA00882, 13JA00883, 13JA00884

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3518

Attorney/Payee: Donna L. Ryder
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$255.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Laura Oresky (guardian)
In Re: J. Cope (minor)
Case No(s): 01JA942

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3524

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$593.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lazaro Singh (father)
In Re: S. Washington (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA1347

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3525

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$856.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lashound Davis (mother)
In Re: C. Lewis, K. Lewis, A. Davis, P. Davis (minors)
Case No(s): 02JA1155, 02JA1156, 15JA859, 15JA860

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3526

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$418.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Natashia Bailey (mother)
In Re: B. Bailey (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA56

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3527

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$712.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Adrena Jarrett (mother)
In Re: C. Keenan (minor)
Case No(s): 08JA1078

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3528

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$543.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Pitagoras Pena (father)
In Re: A. Abrams (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA804

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3531

Attorney/Payee: Judith Hannah
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$175.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):

legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): K. Humphrey (minor) GAL
In Re: K. Humphrey (minor)
Case No(s): 16JA299

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3532

Attorney/Payee: Judith Hannah
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$187.99
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Carmen Grant (mother)
In Re: C. Grant (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA614

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3533

Attorney/Payee: Judith Hannah
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$444.71
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Marcellas Williams (mother)
In Re: K. Williams (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA453

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3534

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$625.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Sardin III (father)
In Re: J. Sardin IV, M. Harris (minors)
Case No(s): 03JA00764, 05JA01024

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3535

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,387.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Dorothy McSwine (mother)
In Re: R. Jackson (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA01004

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3536

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,925.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): C. Lawson (mother), H. Turner (father)
In Re: J. Turner, J. Lawson, E. Isaac, C. Lewis (minors)
Case No(s): 01JA2177, 06JA238, 06JA240, 10JA287

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3537

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,618.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Hamilton, D. Hightower (fathers)
In Re: D. Hamilton, D. Hightower (minors)
Case No(s): 15JA1090, 15JA1091

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3539

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy

Presenter: Same
Fees: \$456.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Taniya Scott (mother)
In Re: M. Fletcher (minor)
Case No(s): 07JA612

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3540

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$737.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): C. Robinson (mother), D. Ware (father)
In Re: S. Ware (minor)
Case No(s): 03JA1495

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3541

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$687.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Vicki Reed (mother)
In Re: T. Reed, K. Reed (minors)
Case No(s): 11JA1017, 11JA1018

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3542

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,325.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jaime Guzman (father)
In Re: A. Al-Allan (minor)

Case No(s): 15JA193

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3544

Attorney/Payee: Dean C. Morask

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$493.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Katherine Manning (mother)

In Re: M. Drew (minor)

Case No(s): 13JA410

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3545

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$918.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Campbell, N. Campbell, J. Campbell, J. Campbell
(minors) GAL

In Re: T. Campbell, N. Campbell, J. Campbell, J. Campbell (minors)

Case No(s): 14JA735, 14JA736, 14JA737, 15JA967

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3560

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$631.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Jonathan Clerk (father)

In Re: J. Clerk, J. Clerk (minors)

Case No(s): 06JA688, 06JA859

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3561

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,213.43
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Donna Neal (mother)
In Re: O. Neal, O. Gale, O. Brown, and O. Atwater (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA244, 13JA245, 13JA246, 14JA720
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3563

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$625.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Steve Little (father)
In Re: H. Little (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA246
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3564

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$687.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): K. Jones (minor) GAL
In Re: K. Jones (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA1118
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3565

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$762.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Janella Williams (mother)
In Re: R. Williams, J. Williams, J. Lewis (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA362, 13JA363, 15JA631

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3566

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$482.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): George McAlister (father)
In Re: D. McAlister, D. Purches (minors)
Case No(s): 14JA405, 14JA406

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3567

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$962.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Mashawn Rhodes (father)
In Re: T. Rhodes, J. Whiteside (minors)
Case No(s): 15JA1171, 15JA1173

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3568

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,566.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Marquel Johnigan (father)
In Re: M. Fox (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA1214

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3569

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$728.24
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): C. Miles, C. Miles (minors) GAL
In Re: C. Miles, C. Miles (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA056 13JA057
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3570

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$481.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Polk (minor) GAL
In Re: J. Polk (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA781
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3571

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$225.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): W. Boyd, D. Scott (fathers)
In Re: I. Hopkins, S. Ramey (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA519, 13JA517
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3572

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$343.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Elijah Hartfield (father)

In Re: A. Hartfield (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA586

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3573

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$837.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jasmine Young (mother)
In Re: S. Coleman, D. Coleman, D. Coleman (minors)
Case No(s): 15JA71, 15JA72, 15JA73

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3591

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida, Attorney
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,012.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of Respondent(s): Jose Matias (father)
In Re: C. Penar (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA0852

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3600

Attorney/Payee: Thomas J. Esler
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$595.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Thomas Cloney (father)
In Re: J. Karaczun (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA 00771

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3602

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$475.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s) A. Vazquez (minor) GAL
In Re: A. Vazquez (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA914

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3603

Attorney/Payee: Thomas J. Esler
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$400.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): R. Johnson (mother)
In Re: S. Starling (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA0719

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3604

Attorney/Payee: John Benson
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$382.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tondra Johnson (mother)
In Re: K. Johnson (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA108

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3605

Attorney/Payee: John Benson
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$585.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Kelsey Blankenship (mother)
In Re: N. Blankenship (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA809

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3606

Attorney/Payee: John Benson
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$912.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ernest Ward (father)
In Re: Z. Moore (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA1110

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3608

Attorney/Payee: Ellen J Morris Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$506.25
Services Rendered for court appointed representation of Indigent
Respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Andre Lewis (father)
In Re: D. Sparkman (minor)
Case NO(s): 12JA875

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3618

Attorney/Payee: Brian J. O'Hara
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,225.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Julius Price (father)
In Re: J. Price (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA455

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3620

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,204.33
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Yunus Muhammad (father)
In Re: A. Muhammad (minor)
Case No(s): 08JA919

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3621

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$912.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Yasar Cunningham (father)
In Re: G. Williams, J. Cunningham, Y. Cunningham (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA313, 12JA314, 12JA425

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3622

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$756.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Kalyhia Warren (mother)
In Re: K. Parks (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA492

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3623

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$462.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Briana Smith (mother)
In Re: C. Almodovar (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA1244

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3624

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,100.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Valerie Humphrey (mother)
In Re: C. Humphrey, A. Humphrey, K. Humphrey (minors)
Case No(s): 14JA416, 15JA100, 16JA299

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3625

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$625.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tatiana King (mother)
In Re: A. King (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA536

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3626

Attorney/Payee: Colleen R. Daly, Attorney at Law, LLC
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$225.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Efrain Cardena Mendez (father)
In Re: D. Cardena, G. Cardena (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA942, 14JA1312

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3627

Attorney/Payee: Colleen R. Daly, Attorney at Law, LLC
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$740.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): George Clifford-Wills (father)
In Re: T. Spencer-Wills (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA479

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3629

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$581.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Brielle Franklin (mother)
In Re: B. Franklin (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA00525

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3630

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$425.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lewis James Hill III (father)
In Re: L. Hill, A. Hill, E. Hill (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA00222, 13JA00330, 14JA00601

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3631

Attorney/Payee: Stuart Joshua Holt, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$687.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jason Gardner (father)
In Re: A. Gardner (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA00500
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3644

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$262.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Angela Tarrer (mother)
In Re: T. Burnett (minor)
Case No(s): 08JA00730
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3650

Child Protection Division
Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$718.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Dewayne Bledsoe, Craig Lewis (fathers)
In Re: J. Craig, L. Craig, A. Craig (minors)
Case No(s): 14JA463, 15JA986, 15JA987
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3660

Attorney/Payee: Eleesha Madeline O'Neill
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$512.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Rosalyn Morris (mother)

In Re M. Alcantar (minor)
Case No(s): 98JA3611

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3661

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$431.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Holli Boam (mother)
In Re: N. Sanchez, A. Sanchez (minors)
Case No(s): 14JA286, 14JA287

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3662

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$256.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Gregory McMillan (father)
In Re: J. McMillan (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA1125

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3666

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,000.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jomo Burks (father)
In Re: D. Burks (minor)
Case No(s): 10JA1018

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3672

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$562.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Leroy Swayzer (father)
In Re: K. Lloyd, L. Swayzer, J. Swayzer, J. Swayzer, J. Swayzer, J. Swayzer,
J. Swayzer (minors)
Case No(s): 14JA00311, 14JA00313, 14JA00314, 14JA00315, 14JA00316, 14JA00317,
14JA00318

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3673

Attorney/Payee: Rodney W. Stewart
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$606.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Alexandra Rodriguez
In Re: A. Turner, A. Turner, A. Rodriguez (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA353, 13JA354, 13JA963

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3674

Attorney/Payee: Rodney W. Stewart
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$543.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lucille Johnson (mother)
In Re: H. Sims, C. Sims, M. Sims (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA523, 13JA524, 13JA525

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3675

Attorney/Payee: Rodney W. Stewart
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$231.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Danzy (minor) GAL
In Re: D. Danzy (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA413

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3676

Attorney/Payee: Rodney W. Stewart
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$737.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): V. Stocklaufer, M. Stocklaufer, A. Stocklaufer, V.
Stocklaufer (minors) GAL
In Re: V. Stocklaufer, M. Stocklaufer, A. Stocklaufer, V. Stocklaufer (minors)
Case No(s): 11JA831, 11JA832, 11JA833, 12JA389

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3677

Attorney/Payee: Rodney W. Stewart
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$475.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Constance Ashley (mother)
In Re: M. Glenn, M. Glenn, M. Glenn (minors)
Case No(s): 11JA700, 11JA701, 11JA702

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3679

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$418.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Marquis Mays (father)
In Re: C. Calmese (minor)

Case No(s): 06JA827

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3681

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$337.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Baynes (father)

In Re: D. F. Brown (minor)

Case No(s): 09JA172

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3682

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$306.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Virgil Agee (father)

In Re: V. Newman (minor)

Case No(s): 14JA134

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3683

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$225.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Gregory Robinson (father)

In Re: O. Robinson (minor)

Case No(s): 02JA00217

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3684

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$537.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Rosie Brooks (mother)
In Re: A. Brooks, J. Baker, X. Brooks (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA243, 12JA244, 12JA918
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3685

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$737.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Valeria Reyes (mother)
In Re: I. Lopez, A. Reyes (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA752, 12JA753
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3686

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$275.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Antonio Colon (father)
In Re: B. Bennett (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA048
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3687

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$462.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):

legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Rackel Matthews (mother)

In Re: C. Ingram, C. Ingram, T. Matthews (minors)

Case No(s): 12JA1071, 12JA1072, 13JA828

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3688

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$325.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):

legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): James Newsome (father)

In Re: K. Newsome (minor)

Case No(s): 07JA266

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3689

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$356.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):

legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Robert Scott (father)

In Re: S. Crawford (minor)

Case No(s): 12JA449

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3692

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$812.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):

legal representation

Name(s) of respondent: S. Cortez (father)

In Re: L. Cortez (minor)

Case No(s): 16JA145

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3693

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$812.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent: K. Cassell (minor) GAL
In Re: K. Cassell (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA981

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3694

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$762.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent: J. Wiley (legal guardian)
In Re: I. Riley, M. Riley, T. Riley (minors)
Case No(s) 15JA1262, 15JA263, 15JA1264

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3695

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,325.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent: C. Kriescher (minor) GAL
In Re: C. Kriescher (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA1176

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3702

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida, Attorney
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$450.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Calvin Wilkins (father)
In Re: A. Loggins (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA0750

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3711

Attorney/Payee: Robert A. Horwitz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,093.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Alex Solanke (father)
In Re: K. Caples-Lacy (minor)
Case No(s): 16JA125

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3712

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$506.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Pablo Barreto (father)
In Re: I. Vasquez (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA00774

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3713

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$393.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Samantha Conners (mother)
In Re: M. Soto (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA00251

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3714

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$525.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Shannon Dye (father)
In Re: S. Cherry, J. Dye (minors)
Case No(s): 14JA01128, 15JA01003
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3715

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$506.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Michael Henderson (father)
In Re: R. Henderson (minor)
Case No(s): 16JA00093
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3717

Attorney/Payee: Steven O. Ross
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,075.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tiffany Vassor (mother)
In Re: K. Vassor, T. Vassor, L. Vassor (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA211, 13JA212, 14JA292
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3718

Attorney/Payee: Steven O. Ross
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$468.18
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Sylvestre Perez (father)
In Re: S. Perez J. Perez Vazquez (minors)
Case No(s): 04JA1457, 04JA1458
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3719

Attorney/Payee: Donna L. Ryder
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$490.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Christine Coleman (mother)
In Re: D. Coleman (minor)
Case No(s): 04JA1609
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3721

Attorney/Payee: Michael G. Cawley
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$387.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of Respondent(s): D. Carmickle (minor) GAL
In Re: D. Carmickle (minor)
Case No(s): 06JA260
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3722

Attorney/Payee: Michael G. Cawley, P.C.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$500.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Eleazar Garcia (father)
In Re: S. Tovar (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA27

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3724

Attorney/Payee: Michael G. Cawley
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$312.50
Services Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent
respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Iesha Wilson (mother)
In Re: D. Gresham (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA325

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3725

Attorney/Payee: Michael G. Cawley, P.C.
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$275.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s)
legal representation
Name(s) of Respondent(s): Moses Stamps (father)
In Re: T. Robinson, I. Robinson (minors)
Case No(s) 07JA580, 07JA581

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3731

Attorney/Payee: Robert A. Horwitz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$243.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Joanne Foster (mother)
In Re: J. Foster, A. Duling (minors)
Case No(s): 11JA889, 13JA621

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3733

Attorney/Payee: John Benson

Presenter: Same
Fees: \$511.12
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): K. Jarrett (mother)
In Re: K. Bell (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA775

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3734

Attorney/Payee: Monica M. Torres
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,031.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Craig, L. Craig (minors) GAL
In Re: A. Craig, L. Craig (minors)
Case No(s): 15JA986, 15JA987

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3735

Attorney/Payee: Monica M. Torres
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$925.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Ball, D. McDuff, Y. McDuff, J. McDuff (minors)
In Re: T. Ball, D. MCDuff, Y. McDuff, J. McDuff (minors)
Case No(s): 16JA00091, 15JA1290, 15JA1291, 15JA1292

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3736

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$630.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Jackson, K. Bryant (minors) GAL
In Re: J. Jackson, K. Bryant (minors)

Case No(s): 12JA262, 13JA449

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3737

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$400.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Paris Lawson (mother)

In Re: J. Lawson (minor)

Case No(s): 14JA150

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3738

Child Protection

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$568.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): M. Vaval, D. Vaval (minors) GAL

In Re: M. Vaval, D. Vaval (minors)

Case No(s): 09JA1012, 09JA1014

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3739

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$543.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Alita Agee (mother)

In Re: J. Fields, J. Fields, J. Fields, A. Agee (minors)

Case No(s): 15JA315, 15JA316, 15JA317, 15JA318

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3740

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$231.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Mayfield (minor) GAL
In Re: A. Mayfield (minor)
Case No(s): 10JA0424

