

Board of Commissioners of Cook County

118 North Clark Street
Chicago, IL



Journal of Proceedings

Wednesday, June 27, 2018

11:00 AM

Cook County Building, Board Room, 118 North Clark Street, Chicago, Illinois

LUIS ARROYO, JR.
RICHARD R. BOYKIN
JERRY BUTLER
JOHN P. DALEY
DENNIS DEER
JOHN A. FRITCHEY
BRIDGET GAINER
JESUS G. GARCIA
GREGG GOSLIN

EDWARD M. MOODY
STANLEY MOORE
SEAN M. MORRISON
TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER
PETER N. SILVESTRI
DEBORAH SIMS
LARRY SUFFREDIN
JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI

**DAVID ORR
COUNTY CLERK**

Board met pursuant to law and pursuant to Resolution 18-0624.

OFFICIAL RECORD

President Preckwinkle in the chair.

CALL TO ORDER

At 11:00 A.M., being the hour appointed for the meeting, the President called the Board to order.

QUORUM

County Clerk David Orr called the roll of members and there was found to be a quorum present.

ATTENDANCE

Present: Commissioners Arroyo, Boykin, Butler, Daley, Deer, Fritchey, Gainer, Garcia, Goslin, Moody, Moore, Morrison, Schneider, Silvestri, Sims, Suffredin and Tobolski (17)

Absent: None (0)

INVOCATION

Prophetess Dr. Phalese A. Binion, President, Westside Minister’s Coalition, Illinois, gave the invocation.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

Pursuant to Cook County Code of Ordinances, public testimony will be permitted at regular and special meetings of the Board. Duly authorized public speakers shall be called upon at this time to deliver testimony germane to a specific item(s) on the meeting agenda, and the testimony must not exceed three (3) minutes. The names of duly authorized speakers shall be published in the Post Board Action Agenda and Journal of Proceedings as prepared by the Clerk of the Board.

1. George Blakemore – Concerned Citizen
2. Marjorie Fujara, MD, Illinois Chapter American Academy of Pediatrics
3. Dr. Dana Rusch, University of Illinois at Chicago, Institute for Juvenile Research
4. Anna Duke, International Human Rights Clinic
5. Niva Lubin-Johnson, MD, National Medical Association
6. Walter Coleman and Jeanette Wilson, Operation PUSH
7. Renee Hatcher, John Marshall Law School
8. Susan Gzesh, Pozen Family Center for Human Rights, University of Chicago
9. Reveredn Dora S White Merritt, Trinity United Church of Christ
10. Xiaorong Jajah Wu, The Young Center for Immigrant Children’s Rights
11. Mark Armstrong, Chicago Urban Fine Arts Commonwealth

PRESIDENT

18-4102

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE (PRESIDENT), Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

CABLE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, Section 6(a) of Article VII of the Illinois Constitution provides in relevant part that a home rule unit "may exercise any power and perform any function pertaining to its government and affairs including, but not limited to, the power to regulate for the protection of the public health, safety, morals and welfare; to license; to tax . . ."; and

WHEREAS, Section 5/5-1095 of the Counties Code, 55 ILCS 5/5-1095, provides in relevant part that "The County Board may license, tax or franchise the business of operating a community antenna television system or systems within the County. . ."; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners ("County Board") adopted Ordinance 82-0-32 ("the Cook County Cable Television Ordinance" or "the Cable Ordinance"), to provide for the non-exclusive franchising and regulation of CATV Systems within Unincorporated Cook County; and

WHEREAS, Cook County seeks to provide cable Franchises and renewals in an equitable and nondiscriminatory manner, taking all relevant facts and circumstances into account, so as to allow all cable television Franchisees to provide service within Unincorporated Cook County on a fair and competitive footing; and

WHEREAS, Comcast of California/ Illinois, LLC; Comcast of Illinois VI, LLC; Comcast of California/ Colorado/ Illinois/ Indiana/ Michigan, LLC; Comcast of Illinois/ Indiana, LLC; Comcast of Illinois IV, Inc.; Comcast of Illinois/ West Virginia, LLC; and Comcast of Illinois/ Indiana/ Michigan, LLC ("Comcast") requested a new ten-year agreement for its various cable television franchise(s) to provide CATV Service in Cook County;

WHEREAS, Comcast has constructed and installed, and is currently maintaining and operating a CATV System in Unincorporated Cook County pursuant to its previous Franchise Agreement and Cable and Video Customer Protection Law, 220 ILCS 5/22-501 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Revenue has reviewed Comcast's financial performance per the terms of the Cable Franchise Agreement and has indicated that Comcast has timely remitted its Franchise Fees in the amount of 5% of its gross revenue for the franchise area on an annual basis and will continue to provide the statutory maximum franchise fee under the terms of the agreement; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to of the Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. Section 541 Comcast is seeking and the parties have negotiated a new Cable Television Franchise Agreement; subsequently the Grantee and Cook County negotiated and reviewed the terms the new Franchise Agreement and extension/renewal terms pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section 541 and 546(h); and

WHEREAS, the County, having determined that Comcast’s financial, legal, and technical abilities are reasonably sufficient to provide the services, facilities, and equipment necessary to meet the future cable-related needs of the community, desires to enter into this Franchise Agreement with Comcast for the construction, operation and maintenance of a Cable System on the terms and conditions set forth herein; and

WHEREAS, the term of the Franchise Agreement granted hereunder shall be ten (10) years from the date of execution, unless the Franchise Agreement is renewed or is lawfully terminated in accordance with the terms of this Franchise Agreement and/or applicable law; and

WHEREAS, the Franchise Fee provided to the County shall be at the statutory maximum which is 5% of Comcast’s gross revenues in unincorporated Cook County; and

WHEREAS, the Franchise Agreement provides for a capital grant to the County for the life of the Franchise Agreement subject to the County’s capital plan to assist in funding the equipment necessary to maintain the programming for the County’s channel; this grant will be funded through a Public, Educational and Governmental (P.E.G.) Capital Fee of up to \$0.45 per month per subscriber for the life of the Franchise Agreement; and

WHEREAS, it is in the public interest to grant a new non-exclusive cable television franchise to Comcast in accordance with the terms of the Franchise Agreement presented herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, under the authority of the Cable Act, the Illinois Constitution of 1970, including the County’s home rule powers, and the Illinois Counties Code, as amended from time to time, the Cook County Board of Commissioners hereby authorizes the execution of a new ten year Cable Franchise Agreement with Comcast of California/ Illinois, LLC; Comcast of Illinois VI, LLC; Comcast of California/ Colorado/ Illinois/ Indiana/ Michigan, LLC; Comcast of Illinois/ Indiana, LLC; Comcast of Illinois IV, Inc.; Comcast of Illinois/ West Virginia, LLC; and Comcast of Illinois/ Indiana/ Michigan, LLC ; and

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that the President be authorized to execute the Cable Franchise Agreement.

Effective date: This ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Proposed Ordinance be referred to the Finance Committee. The motion carried.

18-4370

Presented by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): Thomas Wake, DVM

Position: Administrator

Department/Board/Commission: Animal and Rabies Control

Effective date: Effective Immediately

Expiration date: N/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, to suspend the rules. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, that the Appointment be approved. The motion carried.

COMMISSIONERS

**18-4466
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE LUIS ARROYO JR, RICHARD R. BOYKIN, JERRY BUTLER,
JOHN P. DALEY, DENNIS DEER, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, JESUS G. GARCIA,
GREGG GOSLIN, EDWARD M. MOODY, STANLEY MOORE,
PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE, DEBORAH SIMS, LARRY SUFFREDIN AND
JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**ADDRESSING THE HARMFUL EFFECTS OF IMMIGRANT CHILD AND
FAMILY DETENTION AND CALLING ON THE U.S. CONGRESS AND THE
EXECUTIVE BRANCH TO RESCIND THE “ZERO TOLERANCE” POLICY**

WHEREAS, Cook County is a “Fair and Equal County for Immigrants,” as defined in 07-R-240; and

WHEREAS, Cook County has demonstrated leadership and commitment towards upholding the rights of immigrants by being the first county in the nation to develop and approve a policy of how to respond to Immigration and Customs Enforcement detainees per Ordinance 11-O-73; and

WHEREAS, Cook County has benefitted culturally, socially and economically from the diversity of its residents, including over one million immigrants from throughout the world; and

WHEREAS, in April 2018, United States Attorney General Jeff Sessions issued a memorandum requiring all federal prosecutors along the Southwest border to adopt a zero tolerance policy for attempted entries as defined under 8 U.S. Code § 1325; and

WHEREAS, there are migrant parents who have been criminally prosecuted as a result of this zero tolerance policy and are currently being detained in immigrant detention facilities in Illinois, despite their children being scattered across the country and thus further complicating the litigation of their asylum cases; and

WHEREAS, this has vastly expanded the criminalization of adult migrants, some of whom are presenting asylum claims and are now being criminally prosecuted before federal judges, instead of appearing in civil immigration proceedings, and has resulted in the separation from their minor children who have been erroneously labeled as unaccompanied alien children; and

WHEREAS, there has been a spike in separations after the issuance of the zero tolerance policy memorandum with nearly 3,000 children separated from their parents with an unspecified number of these children being sheltered in Chicago facilities with no plan to reunify the children with their parents per a June 21, 2018 Chicago Sun-Times report; and

WHEREAS, on June 20, 2018, the President of the United States signed an Executive Order requiring that families be detained together indefinitely during criminal or immigration proceedings, with expanded use and construction of facilities as needed, yet does not rescind the zero tolerance policy and further contributes to the mass incarceration of people of color in the United States; and

WHEREAS, the federal government has not clarified a pathway to reunification for families who have already been separated, whom are experiencing extreme duress, as evidenced by the suicide of a Honduran father who killed himself in a detention cell after being separated from his child in June 2018; and

WHEREAS, adding to a growing pattern of permanent family separation, the Chicago-based National Immigrant Justice Center reports that some parents have already been deported to their home countries without knowledge of their children's whereabouts; and

WHEREAS, the Office of Refugee Resettlement has historically been charged with reunification of unaccompanied minors with sponsors, but now, any future sponsors of the separated children, including parents, would be subject to investigation and discretion by the United States Department of Homeland Security per a recent April 2018 Memorandum of Agreement between said department and the United States Department of Health and Human Services which would continue to put the well-being of children and families at risk; and

WHEREAS, these practices impose long-lasting, trans-generational toxic stress on impacted families with negative ramifications on public health, according to decades of research on the factors needed for healthy child development; and

WHEREAS, furthermore, in light of a May 2018 joint report from the American Civil Liberties Union and the International Human Rights Clinic at the University of Chicago Law School which details evidence of abuse against immigrant children while in government custody as well as separate reports that Immigration

and Customs Enforcement will start destroying records of abuse against detained immigrants, it can be argued that detained families are in a perilous state with no accountability; and

WHEREAS, renowned mental health organizations such as the Illinois Childhood Trauma Coalition and the American Psychological Association have decried the practice of separating families and have emphasized the harmful effects that trauma caused by detention and deportation can have on children and families; and

WHEREAS, moreover, mental health providers have reported that they cannot adequately address pre-migration trauma that causes youth and families to seek asylum when trauma is further compounded by family separation and family-based detention practices and current actions that place undue stress on families, including in Cook County, who await reunification with loved ones via asylum; and

WHEREAS, the American Psychological Association's Society for Community Research and Action documented that the broader community can suffer negative consequences of immigration enforcement and deportations by becoming more fearful and mistrustful of public institutions and being less likely to participate in community activities such as school, church, and social services; and

WHEREAS, the situation has prompted a national outcry for the federal government to address the malignant effects of detention, with leading immigrant rights organizations demanding United States House and Senate leaders scale back funding for immigrant detention and enforcement; and

WHEREAS, in the United States, there already exists very limited mechanisms to safeguard parental rights, let alone for the precarious situation of newly arrived migrant parents who are unduly being referred to federal custody for criminal prosecution; and

WHEREAS, elected officials across the country, including Illinois Attorney General Lisa Madigan, have either participated in various actions or issued statements condemning the practice and calling out the lack of legal basis in the "zero tolerance policy"; and

WHEREAS, the current actions on behalf the Executive Branch are burdening practitioners in the social service and legal sectors and are further unnecessarily entangling families into indefinite interaction with both child welfare and criminal justice systems.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, Cook County calls on the United States Attorney General Jeff Sessions to rescind the zero tolerance policy enacted in April 2018 which prosecutes families at the border and instead adhere to core human rights as stated by the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights in order to allow United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) to properly review and process asylum cases to ensure the safety of all children and families within our borders while ensuring due process to these individuals; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Cook County calls on the United States Department of Health and Human Services and the United States Department of Homeland Security to retract their Memorandum of Agreement that allows for the Office for Refugee Resettlement to share data on minors and potential sponsors with Immigration and Customs Enforcement and keep the work of these agencies separate to safeguard the basic rights of children and families; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Cook County Board President and the Cook County Board of Commissioners do hereby demand that the Congress of the United States and the Executive Branch ensure that separation of families and the detention of families at the Southern border is halted and define a reunification pathway for impacted families and that it conduct review and reform of current conditions of detention for immigrant children; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that suitable copies of this Resolution be presented to the Illinois Delegation to the United States Congress and to the President of the United States as a sign of our commitment to this critical issue.