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3749

Attorney/Payee: The Law Offices of Theodore J. Adams, P.C.
Presenter: Theodore J. Adams
Fees: \$800.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): X. Bouie (minor) GAL
In Re: X. Bouir (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA769

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3750

Attorney/Payee: The Law Offices of Theodore J. Adams, P.C.
Presenter: Theodore J. Adams
Fees: \$542.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): M. Roman, J. Roman, M. Roman, J. Roman. (minors) GAL
In Re: M. Roman, J. Roman, M. Roman, J. Roman (minors)
Case No(s): 15JA123, 15JA124, 15JA125, 15JA250

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3761

Attorney/Payee: Crystal B. Ashley
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$852.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): L. Krzywda, C. LeFlore, A. Lomeli, J. Lomeli
(minors) GAL

In Re: L. Krzywda, C. LeFlore, A. Lomeli, J. Lomeli (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA816, 12JA817, 12JA818, 14JA636

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3765

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$450.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Anastasia Owens (mother)

In Re: S. Owens (minors)

Case No(s): 14JA00343

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3766

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$412.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Kedzie McKenzie (mother)

In Re: Z. McKenzie (minor)

Case No(s): 14JA00603

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3767

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$450.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Jessica Rosales (mother)

In Re: N. Germany, N. Germany (minors)

Case No(s): 13JA00210, 16JA00453

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3768

Attorney/Payee: Francine N. Green-Kelner
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$3,254.00
Services rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Durr, E. Durr, J. Sardin IV, M. Harris, J. Harris, D. Anderson (minors) GAL
In Re: J. Durr, E. Durr, J. Sardin IV, M. Harris, J. Harris, D. Anderson (minors)
Case No(s): 03JA764, 03JA765, 03JA766, 05JA1024, 08JA759, 08JA860
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3769

Attorney/Payee: Francine N. Green-Kelner
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$932.00
Services rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): N. Rivera, M. Rivera, D. Rivera (minors) GAL
In Re: N. Rivera, M. Rivera, D. Rivera (minors)
Case No(s): 01JA1084, 01JA1085, 01JA1086
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3773

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$412.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Craig Sanders (father)
In Re: K. Love, K. Sanders, R. Sanders, (minors)
Case No(s) 14JA302, 14JA304, 14JA305
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3774

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$693.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Simmons, M. Watkins, J. Hopkins (minors)
In Re: A. Simmons, M. Watkins, J. Hopkins (minors)
Case No(s) 09JA529, 09JA530, 09JA532
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3775

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$425.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Samairah Hall (mother)
In Re: A. Sanders (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA757
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3776

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$242.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Paul Wilmer (father)
In Re: S. Wilmer (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA1186
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3777

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$412.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Kierra Johnson (mother)
In Re: A. Johnson (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA16

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3779

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$250.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Douglas Washington (father)
In Re: L. Washington (minor)
Case No(s): 10JA993

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3780

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$450.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Yameen (minor) GAL
In Re: A. Yameen (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA01197

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3781

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$462.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Anthony Cooper (father)
In Re: B. Franklin (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA00525

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3782

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$281.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Perkins (minor) GAL
In Re: A. Perkins (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA00123

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3783

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$331.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Sanders, A. Hall (minors) GAL
In Re: A. Sanders, A. Hall (minors)
Case No(s): 14JA00757, 15JA00414

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3784

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$306.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Latasha Guinn (mother)
In Re: H. Guinn (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA00352

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3785

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$262.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):

legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): George Lomeli (father)
In Re: J. Lomeli (minor)
Case No(s): 12JA00818

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3787

Attorney/Payee: Paul Karoll
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$256.25
Service rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name of respondent(s): Annette Miller (mother)
In Re: Shamica Barnes (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA961

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3791

Attorney/Payee: Patrick K. Schlee
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$818.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Rogers, D. Rogers (minors) GAL
In Re: D. Rogers, D. Rogers (minors)
Case No(s): 15JA705, 15JA706

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3792

Attorney/Payee: Patrick K. Schlee
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$237.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Elton Brown (purported father)
In Re: A. Figueroa-Sutherland (minor)
Case No(s): 16JA100

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3793

Attorney/Payee: Patrick K. Schlee
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$250.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): L. Hill, A. Hill, E. Hill (minors) GAL
In Re: L. Hill, A. Hill, E. Hill (minors)
Case No(s): 12JA222, 13JA330, 14JA601
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3794

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$897.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Jenkins (minor) GAL
In Re: D. Jenkins (minor)
Case No(s): 03JA1527
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3795

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$352.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lucinda Cassell (mother)
In Re: K. Cassell (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA981
This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3796

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell

Presenter: Same
Fees: \$455.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tim Brown (father)
In Re: M. Moore (minor)
Case No(s): 01JA352

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3799

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$687.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tywan Parker (father)
In Re: T. Parker, T. Parker (minors)
Case No(s): 15JA113, 16JA114

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3801

Attorney/Payee: Eleesha Madeline O'Neill
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,050.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Beth Harris (mother)
In Re H. Patterson, T. Hunt, J. Hunt (minors)
Case No(s): 14JA0068, 11JA0414, 11JA0415

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3802

Attorney/Payee: Samuel Warsawsky
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,425.00
Services Rendered for court-appointed representation indigent
respondent(s): legal representation
Name (s) of respondent (s): Eric Heimberg (father)
In Re: B. Heimberg (minor)
Case No(s) 14JA1180

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3803

Attorney/Payee: Samuel Warsawsky
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$837.50
Services Rendered for court-appointed representation indigent
respondent (s): legal representation
Name (s) of respondent (s): Casanova Miles (father)
In Re: C. Miles, T. Miles (minors)
Case No(s): 15JA288, 15JA289

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3804

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$395.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Kevin Wysinger (father)
In Re: S. Wysinger, K. Wysinger (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA28, 09JA29

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3805

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$431.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Peter Bikowski (father)
In Re: M. Bikowski (minor)
Case No(s): 13JA204

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3806

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$412.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Robert Tigner (father)
In Re: D. Tigner (minor)
Case No(s): 10JA846

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3807

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,390.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Clarence Miller (father)
In Re: J. Laboy (minor)
Case No(s): 10JA144

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3808

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$873.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jamal Joyner (father)
In Re: J. Cargo-Joyner (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA359

This Child Protection Division was approved.

16-3402

Attorney/Payee: Eleesha Madeline O'Neill
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$637.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Margaret Smith (Guardian)
In Re J. McGee (minor)
Case No(s): 14JD01591

This Juvenile Justice Division was approved.

16-3475

Attorney/Payee: Terence R. Whitney, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$437.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Vazquez (minor), E. Heredia (mother)
Case No(s): 14JD4680

This Juvenile Justice Division was approved.

16-3476

Attorney/Payee: Terence R. Whitney, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$475.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Henderson (minor), C. and J. Martin, (parents)
Case No(s): 15JD1669

This Juvenile Justice Division was approved.

16-3530

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$500.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Davis (minor)
Case No(s): 15JD2705

This Juvenile Justice Division was approved.

16-3601

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert Schumm
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$656.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): S. Morris (minor), A. Andrew (mother)
Case No(s): 15JD02736

This Juvenile Justice Division was approved.

16-3628

Attorney/Payee: Colleen R. Daly, Attorney at Law, LLC
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$512.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): M. Triplett (minor), Collie Howard Legal Guardian
Case No(s): 13JD4411

This Juvenile Justice Division was approved.

16-3467

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$550.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent: F. Rogers (mother)
In Re: R. Smith (minor)
Case No(s): 15JD2912

This Juvenile Justice Division was approved.

16-3691

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$912.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent: S. Marshall (father)
In Re: B. Marshall (minor)

Case No(s): 14JD2295

This Juvenile Justice Division was approved.

16-3705

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$475.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): B. Woodland (minor), L. Wingard (mother)

Case No(s): 14JD03454

This Juvenile Justice Division was approved.

16-3720

Attorney/Payee: James J. Martin Attorney at Law

Presenter: Same:

Fees: \$800.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): J. McCafferty (minor)

Case No(s): 12JD30059

This Juvenile Justice Division was approved.

16-3751

Attorney/Payee: The Law Offices of Theodore J. Adams, P.C.

Presenter: Theodore J. Adams

Fees: \$315.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): K. Hall, M.Hall (minors), K. Hall (father), C. Vega
(mother)

Case No(s): 12JD3973, 12JD3630

This Juvenile Justice Division was approved.

16-3752

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida

Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,412.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of Respondent(s): K. Purnell (minor), Irma Burrage (mother)
Case No(s): 15JD2243, 15JD2425, 15JD2761, 15JD2861
This Juvenile Justice Division was approved.

16-3778

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$325.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Spaulding (minor), S. Spaulding (mother)
Case No(s): 13JD280
This Juvenile Justice Division was approved.

16-3798

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,975.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. C. Martinez (minor), C. Martinez (father), M.
Martinez (mother)
Case No(s): 14JD2429
This Juvenile Justice Division was approved.

16-3574

Firm: Querrey & Harrow
Special State's Attorney(s): Terrence F. Guolee and Larry S. Kowalczyk
Case Name: Hernandez v Cook County Sheriff's Department et al.
Case No(s): 07 C 855
Time period: 03-09-2016 - 04-26-2016
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$9,626.50
Paid to Date: \$929,005.48
Fixed Charges Department: 499 (Public Safety)
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: 05-10-2016

This Special Court Case (Special States Attorneys) was approved.

16-3786

Firm: Law Offices of Patricia C. Bobb & Associates, P.C.
Special State's Attorney(s): Patricia C. Bobb
Case Name: Swift, Saunders and Thames v City of Chicago et al.
Case No(s): 12 L 12995, 12 C 9158 and 12 C 9170
Time period: 02-08-2016 - 05-24-2016
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$11,964.45
Paid to Date: \$138,134.25
Fixed Charges Department: 499 (Public Safety)
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: 06-07-2016

This Special Court Case (Special States Attorneys) was approved.

16-3642

Compliance/Complaint Administrator: Compliance Administrator Cardelle Spangler
Case Name: Shakman, et al. v Cook County Recorder of Deeds
Case No(s): 69 C 2145
Date of This Order: 05-26-2016
Unopposed Petition Number: 139
This Court Ordered Amount of this petition: \$8,586.84
Paid to Date: \$1,540,042.81
Fixed Charges Department: 490 (Corporate)

This Special Court Case (Compliance and Complaint Administrators) was approved.

16-3788

Compliance/Complaint Administrator: Mary T. Robinson, Compliance Administrator
Case Name: Shakman et al, v Democratic Organization of Cook County, et al.
Case No(s): 69 C 2145
Date of This Order: 04-08-2016
Unopposed Petition Number: 129
This Court Ordered Amount of this petition: \$56,649.83
Paid to Date: \$7,937,592.20
Fixed Charges Department: 490 (Corporate)

This Special Court Case (Compliance and Complaint Administrators) was approved.

16-3789

Compliance/Complaint Administrator: Mary T. Robinson, Compliance Administrator

Case Name: Shakman, et al. v Democratic Organization of Cook County et al.

Case No (s): 69 C 2145

Date of This Order: 05-11-2016

Unopposed Petition Number: 130

This Court Ordered Amount of this petition: \$51,663.44

Paid to Date: \$7,994,242.03

Fixed Charges Department: 490 (Corporate)

This Special Court Case (Compliance and Complaint Administrators) was approved.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Court Orders be approved. The motion carried.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS

16-3813

Presented by:

Employee: Chancey Bailey

Job Title: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections

Date of Incident: 07/01/2013

Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured his right hand when it was caught in a closing gate.

Accidental Injuries: Right hand

Petition and Order No: 13 WC 33266

Claim Amount: \$32,866.37

Attorney: Lawrence Gordon of Gordon & Centracchio, L.L.C.

Date of Subcommittee Approval: 02/09/2016

Prior/pending claims: None

This Workers' Compensation Claims was approved.

16-3814

Presented by:

Employee: Peggy Ann Brown

Job Title: Clerk

Department: Clerk of the Circuit Court

Date of Incident: 01/20/2011

Incident/Activity: The Petitioner slipped on some ice and fell in the courthouse parking lot, injuring her back.

Accidental Injuries: Back

Petition and Order No: 11 WC 02999

Claim Amount: \$2,000.00

Attorney: Lawrence Gordon of Lawrence G. Gordon, L.L.C.

Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Prior/pending claims: None

This Workers' Compensation Claims was approved.

16-3816

Presented by:

Employee: David Escobar

Job Title: Computer Operator

Department: MIS

Date of Incident: 04/03/2013

Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured his lower back while carrying a box of papers.

Accidental Injuries: Back

Petition and Order No: 13 WC 12713

Claim Amount: \$24,330.95

Attorney: Lorena Hernandez of The Romaker Law Firm

Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Prior/pending claims: None

This Workers' Compensation Claims was approved.

16-3817

Presented by:

Employee: Noel W. Lucas

Job Title: Deputy Sheriff

Department: Sheriff's Court Services

Date of Incident: 02/02/2015

Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured his back and neck when he tripped and fell over a box in the control room.

Accidental Injuries: Back and Neck

Petition and Order No: 15 WC 04769

Claim Amount: \$24,500.00

Attorney: Charles G. Haskins of Cullen, Haskins, Nicholson & Menchetti, P.C.

Date of Subcommittee Approval: None

Prior/pending claims: None

This Workers' Compensation Claims was approved.

16-3818

Presented by:

Employee: Tyrone McGhee

Job Title: Investigator

Department: Department of Corrections

Date of Incident: 05/25/2013

Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured his right arm during a struggle with an arrestee.

Accidental Injuries: Right arm

Petition and Order No: 14 WC 37768

Claim Amount: \$64,899.05

Attorney: Randolph Greune of Law Office of Randolph C. Greune

Date of Subcommittee Approval: 05/10/2016

Prior/pending claims: None

This Workers' Compensation Claims was approved.

16-3819

Presented by:

Employee: Sherry Robinson

Job Title: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections

Date of Incident: 03/12/2015

Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured her left knee and lower back when she slipped on a patch of ice.

Accidental Injuries: Left knee and lower back

Petition and Order No: 15 WC 08724

Claim Amount: \$31,069.38

Attorney: Lawrence Gordon of Gordon & Centracchio, L.L.C.

Date of Subcommittee Approval: 05/10/2016

Prior/pending claims: 10/11/2012 (\$8,906.88)

This Workers' Compensation Claims was approved.

16-3820

Presented by:

Employee: Noreen Ruane-Hraha

Job Title: Deputy Sheriff

Department: Sheriff's Court Services

Date of Incident: 12/11/2012

Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured her right shoulder when she lifted two heavy bags across the conveyor

belt on the X-ray machine.

Accidental Injuries: Right shoulder

Petition and Order No: 13 WC 07602

Claim Amount: \$45,068.79

Attorney: Jack Cannon of Healy Scanlon

Date of Subcommittee Approval: 05/10/2016

Prior/pending claims: None

This Workers' Compensation Claims was approved.

16-3821

Presented by:

Employee: Ramcoomar Samaroo

Job Title: Counselor

Department: Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

Date of Incident: 03/28/2007

Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured his back and head restraining a combative resident.