Approved and adopted this 27th of February 2018.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: **DAVID ORR**, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Arroyo, to suspend the rules. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that the Resolution be approved as substituted. The motion carried.

18-4461

Sponsored by: LUIS ARROYO JR, RICHARD R. BOYKIN, JOHN P. DALEY, DENNIS DEER, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE, SEAN M. MORRISON, TONI PRECKWINKLE (PRESIDENT), TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, LARRY SUFFREDIN and JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

CREATING A SHERIFF’S OPERATIONS STATE ASSET FORFEITURE AND MONEY LAUNDERING STATE ASSET FOREFEITURE FUNDS

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 46 - Law Enforcement, Article II. - Sheriff, Division 1-Generally, Subdivision I - In General, Sections 46-37.2 and 46-37.3 of the Cook County Code are hereby enacted as Follows:

Sec. 46-37.2. Sheriff’s Operations State Asset Forfeiture Fund.

Illinois compiled statues 720 ILCS 550/12 and 720 ILCS 570/505 enables the Sheriff’s Operations State Asset Forfeiture fund. In accordance with these statues the Sheriff’s Office receives a portion of the monies and the sale of proceeds of all other property forfeited and seized for conducting or participating in drug and controlled substance investigations resulting in forfeiture.

Sec. 46-37.3. Sheriff’s Money Laundering State Asset Forfeiture Fund

Illinois compiled statues 720 ILCS 5/29B, enables the Sheriff’s Money Laundering State Asset Forfeiture fund. In accordance with this statue the Sheriff’s Office receives a portion of the monies and the sale of proceeds of all other property forfeited and seized for conducting or participating in money laundering investigations resulting in forfeiture.

Effective date: This ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that the Proposed Ordinance be referred to the Finance Committee. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY AUDITOR

18-4411

Presented by: WILLIAM CARROLL, Office of the County Auditor

REPORT

Department: Office of the County Auditor

Report Title: FY’18 2nd Quarter Open Recommendation Status Report

Report Period: June 2018

Summary: Report on the status of open audit recommendations.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF FINANCE
DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES

18-4447

Presented by: TANYA S. ANTHONY, Budget Director

REPORT

Department: Department of Budget and Management Services

Request: Receive and File

Report Title: FY2019 Preliminary Forecast

Report Period: 6/1/2018 - 11/30/2018

Summary: This report presents Cook County’s Fiscal Year 2018. DBMS anticipates a budget surplus of \$3.0 million in the County’s General Fund and \$2.3 million shortfall in the Health Fund, for a combined surplus of \$0.6 million in the County’s major operating funds.

The Fiscal Year 2019 outlook includes a \$52.3 million shortfall projected in the General Fund and a \$29.5 million shortfall in the Health Fund, creating a total operating funds projected deficit of \$81.8 million.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims that the Report be referred to the Finance Committee. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF FINANCE
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COMPTROLLER

18-4108

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Bureau of Finance, Office of the Comptroller

Vendor: Nationwide Retirement Services, Inc. (a subsidiary and affiliate of Nationwide Financial Services, Inc., Columbus, Ohio)

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Administrative Services of County/Forest Preserve Deferred Compensation 457(b) Plan

Original Contract Period: 7/1/2012 - 6/30/2017

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 7/1/2018 - 6/30/2019

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$0

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 6/19/2012, \$0

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): 6/28/2017, 7/1/2017 - 6/30/2018

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

This Increase Requested: N/A

Potential Fiscal Impact: N/A

Accounts: N/A

Contract Number(s): 11-88-032

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority- and Women-owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation and partial MBE and WBE waiver.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This second of two (1) one (1) year renewal options will allow Nationwide Retirement Solutions, Inc. to continue to provide the third-party administrator services for the Cook County/Forest Preserve Deferred Compensation Plan As consideration, Nationwide has agreed to reduce its fee two (2.0) basis points (i.e., to 7.5 bps from 9.5 bps) effective July 1, 2018. The Plan’s administrative costs will remain at .75 basis points.

This contract was awarded through Request for Proposals (RFP) process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Nationwide Retirement Solutions, Inc. was selected based on established evaluation criteria.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

18-4110

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Finance, Office of the Comptroller

Report Title: Cook County Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for the year ended 11/30/2017

Report Period: 12/1/2016 -11/30/2017

Summary: Annual Audit of Cook County’s Financial Statements prepared by the Office of the Cook County Comptroller and audited by RSM US LLP in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the USA.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

18-4111

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Finance, Office of the Comptroller

Report Title: Cook County Illinois Report to the County President, Board of Commissioners and Audit Committee, 5/31/2018

Report Period: 12/1/2016 - 11/30/2017

Summary: This report, presented by RSM USA LLP, is intended only for the information and use of County President, County Board of Commissioners, the Audit Committee and management. It summarizes certain matters required by professional standards to be communicated by the independent auditors in their oversight responsibility for the County's financial reporting process.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

18-4112

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Finance, Office of the Comptroller

Report Title: Cook County Federal Report on Federal Awards (In accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996, and Uniform Guidance) for the Fiscal Year Ended 11/30/2017

Report Period: 12/1/2016 - 11/30/2017

Summary: Annual Audits of: (1) the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards prepared by the Office of the Cook County Comptroller and (2) compliance for each major federal program and on internal control over compliance required by the Uniform Guidance - audited by Washington, Pittman & McKeever, LLC, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the USA; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 of U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance).

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

18-4114

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Finance, Office of the Comptroller

Report Title: Cook County Health and Hospitals System of Illinois (An Enterprise of Cook County Illinois) Financial Report, 11/30/2017

Report Period: 12/1/2016 - 11/30/2017

Summary: Annual Audit of the CCHHS financial statements prepared by CCHHS Finance, for the year ended 11/30/2017, audited by RSM US LLP, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the USA.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

18-4115

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Finance, Office of the Comptroller

Report Title: Cook County Health and Hospitals System Report to the Audit and Compliance Committee, 5/31/2018

Report Period: 12/1/2016 - 11/30/2017

Summary: This report, presented by RSM US LLP, is intended solely for the CCHHS Audit and Compliance Committee and summarizes certain matters required by professional standards to be communicated to them in their oversight responsibility for the CCHHS's financial reporting process.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

18-4116

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Finance, Office of the Comptroller

Report Title: Cook County Illinois Actuarial Study of the Workers Compensation and Liability Self-Insured Programs as of 11/30/2017.

Report Period: As of 11/30/2017

Summary: Annual actuarial study completed by Aon Global Risk Consulting

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

18-4243

Presented by: LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

REPORT

Department: Comptroller’s Office

Report Title: Bills and Claims Report

Report Period: 5/17/2018-6/6/2018

Summary: Summary: This report to be received and filed is to comply with the Amended Procurement Code Chapter 34-125 (k).

The Comptroller shall provide to the Board of Commissioners a report of all payments made pursuant to contracts for supplies, materials and equipment and for professional and managerial services for Cook County, including the separately elected Officials, which involve an expenditure of \$150,000.00 or more, within two (2) weeks of being made. Such reports shall include:

1. The name of the Vendor;
 2. A brief description of the product or service provided;
 3. The name of the Using Department and budgetary account from which the funds are being drawn; and
 4. The contract number under which the payment is being made.
-

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Report be received and filed. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

18-4231

Presented by: MARTHA MARTINEZ, Chief Administrative Officer, Bureau of Administration

REPORT

Department: Veterans Assistance Commission of Cook County

Report Title: FY18 Veterans Assistance Commission 2nd Quarter Report

Report Period: 3/1/2018 - 5/31/2018

Summary: Per Board Resolution, this quarterly report provides daily activity at the Veterans Assistance Commission from 3/1/2018 - 5/31/2018.

A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that the Report be referred to the Veterans Committee. The motion carried.

18-4258

Presented by: MARTHA MARTINEZ, Chief Administrative Officer, Bureau of Administration

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Bureau of Administration, Printing and Graphic Services (PGS)

Vendor: Montenegro Paper, Ltd., Roselle, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Supplies

Original Contract Period: 7/1/2015 - 6/30/2017, with two (2), one (1) year renewal options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 7/1/2018 - 6/30/2019

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$1,248,745.50

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 7/1/2015, \$1,248,745.50

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): 8/25/2017, 7/1/2017 - 6/30/2018

This Increase Requested: N/A

Potential Fiscal Impact: N/A

Accounts: 11000.1011.530 accts

Contract Number(s): 1584-14581

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority-and Women-owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This final of two (2), one (1) year renewal options will allow Cook County Agencies to continue to receive various types of envelopes used on a regular basis.

This contract was awarded through the competitive bidding process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Montenegro Paper, Ltd. was the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION
DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY AND EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT

18-4242

Presented by: WILLIAM BARNES, Executive Director, Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management

PROPOSED CONTRACT

Department(s): Homeland Security and Emergency Management

Vendor: NeuroLogica Corporation, Danvers, Massachusetts

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Body Tom, Portable, Full Body, 32-Slice CT Scanner

Contract Value: \$1,055,240.00

Contract period: 7/1/2018-6/30/2023, with one (1) one (1) year renewal option

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2018 \$1,055,240.00

Accounts: 11900-51475-560185

Contract Number(s): 1853-17212

Concurrences:

The contract-specific goal set on this contract was zero.

The Chief Procurement Officer cocncurs.

Summary: This contract will allow the Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management to use UASI grant funding to purchase a Body Tom Portable CT Scanner for use by the Medical Examiner’s office. The Body Tom allows diagnostic imaging of soft tissue injuries not visualized with standard x-rays and provides enhanced detail on injuries to bony structures. In the event of a mass fatality incident, the Body Tom could be deployed to the disaster scene. The Body Tom would be integrated into daily Medical Examiner practice to ensure readiness of staff members should a major incident occur in Cook County.

This is a Sole Source Procurement pursuant to Section 34-139 of the Cook County Procurement Code.

A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that the Contract be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS

18-3846

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION APPROVAL RESOLUTION
(TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

Department: Transportation and Highways

Other Part(ies): McDonagh Demolition Incorporated, Chicago, Illinois and F.H. Paschen, S.N. Nielsen and Associates, LLC, Chicago, Illinois

Action: Approval of the Proposed Completion of Construction Approval Resolution

Good(s) or Service(s): Professional Engineering and Construction Services

Location of Project: Rosemont Transit Center at I-90 and River Road, Village of Rosemont, Illinois

Section: 15-TRANS-00-PK

County Board District: 9

Contract Number: 12-28-340 HS7-(McDonagh Demolition Inc.) & 12-28-340 GC3-(F.H. Paschen, S.N. Nielsen Assoc., LLC)

Federal Project Number: N/A

Federal Job Number: N/A

Final Cost: \$1,401,840.65

Percent Above or Below Construction Contract Bid Amount: 23.25% Below the Contract Bid Amount

Summary: The Department of Transportation and Highways respectfully requests approval of the Proposed Completion of Construction Approval Resolution for Professional Engineering and Construction at the Rosemont Transit Center located at I-90 and River Road in the Village of Rosemont.

On 10/8/2015 the Chief Procurement Officer awarded a contract to McDonagh Demolition, Inc., Chicago, Illinois for the aforesaid improvement for professional engineering services and on 7/27/2016 the Chief Procurement Officer awarded a contract to F.H. Paschen, S.N. Nielsen & Associates LLC, Chicago, Illinois for the aforesaid construction services.

The proposed professional engineering and construction services consisted of detailed project drawings and specifications, asphalt pavement patching, median removal and replacement, curb and gutter repair, bus shelters, lighting, ADA sidewalk ramps, signage, drainage improvements, pavement marking, restriping crosswalks, traffic control and protection, engineering and other highway appurtenances has been completed.