Accidental Injuries: Back and head

Petition and Order No: 08 WC 34612

Claim Amount: \$20,000.00

Attorney: Donald V. Gallagher of the Law Offices of Donald V. Gallagher, P.C.

Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Prior/pending claims: None

This Workers' Compensation Claims was approved.

16-3822

Presented by:

Employee: Mark Sanavaitis
Job Title: Correctional Officer
Department: Department of Corrections
Date of Incident: 11/16/2014
Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured his head, neck, and lower back when he was attacked by an inmate and knocked down a flight of stairs.
Accidental Injuries: Head, neck, and lower back
Petition and Order No: 14 WC 40937
Claim Amount: \$44,114.25
Attorney: Mike R. Brandenburg of Krol, Bongiorno & Given, Ltd.
Date of Subcommittee Approval: 05/10/2016
Prior/pending claims: None

This Workers' Compensation Claims was approved.

16-3823

Presented by:

Employee: Kenyatha Wilson
Job Title: Road Repairman
Department: Highway Department
Date of Incident: 04/10/2012
Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured his neck and back when he was rear-ended by a vehicle.
Accidental Injuries: Neck and back
Petition and Order No: 13 WC 28115
Claim Amount: \$13,915.60
Attorney: Kitra K. Killen of Goldberg, Weisman & Cairo, Ltd.
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: None

This Workers' Compensation Claims was approved.

16-3845

Presented by:

Employee: Cynthia Sofus
Job Title: Police Officer
Department: Sheriff's Police Department
Date of Incident: 03/07/2013

Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured her back and neck in an automobile accident.

Accidental Injuries: Back and neck

Petition and Order No: 13 WC 24212

Claim Amount: \$8,906.88

Attorney: Scott Barber of Barber Law Offices

Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Prior/pending claims: None

This Workers' Compensation Claims was approved.

16-3846

Presented by:

Employee: Harold Stephens

Job Title: Warehouse Transporter

Department: Clerk of the Circuit Court

Date of Incident: 03/10/2014, 05/10/2010 and 08/25/2008

Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured his left shoulder while lifting materials. The Petitioner was struck by a

car while in the employee parking lot and hurt his shoulder while lifting materials. The Petitioner injured his

abdomen while lifting materials at work.

Accidental Injuries: Left shoulder, abdomen

Petition and Order No: 14 WC 12557; 10 WC 24460; 10 WC 24459; 10 WC 24458

Claim Amount: \$13,123.70

Attorney: John Daley of DePaolo, Zadeikis & Gore

Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Prior/pending claims: 03/06/2003 (\$6,000.00); 09/23/1996 (\$500.00); 11/11/1993 (\$2,500.00)

This Workers' Compensation Claims was approved.

16-3905

Presented by:

Employee: Daryn Brown

Job Title: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Corrections

Date of Incident: 03/16/2015

Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured his head, face and neck, when he was assaulted by an inmate.

Accidental Injuries: Head, face and neck

Petition and Order No: 15 WC 21140
Claim Amount: \$20,000.00
Attorney: Joshua E. Rudolphi of Ankin Law Office, LLC
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: None

This Workers' Compensation Claims was approved.

16-3921

Presented by:

Employee: Joann Abruzzo
Job Title: Deputy Sheriff
Department: Sherriff's Court Services
Date of Incident: 01/24/2012
Incident/Activity: The accident occurred when the Petitioner was in an altercation with a prisoner and injured her right hand.
Accidental Injuries: Right hand
Petition and Order No: 14 WC 03536
Claim Amount: \$24,961.10
Attorney: Richard E. Aleksy of Aleksy Belcher
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: None

This Workers' Compensation Claims was approved.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Workers' Compensation Claims be approved. The motion carried.

SUBROGATION RECOVERIES

16-3559

Presented by:

Responsible Party: Tektumanidze Irakliy, owner and Vladimir Geladze, driver, 19 Harbor Drive, Vernon Hills, Illinois
Damage: Cook County Sheriff's Police Department vehicle
Date of Accident: 03/12/2016
Location: Dee Road near Terrance Drive, Maine Township

Amount: \$6,564.55
Fixed Charges Department: 499 (Public Safety)
Claim Number: 201600033
Department: Cook County Sheriff's Police Department
Account: (499-444)

This Subrogation Recoveries was approved.

16-3609

Presented by:

Responsible Party: Susan Kelleher, owner and driver, 143 Robineau Road, Saracuse, New York
Damage: Cook County Office of the Sheriff vehicle
Date of Accident: 06/06/2015
Location: 4306 N. Campbell Avenue, Chicago, Illinois
Amount: \$958.39
Fixed Charges Department: 499 (Public Safety)
Claim Number: 97012442
Department: Cook County Office of the Sheriff Department
Account: (499-444)

This Subrogation Recoveries was approved.

16-3877

Presented by:

Responsible Party: Fernando Rodriguez, owner and driver, 2641 S. Komensky, Chicago, Illinois
Damage: Cook County Sheriff's Department of Correction vehicle
Date of Accident: 04/08/2016
Location: Eisenhower Expressway near Des Plaines, Avenue, Forest Park, Illinois
Amount: \$23,200.93
Fixed Charges Department: 499 (Public Safety)
Claim Number: 201600079
Department: Cook County Sheriff's Department of Corrections
Account: (499-444)

This Subrogation Recoveries was approved.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, tha the Subrogation Recoveries be approved. The motion carried.

SELF-INSURANCE CLAIMS

16-3896

Presented by:

Department: Department of Public Health

Claim Number: 97012823

Claim Payment: \$1,476.42

Account Number: 899-826

Fixed Charges Department: 899

Claimant: Manuel Galvez

Property Damage/Bodily Injury: 2002 Subaru Forester

County Driver: Robert J. Velcich

Unit/Plate Number: M163554

Prior Accidents: 1

Date of Accident: 01/27/2016

Location: 8650 Dempster Rd. Niles, IL

Incident/Activity: Cook County Department of Public Health truck with a snowplow blade assembly attached

was pulling into a parking space at 8650 Dempster Road in Niles and struck the claimant's parked vehicle causing damages to its front driver's side.

Investigated by: CorVel

This Self-Insurance Claims was approved.

16-3899

Department: Sheriff's Police Department

Claim Number: 97012674

Claim Payment: \$1,212.39

Account Number: 499-826

Fixed Charges Department: 499

Claimant: State Farm Mutual Insurance Company as subrogee of Cynthia Price

Property Damage/Bodily Injury: 2002 Nissan Altima

County Driver: Bowman, Anthony

Unit/Plate Number: 3925774

Prior Accidents: 0

Date of Accident: 09/01/2015

Location: 6656 S. Campbell, Chicago, Illinois

Incident/Activity: Cook County Sheriff's Police vehicle was parked in front of 6656 Campbell Avenue in Chicago, when the vehicle's door was opened directly into the path of the claimant's vehicle. The claimant's vehicle struck the door causing damages to its front right side.

Investigated by: CorVel

This Self-Insurance Claims was approved.

16-3907

Department: Office of the Sheriff
Claim Number: 97012781
Claim Payment: \$2,829.12
Account Number: 499-826
Fixed Charges Department: 499
Claimant: AAA Michigan Subrogee of Christina Radosta
Property Damage/Bodily Injury: 2006 Hyundai Sonata
County Driver: John Witulski
Unit/Plate Number: 4300
Prior Accidents: 0
Date of Accident: 10/14/2015
Location: Lake Street and Franklin St. River Forest Illinois
Incident/Activity: Cook County Sheriff's vehicle was travelling westbound on Lake Street near Franklin Street in River Forest and was unable to stop in time and rear-ended the claimant's vehicle causing damages to its rear bumper.
Investigated by: CorVel
This Self-Insurance Claims was approved.

16-3911

Department: Office of the Sheriff
Claim Number: 97012932
Claim Payment: \$5,250.00
Account Number: 499-826
Fixed Charges Department: 499
Claimant: Helena Gordon
Property Damage/Bodily Injury: 2003 Cadillac CTS
County Driver: Richard Chow
Unit/Plate Number: 8072
Prior Accidents: 0
Date of Accident: 04/15/2016
Location: 25th Street, near Washington Blvd. Bellwood IL
Incident/Activity: Cook County Sheriff's truck was turning into a parking lot on 25th Street near Washington Blvd in Bellwood and struck the claimant's vehicle causing damages to its right front hood and fender rocker panel.
Investigated by: CorVel
This Self-Insurance Claims was approved.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Self-Insurance Claims be approved. The motion carried.

PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS

16-3800

Case: DOC Security Post Construction Upgrades, Chicago, Illinois
Case No: Cook County Contract #10-41-120
Settlement Amount: \$126,060.00
Fixed Charges Department: 490 (Corporate)
Payable to: Fox and Fox Architects
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: 05-10-2016
Subject matter: Final Payment of Construction Contract Funds to Architect
This Proposed Settlements (Municipal Litigation) was approved.

16-3447

Presented by:

Case: Joshua Harness, AKA Hubert Young v. Sheriff and County of Cook
Case No: 14-cv-4110
Settlement Amount: \$2,500.00
Fixed Charges Department: 499 (Public Safety)
Payable to: Joshua Harness
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of deliberate indifference in medical care for refusing treatment for infection.
This Proposed Settlements (Medical Litigation) was approved.

16-3732

Case: Lamont Hall v. County of Cook et al.
Case No: 14-cv-07887
Settlement Amount: \$20,000.00
Fixed Charges Department: 899 (Health)
Payable to: Lamont P. Hall #M00654 and Sidley Austin LLP, his attorneys
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Deliberate indifference to serious medical need.
This Proposed Settlements (Medical Litigation) was approved.

16-3913

Case: Jones v. Dart
Case No: 15 C 2474
Settlement Amount: \$800.00
Fixed Charges Department: 499 (Public Safety)
Payable to: Keisha Jones
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation

This Proposed Settlements (Torts and Civil Rights) was approved.

16-3930

Case: Givens v. Dart, et al.

Case No: 15 C 945

Settlement Amount: \$65,000.00

Fixed Charges Department: 499 (Public Safety)

Payable to: Thomas G. Morrissey, Ltd. and John Givens

Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Subject matter: Allegations of civil rights violations

This Proposed Settlements (Torts and Civil Rights) was approved.

16-3933

Case: Bridgeforth v. Dart

Case No: 14 C 8531

Settlement Amount: \$500.00

Fixed Charges Department: 499 (Public Safety)

Payable to: Idris Bridgeforth

Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation

This Proposed Settlements (Torts and Civil Rights) was approved.

16-3934

Case: Ruiz v. Dart, et al.

Case No: 14 C 1928

Settlement Amount: \$7,500.00

Fixed Charges Department: 899 (Health)

Payable to: Angel Ruiz

Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation

This Proposed Settlements (Torts and Civil Rights) was approved.

16-3935

Case: Aldridge v. Dart, et al.

Case No: 14 C 4209

Settlement Amount: \$5,000.00

Fixed Charges Department: 499 (Public Safety)

Payable to: Jeffrey Aldridge

Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Subject matter: Allegations of civil rights violations

This Proposed Settlements (Torts and Civil Rights) was approved.

16-3936

Case: Mathis v. Dart, et al.

Case No: 14 C 4413

Settlement Amount: \$2,000.00

Fixed Charges Department: 899 (Health)

Payable to: Timothy M. Nolan Client Funds Accounts

Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation

This Proposed Settlements (Torts and Civil Rights) was approved.

16-3924

Case: Anderson v. Thomas, et. al.

Case No: 13 C 6982

Settlement Amount: \$10,000.00

Fixed Charges Department: 899 (Health)

Payable to: Pamela Thomas

Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violations

This Proposed Settlements (Conflicts) was approved.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Proposed Settlements be approved. The motion carried.

PATIENT/ARRESTEE CLAIMS

16-3916

The Department of Risk Management is submitting invoices totaling \$3,683.36 for payment of medical bills for services rendered to patients while in the custody of the Cook County Sheriff's Office. Bills are submitted for payment after review and repricing. Individual checks will be issued by the Comptroller in accordance with the submitted report prepared by the Department of Risk Management.

This Patient Arrestee Claims was approved.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Patient/Arrestee Claims be approved. The motion carried.

EMPLOYEE INJURY COMPENATION CLAIMS

16-3904

The Department of Risk Management is submitting invoices totaling \$602,315.34 for payment of workers compensation costs incurred by employees injured on duty including settlements within the grant of authority conveyed by the Cook County Board of Commissioners to the Department of Risk Management. Individual checks will be issued by the Comptroller in accordance with the submitted report prepared by the Department of Risk Management.

This Employee Injury Compensation Claims was approved.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Employee Injury Compensation Claims be approved. The motion carried.

15-6190

RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE JOHN A. FRITCHEY, COUNTY COMMISSIONER

**REQUESTING TO PRESENT A PUBLIC QUESTION VIA A COUNTYWIDE REFERENDUM
ABOLISHING THE OFFICE OF THE COOK COUNTY RECORDER OF DEEDS**

WHEREAS, Cook County government continues to make concerted efforts to address current and future budgetary constraints by rightsizing governmental operations, improving efficiency and eliminating redundancy in operations, among other measures; and

WHEREAS, the County currently has 29 separately elected officials, some of whom oversee administrative offices; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Recorder of Deeds is tasked with keeping accurate records of land transactions for public and private review; maintaining official records of Uniform Commercial Code (UCC), tax liens and lien releases; and recording and maintaining other official records; and

WHEREAS, the key functions of the Cook County Clerk include maintaining vital records and other official records, administering elections, and maintaining County tax maps and calculating tax rates; and

WHEREAS, functions that are narrowly drawn and administrative in nature provide an excellent opportunity for consolidation with another office; and

WHEREAS, record-keeping is an administrative function shared by both the County Clerk's Office and the County Recorder of Deeds, with many of the Recorder's duties aligning with already existing divisions under the Clerk; and

WHEREAS, only two (2) of the ten (10) largest counties in the United States have a separately elected Recorder of Deeds and Clerk; and

WHEREAS, it is anticipated that a merger of the Cook County Clerk and Cook County Recorder of Deeds could save the County over \$1 million dollars annually through a more efficient provision of services while also centralizing record-keeping and improving customer service; and

WHEREAS, Article VII, Section 4(c) of the Constitution of the State of Illinois states, “Any office may be created or eliminated and the terms of office and manner of selection changed by county-wide referendum”; and

WHEREAS, 10 ILCS 5/28-8.1(a) states, “Whenever a proposition required by law to be voted upon before its adoption, other than a constitutional amendment, is submitted to the people, it is the duty of the Secretary of State to prepare a statement setting forth in detail Section or Sections of the law sought to be amended by the vote, together with statements and suggestions as may be necessary for a proper understanding of the proposition. The statements and suggestions shall be submitted to the Attorney General for his approval”; and

WHEREAS, it is a fundamental underpinning of our great country to provide taxpayers the opportunity to have input as to the functioning of their government and use of their tax dollars; and

WHEREAS, allowing the voters of Cook County the opportunity to determine the propriety of the proposed consolidation via a binding referendum is an opportunity to engage residents directly in our democratic process; and

WHEREAS, in order to consolidate and improve services by merging the functions and responsibilities of the office of the Recorder of Deeds into the office of the Cook County Clerk, the Cook County Board of Commissioners seeks to place a question before the electorate via a referendum on the November 8, 2016, countywide ballot seeking to eliminate the office of the Cook County Recorder of Deeds and merge its functions into those of the Cook County Clerk, as follows:

“Shall the Office of the Cook County Recorder of Deeds be eliminated and all duties and responsibilities of the Office of the Cook County Recorder of Deeds be transferred to, and assumed by, the Office of the Cook County Clerk by ~~December 1, 2018~~ December 7, 2020.

Yes

No”

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that this Board present the aforementioned question to the electorate via a countywide referendum on the November 8, 2016 ballot; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Cook County Clerk notifies the Secretary of State, and the Attorney General of this request for action.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Resolution be approved as amended. The motion carried.

Commissioners Boykin, Butler, Moore, Sims and Steele voted “no”.

ZONING AND BUILDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF JUNE 29, 2016

16-3809

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Request: Variation V 16-16

Township: Palos

County District: 17

Property Address: 8118 W. 130th Street, Palos Park, Illinois

Property Description: The Subject Property consists of approximately 0.79 acre located on the north side of 130th Street approximately 128.16 feet east of 82nd Avenue.

Owner: JGM Remodeling, LLC/ Jacek & Malgorzata Margosiak, 10268 Southwest Highway, Chicago Ridge, Illinois

Agent/Attorney: Anna Lukaszczyk, 8642 S. Menard, Burbank, Illinois

Current Zoning: R-4 Single Family Residence District

Intended use: Applicant seeks a variance to (1) reduce the front yard setback from the minimum required 40 feet to an existing 30.42 feet, (2) reduce the left interior side yard setback from the minimum required 15 feet to an existing 19.92 9.92 feet and (3) reduce the right interior side yard setback from the minimum required 15 feet to an existing 14.08 feet. This variance is sought to allow the construct a second story addition to an existing single family residence.

Recommendation: ZBA Recommendation is that the application be granted as amended.

Conditions: None

Objectors: None

History:

Zoning Board Hearing: 6/8/2016

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 6/8/2016

County Board extension granted: N/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Zoning Board of Appeals Recommendation be approved. The motion carried.

16-3811

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Request: Variation V 16-17

Township: Palos

County District: 17

Property Address: 12756 80Th Avenue, Palos Park, Illinois

Property Description: The Subject Property consists of approximately 0.47 acre located on the northwest corner of 80th Avenue and Farmhill Avenue.

Owner: Brian & Kimberly Mellenthin, 12756 80Th Avenue, Palos Park, Illinois

Agent/Attorney: National Energy Contractors, Inc., Applicant, 206 W. North Avenue, Lombard, Illinois

Current Zoning: R-4 Single Family Residence District

Intended use: Applicant seeks a variance to (1) reduce the front yard setback from the minimum required 32.9 feet (at 20% of lot depth) to an existing 20.5 feet, (2) reduce the right corner side yard setback from the minimum required 25 feet to an existing 23.5 feet and (3) reduce the rear yard setback from the minimum required 50 feet to an existing 34.5 feet. This variance is sought to allow the construction of a sunroom residential addition.

Recommendation: ZBA Recommendation is that the application be granted.

Conditions: None

Objectors: None

History:

Zoning Board Hearing: 6/8/2016
Zoning Board Recommendation date: 6/8/2016
County Board extension granted: N/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Zoning Board of Appeals Recommendation be approved. The motion carried.

16-3812

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Request: Map Amendment MA 16-01

Township: Norwood Park

County District: 9

Property Address: 10 Higgins Road, Park Ridge, Illinois

Property Description: The Subject Property consists of approximately 0.49 acres, located on the northwest corner of Higgins Road and Canfield Avenue.

Owner: Park Ridge Veterans Club, Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States Post 3579, An Illinois non-for profit Corporation c/o Harry Benjamin, 10 Higgins Road, Park Ridge, Illinois.

Agent/Attorney: Canfield Higgins, LLC., a Delaware Limited Liability Company, 180 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 2108, Chicago, Illinois.

Current Zoning: R-5 Single Family Residence District

Intended use: The request seeks a Map Amendment to rezone the Subject Property from the existing zoning designation of the R-5 Single Family Residence District to a proposed zoning designation of the I-1 Restricted Industrial District.

Recommendation: The Owner/Applicant request the item to be withdrawn.

Conditions: None

Objectors: City of Park Ridge Resolution (2016-19 Adopted 3/21/16) Formal protest against application

History:

Zoning Board Hearing: 6/8/2016
Zoning Board Recommendation date: 6/8/2016
County Board extension granted: N/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Zoning Board of Appeals Recommendation be approved. The motion carried.

**16-2505
ORDINANCE AMENDMENT**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE,
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

RESIDENTIAL RENTAL LICENSING ORDINANCE

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Part II Land Development Ordinances, Chapter 102 Buildings and Building Regulations Article V Residential Rental Licenses, of the Cook County Code is hereby enacted as follows:

ARTICLE V. - RESIDENTIAL RENTAL LICENSES

Sec. 102-180. - Short title.

This Ordinance shall be known and may be cited as the "Cook County Residential Rental License Ordinance."

Sec. 102-181. - Purpose.

The Cook County Board of Commissioners has deemed it necessary to establish a Residential Rental License for Unincorporated Cook County in order to effectively protect the public health, general welfare, and safety of its residents. This Residential Rental License Ordinance is adopted pursuant to Cook County's home rule powers.

Sec. 102-182. - Jurisdiction.

The provisions of this Ordinance shall apply to the unincorporated area of Cook County and such other areas as may be designated by the Cook County Board of Commissioners in accordance with State Statutes but excluding all publicly owned property or rights-of-way under the jurisdiction of any governmental agency.

Sec. 102-183. - Definitions.

The following words, terms, and phrases, when used in this Ordinance shall have the following meanings ascribed to them in this Ordinance, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Cook County or *County* means the County of Cook, Illinois.

Department means the Cook County Department of Building and Zoning.

Dwelling Unit means any room or group of rooms located within a Multi-Unit Building and forming a single habitable unit with facilities, which are used or intended to be used for living, sleeping, cooking, bathing, and eating.

Multi-Unit Building means a structure that is designed and built with four (4) or more Dwelling Units.

New Owner means any Person that owns a Dwelling Unit that is initially offered as Rental Property on or after June 1, 2017 and any Person who becomes an Owner on or after June 1, 2017.

Owner means any Person who alone, jointly or severally with others has legal title to any Rental Unit, with or without accompanying actual possession thereof; or is an executor, administrator, trustee or guardian of the estate of the Owner; or is a mortgagee in possession thereof.

Person means any natural person, trust, court appointed representative, syndicate, association, partnership, firm, club, company, corporation, business trust, institution, agency, government corporation, municipal corporation, district or other political subdivision, contractor, supplier, vendor, vendee, operator, user or owner or any officer, agent, employee, or other representative, acting either for himself/herself or for any other person in any capacity, or any other entity recognized by law as the subject of rights and duties.

Rental Property means any residential property whose Owner receives payment in exchange for the right to occupy or use the property by another during any time of the year.

Residential Rental License means a license required by County ordinance as a condition to offering residential property for rental purposes in unincorporated Cook County.

Rental Unit means a Dwelling Unit which is being offered as Rental Property, and is not Owner occupied.

Sheriff means the Sheriff of Cook County, Illinois or his/her designee.

Tenant means a Person who occupies a Rental Unit by way of an agreement with the Owner (or designee).

Sec. 102-184. - Notice of Residential License Ordinance.

(a) The Department will provide a copy of this Ordinance on its website at least sixty (60) calendar days prior to the effective date of this Ordinance.

(b) The County Clerk shall publish a copy of this Ordinance in a newspaper of general circulation within thirty (30) days of passage by the Cook County Board of Commissioners.

Sec. 102-185. - License Requirement.

It shall be unlawful for any Person to rent any Dwelling Unit unless the Owner has obtained a current Residential Rental License from the Department for such Rental Unit.

Sec. 102-186. - Authority to Issue Licenses.

The Department is authorized, upon application thereof, to issue and renew Residential Rental Licenses for Rental Units provided that all applicable requirements are met.

Sec. 102-187. - License Application and Renewal Requirements.

(a) All applications for Residential Rental License issuance and renewal shall be made in such form and accompanied by such information as required by the Department.

(b) The initial Residential Rental License application and applicable fee must be submitted by the Owner to the Department no later than June 1, 2017.

(c) Each year thereafter, a Residential Rental License renewal application and applicable fee must be submitted by the Owner to the Department no later than September 15th, provided that there has been no change of ownership.

(d) Any New Owner shall submit a new Residential Rental License application and applicable fee to the Department within 45 days of becoming the owner of record.

(e) Every application for Residential Rental License issuance or renewal shall be accompanied by a License Fee established by the Cook County Board of Commissioners and set forth in Chapter 32 of the Cook County Code of Ordinances, payable to Cook County Collector. License Fee payments remitted after the date on which the fee is due, shall be subject to a Late Fee equal to the amount of the License Fee. The Residential Rental License Fee shall be separate and apart from any other fee required pursuant to Part II, Chapter 102 of the Cook County Code of Ordinances or other applicable law. The License Fee shall not be prorated and is not refundable, except as provided in Sec. 102-187(f), below.

(f) Applications for Residential Rental License issuance or renewal which fail to comply with this section shall be returned by the Department to the Owner with instructions to re-apply, and the accompanying application fee will be refunded.

Sec. 102-188. - Consent to Inspection.

In order to obtain a license or renewal, the Owner shall allow inspection of the Rental Unit by the Department consistent with lawful lease provisions pertaining to access by the landlord and that take into consideration the possessory rights of the tenant. The scheduling of the inspection shall provide sufficient notice to tenants as provided in Section 102-191. If consent is denied or withheld, the Department shall have the authority to seek an Order of Inspection from a tribunal with jurisdiction to enter such an Order.

Sec. 102-189. - Duration of License.

Each Residential Rental License shall be effective until September 15th of each year, unless the Residential Rental License is suspended or revoked, or ownership of the Rental Unit is transferred prior to expiration resulting in automatic termination of the Residential Rental License.

Sec. 102-190. - Non-Transferability of License.

No Residential Rental License shall be transferable to another Person, or to another Rental Unit.

Sec. 102-191. - Inspection.

(a) The Department is authorized and empowered to make all inspections of Rental Units. Such inspection shall be for the purpose of determining whether the Rental Unit meets all applicable requirements referenced in Sec. 102-192(a) below.

(b) All inspections will take place during regular County business hours, Monday through Friday, excluding County holidays.

(c) The Department shall notify the Owner of any inspection at least three (3) business days in advance. The Department shall provide the name and contact information of a representative of the Department that the Owner may contact to reschedule the Rental Unit inspection, if necessary, to a mutually agreeable date and time.

(d) The Owner shall provide sufficient notice of inspection to tenant (i.e., at least two (2) calendar days in advance), and shall work with the Department to accommodate the tenants' schedule. If consent is denied or withheld, the Department shall have the authority to seek an Order of Inspection from a tribunal with jurisdiction to enter such an Order.

(e) The Department will inspect each Rental Unit at least once every four years to ensure compliance with all applicable requirements of this Section, the Cook County Building Ordinance, Part II, Chapter 102 of the Cook County Code of Ordinances; the Cook County Zoning Ordinance, Part II, Appendix A of the Cook County Code of Ordinances; and the Cook County Public Health and Private Nuisance Ordinance, Chapter 38, Article III, § 51-55 of the Cook County Code of Ordinances. The provisions of the respective Cook County Code Ordinances shall apply.

Sec. 102-192. - Failure to Comply - Code of Ordinances.

(a) Owners must comply with all applicable requirements of this Ordinance and the following Cook County Code Ordinances:

- (1) The Cook County Building Ordinance, Part II, Chapter 102 of the Cook County Code of Ordinances;
- (2) The Cook County Zoning Ordinance, Part II, Appendix A of the Cook County Code of Ordinances; and
- (3) The Cook County Public Health and Private Nuisance Ordinance, Chapter 38, Article III, § 51-55 of the Cook County Code of Ordinances.

(b) Failure to comply with all applicable requirements of this Ordinance and the applicable Cook County Code Ordinances may result in Residential Rental License suspension, denial or revocation.

Sec. 102-193. - License denial, nonrenewal, suspension, and revocation.

(a) The Department shall have the authority to issue to the Owner a notice of violation recommending denial, nonrenewal, suspension, or revocation of an Owner's Residential Rental License if it determines the Owner has failed to comply with any provision of this Ordinance, the Cook County Building Ordinance, Part II, Chapter 102 of the Cook County Code of Ordinances, the Cook County Zoning Ordinance, Part II, Appendix A of the Cook County Code of Ordinances and the Cook County Public Health and Private Nuisance Ordinance, Chapter 38, Article, III, Section 51-55. However, no Residential Rental License shall be denied issuance or renewal, be suspended, or revoked except after a hearing with the Cook County Department of Administrative Hearings in accordance with Cook County Code of Ordinances, Chapter 2, Administration, Article IX, Administrative Hearings.

- (1) After the Department issues a notice of violation recommending denial, nonrenewal, suspension or revocation to the Owner, a copy of this notice of violation, along with copies of any documents supporting the above recommendation shall be forwarded to the Department of Administrative Hearings. The notice of violation and documents supporting the recommendation shall be "Prima Facie" or on its face legally sufficient to deny issuance, deny renewal, suspend or revoke the license, until disproved by documentary evidence.
- (2) At the conclusion of the administrative hearing, the hearing officers, or administrative law officer or administrative law judge, shall make a final determination and order. The Department and/or Administrative Hearing Officer shall inform the Owner of the Administrative Hearing determination; both the Department and Owner have the right to seek judicial review of the Administrative Hearing decision.

(b) A Person whose license has been denied renewal, suspended or revoked may seek re-issuance or reinstatement of the license in accordance with procedures prescribed by Department.

Sec. 102-194. - Separate Violations.

(a) Failure by the Owner to provide sufficient notice of the inspection to Tenant.

(b) Operating without a valid Residential Rental License shall constitute a separate violation of this Ordinance.

(c) Knowingly furnishing false or misleading information or complaint(s) to the Department shall constitute a separate violation of this Ordinance.

Sec. 102-195. - Penalties.

In addition to such fines, penalties, and injunctive relief as provided in the Cook County Building Ordinance, Part II, Chapter 102 of the Cook County Code of Ordinances; the Cook County Zoning Ordinance, Part II, Appendix A of the Cook County Code of Ordinances; and the Cook County Public Health and Private Nuisance Ordinance, Part I, Chapter 38, Ordinance III, § 51-55 of the Cook County

Code of Ordinances, any Person determined to have violated this Ordinance may be subject to a fine of not less than \$100.00 nor more than \$1,000.00 for each offense and/or Residential Rental License suspension, denial and/or revocation. A separate and distinct offense shall be regarded as committed each day upon which said Person shall continue any such violation, or permit any such violation to exist after notification thereof.

Sec. 102-196. - Reinstatement of License.

If, upon re-inspection, the Department finds that the subject property has been brought into compliance, then the Department shall lift the suspension, reinstate the Residential Rental License, or issue a new Residential Rental License.

Sec. 102-197. - Rule Making and Enforcement.

(a) The Department shall prescribe reasonable rules, definitions, and regulations as are necessary to carry out the duties imposed upon it by this Ordinance. Such rules, definitions, and regulations shall include, but are not limited to, reasonable procedures consistent with existing practices of Persons doing business in Cook County.