The original awarded contract amounts of this project were \$534,932.98 to McDonagh Demolition, Incorporated and \$1,272,360.36 to F.H. Paschen, S.N. Nielsen & Associates LLC for an original combined total project award of \$1,807,293.34. Additionally, the contract with F.H. Paschen, S.N. Nielsen & Associates LLC was increased by \$19,205.31 (Amendment 1).

The contract award total with McDonagh Demolition, Incorporated was \$534,932.98 and the amended contract award total with F.H. Paschen, S.N. Nielsen & Associates LLC was \$1,291,565.67. The amended combined total project award was \$1,826,498.65.

The final professional design and construction services combined cost for this project is \$1,401,840.65 (McDonagh Demolition, Inc. \$110,274.98 and F.H. Paschen, S.N. Nielsen & Associates LLC \$1,291,565.67).

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Completion of Contract Approval be approved. The motion carried.

18-3896

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

REPORT

Department: Department of Transportation and Highways

Report Title: Bureau of Construction Monthly Status Report

Action: Receive and File

Report Period: 5/31/2018

Summary: The Department of Transportation and Highways respectfully submits the Bureau of Construction Monthly Status Report for the period ending 5/31/2018.

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Report be received and filed. The motion carried.

18-4018

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED CONTRACT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department(s): Transportation and Highways

Vendor: Alfred Benesch & Company, Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract.

Good(s) or Service(s): Construction Management Services

Location: Touhy Avenue - Elmhurst Road to Mount Prospect Road

County Board District: 15 and 17

Section: 15-34117-01-RP

Contract Value: \$9,553,715.52

Contract period: 8/1/2018 - 7/31/2023

Centerline Mileage: N/A

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2018 \$420,363.48, FY 2019 \$3,229,155.85, FY 2020 \$3,210,048.42, FY 2021 \$2,694,147.77

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Account: 11300.1500.29150.560019

Contract Number(s): 1628-15611

IDOT Contract Number(s): N/A

Federal Project Number(s): N/A

Federal Job Number(s): N/A

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority- and Women-owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This contract provides for construction management services to the Touhy Avenue in accordance with the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) requirements. The Services include oversight in construction supervision, inspection and documentation in pre-construction, construction and post construction phases. The Consultant will provide qualified individuals who will work under the direction and guidance of the Department's Bureau of Construction in various roles. Roles include project manager, resident engineer, assistant resident engineer, inspector, and others as-needed based on the project requirements. The Consultant may be tasked to coordinate with property owners, businesses, community stakeholders and utility companies as well as with various Municipal, County, State and Federal departments when applicable.

Request for Qualification (RFQ) procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Alfred Benesch & Company, was selected based on established evaluation criteria.

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Contract be approved. The motion carried.

18-4019

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED CONTRACT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department(s): Transportation and Highways

Vendor: Omega & Associates, Inc. Lisle, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract.

Good(s) or Service(s): Construction Management Services

Location: County Line Road at I-294 Ramp and North Avenue

County Board District: 17

Section: 16-W7331-00-RP

Contract Value: \$3,798,644.00

Contract period: 8/1/2018 - 7/31/2023

Centerline Mileage: N/A

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2018 \$186,133.56, FY 2019 \$2,317,172.84, FY 2020 \$1,295,337.60

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Account: 11300.1500.29150.560019

Contract Number(s): 1628-15568

IDOT Contract Number(s): N/A

Federal Project Number(s): V02M(043)

Federal Job Number(s): C-91-200-17

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority- and Women-owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This contract provides for construction management services to the County Line Road in accordance with the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) requirements. The Services include oversight in construction supervision, inspection and documentation in pre-construction, construction and post construction phases. The Consultant will provide qualified individuals who will work under the direction and guidance of the Department’s Bureau of Construction in various roles. Roles include project manager, resident engineer, assistant resident engineer, inspector, and others as-needed based on the project requirements. The Consultant may be tasked to coordinate with property owners, businesses, community stakeholders and utility companies as well as with various Municipal, County, State and Federal departments when applicable.

Request for Qualification (RFQ) procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Omega & Associates, Inc., was selected based established evaluation criteria.

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Contract be approved. The motion carried.

18-4020

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED CONTRACT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department(s): Transportation and Highways

Vendor: WSP USA Inc., New York, New York

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract.

Good(s) or Service(s): Construction Management Services

Location: Lake Cook Road - Weiland Road to Hastings Lane; Raupp Boulevard to Weiland Road

County Board District: 14

Section: 14-A5015-03-RP & 14-A5015-04-RP

Contract Value: \$6,492,650.15

Contract period: 8/1/2018 - 7/31/2023

Centerline Mileage: N/A

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2018 \$220,750.11, FY 2019 \$2,519,148.26, FY 2020 \$2,499,670.31, FY 2021 \$1,253,081.47

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Account: 11300.1500.29150.560019

Contract Number(s): 1628-15569

IDOT Contract Number(s): N/A

Federal Project Number(s): N/A

Federal Job Number(s): N/A

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority- and Women-owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This contract provides for construction management services to the Lake Cook Road in accordance with the Illinois Department of Transportation (IDOT) requirements. The Services include oversight in construction supervision, inspection and documentation in pre-construction, construction and post construction phases. The Consultant will provide qualified individuals who will work under the direction and guidance of the Department's Bureau of Construction in various roles. Roles include project manager, resident engineer, assistant resident engineer, inspector, and others as-needed based on the project requirements. The Consultant may be tasked to coordinate with property owners, businesses, community stakeholders and utility companies as well as with various Municipal, County, State and Federal departments when applicable.

Request for Qualification (RFQ) procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. WSP USA Inc., was selected based established evaluation criteria.

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Contract be approved. The motion carried.

18-4027

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Other Part(ies): Village of Northfield

Request: Approval of Proposed Intergovernmental Agreement

Goods or Services: Design, Construction and Construction Engineering Services of pavement rehabilitation improvements

Location: Happ Road from Winnetka Road to Willow Road, Village of Northfield, Illinois

Section: 18-W4044-00-PV

Centerline Mileage: N/A

County Board District: 13

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Agreement Period: One-time Agreement

Fiscal Impact: \$300,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Account: 11300.1500.29150.560019

Summary: The Department of Transportation and Highways respectfully requests approval of the Proposed Intergovernmental Agreement between Cook County and the Village of Northfield.

The Village of Northfield will be the lead agency for design, construction and construction engineering of pavement rehabilitation improvements along Happ Road from Winnetka Road to Willow Road. The County will reimburse the Village of Northfield for its share of construction and construction engineering costs. Projects reimbursement costs are estimated at \$300,000.00.

RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLVED, that the President of the Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, on behalf of the County of Cook, is hereby authorized and directed by the Members of said Board, to execute by original signature or authorized signature stamp, two (2) copies of an Intergovernmental Agreement between the County and the Village of Northfield, said Agreement attached, wherein the Village will be the lead agency for design, construction and construction engineering of pavement rehabilitation improvements along Happ Road from Winnetka Road to Willow Road; that the County of Cook will pay for a share of the construction and construction engineering costs incurred by the Village and shall reimburse the Village of Northfield for its share of said costs (total County cost \$300,000.00) under County Section: 18-W4044-00-PV; and, the Department of Transportation and Highways is authorized and directed to return an executed copy of this Resolution with Agreement to the Village of Northfield and implement the terms of the Agreement.

June 27, 2018

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Intergovernmental Agreement be approved. The motion carried.

18-4229

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Other Part(ies): Village of Wheeling, Illinois

Request: Approval of the Proposed Intergovernmental Agreement

Goods or Services: Construction and Design Engineering Services, including noise wall staining, street lighting, Emergency Vehicle Pre-Emptive (EVPD) systems, landscaping, water.

Location: Lake Cook Road, from Raupp Boulevard to Hastings Lane, Village of Wheeling

Section: 14-A5015-03-RP and 14-A5015-04-RP

Centerline Mileage: N/A

County Board District: 14

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Agreement Period: One-time Agreement

Fiscal Impact: \$1,514,492.00 (\$721,118.00 to be reimbursed from the Village of Wheeling and \$617,100.00 to be reimbursed from FHWA)

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Account: 11300.1500.29150.560019

Summary: The Department of Transportation and Highways respectfully requests approval of the Proposed Intergovernmental Agreement between Cook County and the Village of Wheeling.

The Village of Wheeling will reimburse the County for its share of improvement costs. The estimated reimbursement costs are \$721,118.00.

18-4229

RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLVED by the members of The Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, on behalf of the County of Cook, to authorize and direct its President to execute, by original signature or authorized signature stamp, two (2) copies of an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Village of Wheeling, said agreement attached, wherein the County will include the installation of noise wall staining, street lighting, Emergency Vehicle Pre- Emptive Device (EVPD) systems, landscaping, water main and design engineering for the Village as part of the County's roadway construction project along Lake Cook Road from Raupp Boulevard to Hastings Lane, Sections: 14-A5015-03-RP and 14-A5015-04-RP; that the Village of Wheeling shall reimburse the County of Cook for its share of construction costs for said improvements, estimated total Village cost \$721,118.00; and, the Department of Transportation and Highways is authorized and directed to return an executed copy of this Resolution with Agreement to the Village of Wheeling and implement the terms of the Agreement.

June 27, 2018

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Intergovernmental Agreement be approved. The motion carried.

18-4232

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION APPROVAL RESOLUTION
(TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

Department: Transportation and Highways

Other Part(ies): K-Five Construction Corporation, Westmont, Illinois

Action: Approval of the Proposed Completion of Construction Approval Resolution

Good(s) or Service(s): Construction Services - Pavement Preservation and Rehabilitation Program
2015-South Rebid

Location of Project: Various Locations South of the Eisenhower Expressway (I-290)

Section: 14-PPRPS-01-PV

County Board District: 5, 6 and 17

Contract Number: 1523-14815

Federal Project Number: N/A

Federal Job Number: N/A

Final Cost: \$4,297,510.86

Percent Above or Below Construction Contract Bid Amount: \$75,489.14 or 1.73% below the
Construction Contract Bid Amount

Summary: The Department of Transportation and Highways respectfully requests approval of the Proposed Completion of Construction Approval Resolution for Construction Services at various locations south of the Eisenhower Expressway (I-290) in Southern Cook County.

On 10/28/2015 your Honorable Body awarded a contract to K-Five Construction Corporation, Westmont, Illinois for the aforesaid improvement to be completed in accordance with the plans and specifications.

The proposed improvement consisted of milling and resurfacing of the existing bituminous pavement with hot-mix asphalt or diamond grinding existing concrete pavement and included patching, drainage repairs and adjustments, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp replacement, traffic control and protection, pavement marking, landscaping and other related work to complete the project has been completed under the supervision and to the satisfaction of the Superintendent.

The awarded contract amount of this project was \$4,373,000.00 and the final construction cost is \$4,297,510.86 (\$75,489.14 or 1.73% below the Construction Contract Bid Amount). The decrease is attributed to the difference between the estimated quantities and actual field quantities of work performed with decrease in asphalt items, pavement patching items, storm sewer items and striping items.

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Completion of Construction Approval Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

18-4353

Presented by: JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)

Department: Transportation and Highways

Other Part(ies): Village of North Riverside, Illinois

Request: Approval of the Proposed Intergovernmental Agreement

Goods or Services: Construction Services

Location: Riverside-Brookfield Trail Connection to the Salt Creek Bicycle Trail for segments to be constructed along 31st Street from First Avenue to Golfview Road, and along Golfview Road/First Avenue from 31st Street to 26th Street, Village of North Riverside

Section: 16-BICYC-00-BT

Centerline Mileage: N/A

County Board District: 16

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Agreement Period: One-time Agreement

Fiscal Impact: \$750,000.00

Accounts: Motor Fuel Tax Account: 11300.1500.29150.560019

Summary: The Department of Transportation and Highways respectfully requests approval of the Proposed Intergovernmental Agreement between Cook County and the Village of North Riverside.

The Village of North Riverside will be the lead agency for construction of the Riverside-Brookfield Trail Connection to the Salt Creek Bicycle Trail for segments to be constructed along 31st Street from First Avenue to Golfview Road, and along Golfview Road/First Avenue from 31st Street to 26th Street. The County will reimburse the Village of North Riverside for its share of construction costs, which are estimated at \$750,000.00.