(b) The Cook County Department of Building and Zoning shall have the authority to make such decisions and determinations as are necessary with respect to the enforcement of this Ordinance, and to impose such requirements and orders as are necessary under Part II, Chapter 102 of the Cook County Code of Ordinances to bring Dwelling Units, Rental Units, buildings, structures, property, and premises into compliance with this chapter. Representatives of the Department may enter all Dwelling Units, Rental Units, buildings, structure, property and premises in order to enforce the provisions of this Ordinance and chapter during reasonable hours subject to reasonable notice, to the extent consistent with the provisions of this Ordinance and other applicable law. The Sheriff of Cook County is authorized to, and shall assist the Department, in enforcement of this Ordinance.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 32 Fees, of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 32-1. - Fee schedule.

The fees or charges provided for or required by the below-listed sections shall be as shown below:

CHAPTER 102, BUILDING CODE		
102-187	Residential Rental License fee, annually per Multi-Unit Building, based on number of Rental Units:	
	1 Rental Unit	60.00
	2 Rental Units	120.00
	3 Rental Units	180.00
	4 Rental Units	240.00
	5 Rental Units	300.00
	6-10 Rental Units	360.00
	11-20 Rental Units	650.00

	<u>21-30 Rental Units</u>	<u>950.00</u>
	<u>31-40 Rental Units</u>	<u>1,200.00</u>
	<u>41-50 Rental Units</u>	<u>1,500.00</u>
	<u>51-75 Rental Units</u>	<u>2,100.00</u>
	<u>76-100 Rental Units</u>	<u>2,500.00</u>
	<u>101 or more Rental Units</u>	<u>3,000.00</u>

Effective Date: This Proposed Ordinance Amendment shall be in effect on January 1, 2017.

Approved and adopted this 29th of June 2016.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Ordinance Amendment be approved as substituted. The motion carried.

NEW ITEMS

In accordance with Cook County Code Section 2-103(g) Amendment or Suspension of rules, Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, moved to suspend Section 2-105(h) prior notice to public. The motion carried.

LEGISLATION AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE MEETING OF JUNE 29, 2016

16-3790

REPORT

Department: Treasurer

Request: Refer to Audit Committee

Report Title: Independent Auditor's Report

Report Period: Fiscal Years 2014 and 2015

Summary: Submitting herewith, the Financial Statements as of 11/30/2015 and 2014, Supplemental Information as of 11/30/2015, and the Independent Auditor's Report.

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

Commissioner Moore voted “present”.

16-3977

PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, JOHN P. DALEY and ROBERT STEELE, County Commissioners

PERFORMANCE BASED MANAGEMENT AND BUDGETING

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 2, Administration, Article X, Performance Based Management and Budgeting, Section 2-930 through 2-937 of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as Follows:

ARTICLE X. - PERFORMANCE BASED MANAGEMENT AND BUDGETING

Sec. 2-930. - Legislative findings and purpose.

- (a) Cook County has an interest in ensuring that every County Department and Agency, including Agencies operated by the 11 separately elected and appointed officials, and the Cook County Health and Hospitals System operate in an efficient and fiscally responsible manner.
- (b) Cook County has an interest in improving the delivery of public services and internal management through the use of strategic planning, business planning, a sound resource allocation process encompassing the traditional budget process, a multi-year approach for capital budgeting, and a framework for managerial accountability to ensure that the County's goals, mission and objectives focus on countywide results and that the results produced reflect the goals of statutory programs and responsibilities.
- (c) The use of performance measures and standards in the establishment of the annual budget, in the planning and resource allocation processes, and as well as the public reporting of performance information, will result in a more efficient and effective utilization of County resources and improved results for the public and will in an effort to ensure that the County as a whole is addressing its core functions and mission.
- (d) Implementing requirements to prepare Preliminary Budget Forecasts and other various forms and reports will bring added transparency to the County's annual budgetary process and highlight positives as well as challenges faced by the County in the upcoming fiscal year.
- (e) The purpose of this article is to:
 - (1) Improve public service delivery through deliberate planning and an emphasis on accountability and results;
 - (2) Improve the budget process by analyzing and reporting various metrics to better determine the relationship between program funding levels and expected results;
 - (23) Improve managerial and legislative decision-making by promoting the gathering of meaningful and objective performance information;
 - (34) Ensure that all Departments and Agencies are fiscally accountable and are primarily addressing statutory operations and functions; and

(5) Utilize Program Inventory to provide greater detail on County services and programs to County residents, employees and Departments and Agencies; and

(46) Improve public trust in County government by holding the County and its Departments accountable for achieving results.

Sec. 2-931. - Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this article, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this Section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Annual Report means the Annual Report described in Section 2-936.

Annual Physical Inventory means an annual process by which a County Agency or Department reviews the Capital Equipment items under its purview and physically verifies a count of said items for the purpose of documenting the possession of said items and that the inventory records reflect actual quantities on hand.

Asset Management Bureau Chief means the Chief of the Cook County Bureau of Asset Management.

Board for the purposes of this article, means the Cook County Board of Commissioners.

Budget Director means the Director of the Cook County Department of Budget and Management Services.

Budget Request means the annual request for budgetary funding for the forthcoming fiscal year submitted by County Departments and Agencies in the form and manner required by the Budget Director.

Capital Equipment means tangible depreciable property (other than land or buildings) necessary to run the day-to-day operations of an organization; such as, technology systems, technology hardware, power-driven machines, industrial tools, furniture, vehicles, medical or laboratory equipment used to provide patient care, but does not include office supplies or items of annually expensed inventories.

Chief Financial Officer means the Chief Financial Officer in the Cook County Bureau of Finance.

Chief Information Officer means the Chief Information Officer in the Cook County Bureau of Information.

Chief Performance Officer means the Chief Performance Officer in the Cook County Department of Budget and Management Services.

County for the purposes of this article, means Cook County.

County Departments or Agencies or County Department or Agency for the purposes of this article, means all County departments and agencies, including, but not limited to offices, departments and agencies of or lead by the following elected and/or appointed officials:

- (1) The President of the Board of Commissions of Cook County;
- (2) The Cook County Assessor;
- (3) The Commissioners of the Cook County Board of Review;
- (4) The Cook County Clerk;
- (5) The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County;
- (6) The Cook County Recorder of Deeds;
- (7) The Cook County Sheriff;
- (8) The Cook County State's Attorney;
- (9) The Office of the Chief Judge; and
- (10) The Cook County Treasurer.
- (11) The Cook County Health and Hospitals System;
- (12) The Veteran's Assistance Commission;
- (13) The Office of the Independent Inspector General;
- (14) The Cook County Land Bank Authority; and
- (15) The Public Administrator.

Efficiency Metric means a performance indicator and target measuring the relationship between work performed and resources required.

Inventory means an inventory of Capital Equipment, Software Assets and/or Technology Hardware Assets with a value over \$5,000 and an estimated useful life of at least five years, which shall include the approximate date of purchase (if known), estimated useful life, estimated replacement cost, and anticipated requested replacement date.

Outcome Metric means the performance indicator and target measuring the results of an operation or program.

Output Metric means a performance indicator measuring the number of items processed within a period of time.

Performance-Based Budgeting means budgeting concepts that take into consideration a determination of the total available resources; prioritization of desired outcomes; allocation of resources based on priorities and analysis; set measures of annual progress, monitor and review and communication of performance results.

President for the purposes of this article, means the President of the Cook County Board of Commissioners.

Preliminary Budget Forecast means a form completed by County Departments and Agencies as directed by the Budget Director which shall include (1) analyses on expenditure and revenue variances; (2) such additional information as is provided in Section 2-933; and (3) such additional information as is requested by the Budget Director.

Preliminary Budget Forecast Report means a report of the preliminary budget forecast for the forthcoming fiscal year.

Program Based Budgeting means a vehicle for reorganizing administrative units into more coherent structures focused on particular objectives, and that emphasizes the objectives and outputs of government spending, and presents information in ways that make it easier to relate allocations to the goals of spending, such as improved service delivery, while grouping budgetary inputs around objectives.

Program Inventory or Program Inventories means a comprehensive listing of services organized into administrative units focused on particular objectives, and a comprehensive listing of all services offered for each Cook County Agency or Department to both external” and internal users and that will help provide a better understanding of Cook County governmental services to Cook County citizens, employees and elected officials. .

Program means an administrative unit contained in a Program Inventory or Program Inventories offered by each County Department or Agency that are further delineated with employee and cost data.

Quarterly Record for the purposes of this article, means the quarterly records described in Sections 2-932(a) and 2-932(b).

Persons Served means a measured number and target of persons served in a defined annual time period for a given County operation or program.

Software Asset means all types of software, including custom, commercial off-the-shelf, and remotely-hosted software, as well as software license agreements and consumption information related to the number of software instances installed or in use.

Technology Hardware Asset means all types of computer hardware or systems, to include servers, switches, desktop personal computers, laptops and other similar computer technology, peripherals or equipment.

Capital Equipment Inventory for the purpose of this article, means an inventory of Capital Equipment which shall include the approximate date of purchase (if known), estimated useful life, estimated replacement cost, and anticipated requested replacement date.

Zero-Based Budgeting means budgeting concepts that breakdown expense activities into meaningfully identifiable unit costs; identify objectives or requirements of each program; provide a determination of the fundamental level of service and allocate resources based on that level of service; examine how an incremental level of funding can contribute to an increase in production and services provided, with at least one alternative funding level, which shall be lower than the current fiscal year's appropriation; provide an assessment of the costs and benefits of each incremental level of funding accompanied by a detailed justification of said costs; identify budget priorities and aligning resource allocation to these objectives; and monitor and evaluate objectives in the budget.

Sec. 2-932. -Capital Equipment Budget Review.

(a) Each County Department and Agency shall prepare a Capital Equipment Request for review by the Chief Financial Officer and Budget Director to facilitate the development of a multi-year capital budget and plan that identifies and prioritizes projected needs in a strategic fashion, in such time and format as provided for by the Budget Director. The Capital Equipment Request shall include project scope, detailed costs and project timeline; cash flow projections associated with the amount and timing of capital projects; equipment inventory; and replacement cycle schedules. Each County Department and Agency shall re-evaluate capital projects approved in previous capital plans and anticipate the projects' impact on the operating budget, including operating and maintenance costs. Multiyear project funding requests should clearly identify and request the cash flow expected for the upcoming year as well as notate the entire project cost in later years.

(b) All approved Capital Equipment Requests should be procured within the year (unless otherwise provided) they are appropriated for and any unanticipated delays on multi-year projects may be carried over to the following year subject to approval from the Budget Director for items that are procured and expensed over an extended period of time. Purchases of Capital Equipment items that would be expensed via a singular, discrete purchase and that are not purchased during the year they are appropriated for will not be carried over to the following year.

(c) Each County Department and Agency shall submit its Capital Equipment Request on or before April 1 each year and shall do so in the form and manner established by the Budget Director. A copy of the Department's Inventory for Capital Equipment, Software Assets and Technology Hardware Assets shall also be included with the Capital Equipment Request. Any items for which the Agency or Department will be requesting funding from County Capital Equipment funding accounts must then be included in the Department's annual Capital Equipment Request.

(1) Components of the Capital Equipment Inventory shall include a Software Asset Inventory, and Technology Hardware Asset Inventory. The Inventory for these two technology categories shall include All Software Assets and Technology Hardware Assets along with a description of each inventory item. Software Asset and Technology Hardware Asset Inventories will include its version, dependencies, hosting location, annual maintenance cost, and Department or Agency's opinion of the reliability and necessity of each inventory item. The Department or Agency shall also supply its future plans or policy for the life cycle of each Inventory item.

(2) The Budget Director and Chief Information Officer jointly shall review each Software Asset and Technology Hardware Asset Inventory submission and requests and prepare a consolidated report to be submitted to the Board by the Budget Director with the annual executive budget recommendation. The consolidated report shall summarize Software Asset and Technology Hardware Asset Inventory submissions and be accompanied by a proposed strategic technology policy document as prepared by the

Chief Information Officer, and where applicable include the Chief Information Officer's opinion as to whether Inventory items are cost-effective, up-to-date, and secure, and whether the asset complies with a strategic technology policy document and objectives for the County.

(3) The Budget Director and Asset Management Bureau Chief jointly shall review non-technology related Capital Equipment Inventory submissions and requests and prepare a consolidated report to be submitted to the Board by the Budget Director with the annual executive budget recommendation. The consolidated report shall summarize Capital Equipment Inventory submissions and include the Asset Management Bureau Chief's opinion as to whether the requests are appropriate and meet the objectives of the County.

(4) The Budget Director shall review vehicle related Capital Equipment requests in cooperation with the Vehicle Steering Committee and prepare a consolidated report to be submitted to the Board with the annual executive budget recommendation. The consolidated report shall summarize vehicle requests and include the Vehicle Steering Committee's approval recommendation as per the objectives of the County.

(d) Each County Department and Agency shall complete and document an Annual Physical Inventory of the items of Capital Equipment in its possession, and such review will be certified by the senior management of said Department or Agency. The Annual Physical Inventory shall be entered into a form and manner as established by the County Comptroller, and may include entry into the County's accounting system of record. The Annual Physical Inventory may be included in the Annual Report at the discretion of the Budget Director.

Sec. 2-9323. Preliminary Budget Forecast Quarterly submissions to President and Board.

(a) In order for the President to prepare the annual budget recommendation, each County Department and Agency shall prepare and submit to the Budget Director a Preliminary Budget Forecast on or before June 1, of each year or other date established by the Budget Director, whichever is earlier

(b) Each County Department and Agency shall comply with the deadline established under this Section, and in the event a Department or Agency fails to do so, the Budget Director shall produce the relevant Preliminary Budget Forecast, and notify the President and the Board of Commissioners of the Department or Agency's failure to comply with this section.

(c) The President shall publish and submit to the Board, no later than June 30th of each year, a Preliminary Budget Forecast Report for the forthcoming fiscal year, which shall include, (1) data received from Preliminary Budget Forecasts; (2) a six-month assessment of expenditures by fund, including the appropriation for the current calendar year and a revised estimate of revenues for the current fiscal year; (3) an initial projection for the next year's expenditures and revenues; and (4) such additional information as the President so desires.

(a) Each County Department and Agency shall prepare a Quarterly Record wherein it shall define its mission and establish measurable goals for achieving desirable results for those who received its services and the taxpayers who pay for those services. Each County Department and Agency shall also develop clear strategies and timelines to achieve its goals. In addition, the Quarterly Record shall provide year to date budget information on expenses and revenues and analysis of any significant variances and impact on performance goals, as well as corrective measures to eliminate any budgetary shortfall by year end if applicable.

(b) The Quarterly Record submission shall include, but not be limited to, specific and measurable performance indicators, with corresponding performance objectives and targets, for each departmental or agency activity that are impartial, quantifiable and that demonstrate progress to date. The President and/or his or her designee, prior to the submission of the first Quarterly Record by any County Department or

Agency, shall meet with each County Department or Agency to determine the appropriate performance indicators and corresponding performance objectives and targets for such County Department or Agency. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Section 2-932, the County Departments and Agencies shall prepare its Quarterly Records in accordance with the performance indicators and performance objectives determined in these meetings and as otherwise established by the President and/or his or her designee.

(c) The Quarterly Record submission shall also include a statement of purpose for the County Department or Agency, services and programs provided that are required by law, including the mandating law, statute or ordinance, and services and programs provided that are not required by law but that enhance County services.

The Quarterly Record submission shall clearly show the relationship between resources, County Departmental or Agency activities and the expected level of performance to ensure performance based management and budgeting.

The Quarterly Record submission shall also include, but not be limited to, the following components:

(1) Staffing levels;

(2) Personnel expenditures, including employee overtime expenditures;

(3) Employee lost labor hours, including sick time usage and FMLA usage;

(4) Descriptions of proposed adjustments to existing service levels tied to reported performance indicators;

(d) Each County Department and Agency shall submit its Quarterly Record to the President and his or her designee no later than March 15, June 15, September 15 and December 15 each year.

(e) The President or his or her designee shall transmit each Quarterly Record submission received from County Departments and Agencies to the Board for Board review by April 1, July 1, October 1 and January 1 of each year.

(f) The President or his or her designee, the Chief Performance Officer and the Budget Director, shall review the Quarterly Record submissions and analyze performance data with a consideration of the Annual Executive Budget Recommendation to determine the effectiveness of strategies, program performance, and justification for continued, increased, or decreased funding.

(g) All offices and agencies of Cook County government, including, but not limited to, the Cook County Board of Commissioners, Cook County Health and Hospital System and the offices of the Cook County Sheriff, Cook County Treasurer, Cook County Board of Review, Cook County Assessor, Cook County State's Attorney, Cook County Clerk, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, the Chief Judge of Cook County and the Cook County Recorder of Deeds shall work with the Chief Performance Officer and Budget Director as requested in order to meet the timelines addressed herein. Each agency shall include a proposed Quarterly Submission format for the ensuing fiscal year along with their budget submission to the Department of Budget and Management Services, and be prepared to discuss this report to the Board of Commissioners during their annual budget hearing.

Sec. 2-9334. - Annual Budget Request Preparation and Submissions Quarterly meetings

(a) Each County Department and Agency shall submit to the President and Budget Director on or before August 15, of each year or at an earlier date as determined by the Budget Director, a Budget Request in such form and manner established by the Budget Director. In preparing the Budget Request form, the Budget Director shall take into account Performance-Based, Program-Based and Zero-Based Budgeting concepts.

(b) With its Budget Request, each County Department and Agency shall provide the following information in such format as is required by the Budget Director:

- (1) An organizational chart wherein all employment positions requested are accounted for;
- (2) A Program Inventory of the Department or Agency, which includes an allocation of all requested budgetary costs and all requested employment positions among the relevant functions of the Department;
- (3) Data associated with specific metrics for each program set forth in the Department's Program Inventory, including Outcome Metrics, Output Metrics and Efficiency Metrics;
- (4) The number of Persons projected to be served for each relevant program in Departments or Agencies that directly serve Persons external to County Government;
- (5) Estimated data relative to performance metrics applicable to the current budget year as of a date no greater than 30 days prior to the date of the submission of the Budget Request;
- (6) Estimated data for year-end in the current fiscal year;
- (7) Target data for the fiscal year for which the Budget Request is made; and
- (8) Such other data as is prescribed by the Budget Director to support the Budget Request and its final or modified inclusion in the President's proposed executive budget recommendation and appropriation bill.
 - (a). At the first Board meeting following the due date of Budget Requests, the Budget Director shall report to the Board the Agencies or Departments that have complied with the requirements set forth in this article and those Agencies or Departments that have not so complied.
 - (b) In the event a County Department or Agency fails to comply with the provisions of this Section, the Budget Director shall recommend to the President expenditures by fund for the forthcoming fiscal year, and provide an estimate of revenues for the forthcoming fiscal year, for such Department or Agency. The President or his or her designee shall, on a quarterly basis, meet with County Departments and Agencies to discuss the Quarterly Record as well as the County Department or Agency's progress with respect to the chosen performance indicators and corresponding performance targets for priority outcomes.

Sec. 2-9345. - Budget review, recommendation and allocation.

- (a). Each County Department and Agency shall prepare preliminary budget forecast estimates for review by the President Board or his designee on or before March 15, June 1 and September 15, of each year that will include analysis on expenditure and revenue variances in a form prescribed by the Budget Director. The Budget Director may provide guidance on the format of the reports and specify the due date.
- (b). All offices and agencies of Cook County government, including, but not limited to, the Cook County Board of Commissioners, Cook County Health and Hospital System and the offices of the Cook County Sheriff, Cook County Treasurer, Cook County Board of Review, Cook County Assessor, Cook County State's Attorney, Cook County Clerk, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, the Chief Judge of Cook County and the Cook County Recorder of Deeds shall meet the timeline established by the Budget Director as requested. If any office or agency fails to comply, the Department of Budget and Management Services is authorized to estimate the preliminary forecast for any office or agency of Cook County government, and to notify the Board of Commissioners of such failure to comply
- (c). The President shall issue a preliminary budget forecast which shall be published on or before June 30th of each year and presented to the Cook County Board of Commissioners thereafter. This report shall issue an assessment of the fiscal condition of the County prior to the next year's budget cycle. The preliminary forecast shall provide a six-month assessment of expenditures by fund, including the appropriation for the current calendar year and a revised estimate of revenues for the current fiscal year. The preliminary forecast shall provide an initial projection for the next year's expenditures and revenues.

(da) Following review of the Budget Requests, The executive budget recommendation and proposed appropriation bill shall be presented by the President to the Cook County Board of Commissioners no later than October 31st of each year.

(eb) The President's executive budget recommendation and proposed appropriation bill shall be based on various budgeting approaches, including, but not limited to, Program-Based, Performance-Based and Zero-Based Budgeting Concepts.

These approaches shall include, but not be limited to, zero-based and performance-based budgeting concepts as outlined below.

Performance-Based Budgeting Concepts:

Determination of the total available resources;

Prioritization of desired outcomes;

Allocation of resources based on priorities and analysis;

Set measures of annual progress, monitor and review

Communication of performance results

Zero-Based Budgeting Concepts:

Breakdown expense activities into meaningfully identifiable unit costs

Identification of objectives or requirements of each program

Determination of the fundamental level of service and allocate resources based on that level of service

Examination of how an incremental level of funding can contribute to increase in production and services provided, with at least one alternative funding level, which shall be lower than the current fiscal year's appropriation

Assessment of the costs and benefits of each incremental level of funding accompanied by a detailed justification of said costs

Identification of budget priorities and aligning resource allocation to these objectives

Monitor and evaluate objectives in the budget

(c) At the direction of the Budget Director, Information derived generated from Program Inventories, program metrics, and performance-based, or zero-based and program-based budgeting concepts Budgeting approaches, shall be included and summarized in the departmental narrative, as part of the executive budget recommendation. Program Inventories, outlining the departmental and program purpose, program efficiency, program effectiveness, program outcomes, cost benefit analysis, target population, and service-level alternatives shall be included in the executive budget recommendation. Said summary shall also provide an explanation as to how the various budgeting concepts were considered and incorporated into the executive budget recommendation. In addition, any analysis conducted using the performance data in the Annual Report Quarterly Record that has an impact on proposed funding allocation may also be summarized in the executive budget recommendation. Each County Department and Agency shall be prepared to provide additional detail during the annual budget hearing process. that has an impact on proposed funding allocation shall be summarized in the executive recommendation. Said summary shall also provide an explanation as to how the above stated Zero-Based Budgeting concepts were considered and incorporated into the executive budget recommendation for those departments identified and subject to Zero-Based Budgeting. All offices and agencies of Cook County government, including, but not limited to, the Cook County Board of Commissioners, Cook County Health and Hospital System and the offices of the Cook County Sheriff, Cook County Treasurer, Cook County Board of Review, Cook County Assessor, Cook County State's Attorney, Cook County Clerk, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, the Chief Judge of Cook County and the Cook County Recorder of Deeds shall provide information requested in the budget process.

Sec. 2-9356. - Annual Report and Performance-Based Program Review.

(a) Within 45 days of Fiscal Year end, each County Department and Agency shall prepare an Annual Report in a form and manner as described by the Budget Director wherein the Department or Agency shall provide year-end values for the data for its performance metrics included as part of its annual Budget Request as described in Section 2-933. The Annual Report shall be sent to the President or his or her designee, and the Budget Director, who shall prepare a summary report of these values to be presented to the Board at a Board meeting to occur no later than the Month of March.

(b) The Annual Report Quarterly Record submission shall serve as the basis for performance based program reviews that shall be conducted by the Chief Performance Officer and Budget Director. Such reviews shall include the following:

(1) A review as to whether the program is in conformance with the mission of the Department and Agency, its statutory authority and adopted budgetary priorities;

(2) Zero-Based, Program-Based and Performance-Based budgeting concepts as set forth in Subsection 2-934(e);

(3) Application of program workload measures, program efficiency measures, program effectiveness measures, program outcome measures and cost-benefit analysis; and

(4) An analysis of the customer or client base served by the program and delivery of service alternatives.

(c) The President or his or her designee, the Chief Performance Officer and the Budget Director, shall review the Annual Report submissions and the Budget Director and Chief Performance Officer shall be charged with analyzing performance data to determine the effectiveness of strategies, program performance, and justification for continued, increased, or decreased funding in the forthcoming budget year.

(d) Each County Department and Agency shall work with the Chief Performance Officer and Budget Director to meet the timelines and substantive requirements provided for herein.

Sec. 2-9367. - Accountability and performance.

(a) Departments and Agencies shall be held accountable for the achievement of performance objectives, as outlined in the Annual Report Quarterly Record and Department heads and managers may be further accountable for the achievement of performance objectives through performance evaluation and other appropriate managerial tools.

(b) Management and staff shall employ progressive techniques to ensure continuous efficiency and effectiveness in County operations.

(c) Managers and staff shall not allow the expenditures for any line item for their department or agency to exceed the total amount appropriated for such line item by the approved budgets without express approval of the Board.

Effective date: This ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Ordinance Amendment be referred to the Finance Committee. The motion carried.

16-4141

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

Sponsored by: STANLEY MOORE, County Commissioner

RESOLUTION TO URGE THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS TO PROVIDE FREE BURIAL OF SPOUSES AND DEPENDENTS OF VETERANS AT ALL VETERAN CEMETERIES

WHEREAS, in 1862 President Lincoln signed into law language that created the first National Cemeteries for Civil War soldiers who died while in service to the United States; and

WHEREAS, in 1867 Congress provided guidelines for the construction of cemeteries and for the eligibility of those who can be buried in the national cemeteries free of charge; and

WHEREAS, between 1867 and the 1970s the act was expanded several times to include honorably discharged members of the Army, Navy, and Marines who died in a destitute condition, soldiers who died on foreign soil, U.S. citizens who served in the armed forces of any country at war with Germany or Austria during World War I, members of the reserve components of the armed forces, and any member of the National Guard; and

WHEREAS, in 1978 the Department of Veterans Affairs created the Veteran Cemetery Grants Program that assisted states, territories, and federally recognized tribal governments in providing gravesites for veterans in those areas where national cemeteries cannot fully satisfy their burial needs; and

WHEREAS, a surviving spouse and dependent or minor child of an eligible veteran or armed forces member also may be buried in a national cemetery at no charge; and

WHEREAS, states, territories, and tribal governments may impose additional requirements more stringent than those required by federal law; and

WHEREAS, the Veterans Administration is authorized to reimburse a “plot allowance” to states for expenses incurred in the burial of certain veterans; and

WHEREAS, the Veterans Administration does not provide reimbursement for the burial of a surviving spouse and dependents or minor children of an eligible veteran not buried in national cemeteries; and

WHEREAS, since 1862 more than 3.8 million burials have taken place at the 133 national cemeteries, 33 soldiers’ government lots, and Confederate lots containing more than 20,000 acres; and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Members of the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Cook County shall urge the United States Congress to fund the expenses of burial of spouses and dependents of veterans at cemeteries that are operated by state, territorial, and tribal governments and that receive funds from the Veteran Cemetery Grants Program; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Cook County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to be presented to the members of the Illinois delegation of the U.S. Congress.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Boykin, that this Resolution be referred to the Law Enforcement Committee. The motion carried.

16-4178

Presented by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED REAPPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): Mary B. Richardson-Lowry

Position: Director

Department/Board/Commission: Cook County Health and Hospitals System Board of Directors

Effective date: Immediate

Expiration date: July 13, 2020, or until a successor is appointed

Summary: N/A

This Reappointment was deferred to the July 13, 2016 Board Meeting.

16-4179

Presented by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): Mary Driscoll

Position: Director

Department/Board/Commission: Cook County Health and Hospitals System Board of Directors

Effective date: Immediate

Expiration date: June 30, 2017, or until a successor is appointed. Ms. Driscoll will fill the vacancy of Lewis Collens

Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey moved to refer the Proposed Appointment to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee.

16-4180

Presented by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): Sidney Thomas

Position: Director

Department/Board/Commission: Cook County Health and Hospitals System Board of Directors

Effective date: Immediate

Expiration date: July 13, 2020, or until a successor is appointed

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, that this Appointment be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion carried.

16-4181

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): Virginia Bishop, MD, MPH

Position: Director

Department/Board/Commission: Cook County Health and Hospitals System Board of Directors

Effective date: Immediate

Expiration date: December 17, 2018, or until a successor is appointed. Dr. Bishop will fill the vacancy of Dr. Erica E. Marsh

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, that this Appointment be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion carried.

16-4182

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): Layla P. Suleiman Gonzalez, Ph.D., J.D.

Position: Director

Department/Board/Commission: Cook County Health and Hospitals System Board of Directors

Effective date: Immediate

Expiration date: July 13, 2020, or until a successor is appointed

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, that this Appointment be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion carried.

16-4198

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

Sponsored by: STANLEY MOORE, County Commissioner

REPORT OF LOST, STOLEN, DESTROYED OR TRANSFERRED FIREARMS

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 58, Offenses And Miscellaneous Provisions, Article VII, Report Of Lost, Stolen, Destroyed Or Transferred Firearms, various sections within this section of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as Follows:

Sec. 58-185. - Short title.

This article shall be known as the "Report of Lost, Stolen, Destroyed or Transferred Firearms Ordinance of Cook County, Illinois."

Sec. 58-186. - Purpose.

Requiring persons who own or possess firearms within Cook County to promptly report to the Cook County Sheriff the loss, theft, destruction or transfer of firearms will enable Cook County law enforcement agencies or the Sheriff's Office to timely investigate the loss or theft, protect the public and help improve the public health and safety of all persons in Cook County.

Permits a persons who reports to the Cook County Sheriff the loss, theft, destruction or transfer of firearms to submit a copy of that report to the Cook County Recorder of Deeds Office to maintain a permanent record of the loss, stolen, destroyed or transferred firearm.

Sec. 58-187. - Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this division, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

County means the County of Cook.

Firearm means any pistol, revolver, rifle, shotgun, machine gun, handgun, assault weapon or other device that fits within the definition of "firearm" contained in the Illinois Firearm Owners Identification Card Act (430 ILCS 65/1.1 et seq.) or any successor statute.