18-4353

RESOLUTION

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLVED, by the members of The Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, on behalf of the County of Cook, to authorize and direct its President to execute, by original signature or authorized signature stamp, two (2) copies of an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Village of North Riverside, said Agreement attached, wherein the Village will be the lead agency for construction of the Riverside-Brookfield Trail connection to the Salt Creek Bicycle Trail for segments to be constructed along 31st Street from First Avenue to Golfview Road, and along Golfview Road/First Avenue from 31st Street to 25th Street; that the County of Cook will pay for a share of the construction costs incurred by the Village and shall reimburse the Village for its share of said costs (estimated total County share \$750,000.00) under County Section: 16-BICYC-00-BT; and, the Department of Transportation and Highways is authorized and directed to return one (1) executed copy of the Agreement with a certified copy of this Resolution to the Village of North Riverside and implement the terms of the Agreement.

June 27, 2018

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Intergovernmental Agreement be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ASSET MANAGEMENT
CAPITAL PLANNING AND POLICY

18-3955

Presented by: EARL MANNING, Director, Office of Capital Planning and Policy

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Capital Planning and Policy

Vendor: Pagoda-CCI Joint Venture I, Flossmoor, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to extend and increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Construction Services

Original Contract Period: 11/1/2016-10/31/2017

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 11/1/2018-10/31/2019

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$3,393,000.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 10/5/2016

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): 11/15/2017,
11/1/2017-10/31/2018

This Increase Requested: \$191,261.34

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 18 \$191,261.34

Accounts: Capital Improvement Program

Contract Number(s): 1555-15033

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority-and Women-owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This proposed extension and increase will allow for the final completion and provide closeout services for the renovation on floors 7, 8 and 9 at the Criminal Courts Administration Building.

This renovation upgraded the physical environment to adequately support the operational and staffing requirements of the Public Defender Office.

Competitive bidding procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Pagoda-CCI Joint Venture I was the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that the Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ASSET MANAGEMENT
REAL ESTATE

18-3829

Presented by: JESSICA CAFFREY, Director, Real Estate Management Division

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Real Estate

Vendor: CBRE, Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Property Management Services for George W. Dunne Cook County Office Building

Original Contract Period: 8/15/2014 - 8/14/2017, with two (2), one (1) year renewal options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 8/15/2018 - 8/14/2019

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$700,800.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 7/23/2014, \$522,000.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): 9/13/2017, \$178,800.00, 8/15/2017 - 8/14/2018

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

This Increase Requested: \$178,800.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2018 \$52,150.00, FY 2019 \$126,650.00

Accounts: 490-472 Operating Costs for the Cook County Administration Building

Contract Number(s): 1323-13201

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority-and Women-owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via full MWBE waiver.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This increase and final of two (2), one (1) year renewal options will permit the Department of Real Estate Management to continue to receive property management services for the George W. Dunne Building.

This contract was awarded through the Request for Proposals (RFP) process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. CBRE, Inc. was selected based on established evaluation criteria.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that the Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

18-4288

Presented by: JESSICA CAFFREY, Director, Real Estate Management Division

PROPOSED LICENSE AGREEMENT

Department: Department of Real Estate Management

Request: Approval of a new License Agreement

Licensor: Elk Grove, Illinois Park District

Licensee: County of Cook for the use of Commissioner Timothy O. Schneider

Location: 711 Chelmsford Lane, Elk Grove Village, Illinois

Term/Extension Period: Original: 12/1/14-11/30/2018 New: Commencing upon Licensor's purchase of land/building

Space Occupied: 192 square feet

Monthly Rent: \$120.00

Fiscal Impact: \$1,440.00

Accounts: 095-660 Rental of Facilities

Option to Renew: N/A

Termination: By Landlord or Tenant with 30 days prior written notice. Automatically upon the resignation, death or removal of Timothy O. Schneider from office without any termination fee.

Utilities Included: Yes. Licensor shall provide and pay for all utilities including electric, gas, heat, air conditioning and water (excluding telephone service) to the Premises.

Summary/Notes: License Agreement at 711 Chelmsford Lane, Elk Grove Village, Illinois for the use of Commissioner Timothy O. Schneider's 15th District field office. Office is currently owned by Elk Grove Township and is in use by Commissioner Schneider. Ownership is transferring from Elk Grove Township to Elk Grove Park District. Rider includes stipulation for Commissioner Schneider to pay monthly License Fee directly to Elk Grove Township Community Day Care, second tenant on the Premises at the request of Elk Grove Park District.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that the license agreement be approved. The motion carried.

18-4289

Presented by: JESSICA CAFFREY, Director, Real Estate Management Division, JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

PROPOSED LICENSE AGREEMENT

Department: Department of Real Estate Management

Request: Approval of a new License Agreement

Licensor: County of Cook

Licensee: Vero Fiber Networks, LLC

Location: Along 127th Street underneath Timberline Drive, Eagle Crest Drive, Leinster Drive, Emerald Drive, State Street

Term/Extension Period: 7/1/2018 - 6/30/2028

Space Occupied: N/A

Monthly Rent: \$4,023.28 (adjusted as of July 1 of every year during the term based on percentage increase of Consumer Price Index)

Fiscal Impact: \$40,232.80 (“Revenue Generating”)

Accounts: N/A

Option to Renew: N/A

Termination: If Grantee fails to fulfill annual fee and insurance requirements, County may revoke license at any time after 7/1.

Utilities Included: N/A

Summary/Notes: This License is in accordance with Cook County Code Chapter 66, Road and Bridges, Article 3, Public Way Regulatory Ordinance. Upon issuance of this License, Grantee shall have the authority to apply for permits in order to construct, install, replace, relocate, modify, maintain and remove its facilities located in the public ways of Cook County.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that the License Agreement be approved. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

18-4366

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE (PRESIDENT) and GREGG GOSLIN, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

OWS ENT, INC. 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: OWS Ent, Inc.

Address: 65 Century Drive, Wheeling, Illinois

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Village of Wheeling

Cook County District: 14

Permanent Index Number: 03-11-410-003-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: Village of Wheeling, Resolution No. 17-136

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: Nine (9) months vacant

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: None

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: 22 full-time jobs

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: Same as above

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 20 construction workers

Proposed use of property: Industrial, warehousing and distribution

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Resolution be referred to the Business and Economic Development Committee. The motion carried.

18-4368

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE (PRESIDENT) and GREGG GOSLIN, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

JI REALTY COMPANY, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: JI Realty Company, LLC

Address: 1919 Stanley Street, Northbrook, Illinois

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Village of Northbrook

Cook County District: 14th

Permanent Index Number: 04-15-102-008-0000 and 04-15-102-009-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: Village of Northbrook, Resolution No. 2017-R-131

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: Five (5) months vacant

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: Four (4) to eight (8) full-time jobs

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: 26 full-time jobs

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: Same as above

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 20 construction jobs

Proposed use of property: Industrial use, warehousing and distribution of parts used in the aviation industry

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Resolution be referred to the Business and Economic Development Committee. The motion carried.

18-4376

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE (PRESIDENT) and SEAN M. MORRISON, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

CAROSSEL HOLDINGS, LLC D/B/A CAROSSEL CHECKS INC. 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: Carosel Holdings, LLC d/b/a Carosel Checks Inc.

Address: 11152 Southwest Highway, Palos Hills, Illinois

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: City of Palos Hills

Cook County District: 17th

Permanent Index Number: 23-24-100-096-0000; 23-24-109-007-0000; 23-24-109-008-0000; 23-24-109-014-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: City of Palos Hills Resolution Number

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: Six (6) months vacant

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: 20-25 full-time, jobs and 20-25 part-time

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: 81 full-time jobs and 6 part-time jobs

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: Same as above

Estimated Number of construction jobs: Five (5) - 10 construction jobs

Proposed use of property: Industrial, manufacturing, warehousing and distribution of paper checks

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Resolution be referred to the Business and Economic Development Committee. The motion carried.

18-4388

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE (PRESIDENT) and EDWARD M. MOODY, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

AC & DC CAPITAL GROUP LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: AC & DC Capital Group LLC

Address: 12828 South Ridgeway Avenue, Alsip, Illinois 60803

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Alsip

Cook County District: 6

Permanent Index Number: (2) PINs: 24-35-100-031-0000; 24-35-100-045-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: Village of Alsip Ordinance 2017-7-5 approved June 24, 2017

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: ½ month vacancy

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: 5 full-time, 0 part-time

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: 9 full-time, 0 part-time

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: 9 full-time, 0 part-time

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 10

Proposed use of property: Industrial-Food manufacturing

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Resolution be referred to the Business and Economic Development Committee. The motion carried.

18-4394

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE (PRESIDENT) and TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

1055 ARTHUR, LLC OR ITS NOMINEE 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: 1055 Arthur, LLC or its Nominee

Address: 1055 Arthur Avenue, Elk Grove Village, Illinois

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Village of Elk Grove Village

Cook County District: 15th

Permanent Index Number: 08-34-302-028-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: Village of Elk Grove Village Resolution Number 48-16

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: Three (3) months vacant

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: One (1) to three (3) full-time jobs One (1) part-time job

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: 45 full-time jobs, three (3) part-time jobs

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: Same as above

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 15-20 construction jobs

Proposed use of property: Industrial use warehousing and distribution

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Resolution be referred to the Business and Economic Development Committee. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF HUMAN RESOURCES

18-3673

Presented by: VELISHA HADDOX, Chief, Bureau of Human Resources

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Human Resource

Report Title: HR Bi-Weekly Activity Report

Report Period: Pay Period 9: 4/15/2018 - 4/28/2018

Summary: This report list all new hires and terminations of employees in executive, administrative or professional positions, Grade 17 through 24, and employees in such positions who have transferred positions, received salary adjustments, whose positions have been transferred or reclassified, or employees who are hired into positions as Seasonal Work Employees, Extra Employees, Extra Employees for Special Activities and Employees per Court Order.

A motion was made by Commissioner Arroyo, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that the Report be received and filed. The motion carried.

BUREAU OF TECHNOLOGY
CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER

18-4007

Presented by: F. THOMAS LYNCH, Interim Chief Information Officer, Bureau of Technology

REPORT

Department: Bureau of Technology

Report Title: Quarterly Progress Report on the Creation of the Automated Criminal Justice System

Report Period: Second Quarter 2018

Summary: Pursuant to Resolution 13-2002, the CIO shall update the Board of Commissioners via the Technology Committee on progress being made towards achieving the goal of an integrated, automated Cook County Criminal Justice System.

A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Morrison, that the Report be referred to the Technology and Innovation Committee. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUDGE
ADULT PROBATION

18-3514

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Adult Probation and Juvenile Probation and Court Services Departments, Circuit Court of Cook County

Vendor: Sentinel Offender Services, LLC, Irvine, California

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to extend and increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Electronic Monitoring Services-GPS Technology

Original Contract Period: 3/1/2013 - 2/28/2016, with two (2) one (1) year renewal options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 6/1/2018-8/31/2018

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$6,121,233.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 2/5/2013, \$3,638,728.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): (2/10/2016, 3/1/2016 - 2/28/2017 \$1,035,000.00); (1/18/2017, 3/1/2017 - 2/28/2018, \$1,136,505.00); (2/7/18, 3/1/2018 - 5/31/2018, \$311,000.00)

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

This Increase Requested: \$330,000.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2018 \$330,000.00

Accounts: (1280-550137 Rental and Leasing NOC \$180,000.00); (1326-540130 Operation, Maintenance and Subscription Services \$150,000.00)

Contract Number(s): 11-45-048B

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority- and Women-owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation and a full MBE and partial WBE waiver.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This extension would allow Sentinel Offender services, LLC to continue to provide electronic monitoring and Global Positioning System (GPS) services for the Adult Probation Department and Juvenile Probation and Court Services Department for youth and adult clients who are ordered by the court to wear electronic monitoring devices. Sentinel provides GPS monitoring equipment and services for adult probationers and pretrial defendants in order to comply with Public Act 95-0773, also known as the Cindy Bischof Law, which calls for the monitoring of certain domestic violence offenders through GPS technology. The law allows the court to order adults charged with certain domestic violence offenses to be monitored electronically by the Adult Probation Department Home Confinement Unit as a condition of bail or probation. Offenders are ordered to wear a GPS tracking device to help monitor compliance with orders to stay away from the complaining witness, the complaining witness's home/workplace, or any other protected address specified. There are currently more than 210 adults under GPS monitoring and 110 victims who carry GPS devices to create mobile exclusion zones. Effective on 1/1/2015, new legislation (Public Act 98-1012, 725 ILCS 5/110-5) significantly expanded the types of charges required to be considered for GPS monitoring as a condition of bail.

GPS technology is used by the Juvenile Probation and Court Services Department to monitor high-risk youth in home confinement as an alternative to secure detention or as a condition of release into the community. These devices are deployed at various points of the judicial process from pre- adjudication through post-dispositional stages of court proceedings.