Local law enforcement agency means any political subdivision of the State or an agency of a political subdivision that exists primarily to deter and detect crime and enforce criminal laws, statutes and ordinances.

Recorder means the Cook County Recorder of Deeds Office.

Sheriff means the Sheriff's Office of Cook County, Illinois.

Sec. 58-188. - Applicability.

This article shall apply to

- (a) Any person residing in Cook County who owns or possesses a firearm; and
- (b) Any person who owns or possesses a firearm and resides outside of Cook County who loses, destroys, transfers or suffers a theft of the firearm in Cook County.
- (c) This article shall control the reporting of lost, stolen, destroyed or transferred firearms except in home rule municipalities which have a separate municipal ordinance requiring the reporting of transferred, lost, stolen or destroyed firearms provided that such municipalities share such reporting data with the Cook County Sheriff pursuant to an intergovernmental agreement.

Sec. 58-189. - Report of lost, stolen, or destroyed firearms.

(a) Any person residing in Cook County who owns or possesses a firearm that is lost, stolen, destroyed, or is otherwise missing, in addition to any other requirements of this Code, shall notify the Cook County Sheriff's Office in a manner prescribed by the Cook County Sheriff that his or her firearm has been lost, stolen, destroyed, or is otherwise missing within 48 hours of the time he or she knows, or should have known, that his or her firearm has been lost, stolen, destroyed, or is otherwise missing, regardless of the location of where the firearm was lost, stolen, destroyed, or is otherwise missing.

(b) Any person residing in Cook County who owns or possesses a firearm that is lost, stolen, destroyed, or is otherwise missing, in addition to any other requirements of this Code, may notify the Recorder's Office by filing a copy of the report given by a law enforcement agency that his or her firearm has been lost, stolen, destroyed, or is otherwise missing.

~~(b)~~(c) Any person residing outside Cook County who owns or possesses a firearm that is lost, stolen, destroyed, or is otherwise missing, in addition to any other requirements of this Code, shall notify the Cook County Sheriff's Office in a manner prescribed by the Cook County Sheriff that his or her firearm has been lost, stolen, destroyed, or is otherwise missing within 48 hours of the time he or she knows, or should have known, that the firearm has been lost, stolen, destroyed, or is otherwise missing, if the firearm was lost, stolen, destroyed, or is otherwise missing in Cook County.

~~(c)~~ (d)

A person reporting a firearm lost, stolen, destroyed, or otherwise missing shall provide the following information:

- (1) Owner name;
- (2) Owner address;
- (3) Owner Firearm Owner's Identification Card number;
- (4) Date of acquisition;
- (5) Place of acquisition;
- (6) Means of acquisition;
- (7) Firearm type;
- (8) Firearm serial number; and
- (9) Date when firearm was lost, stolen, destroyed, or otherwise missing.

Sec. 58-190. - Report of transferred firearms.

(a) Any person residing in Cook County who owns or possesses a firearm, in addition to any other requirements of this Code, shall report the sale, transfer, inheritance, or other disposition of the firearm to the Cook County Sheriff's Office in a manner prescribed by the Cook County Sheriff within 48 hours of the time when the firearm has been sold, transferred, inherited, or otherwise disposed of, regardless of the location where the sale, transfer, inheritance or other disposition of the firearm has occurred.

(b) Any person residing in Cook County who owns or possesses a firearm may report the sale, transfer, inheritance, or other disposition of the firearm to the Recorder's Office by filing a copy of the documentation recording the sale, transfer, inheritance or other disposition.

~~(b)~~ (c) Any person residing outside of Cook County who owns or possesses a firearm, in addition to any other requirements of this Code, shall report the sale, transfer, inheritance, or other disposition of the firearm to the Cook County Sheriff's Office in a manner prescribed by the Cook County Sheriff within 48 hours of the time when the firearm has been sold, transferred, inherited, or otherwise disposed of, when the sale, transfer, inheritance, or other disposition of the firearm occurs within Cook County.

~~(e)~~ (d) A person reporting the sale, transfer, inheritance, or other disposition of a firearm shall provide the following information:

- (1) Owner name;
- (2) Owner address;
- (3) Owner Firearm Owner's Identification Card number;
- (4) Date of acquisition;
- (5) Place of acquisition;
- (6) Means of acquisition;
- (7) Firearm type;
- (8) Firearm serial number;
- (9) Date of sale, transfer, inheritance or other disposition; and
- (10) The name, address, and Firearm Owner's Identification Card number of the transferee.

Sec. 58-191. - Exempt from reporting requirements.

The reporting provisions in Section 58-189 and Section 58-190 do not apply to the following persons:

- (a) Sworn law enforcement officials while engaged in their official duties; and
- (b) Members of the Armed Forces of the United States or the National Guard while engaged in their official duties.

Sec. 58-192. - Violations; penalties.

(a) Any person violating or failing to truthfully comply with any of the reporting provisions in Section 58-189 or Section 58-190 of this article as required by the Cook County Sheriff's Office shall be issued a notice of violation and shall be subject to a mandatory fine of \$1,000.00 for the first violation; \$1,500.00 for the second violation and \$2,000.00 for each subsequent violation in addition to any other fines or penalties applicable from any Federal, State or local laws or ordinances.

(b) If any fine is imposed pursuant to this section, such fine shall constitute a debt due and owing to the County. If the fine is not paid within 30 days after the expiration of the time within which judicial or administrative review of the adverse determination may be sought, or within 30 days after an action seeking review has been resolved in favor of the County, the Sheriff, Director of the Department of Revenue or the State's Attorney may seek to obtain judgment on the debt and enforce such judgment against the person fined.

Sec. 58-193. - Enforcement; rules and regulations.

(a) The Sheriff is authorized to enforce the required provisions of this article.

(b) The Sheriff is authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce rules and regulations for the implementation of this article and to prescribe all forms and the information required thereon that is not otherwise required in this article.

(c) The Sheriff shall receive, collect and file the reports of the transfer, loss, destruction or theft of firearms and cooperate or make available to law enforcement agencies the reports, for the purpose of investigative efforts.

(d) The Sheriff shall determine whether a person to whom a firearm is transferred has a valid Firearm Owner's Identification Card issued by the Illinois State Police. If the Sheriff determines the transferee does not possess a valid Firearm Owner's Identification Card or the transferee's Firearm Owner's Identification Card has been revoked, the Sheriff shall have the authority to recover the Firearm Owner's Identification Card and any and all firearms under the custody and control of the transferee.

(e) The Sheriff is authorized to cooperate with the state, municipalities, other County agencies and other law enforcement entities to facilitate the implementation of this article.

(f) The Recorder is authorized to adopt, promulgate and enforce rules and regulations for the implementation of this article and to develop a mechanism for ensuring the privacy of this information.

(g) The Recorder is authorized to disseminate this information only to law enforcement agencies as part of a criminal investigation.

Sec. 58-194. - Administrative adjudication.

Any person issued a notice of violation pursuant to this article may request an administrative hearing in accordance with Chapter 2, Administration, Article IX, Administrative Hearings, of this Code.

Sec. 58-195. - Severability.

If any section, subsection, paragraph, sentence or clause of this article or the application thereof to any person is for any reason deemed to be invalid or unconstitutional, such decision shall not affect, impair or invalidate any remaining section, subsection, paragraph, sentence or clause hereof or the application of this article to any other person.

Secs. 58-196-58-199. - Reserved.

Effective date: This ordinance shall be in effect January 1, 2017

16-4199

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

Sponsored by: STANLEY MOORE, County Commissioner

**WORKING IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE COOK COUNTY CHIEF JUDGE’S OFFICE TO
CREATE A SPEAKERS BUREAU AS AN EDUCATIONAL TOOL TO PROMOTE THE
IMPORTANCE OF CIVIC ENGAGEMENT**

WHEREAS, the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides citizens the right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury; and

WHEREAS, court cases have ruled that an impartial jury is selected from a pool of individuals that represent a cross section of the community in regards to race, gender, and national origin; and

WHEREAS, in the American judicial system, the juror is entrusted with protecting the individual rights to life and liberty; and

WHEREAS, jury service teaches an individual the skills needed for analyzing and processing contesting facts, debating issues, listening and tolerating dissenting opinions; and

WHEREAS, a 2007 survey by the National Center for State Courts estimates that 32 million individuals are summoned to service annually, but only 8 million actually show up to serve, and of that amount only 1.5 million are selected to sit on a jury in a state each year; and

WHEREAS, Illinois Public Act 99-0434 amended the Illinois School Code to require students to have at least one semester of civics in order to graduate from high school; and

WHEREAS, this course will allow schools to integrate service projects with the classroom curriculum in enabling students to leverage their knowledge to address community issues; and

WHEREAS, civic education has been used to teach the skills needed to be responsible citizens; and

WHEREAS, civics focuses on providing information on governmental institutions, current and controversial issues, and the importance of the jury to our system of justice; and

WHEREAS, the new graduation requirements took effect as of January 1, 2016.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Members of the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Cook County Commissioner Stanley Moore will work on behalf of the Board in collaboration with the Office of the Chief Judge of Cook County to create a Speakers Bureau consisting of current and retired members of the bar; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that that the purpose of the Speakers Bureau is to reach out to the students within the 4th District to discuss the importance of citizens performing their civic duty and responding to a summons for jury duty; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Cook County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a copy of this resolution to Chief Judge Timothy Evans.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Resolution be referred to the Law Enforcement Committee. The motion carried.

16-4229

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

Sponsored by: BRIDGET GAINER, County Commissioner

ESTABLISHING EMPLOYER PAID SICK LEAVE FOR RESIDENTS OF COOK COUNTY

WHEREAS, the County of Cook is a home rule unit of government pursuant to the 1970 Illinois Constitution, Article VII, Section 6 (a); and,

WHEREAS, pursuant to their home rule powers, the Cook County Commissioners may exercise any power and perform any function relating to their governments and affairs, including the power to regulate for the protection of the public health, safety, morals, and welfare; and

WHEREAS, employees in every industry occasionally require time away from the workplace to tend to their own health or the health of family members: and,

WHEREAS, paid sick leave has a positive effect of the health of not only employees and their family members, but also the health of fellow workers and public at large and the most comprehensive national survey of U.S. restaurant workers found that two-thirds of restaurant wait staff and cooks have come to work sick; and,

WHEREAS, paid sick leave reduces health care expenditures by promoting access to primary and preventative care and reduces reliance on emergency care; and,

WHEREAS, nationally providing all workers with paid sick leave would result in 1.1 billion in annual savings in hospital emergency department costs; and

WHEREAS, over 20 municipalities including New York City, San Francisco, Seattle, Portland and Jersey City have passed legislation requiring employers within their jurisdictions to provide paid sick leave; and,

WHEREAS, a cost model developed by the Civic Consulting Alliance found that a paid sick leave framework similar to the one reflected in this ordinance would result in only a small, 0.7 to 1.5 increase in labor costs for most employers.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 42, Human Relations, Article 1, In General, Section 42-1 of the Cook County Code is hereby enacted as follows:

Sec. 42-1. - Short title.

This article shall be known and may be cited as the Cook County Employer Paid Sick Leave Ordinance.

Sec. 42-2. - Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this article shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Construction Industry means any constructing, altering, reconstructing, repairing, rehabilitating, refinishing, refurbishing, remodeling, remediating, renovating, custom fabricating, maintenance, landscaping, improving, wrecking, painting, decorating, demolishing, and adding to or subtracting from any building, structure, highway, roadway, street, bridge, alley, sewer, ditch, sewage disposal plant, water works, parking facility, railroad, excavation or other structure, project, development, real property or improvement, or to do any part thereof, whether or not the performance of the work herein described involves the addition to, or fabrication into, any structure, project, development, real property or improvement herein described of any material or article of merchandise. Construction shall also include moving construction related materials on the job site to or from the job site, snow plowing, snow removal, and refuse collection.

Covered Employee is any employee employed for at least 80 hours for an Employer within a 120-day period.

“Domestic partner” means any person who has a registered domestic partnership, or qualifies as a domestic partner under Section 2-152-072 of this Code or as a party to a civil union under the Illinois Religious Freedom Protection and Civil Union Act, 750 ILCS 75/1 et seq., as currently in force and hereafter amended.

Employee means an individual permitted to work by an employer regardless of the number of the number of persons the Employer employs.

Employer means:

(1) Any person employing one or more employees, or seeking to employ one or more employees:

- a. If the person has its principal place of business within Cook County; or
- b. Does business within Cook County.

(2) The term "employer" does not mean:

- a. The government of the United States or a corporation wholly owned by the

government of the United States;

- b. An Indian tribe or a corporation wholly owned by an Indian tribe;
- c. The government of the State or any agency or department thereof; or
- d. The government of any municipality in Cook County.

Sec. 42-3. Employer Paid Sick Leave

(a) General Provisions

(1) Any covered employee who works at least 80 hours for an Employer within any 120-day period shall be eligible for Paid Sick Leave as provided under this section.

(2) Unless an applicable collective bargaining agreement provides otherwise, upon a Covered Employee's termination, resignation, retirement or other separating from employment, his or her Employer is not required to provide financial or other reimbursement for unused Paid Sick Day.

(b) Accrual of Paid Sick Leave

(1) Paid Sick Leave shall begin to accrue either on the 1st calendar day after the commencement of a Covered Employee's employment.

(2) For every 40 hours worked after a Covered Employee's Paid Sick Leave begins to accrue, he or she shall accrue one hour of Paid Sick Leave. Paid Sick Leave shall accrue only in hourly increments; there shall be no fractional accruals.

(3) A Covered Employee who is exempt from overtime requirements shall be assumed to work 40 hours in each work week for purposes of Paid Sick Leave accrual, unless his or her normal work week is less than 40 hours, in which case

Paid Sick Leave shall accrue based upon that normal work week.

(4) For each Covered Employee, there shall be a cap of 40 hours Paid Sick Leave accrued per 12-month period, unless his or her Employer sets a higher limit. The 12-month period for a Covered Employee shall be calculated from the date he or she began to accrue Paid Sick Leave.

(5) At the end of a Covered Employee's 12-month accrual period, he or she shall be allowed to carry over to the following 12-month period half of his or her unused accrued Paid Sick Leave, up to a maximum of 20 hours.

(6) If an Employer is subject to the Family and Medical Leave Act, each of the Employer's Covered Employees shall be allowed, at the end of his or her 12 -month Paid Sick Leave accrual period, to carry over up to 40 hours of his or her unused accrued Paid Sick Leave.

(c) Use of Paid Sick Leave

(1) An Employer shall allow a Covered Employee to begin using Paid Sick Leave no later than on the 180th calendar day following the commencement of his or her employment. A Covered Employee is entitled to use no more than 40 hours of Paid Sick Leave per 12-month period, unless his or her Employer sets a higher limit. The 12-month period for a Covered Employee shall be calculated from the date he or she began to accrue Paid Sick Leave.

(2) A covered Employee may use Paid Sick Leave when:

a) He or she is ill or injured, or for the purpose of receiving medical care, treatment, diagnosis or preventative medical care.

b) A member of his or her or for the purpose of receiving medical care, treatment, diagnosis or preventative medical care.

(3) An Employer shall not require, as a condition of A Covered Employee taking Paid Sick Leave that he or she search for or find a replacement worker to cover the hours during which he or she is on Paid Sick Leave.