This extension will allow the corresponding Request for Proposals process to be completed. The extension

will also provide a brief period of time to begin to transition services to a new provider.

The contract was awarded through the Request for Proposals (RFP) process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Sentinel Offender Services, LLC was originally awarded this contract based on established evaluation criteria.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that the Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

Commissioner Tobolski voted “no”.

18-4006

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Adult Probation and Social Service Departments, Circuit Court of Cook County

Vendor:

Behavioral Services Center, Skokie, Illinois
Healthcare Alternative Systems, Chicago, Illinois
Pro-Health Advocates, Inc. Chicago, Illinois
Sarah’s Inn, Oak Park, Illinois
South Suburban Family Shelter, Homewood, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to extend contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Domestic Violence Intervention Counseling

Original Contract Period:

Behavioral Services Center, 6/1/2013 - 5/31/2016
Healthcare Alternative Systems, 6/1/2013 - 5/31/2016
Pro-Health Advocates, Inc., 7/1/2013 - 6/30/2016
Sarah’s Inn, 7/1/2013 - 6/30/2016
South Suburban Family Shelter, 6/1/2013 - 5/31/2016

Proposed Contract Period Extension:

Behavioral Services Center, 6/1/2018 - 11/30/2018
Healthcare Alternative Systems, 6/1/2018 - 11/30/2018
Pro-Health Advocates, Inc., 7/1/2018 - 11/30/2018
Sarah’s Inn, 7/1/2013 - 11/30/2018
South Suburban Family Shelter, 6/1/~~2013~~ 2018 - 11/30/2018

Total Current Contract Amount Authority:

Behavioral Services Center - \$135,000.00
Healthcare Alternative Systems - \$300,000.00

Pro-Health Advocates, Inc. - \$330,000.00
Sarah's Inn - \$215,000.00
South Suburban Family Shelter - \$270,000.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement):

Behavioral Services Center - 5/23/2013, \$100,000.00, 6/1/2013 - 5/31/2016
Healthcare Alternative Systems - 5/8/2013, \$160,000.00, 6/1/2013 - 5/31/2016

Pro-Health Advocates, Inc. - 6/19/2013, \$275,000.00, 7/1/2013 - 6/30/2016
Sarah's Inn - 6/19/2013 - \$170,000.00, 7/1/2013 - 6/30/2016
South Suburban Family Shelter - 5/8/2013 - \$175,000.00, 6/1/2013 - 5/31/2016

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):

Behavioral Services Center, 6/28/2017, 6/1/2017 - 5/31/2018
Healthcare Alternative Systems, 6/28/2017, 6/1/2017 - 5/31/2018
Pro-Health Advocates, Inc., 6/28/2017, 7/1/2017 -6/30/2018
Sarah's Inn, 6/28/2017, 7/1/2017 - 6/30/2018
South Suburban Family Shelter, 6/28/2017, 6/1/2017 - 5/31/2018

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):

Behavioral Services Center, 5/25/2016, \$35,000.00, 6/1/2016 - 5/31/2017
Healthcare Alternative Systems, 5/25/2016, \$140,000.00, 6/1/2016 - 5/31/2017
Pro-Health Advocates, Inc., 9/8/2016, \$55,000.00, 7/1/2016 - 6/30/2017
Sarah's Inn, 5/25/2016, \$45,000.00, 7/1/2016 - 6/30/2017
South Suburban Family Shelter, 5/25/2016, \$95,000.00, 6/1/2016 - 5/31/2017

This Increase Requested: N/A

Potential Fiscal Impact: N/A

Accounts:

Contract Number(s):

Behavioral Services Center - 13-88-081C
Healthcare Alternative Systems - 13-88-081I
Pro-Health Advocates - 1388-12706
Sarah's Inn - 1388-12707
South Suburban Family Shelter - 13-88-081K

Concurrences:

For the following contracts the vendor has met the Minority- and Women-owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via full MWBE waiver:

13-88-081C
13-88-081I
13-88-081K
1388-12707

For the following contract the vendor has met the Minority- and Women-owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation:
1388-12706

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: These extensions will allow contractors to provide specialized treatment services ordered by the court for adult domestic violence offenders who are supervised by the Adult Probation and Social Service Departments of The Circuit Court of Cook County. Terms of service and pricing during the extension period are unchanged from the original contract period. Services provided under the contracts include assessments and individual and group counseling as deemed appropriate, billed to Cook County at prescribed hourly rates for offenders who meet indigent criteria. There are currently more than 2,500 probationers under court supervision for domestic violence offenses.

Additional time is required to complete Request for Qualifications process and efficient transition to new vendor(s).

These contracts were awarded through the Request for Proposal process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. All contracts were awarded based on established evaluation criteria and are part of a service network encompassing all of Cook County that assist offenders in their own communities.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that the Contract Amendment be approved as amended. The motion carried.

18-4337

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Adult Probation Department, Circuit Court of Cook County

Vendor: Abraxas Cornell Intervention, Woodridge, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to extend contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Substance Abuse Treatment and Counseling Services

Original Contract Period: 6/1/2013 - 5/31/2016, with two (2) one-year renewal options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 6/1/2018 - 11/30/2018

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$313,000.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 5/29/2013, \$173,000.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): 5/10/2017, 6/1/2017 - 5/31/2018

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): 5/16/2014, \$20,000.00; 11/2/2015, \$120,000.00; 6/17/2016, 6/1/2016 - 5/31/2017

This Increase Requested: N/A

Potential Fiscal Impact: None

Accounts: 11326-521025

Contract Number(s): 1388-12605

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority- and Women-owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via full MWBE waiver.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This extension will allow the Contractor to provide specialized treatment services ordered by the court for adult criminal offenders, who participate in the Circuit Court’s drug treatment court programs. Terms of service and pricing during the extension period are unchanged from the original contract period. Services provided under the contract include assessment, detoxification, counseling, residential rehabilitation, Level I and Level II outpatient treatment, case management, toxicology, and aftercare, as deemed appropriate, billed to the Cook County at the prescribed hourly rates. This contract is a part of a service network that encompasses all of Cook County that assists offenders in their own communities.

Additional time is required to complete Request for Proposal (RFP) process and efficient transition to new vendor(s).

This contract was awarded through the Request for Proposal (RFP) process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code and was awarded based on established evaluation criterial.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that the Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUDGE
JUDICIARY

18-3716

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Circuit Court of Cook County, Office of the Chief Judge

Vendor: TASC, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Patient Care Management Services to Adult Offenders

Original Contract Period: 7/1/2015-6/30/2018, with two (2) one (1) year renewal options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 7/1/2018 -6/30/2019

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$600,394.70

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 6/10/2015, \$600,394.70

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

This Increase Requested: \$208,079.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2018 \$86,699.60, FY 2019 \$121,379.40

Accounts: 11100.1310.35095.520840, professional services

Contract Number(s): 1430-13970B

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority- and Women-owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via full MWBE waiver with indirect participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This first of two (2) one-year renewal will allow the contractor to provide patient care management services to adult offenders participating in the Circuit Court of Cook County's adult mental health treatment courts located geographically in the South (Area 2) covering the George N. Leighton Courthouse, the Fourth (4th) Municipal District (Maywood) and the Sixth (6th) Municipal District Courts. Services include screening and clinical assessments, case planning, referral to substance use and mental health treatment and intensive case management

The Circuit Court's Specialty/Treatment Court Program operates a network of 19 courts in Chicago and across suburban Cook County which are dedicated to providing mental health treatment, veterans support, drug treatment and support to persons charged with felony prostitution. The program helps low level criminal defendants who suffer from an underlying mental health, social or substance abuse problem from becoming repeat offenders. The hallmark of the program is intensive judicial supervision and the delivery of treatment and services from community-based sources, such as TASC which has provided services to

the Circuit Court's Specialty/Treatment Courts Program since 2010.

This contract was awarded through a Request for Proposals (RFP) process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. TASC, Inc. was selected based on established evaluation criteria.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that the Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

18-4375

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED GRANT AWARD

Department: Office of the Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

Grantee: Circuit Court of Cook County

Grantor: Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority

Request: Authorization to accept grant

Purpose: To fund the operations of two of the Circuit Court of Cook County's specialty courts: the Access to Community Treatment Court (ACT) and the Adult Redeploy Illinois (ARI) HOPE Program. These courts seek to help certain low-level criminal defendants suffering from substance abuse problems from becoming repeat offenders and being incarcerated.

Grant Amount: \$912,553.00

Grant Period: 7/1/2018-6/30/2019

Fiscal Impact: None

Accounts: NA

Concurrences:

DO NOT PUT TEXT HERE. FOR BUDGET ATS REVIEW USE ONLY

Summary: This grant would continue funding from the State of Illinois Adult Redeploy Illinois Program, through the Criminal Justice Information Authority, for the Circuit Court of Cook County's Access to Community Treatment Court (ACT) Program. The grant would also provide transition funding for the Adult Redeploy Illinois (ARI) HOPE Program

The ACT Court is a drug court probation program that operates in the Circuit Court Criminal Division. Its goal is to help certain nonviolent, felony criminal offenders suffering from substance abuse problems from becoming repeat offenders and incarceration. The program links participants with behavioral health, vocational, and educational treatment services from community-based sources. The court closely monitors

participants' compliance with program protocols and provides encouragement for success through a range of rewards and sanctions. Incarceration is used as a last resort and for short periods.

The grant will fund treatment and toxicology services for participants not covered by Medicaid health insurance. Funds will also be used for court staff salaries and payroll fringe benefits, program evaluation, training, supplies and county indirect costs.

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that the Grant Award be approved. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUDGE
PUBLIC GUARDIAN

18-3207

Presented by: TIMOTHY C. EVANS, Chief Judge, Circuit Court of Cook County

PROPOSED CONTRACT

Department(s): Office of the Cook County Public Guardian, Circuit Court of Cook County

Vendor: Panoramic Software, Inc., Dana Point, California

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

Good(s) or Service(s): Adult Guardianship Case Management Software Maintenance

Contract Value: \$196,000.00

Contract period: 6/1/2018 - 5/31/2020, with two (2) one (1) year renewal options.

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY2018: \$57,170.00; FY2019: \$98,000.00; FY2020: \$40,830.00.

Accounts: 1305-540165

Contract Number(s): 1853-17270

Concurrences:

The contract-specific goal set on this contract was zero.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: Panoramic Software, Inc. developed and installed the proprietary Adult Guardianship Case Management System for the Public Guardian's Office in 2012 and 2013 to modernize case management and fiduciary accounting systems that had become outdated and inefficient. The system is web-based and

provides unlimited (24/7) electronic access to information on wards and their finances to 90 employees both in the office and the field.

Panoramic owns all rights to the source code for the program "PG-Pro Web." Panoramic is sole owner of the source code and for this reason is the only software vendor who can host and maintain the software.

This is a Sole Source Procurement pursuant to Section 34-139 of the Cook County Procurement Code.

This item was WITHDRAWN.

OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF
FISCAL ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT SERVICES

18-3566

Presented by: THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): Cook County Sheriff's Chicago High-Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA)

Vendor: Robert Crown Center for Health Education, Hinsdale, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Heroin and Drug Prevention Program for Students

Original Contract Period: 9/23/2016 - 9/22/2017, three (3), one (1) year renewal options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 9/23/2018 - 9/22/2019

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$149,750.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 9/19/2016, \$68,750.00

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): 6/29/2017, \$81,000.00, 9/23/2017 - 9/22/2018

This Increase Requested: \$81,000.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY 2018 \$13,500.00, FY 2019 \$67,500.00

Accounts: HIDTA Grant- Project 22842, Award 10424, 520830

Contract Number(s): 1611-15547

Concurrences:

The contract-specific goal set on this contract was zero.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This increase and second of three (3), one (1) year renewal options will allow for the continuation of the Sheriff’s Chicago HIDTA’s heroin and drug prevention program for students.

This is a Sole Source Procurement pursuant to Section 34-139 of the Cook County Procurement Code.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that the Contract Amendment be approve. The motion carried.

18-3584

Presented by: THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT

Department: Sheriff of Cook County

Other Part(ies): Village of Orland Hills, Village of Elmwood Park, Village of Broadview, Village of Elk Grove Village, Village of Streamwood, Worth Park District, Village of South Holland and Village of Wheeling

Request: Enter into Intergovernmental Agreements between the County of Cook and the following governmental entities: Village of Orland Hills, Village of Elmwood Park, Village of Broadview, Village of Elk Grove Village, Village of Streamwood, Worth Park District, Village of South Holland and Village of Wheeling.

Goods or Services: Cook County Sheriff’s Work Alternative Program (SWAP) services for the general grounds clean-up for various governmental entities.

Agreement Number(s): N/A

Agreement Period: 5/16/2018 - 5/15/2021 with two (2) one-year renewal options

Fiscal Impact: None. Estimated revenue generating amount: \$42,000.00

Accounts: N/A

Summary: The purpose of these intergovernmental agreements is to provide the municipalities with Sheriff’s Work Alternative Program (SWAP) participants to participate in cleanup efforts on behalf of municipalities as directed by the Sheriff. The services provided by SWAP represent a significant cost savings to the Municipality, and provide an opportunity for SWAP participants to complete their court

ordered community service.

In return, the recipients shall pay the Cook County Sheriff's Office on a sliding scale as indicated in these three-year agreements with two (2) one year renewal option. Fees received will be deposited into account 11100.1239.19945.580380, to offset the Sheriff's Office SWAP operational costs.

Permission is hereby requested for the Sheriff of Cook County or his designee on behalf of Cook County, to be authorized to execute and renew said intergovernmental agreement with the governments listed below utilizing the exact agreement authorized above, excepting the parties: Village of Orland Hills, Village of Elmwood Park, Village of Broadview, Village of Elk Grove Village, Village of Streamwood, Worth Park District, Village of South Holland and Village of Wheeling.

Permission is hereby requested for the Sheriff of Cook County or his designee on behalf of Cook County, to be authorized to enter into agreements with other municipalities, with respect to the further implementation of this program.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that the Intergovernmental Agreement be approved. The motion carried.

18-4176

Presented by: THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT RENEWAL

Department: Cook County Sheriff's Office

Other Part(ies): Forest Preserve District, Cook County, Illinois

Request: Authorization for the County of Cook on behalf of the Sheriff of Cook County to enter into and execute Fourth Amendment to the Intergovernmental Agreement ("IGA") with the Forest Preserve District of Cook County for the deconstruction and salvage services with respect to certain sites owned by the Forest Preserve for the purpose of extending the term of the IGA.

Goods or Services: Deconstruction and salvage services with respect to certain sites owned by the Forest Preserve.

Agreement Number: N/A

Agreement Period: Original contract period - 4/11/2014 - 4/11/2015. First Renewal Period - 4/12/2015 - 6/30/2015. Second Renewal Period - 7/1/2016 - 6/30/2017. Third Renewal Period - 7/1/2017 - 6/30/2018. This Renewal Period - 7/1/2018 - 6/30/2019.

Fiscal Impact: None. Revenue Generating.

Accounts: N/A

Summary: Authorization for the County of Cook on behalf of the Sheriff of Cook County to enter into and execute a Fourth Amendment to the Intergovernmental Agreement (“IGA”) with the Forest Preserve District of Cook County for participants from the Vocational Rehabilitation Impact Center (“VRIC”) to provide deconstruction and salvage services with respect to certain sites owned by the Forest Preserve of Cook County. The Forest Preserve agrees to reimburse the Sheriff’s Office for salary, benefits and administrative costs. Contract Second Amendment is to extend time to complete deconstruction and building material salvage services specified in original contract and to add to Exhibit A, deconstruction and salvage projects on property owned by the District with a total cost for additional projects not to exceed \$100,000.00. Contract Third Amendment is to extend time to complete deconstruction and building material salvage services specified in original contract. Contract Fourth Amendment is to extend time to complete deconstruction and building material salvage services specified in original contract.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that the Intergovernmental Agreement Renewal approved. The motion carried.

18-4277

Presented by: THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

PROPOSED GRANT AWARD

Department: Cook County Sheriff’s Office

Grantee: Cook County Sheriff’s Office

Grantor: U.S. Department of Justice

Request: Authorization to accept grant

Purpose: State and local law enforcement agencies receive equitable sharing funds by participating jointly with Department of Justice agencies on investigations that lead to the seizures and forfeiture of property. Once an investigation is completed and the seized assets are forfeited, the assisting state and local law enforcement agencies can request a share of the forfeited assets or a percentage of the proceeds derived from the sale of forfeited assets. Generally, the degree of a state or local agency’s direct participation in an investigation determines the amount or percentage of funds shared with the agency. Before requesting a share of the seized assets, a state or local law enforcement agency must first become a member of the DOJ Equitable Sharing Program. To participate in the program, agencies sign and submit to DOJ an equitable sharing agreement and certification form. The agreement must be renewed annually, and by signing and submitting the agreement, the officials of participating agencies certify that they will use equitable sharing funds for law enforcement purposes.

Grant Amount: TBD

Grant Period: 12/1/2017 - 11/30/2022

Fiscal Impact: None

Accounts: TBD

Concurrences:

Budget and Management Services has received all requisite documents, and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any.

Summary: The Cook County Sheriff’s office has participated in this program since 1995. Attached are the Equitable Sharing guidelines. Equitably shared funds must be used in accordance with the Guide to Equitable Sharing for State and local Law Enforcement Agencies for law enforcement purposes that directly supplement the appropriated resources of the recipient law enforcement agency. Permissible uses of shared funds include support of investigations, law enforcement training and education, law enforcement awards and memorials, drug and gang education, and other awareness programs, matching funds, transfers to other law enforcement agencies and support of community-based programs.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that the Grant Award be approved. The motion carried.

OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY

18-4284

Presented by: KIMBERLY M. FOXX, Cook County State's Attorney, JENNIFER BALLARD CROFT, Chief of Staff, State’s Attorney’s Office

PROPOSED GRANT AWARD RENEWAL

Department: Cook County State’s Attorney’s Office

Grantee: Cook County State’s Attorney’s Office

Grantor: Illinois State’s Attorney’s Appellate Prosecutor

Request: Authorization to renew grant

Purpose: This funding enables the State’s Attorney’s Office to maintain assistant state’s attorney positions in the Criminal Appeals Division that are dedicated to working on criminal appeals in Cook County

Grant Amount: \$3,400,000.00

Grant Period: 7/1/2018-6/30/2019

Fiscal Impact: None

Accounts: N/A

Most Recent Date of Board Authorization for Grant: 9/13/2017

Most Recent Grant Amount: \$2,000,000.00

Concurrences:

Budget and Management Services has received all requisite documents, and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any.

Summary: This funding enables the State’s Attorney’s Office to maintain assistant state’s attorney positions in the Criminal Appeals Division that are dedicated to working on criminal appeals in Cook County. Since 2003, the State’s Attorney’s Office the State’s attorney’s Office has received funding from the State’s Attorney’s Appellate Prosecutor for the purpose of staffing the Criminal Appeals Division at a level that better balances staffing between defense attorneys working on criminal appeals in Cook County and the number of assistant state’s attorneys responding to those briefs.

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that the Grant Award Renewal be approved. The motion carried.

COMMITTEE ITEMS REQUIRING BOARD ACTION

**FINANCE COMMITTEE
MEETING OF JUNE 26, 2018**

**18-3651
ORDINANCE AMENDMENT**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE,
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

COOK COUNTY MEDICAL EXAMINER’S ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 38 Health and Human Services, Article VI Medical Examiner, Division 1 Generally, Sections 38-109 through 38-148 of the Cook County Code, is hereby amended as follows:

DIVISION 1. - GENERALLY

Sec. 38-109. - Office of coroner eliminated.

The office of Coroner of Cook County is hereby eliminated. This article shall be known and may be cited as the Cook County Medical Examiner's Ordinance.-

Sec. 38-110. - Definitions.

{The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this ~~article~~ ordinance, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:}

Sec. 38-119. - Deaths subject to investigation; establishing manner and cause of death.

Where a death has occurred under any of the circumstances enumerated in Section 38-118, then an investigation, including autopsy if necessary, shall be conducted sufficient to establish manner and cause of death, and the Medical Examiner shall recover and retain any and all evidence for use in the investigation. The Medical Examiner shall obtain specimens necessary to determine the cause and manner of death and retain them in accordance with nationally established practice guidelines for forensic pathology. The Medical Examiner shall have the authority to retain tissue specimen necessary to determine the cause and manner of death without notification or family permission and will have the authority to retain such body parts as the Medical Examiner deems necessary in the public interest with notification to any identified next-of-kin. The Medical Examiner shall have the authority to dispose of retained body parts or tissue specimen in an appropriate manner consistent with law, including using anonymized tissue samples for research in lieu of destruction.

An investigation into a death does not necessarily imply that an autopsy and/or a scene examination will be performed. ~~The necessity of a~~ An autopsy and/or a scene examination will be ~~determined by~~ conducted at the Medical Examiner's discretion based on ~~the criteria specified in Section 38-118 and~~ generally accepted guidelines for conducting medico-legal death investigations.

Sec. 38-120. - Deaths subject to investigation; death certificate.

In deaths subject to investigation under Section 38-118, the Medical Examiner, upon completion of his/her investigation ~~and examination~~, shall cause a death certificate to be issued specifically setting forth the cause, circumstances and manner of death, if determinable, or if undeterminable, so state.

Sec. 38-121. - Deaths subject to investigation; duty to notify.

Any person, including, but not limited to, any law enforcement officer, physician, nurse, ambulance attendant, hospital director or administrator, or funeral director who may become aware of a death subject to investigation under Section 38-118 ~~may~~ shall immediately report such death to the Office of the Medical Examiner or to any law enforcement officer; any such report to a law enforcement officer shall be immediately transmitted to the Medical Examiner.

Sec. 38-122. - Deaths subject to investigation; examination of scene.

Upon receipt of a report pursuant to Section 38-121, the Medical Examiner or his/her appointed representative shall go to the location of the body and take charge of same, and shall begin his/her investigation with an examination of the scene except when permission for removal has been approved pursuant to Section 38-123 or removal is otherwise authorized under 38-123 to preserve such body from

damage or destruction, or to protect life, safety, or health. Any such removal of the body pursuant to Section 38-123 shall not preclude the Medical Examiner or his/her appointed representative from examining the scene.

Sec. 38-123. - Deaths subject to investigation; permission required for removal.

No dead human body whose death may be subject to investigation under Section 38-118, or the personal property of such a deceased person, shall be handled, disturbed, embalmed or removed from the place of death by any person except with the permission of the Medical Examiner, unless the same shall be necessary to preserve such body from damage or destruction, or to protect life, safety, or health.

Whenever the Medical Examiner shall lawfully assume jurisdiction of a body, it shall not be removed or released from his/her jurisdiction except upon his/her direction and consent or as otherwise permitted in this Section.

Sec. 38-125. - Procedures and powers in investigation into cause of death.

(a) The Medical Examiner shall have the power to establish and supervise the procedures to be utilized in the conduct of investigations necessary to establish the cause and manner of death. The Medical Examiner, at his/her option, shall have the power to call and conduct public hearings in cases of public interest.

(b) The Medical Examiner shall have the power to issue subpoenas requiring persons to give information under oath and to produce books, records, papers or such other documents or objects the Medical Examiner shall deem necessary to establish the cause or manner of death. The Medical Examiner or a hearing officer acting in his/her behalf shall have the power to administer the necessary oath or affirmation to such witness. Any witness appearing at an investigation or public hearing shall have the right to be represented by counsel.

(c) The Medical Examiner shall have the power to request and obtain medical and/or mental health records within 24 hours of the first day of business operations of a hospital or physician's office that has treated a decedent under investigation by the Medical Examiner.

(d) The Medical Examiner shall have the power to request and obtain hospital admission blood samples on any in-hospital death under investigation by the Medical Examiner. Hospitals shall retain admission blood samples for ~~24~~48 hours following the death of a patient who will fall under investigation by the Medical Examiner as described in Section 38-118.

~~(e) Violation of the terms of this Section will be subject to the terms delineated in Section 38-148.~~

Sec. 38-131. - Deaths subject to investigation; release of the body.

Upon completion of the Medical Examiner's investigation and examination, the Medical Examiner shall release the body of the decedent to the decedent's next-of-kin, personal representative, friends, or to the person designated in writing by the decedent or to the funeral director selected by such person or other authorized person, as the case may be, for proper disposition.

Sec. 38-137. - Retention of human remains.

Notwithstanding other provisions in this ~~Article~~ ordinance, if the Medical Examiner determines that a body needs to be retained greater than 90 days then the Medical Examiner shall provide a written report to the Advisory Committee and County Board stating the reason for retention. The Medical Examiner shall report to Advisory Committee and County Board on this matter quarterly.