(4) If a Covered Employees' need for Paid Sick Leave is reasonably foreseeable, an Employer may require up to seven days' notice before leave is taken. If the need for Paid Sick Leave is not reasonably foreseeable, an Employer may require a Covered Employee to give notice as soon as is practicable on the day the Covered Employee intends to take Paid Sick Leave by notifying the Employer through via phone, e-mail, or text message. For purposes of this subsection, needs that are "reasonably foreseeable" include, but are not limited to prescheduled appointments with health care providers for the Covered Employee or for a family member, and court dates in domestic violence cases. Any notice requirement imposed by an Employer pursuant to this subsection shall be waived in the event a Covered Employee is unable to give notice because he or she is unconscious, or otherwise medically incapacitated.

(5) Where a Covered Employee is absent for more than three consecutive work days, his or her Employer may require certification that the use of Paid Sick Leave was authorized under this section.

(6) Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit an Employer from taking disciplinary action, up to and including termination, against a Covered Employee who uses Paid Sick Leave for purposes other than those described in this section.

(d) This Section provides minimum Paid Sick Leave requirements; it shall not be construed to affect the applicability of any other law, regulation, requirement, policy, or standard that provides for greater Paid Sick Leave benefits.

ec. 42-4. Reporting Requirements

Cook County Employers are required to report their sick leave policy on a public website maintained by Cook County, no later than March 1 of each calendar year. The format for reporting this information and the operation of the website, which shall be publicly accessible, shall be determined by regulations of the Cook County Commission on Human Rights.

Sec. 42-5. Application to collective bargaining agreements

Nothing in this chapter shall be deemed to interfere with, impede, or in any way diminish the right of employees to bargain collectively with their employers through representatives of their own choosing in order to establish wages or other conditions of work in excess of the applicable minimum standards of the provisions of this chapter.

The requirements of this chapter may be waived in a bona fide collective bargaining agreement, but only if the waiver is set forth explicitly in such agreement in clear and unambiguous terms. Nothing in Section 42-3 shall be deemed to affect the validity or change the terms of bona fide collective bargaining agreements in force on the effective date of this amendatory ordinance of 2016. After that date, requirements of Section 42-3 may be waived in a bona fide collective bargaining agreement, but only if the waiver is set forth explicitly in such agreement in clear and unambiguous terms. In no event shall Section 42-3 apply to any Covered Employee working in the construction industry who is covered by a bona fide collective bargaining agreement.

Sec. 42-6. Private Right of Action

If any Employer violates any of the Paid Sick Leave provisions, the affected Covered Employee may recover in a civil action damages equal to three times the full amount of any unpaid sick time denied or lost by reason of the violation, and the interest on that amount calculated at the prevailing rate, together with costs and such reasonable attorney's fees as the court allows.

THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 34, Finance, Article IV, Procurement, Division 4, Disqualifications and Penalties, Section 34-179 shall be amended as follows:

Sec. 34-179. - Disqualification due to violation of laws related to the payment of wages and Employer Paid Sick Leave Ordinance.

(a) A Person including a Substantial Owner (as defined in Part I, Chapter 34, Article V, Section 34-367 of the Cook County Code) who has admitted guilt or liability or has been adjudicated guilty or liable in any judicial or administrative proceeding of committing a repeated or willful violation of the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, 820 ILCS 115/1 et seq., Illinois Minimum Wage Act, 820 ILCS 105/1 et seq., the Illinois Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act, 820 ILCS 65/1 et seq., the Employee Classification Act, 820 ILCS 185/1 et seq., the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. 201, et seq., or any comparable state statute or regulation of any state, which governs the payment of wages shall be ineligible to enter into a Contract with the County for a period of five years from the date of conviction, entry of a plea, administrative finding or admission of guilt. (a) A person including a Substantial Owner who has admitted guilt or liability or has been adjudicated guilty or liable in any judicial or administrative proceeding of violating the Cook County Employer Paid Sick Leave Ordinance (Article 1, Section 42 of the Cook County Code) shall be ineligible to enter into a Contract with the County for a period of five years from the date of conviction, entry of a plea, administrative finding or admission of guilt. (b) (c) The CPO shall obtain an affidavit or certification from every Person or Substantial Owner (as defined in Part I, Chapter 34, Article V, Section 34-367 of the Cook County Code) from whom the County seeks to make a Contract with certifying that the Person seeking to do business with the County including its Substantial Owners (as defined in Part I, Chapter 34, Article V, Section 34-367 of the Cook County Code) has not violated the statutory provisions identified in Subsection (a) and or (b) of this Section. (b) (d) For Contracts entered into following the effective date of this Ordinance, if the County becomes aware that a Person including Substantial Owner (as defined in Part I, Chapter 34, Article V, Section 34-367 of the Cook County Code) under contract with the County is in violation of Subsection (a) or (b) of this Section, then, after notice from the County, any such violation(s) shall constitute a default under the Contract. (e) If a Person including a Substantial Owner (as defined in Part I, Chapter 34, Article V, Section 34-367 of the Cook County Code) is ineligible to contract with the County due to the provisions of Subsection (a) or (b) of this Section, the Person seeking the Contract may submit a request for a reduction or waiver of the ineligibility period to the CPO. The request shall be in writing in a manner and form prescribed by the CPO and shall include one or more of the following actions have been taken:

- (1) There has been a bona fide change in ownership or Control of the ineligible Person or Substantial Owner;
- (2) Disciplinary action has been taken against the individual(s) responsible for the acts giving rise to the violation;
- (3) Remedial action has been taken to prevent a recurrence of the acts giving rise to the disqualification or default; or
- (4) Other factors that the Person or Substantial Owner believe are relevant. The CPO shall review the documentation submitted, make any inquiries deemed necessary, request additional documentation where warranted and determine whether a reduction or waiver is appropriate. Should the CPO determine that a reduction or waiver of the ineligibility period is appropriate; the CPO shall submit its decision and findings to the County Board.

(f) A Using Agency may request an exception to such period of ineligibility by submitting a written request to the CPO, supported by facts that establish that it is in the best interests of the County that the Contract

be made from such ineligible Person. The CPO shall review the documentation, make any inquiries deemed necessary, and determine whether the request should be approved. If an exception is granted, such exception shall apply to that Contract only and the period of ineligibility shall continue for its full term as to any other Contract. Said exceptions granted by the CPO shall be communicated to the County Board.

THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 74, Taxation, Article 2, Real Property Taxation, Division 2, Classification System for Assessment, Section 74-74 are hereby amended as follows: Sec. 74-74- Laws Regulating the Payment of Wages and Employer Paid Sick Leave (a) Except where a Person has requested an exception from the Assessor and the County Board expressly finds that granting the exception is in the best interest of the County, such Person including any Substantial Owner (as defined in Part I, Chapter 34, Article V, Section 34-367of the Cook County Code) shall be ineligible to receive any property tax incentive noted in Division 2 of this Article if, during the five year period prior to the date of the application, such Person or Substantial Owner (as defined in Part I, Chapter 34, Article V, Section 34-367of the Cook County Code) admitted guilt or liability or has been adjudicated guilty or liable in any judicial or administrative proceeding of committing a repeated or willful violation of the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, 820 ILCS 115/1 et seq., the Illinois Minimum Wage Act, 820 ILCS 105/1 et seq., the Illinois Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act, 820 ILCS 65/1 et seq., the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act, 29 U.S.C. 2101 et seq., the Employee Classification Act, 820 ILCS 185/1 et. seq., the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. 201, et seq., or any comparable state statute or regulation of any state, which governs the payment of wages. (b) The Assessor shall obtain an affidavit or certification from every Person and Substantial Owner (as defined in Part I, Chapter 34, Article V, Section 34-367of the Cook County Code) who seeks a property tax incentive from the County as noted in Division 2 of this Article certifying that the Person or Substantial Owner (as defined in Part I, Chapter 34, Article V, Section 34-367of the Cook County Code) has not violated the statutory provisions identified in Subsection (a) of this Section. (c) If the County or Assessor becomes aware that a Person or Substantial Owner (as defined in Part I, Chapter 34, Article V, Section 34-367of the Cook County Code) has admitted guilt or liability or has been adjudicated guilty or liable in any judicial or administrative proceeding of committing a repeated or willful violation of the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, 820 ILCS 115/1 et seq., the Illinois Minimum Wage Act, 820 ILCS 105/1 et seq., the Illinois Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act, 820 ILCS 65/1 et seq., the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act, 29 U.S.C. 2101 et seq., the Employee Classification Act, 820 ILCS 185/1 et. seq., the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. 201, et seq., or any comparable state statute or regulation of any state, which governs the payment of wages during the five year period prior to the date of the application, but after the County has reclassified the Person's or Substantial Owner's (as defined in Part I, Chapter 34, Article V, Section 34-367of the Cook County Code) subject property under a property tax incentive classification, then, after notice from the Assessor of such violation, the Person or Substantial Owner shall have 45 days to cure its violation and request an exception or waiver from the Assessor. Failure to cure or obtain an exception or waiver of ineligibility from the Assessor shall serve as grounds for revocation of the classification as provided by the Assessor or by the County Board by Resolution or Ordinance. In case of revocation or cancellation, the Incentive Classification shall be deemed null and void for the tax year in which the incentive was revoked or cancelled as to the subject property. In such an instance, the taxpayer shall be liable for and shall reimburse to the County Collector an amount equal to the difference in the amount of taxes that would have been collected had the subject property not received the property tax incentive. (d) the Assessor shall obtain an affidavit or certification from every Person and Substantial Owner who seeks a property tax incentive from the

County that the person has a Sick Leave Policy in accordance with , Article 1, Section 42 of the Cook County Code.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 54, Licenses, Permits and Miscellaneous Business Regulations, Article X, General Business Licenses, Section 54-384 and Section 54-390 are hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 54-384. - License application.

All applications for a General Business License shall be made in writing and under oath to the Director of Revenue on a form provided for that purpose.

(a) Every application for a County General Business License shall be submitted and signed by the Person doing business or authorized representative of the Person doing business and shall contain the following:

- (1) Name of the applicant.
- (2) Business address.
- (3) Social security numbers, Tax ID number, and residence addresses of its sole proprietor or the three individuals who own the highest percentage interests in such Person and any other individual who owns five percent or more interest therein.
- (4) Pin number of the property or properties where the business is being operated.
- (5) A brief description of the business operations plan.
- (6) Sales tax allocation code. The sales tax allocation code identifies a specific sales tax geographic area and is used by the State of Illinois for sales tax allocation purposes.
- (7) Certification that applicant is in compliance with all applicable County Ordinances.
- (8) For Business Licenses applied for or renewed following the effective date of this provision, certification that the applicant has not, during the five-year period prior to the date of the application for a Business License, admitted guilt or liability or has been adjudicated guilty or liable in any judicial or administrative proceeding of committing a repeated or willful violation of the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act, 820 ILCS 115/1 et seq., the Illinois Minimum Wage Act, 820 ILCS 105/1 et seq., the Illinois Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act, 820 ILCS 65/1 et seq., the Worker Adjustment and Retraining Notification Act, 29 U.S.C. 2101 et seq., the Employee Classification Act, 820 ILCS 185/1 et. seq., the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. 201, et seq., or any comparable state statute or regulation of any state, which governs the payment of wages.
- (9) Certification that the applicant has an employer paid sick leave policy that conforms with Chapter 42, Human Relations, Section 42-1 of the Cook County Code

(b) The Director of Revenue shall be the custodian of all applications for licenses which [sic] under provisions of this Code. All information received by the Department from applications filed pursuant to this article or from any investigations conducted pursuant to this article, except for official County purposes, or as required by the Freedom of Information Act, shall be confidential.

(c) The General Business License applicant may be subject to an inspection by the following county departments including, but not limited to, Health, Building and Zoning and the Environment, prior to licensing.

(d) It shall be grounds for denial and/or revocation of any license issued under the provisions of this article whenever the license applicant knowingly includes false or incomplete information in the license application or is in violation of a County Ordinance.

(e) The Director of Revenue shall be the custodian of all applications for licenses which [sic] under provisions of this Code. All information received by the Department from applications filed pursuant to this article or from any investigations conducted pursuant to this article, except for official County purposes, or as required by the Freedom of Information Act, shall be confidential.

(f) The General Business License applicant may be subject to an inspection by the following county departments including, but not limited to, Health, Building and Zoning and the Environment, prior to licensing.

(g) It shall be grounds for denial and/or revocation of any license issued under the provisions of this article whenever the license applicant knowingly includes false or incomplete information in the license application or is in violation of a County Ordinance.

Sec. 54-390. - Failure to comply-Code of Ordinances.

(a) Failure to comply with applicable Cook County Code of Ordinances may result in general business license suspension or revocation.

(b) Persons doing business in unincorporated Cook County must comply with this article and, including but not limited to, the following Cook County Code of Ordinances:

(1) Chapter 30, Environment; or

(2) Chapter 38, Article III, Public Health and Private Nuisances; or

(3) Chapter 58: Article III, Offenses involving Public Safety, and Article IV, Offenses Involving Public Morals; or

(4) The Cook County Building Ordinance, adopted originally on March 11, 1949, as amended, and/or the Cook County Building Code; or

(5) Chapter 74 Taxation; or

(6) The Cook County Zoning Ordinance, as amended; or

(7) Chapter 42 Human Relations.

Effective Date: This Ordinance shall take effect on July 1, 2017)

A motion was made by Commissioner Gainer, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, that this Ordinance be referred to the Labor Committee. The motion carried.

BID OPENING

June 8, 2016

Honorable President and Members
Board of Commissioners of Cook County
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Wednesday, June 8, 2016 at 10:00 A.M. in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<u>CONTRACT NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>USING DEPARTMENT</u>
1545-15099	ASBESTOS SUPPLIES	DEPT. OF FACILITIES MANAGEMENT
1645-15297	REFRIGERANTS	DEPT. OF FACILITIES MANAGEMENT
1626-15447	ELECTRONIC BARCODE FILE SYSTEM	OFFICE OF THE CHIEF PROCUREMENT OFFICER

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective department for review and consideration.

BID OPENING

June 15, 2016

Honorable President and Members
Board of Commissioners of Cook County
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Wednesday, June 15, 2016 at 10:00 A.M. in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<u>CONTRACT NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>USING DEPARTMENT</u>
1623-15351	DIESEL AND UNLEADED FUEL SYSTEM REPAIRS AT DISTRICT 5	DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION & HIGHWAYS

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective department for review and consideration.

BID OPENING

June 22, 2016

Honorable President and Members
Board of Commissioners of Cook County
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Wednesday, June 22, 2016 at 10:00 A.M. in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<u>CONTRACT NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>USING DEPARTMENT</u>
1545-14909	REPAIR OF LIGHT DUTY MACHINES	VARIOUS COOK COUNTY AGENCIES
1626-15552	5 FLEX DRIVES	DEPT. OF FACILITIES MANAGEMENT
1626-15584	37 BIT ID CARD PRINTING	OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective department for review and consideration.

ADJOURNMENT

* * * * *

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Moore that the meeting do now adjourn to meet again at the same time and same place on July 13, 2016, in accordance with County Board Resolution 16-0557.

The motion prevailed and the meeting stood adjourned.



•