Sec. 38-141. - Advisory committee.

(d) *Attendance.* The members of this Committee shall attend meetings to be held at the Medical Examiner's Office on a quarterly basis, beginning with the third quarter of the fiscal year in which this Ordinance ~~{Article}~~ is enacted.

Sec. 38-144. - Fees.

The Medical Examiner shall charge the following fees with the amounts as set in Section 32-1 of this Code.

(18) Conference room use fee. Fee charged for use of the Medical Examiner's Conference Room located in the basement of the Office of the Cook County Medical Examiner. Conference room use fees shall be paid to the Cook County Medical Examiner in advance, unless special circumstances warrant a fee waiver which may be granted at the discretion of the Medical Examiner.

Sec. 38-148. - Penalty for violation.

Any person who knowingly violates any provision of this ~~Ordinance~~ ~~{Article}~~ may be fined not more than \$1,000.00 and imprisoned not more than six months per violation.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 32 Fees, Sec. 32-1 of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 32-1. Fee schedule.

The fees or charges provided for or required by the below-listed sections shall be as shown below:

CHAPTER 38, HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

38-144(1)	Autopsy report	50.00
38-144(2)	Toxicology report	25.00
38-144(3)	Miscellaneous reports, including artist's drawings, but not including police reports	25.00
38-144(4)	Permit to cremate a dead human body	<u>100.00</u> 50.00
38-144(5)	Return fee	300.00
38-144(6)	Death certificate amendment fee	20.00
38-144(7)	Storage fee	500.00
38-144(8)	Photographs, radiographs, histology slides	actual cost or \$3.00 whichever is greater
38-144(9)	Charge to non-county owned hospitals for the acceptance of fetal remains not falling under the jurisdiction of the medical examiner, per fetus	100.00
38-144(10)	Confirmation of death letter, per letter	5.00
38-144(11)	Tissue procurement morgue use fee, per case	250.00
38-144(12)	Student and resident rotation fees, per month	500.00
38-144(13)	Staff supervision of external experts fee, per hour, one hour minimum	100.00
38-144(14)	Toxicology send-out fee	100.00
38-144(15)	Laboratory use fee, per day (subject to waiver)	250.00
38-144(16)	Expert witness fee, Chief Medical Examiner, per hour, one hour minimum (subject to waiver)	600.00

38-144(16)	Expert witness fee, Assistant Chief Medical Examiner, per hour, one hour minimum (subject to waiver)	550.00
38-144(16)	Expert witness fee, Assistant Medical Examiners, per hour, one hour minimum (subject to waiver)	500.00
38-144(17)	Cremation fee (subject to waiver)	250.00
38-144(18)	Conference Room (basement) use fee <u>per hour, two hour minimum</u> (subject to waiver)	<u>100.00</u>

Effective date: This Ordinance shall be in effect immediately in relation to the Ordinance Amendments provided in Chapter 38, Sections 38-109 through 38-148; the fee Ordinance Amendments provided in Chapter 32 Fees, Section 32-1 in regards to Section 38-144 shall take effect on December 1, 2018.

Approved and adopted this 27th of June 2018.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: **DAVID ORR**, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Ordinance Amendment be approved. The motion carried.

**18-4201
ORDINANCE AMENDMENT**

**Sponsored by
THE HONORABLE SEAN M. MORRISON, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

AMENDMENT TO SECTION 74-63 ASSESSMENT CLASSES

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 74 Taxation, Article II Real Property Taxation, Division 2 Classification System For Assessment, Section 74-63 Assessment Classes of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 74-63. - Assessment classes.

Real estate is divided into the following assessment classes:

- (1) *Class 1.* Unimproved real estate.

- (2) *Class 2.* Real estate:
- a. Used as a farm;
 - b. Used for residential purposes when improved with a house, an apartment building of not more than six living units, or residential condominium, a residential cooperative or a government subsidized housing project, if required by statute to be assessed in the lowest assessment category;
 - c. Improved with a building put to commercial and residential use, of six or less units where the building measures less than 20,000 square feet of above grade space; or
 - d. Real estate improved with a single room occupancy building, as defined in this division, provided that:
 1. At least one-third of the single room occupancy units are leased at no more than 80 percent of the current "Fair Market Rent Schedule for Existing Housing for Single Room Occupancy Units" as set by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (hereinafter "FMR schedule");
 2. No single room occupancy units are leased at rents in excess of 100 percent of the current FMR schedule;
 3. The overall maximum average rent per unit for all single room occupancy units in the building shall not exceed 90 percent of the current FMR schedule; and
 4. The subject property is in substantial compliance with all local building, safety and health codes and requirements.
 - e. Used as a licensed bed and breakfast, as defined under the laws of Cook County municipality where the property is situated, or registered as a Bed & Breakfast with the State of Illinois under the statute as established under 50 ILCS 820/1 et seq., the Illinois Bed and Breakfast Act, with six rentable units or less and with all said units contained in one improvement where one of the units is owner occupied and where the owner occupant is entitled to a Homeowner's Exemption pursuant to the Illinois Property Tax Code, Chapter 35, Title 4, Article 15, provided a Bed and Breakfast is not required to be qualified for Homeowner Exemptions.
- In the event that the owner fails to comply with these requirements, the Class 2 classification shall be revoked.
- (3) *Class 3.* All improved real estate used for residential purposes which is not included in any other class.

- (4) *Class 4.* Real estate owned and used by a not-for-profit corporation in furtherance of the purposes set forth in its charter unless used for residential purposes or operating as a golf course and/or driving range. If such real estate is used for residential purposes, it shall be classified in the appropriate residential class.
- (5) *Class 5a.* All real estate not included in Class 1, Class 2, Class 3, Class 4, Class 5b, Class 6b, Class C, Class 7a, Class 7b, Class 7c, Class 8, Class 9, Class S or Class L of this Section.
- (6) *Class 5b.* All real estate used for industrial purposes as defined herein and not included in any other class.
- (7) *Class 6b.* Real estate used primarily for industrial purposes, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.
 - a. An applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an Ordinance or Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the incentive provided by Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on that specific real estate and that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the Class 6b application to the Assessor.

In addition, the Ordinance or Resolution shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.

A certified copy of the Ordinance or Resolution need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b eligibility application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the eligibility application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County, as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance supporting the incentive has been requested. If the real estate is located within an Industrial Growth Zone, prior to filing a Class 6b eligibility application

with the Assessor and in lieu of an Ordinance or Resolution, an applicant may obtain from an authorized officer of the municipality or an authorized officer of the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, a letter stating that the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the filing of the Class 6b application with the Assessor. Such authorized officer shall have been designated by the legislative body of the municipality or, in the case of an unincorporated area, by the Cook County Board.

A copy of the authorized officer letter and a certified copy of the legislative action designating the authorized officer shall be included with the Class 6b application and submitted to the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development for verification prior to filing of the application with the Assessor. The applicant must demonstrate that the industrial development project qualifies for the Class 6b classification.

In addition, the letter from the authorized officer shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
 2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
 3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.
- b. Following verification that the Class 6b application is in an Industrial Growth Zone and has been properly submitted with a letter from an authorized officer and a certified copy of the legislative action designating the authorized officer, the Bureau of Economic Development will forward the application to the Assessor's Office for filing.

A copy of the Resolution or letter confirming that a Resolution has been requested, or the letter from an authorized officer if the real estate is in an Industrial Growth Zone, along with and a certified copy of the legislative action designating the authorized officer, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.

- c. Class 6b applications for newly constructed or substantially rehabilitated buildings and other structures must be made to the Assessor within one year prior to the commencement of such new construction or substantial rehabilitation to qualify for a Class 6b incentive. With respect to abandoned property, the Class 6b application must be made to the Assessor prior to the commencement of the reoccupation of the vacant and unused property.

- d. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of Class 6b, unless:
1. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
 2. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 6b application. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b eligibility application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 6b eligibility application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

If the real estate is located within an Industrial Growth Zone, prior to filing a Class 6b eligibility application with the Assessor and in lieu of an Ordinance or Resolution, an applicant may obtain from an authorized officer of the municipality or an authorized officer of the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, a letter stating that the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the filing of the Class 6b application with the Assessor. Such authorized officer shall have been designated by the legislative body of the municipality or, in the case of an unincorporated area, by the Cook County Board.

A copy of the authorized officer letter and a certified copy of the legislative action designating the authorized officer shall be included with the Class 6b application and submitted to the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development for verification prior to filing of the application with the Assessor.

In addition, the letter from the authorized officer shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.

Following verification that the Class 6b application is in an Industrial Growth Zone and has been properly submitted with a letter from an authorized officer and a certified copy of the legislative action designating the authorized officer, the Bureau of Economic Development will forward the application to the Assessor's Office for filing. A certified copy of the legislative action designating the authorized officer and the authorized officer letter shall be included with the Class 6b application at the time of filing the application with the Assessor. The applicant must demonstrate that the industrial development project qualifies for the Class 6b classification.

Temporary Emergency Economic Recovery Modification (TEERM) Program: In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of a Class 6b under the TEERM Program if:

1. There has been no purchase for value; and
2. The buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for at least 12 continuous months.

The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, and a determination that the applicant's participation in the TEERM Program is necessary for the development to occur, and that without such designation the industrial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the property to be in imminent risk of remaining vacant and unused, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 6b application under the TEERM Program.

In addition, the Ordinance or Resolution where applicable shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and

3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.

If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 6b application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Critical Emergency Employee Retention Modification (CEERM) Program: In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of a Class 6b under the CEERM Program if:

1. There has been no purchase for value; and
2. The buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for at least 3 continuous months; and
3. The applicant has provided sufficient documentation to establish that such applicant will create or maintain at least 250 jobs for employees at the subject location. For purposes of this Section, the term "employee" shall mean an individual who performs duties or services at the subject location on average at least 30 hours per week in any two-week period for which such individual is paid; "hours per week" shall mean hours for which an individual is paid or entitled to payment for a period of time during which no duties are performed by such individual due to vacation, holiday, illness, incapacity, jury duty, military duty or approved leave of absence.

The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, and a determination that the applicant's participation in the CEERM Program is necessary for the development to occur, and that without such designation the industrial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the

property to be in imminent risk of remaining vacant and unused, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 6b application under the CEERM Program.

In addition, the Ordinance or Resolution where applicable shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality; and
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be.

The CEERM Program shall be limited to the party who is the initial applicant of the Class 6b incentive under the CEERM Program and the subject of the municipal Resolution or Ordinance.

If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b under the CEERM Program and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 6b application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Sustainable Emergency Relief (SER) Program: In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purpose of Class 6b under the SER Program if:

1. The industrial enterprise that occupies the premises has been at the same location for a minimum of ten years prior to the date of application for the SER Program; and

2. The industrial enterprise that occupies the premises submits evidence of hardship supporting a determination that participation in the SER Program is necessary for the industrial enterprise to continue operations at its current location and maintain its staff, and that without such designation the industrial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the property to be in imminent risk of becoming vacant and unused.

The finding that a property is qualified, along with the specification of the special circumstances, and a determination that the applicant's participation in the SER Program is necessary for the industrial enterprise to continue operations at its current location and maintain its staff, and that without such designation the industrial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the property to be in imminent risk of becoming vacant and unused, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 6b application under the SER Program.

In addition, the Ordinance or Resolution where applicable shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.

If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purposes of Class 6b, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purposes of Class 6b and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purposes of Class 6b need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 6b application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

~~Applications for Class 6b incentives pursuant to the TEERM Program or SER Program must be received by the Assessor's Office on or before November 30, 2018 to receive consideration.~~

The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of annual reports by recipients of Class 6b incentives granted pursuant to the SER Program as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 6b site. In such reports, recipients shall be required to certify whether the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application continues its operations at that location. In addition, recipients of Class 6b incentives granted pursuant to the SER Program shall be required to report to the Assessor within 30 days if the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application ceases operations at that location. A copy of such reports will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the non-filing. Additionally, if the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application ceases operations at that location, then the Class 6b incentive granted pursuant to the SER program shall terminate.

- e. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial re-occupancy, or in the case of incentives granted pursuant to the TEERM Program or the CEERM Program, from the date of the notice of approval. In the case of incentives granted pursuant to the SER Program, this classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date of the notice of approval, or until the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application ceases operations at that location if that occurs sooner.
- f. Unless a Class 6b granted pursuant to the TEERM Program or the SER Program or the CEERM Program, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a ten percent assessment level pursuant to Section 74-64(7), if the following requirements are met:
 - 1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
 - 2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class 6b; and
 - 3. A copy of the Resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Assessor's Office before the expiration of the ten percent assessment level period.

- g. Class 6b incentives that are granted pursuant to the TEERM Program or SER Program or the CEERM Program are not renewable. For all other Class 6b incentives, the number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and meet the requirements for Class 6b.
 - h. A copy of the request for renewal of the incentive will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts.
 - i. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64(7). After expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this Division.
 - j. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine eligibility for the benefits provided under Class 6b.
 - k. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 6b recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 6b site. A copy of such reports will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the non-filing.
- (8) *Class C.* Real estate which is to be used for industrial or commercial purposes, including abandoned property, as defined in Section 74-62, including the land upon which such property is situated; or vacant land; where such real estate because of contamination has undergone environmental testing and remediation and has received a "No Further Remediation Letter" from the site remediation program.
- a. To be eligible for a Class C classification an applicant must have received a "No Further Remediation Letter" confirming achievement of the remediation objectives based on the industrial or commercial use.
 - b. The owner of the property is rendered ineligible for the Class C classification by having previously owned or operated the site, directly or indirectly, or having been a partner or being associated through a family or business relationship with anyone who has owned or operated the site, which ownership or operation caused the contamination which was remediated pursuant to a site remediation, except for an employee who worked for an owner, as defined herein, that operated the site. A present owner or employer who can successfully demonstrate that the owner or employer was not responsible for the contamination may be eligible for Class C classification.

- c. An applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an Ordinance or Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the incentive provided by Class C is necessary for development to occur on that specific real estate and that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the Class C application to the Assessor. A certified copy of the Ordinance or Resolution must be filed at the time of application for the Class C classification. A copy of that Ordinance or Resolution, whichever is submitted, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.

In addition, the Ordinance or Resolution shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
 2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
 3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.
- d. To qualify for the Class C classification, an application for Class C classification must be made within one year of the receipt of the "No Further Remediation Letter". Where an application for Class C classification encompasses less than all of the contiguous property owned by the applicant upon which remediation has been completed, the one-year limitation will be waived for any subsequent separate application for Class C classification for the remainder or for additional portions of the property, provided that such subsequent application is made within seven years.
 - e. Additionally, to qualify for the Class C classification, the estimated remediation costs, including site investigation, testing, oversight, remediation and removal costs, monitoring, and engineering and legal fees associated with the remediation process, must total at least \$100,000.00, or alternatively, must total at least 25 percent of the market value of the real estate as determined by the Assessor's property record card in the year prior to the remediation, whichever is less.
 - f. The initial Class C classification shall continue for a period of 12 years for both industrial and commercial property. For industrial property, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a 16-percent assessment level, if the following requirements are met:
 1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of the taxpayer's intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;

2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class C; and
3. A copy of that Resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Office of the Assessor before the expiration of the 16-percent assessment level period.

The number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and qualify for Class C. Any property which applies for Class C treatment on or before the adoption date of the ordinance from which this division is derived will be eligible for this renewal term at the end of their original incentive period subject to the above requirements. The notice of intent to request renewal which is filed with the Assessor's Office will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the effected districts.

- g. If, on November 23, 1999, a property is receiving Class C treatment, but the assessment level is higher than 16 percent, that taxpayer may apply for renewal as outlined above and receive a 16-percent assessment level for the prescribed period beginning after the filing and approval of the resolution and renewal application. However, if, as of that effective date, the taxpayer's assessment is higher than 16 percent and the taxpayer is granted a renewal of the incentive for subsequent years, no reduction of the current assessment level based on renewal of the incentive will be granted. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64. After such ten-year period expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this Division.
- h. For commercial properties, once the original 12-year incentive period has expired, the commercial Class C incentive will expire. The incentive classification will not be subject to renewal and the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this Division.
- i. The Assessor shall review the application and supporting documentation to determine eligibility for the Class C classification. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with the foregoing necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine initial and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under the Class C classification.
- j. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class C recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class C site. Such reports shall be verified. Failure to file such

reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the non-filing.

- (9) *Class 7a.* Real estate used primarily for commercial purposes, comprising a qualified commercial development project, as determined pursuant to Section 74-65(a), located in an area in need of commercial development, where total development costs, exclusive of land, do not exceed \$2,000,000.00, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, as defined in this Division, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.
- a. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7a. The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 7a application.

In addition, the Ordinance or Resolution shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, special circumstances may not be determined to justify finding that a property is deemed "abandoned" where:

- A. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
- B. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

If a municipality enacts enabling legislation which a.) identifies, by permanent index numbers, specific retail corridors or geographies within the boundaries of

such municipality that it deems to be distressed and in need of development; b.) authorizes the submission of applications for a Class 7a incentive for properties within such retail corridors, and c.) designates the authorized officer of such municipality, then applicants for a Class 7a incentive located in such retail corridors may obtain and submit, in lieu of an Ordinance or Resolution, a letter from the authorized officer of the municipality approving the submission of the Class 7a incentive application and a certified copy of the municipal enabling legislation.

A copy of the authorized officer letter and a certified copy of the municipal enabling legislation shall be included with the Class 7a application and submitted to the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development for verification prior to filing of the application with the Assessor.

In addition, the letter from the authorized officer shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.

Following verification that the Class 7a application is in a retail corridor, as defined herein, and has been properly submitted with a letter from an authorized officer and a certified copy of the municipal enabling legislation, the Bureau of Economic Development will forward the application to the Assessor's Office for filing. The Resolution or Ordinance, or the authorization letter and a certified copy of the municipal enabling legislation (if applicable), must be filed with the eligibility application. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7a and a Resolution to that effect shall be included with the Class 7a eligibility application filed with the Assessor.

- b. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial re-occupancy. This incentive classification will be subject to renewal. Upon termination, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this Division.
- c. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 7a recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 7a site. Such reports shall be verified. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the non-filing.

- (10) *Class 7b.* Real estate used primarily for commercial purposes, as defined in this Division, comprising a qualified commercial development project, as determined pursuant to Section 74-65(a), located in an "area in need of commercial development", where total development costs, exclusive of land, exceed \$2,000,000.00, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, as defined herein, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.
- a. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7b. The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 7b application.

In addition, the Ordinance or Resolution shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, special circumstances may not be determined to justify finding that a property is deemed "abandoned" where:

- A. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
- B. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

If a municipality enacts enabling legislation which a.) identifies, by permanent index numbers, specific retail corridors or geographies within the boundaries of such municipality that it deems to be distressed and in need of development b.) authorizes the submission of applications for a Class 7b incentive for properties

within such retail corridors, and c.) designates the authorized officer of such municipality, then applicants for a Class 7b incentive located in such retail corridors may obtain and submit, in lieu of an Ordinance or Resolution, a letter from the authorized officer of the municipality approving the submission of the Class 7b incentive application and a certified copy of the municipal enabling legislation.

A copy of the authorized officer letter and a certified copy of the municipal enabling legislation shall be included with the Class 7b application and submitted to the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development for verification prior to filing of the application with the Assessor.

In addition, the letter from the authorized officer shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.

Following verification that the Class 7b application is in a retail corridor, as defined herein, and has been properly submitted with a letter from an authorized officer and a certified copy of the municipal enabling legislation, the Bureau of Economic Development will forward the application to the Assessor's Office for filing.

The Resolution or Ordinance, or authorization letter and certified copy of the municipal enabling legislation (if applicable), must be filed with the eligibility application. If the ordinance or resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7b and a resolution to that effect shall be included with the Class 7b eligibility application filed with the Assessor.

- b. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial re-occupancy. This incentive classification will be subject to renewal. Upon termination, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this Division.
- c. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 7b recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 7b site. Such reports shall be verified. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the non-filing.

- (11) *Class 7c or Commercial Urban Relief Eligibility ("CURE")*. Real estate used primarily for commercial purposes, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.
- a. An applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an Ordinance or Resolution expressly stating that:
- (i) The property, site, or area is deemed a redevelopment priority by the municipality, and
 - (ii) The municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the incentive provided by Class 7c is necessary for development to occur on that specific real estate and that the municipality or County Board, supports and consents to the Class 7c application to the Assessor.

In addition, the Ordinance or Resolution shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.

If a municipality enacts enabling legislation which a.) identifies, by permanent index numbers, specific retail corridors or geographies within the boundaries of such municipality that it deems to be distressed and in need of development; b.) authorizes the submission of applications for a Class 7c incentive for properties within such retail corridors, and c.) designates the authorized officer of the such municipality, then applicants for a Class 7c incentive located in such retail corridors may obtain and submit, in lieu of an Ordinance or Resolution, a letter from the authorized officer of the municipality approving the submission of the Class 7c incentive application and a certified copy of the municipal enabling legislation.

A copy of the authorized officer letter and a certified copy of the municipal enabling legislation shall be included with the Class 7c application and submitted to the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development for verification prior to filing of the application with the Assessor.

In addition, the letter from the authorized officer shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.

Following verification that the Class 7c application is in a retail corridor, as defined herein, and has been properly submitted with a letter from an authorized officer and a certified copy of the municipal enabling legislation, the Bureau of Economic Development will forward the application to the Assessor's Office for filing.

In order to determine Class 7c applications, an application for Class 7c shall be submitted to the Assessor and the Bureau of Economic Development. Upon receipt of the application and all the necessary supporting data, the Economic Development Advisory Committee of the County, within 30 days return the application to the Assessor with a finding stating whether the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)-(a)(4) of this Section are present. The Assessor shall review the application, supporting data, findings of the Committee and other appropriate facts. Where the Assessor finds the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)-(a)(4) of this Section exist, the Assessor shall, within 30 days of the receipt of the Committee's findings, certify the commercial development project eligible for Class 7c treatment under this Division.

Where the Economic Development Advisory Committee does not return a finding stating whether the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)-(a)(4) of this Section are present within 30 days, and upon further application of the requesting party, the Assessor may review the application, supporting data, and other appropriate facts and certify the commercial development project eligible for Class 7c treatment and grant the incentive.

- (1) That the Property's (the real estate that is subject of the Incentive application) Assessed Value, Equalized Assessed Value or Real estate taxes for three of the last six years, have declined or remained stagnant due to the depressed condition;
- (2) There is a reasonable expectation that the development, re-development or rehabilitation of the commercial development project is viable and likely to go forward on a reasonably timely basis if granted Class 7c designation and will therefore result in the economic enhancement of the property;
- (3) Certification of the commercial development project for Class 7c designation will materially assist development, redevelopment or

rehabilitation of the property and the commercial development property would not go forward without the full incentive offered under Class 7c; and

- (4) Certification of the commercial development project for Class 7c designation is reasonably expected to ultimately result in an increase in real property tax revenue and employment opportunities of the property.
- b. A certified copy of the Ordinance or Resolution, or authorization letter and certified copy of the municipal enabling legislation (if applicable), need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 7c eligibility application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 7c. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the eligibility application, the applicant may instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County, as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance supporting the incentive has been requested.
- c. A copy of the Resolution or letter confirming that a Resolution has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.
- d. Class 7c applications for newly constructed or substantially rehabilitated buildings and other structures must be made to the Assessor within one year prior to the commencement of such new construction or substantial rehabilitation to qualify for a Class 7c incentive. With respect to abandoned property, the Class 7c application must be made to the Assessor prior to the commencement of the reoccupation of the vacant and unused property. For the purpose of this Incentive [Class 7c] "abandoned property" shall mean property where the buildings and other structures, or portions thereof, have been vacant and unused for more than 12 continuous months and as established by rule of the Assessor.
- e. This classification shall continue for a period of three years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial occupancy.
- f. Class 7c incentives that are granted are renewable.
- The incentive shall be phased out after five years, pursuant to Section 74-64(8). After expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this Division.
- g. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine eligibility and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under Class 7c.

- h. Class 7c Applications must be received by the Assessor's Office on or before November 30, 2019, to receive consideration.
 - i. Renewal. The Class 7c Incentive shall be limited to only one renewal. The applicant may apply for a renewal on or after the third year of the Incentive, but before the expiration of the fifth year of the Incentive. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County as to whether it will validate the renewal. The Incentive may be renewed unless otherwise limited herein.
 - j. Effective Year. The Class 7c Incentive shall be effective for the tax year the application for the Incentive is filed. In addition, the Class 7c Incentive may be applied for only one year prior to the tax year in which the Incentive was applied via a certificate of error.
- (12) *Class 8.* Real estate used primarily for industrial and commercial purposes, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, as defined in this division, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation.
- a. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel. Such real estate must be either obtained through the Cook County Tax Reactivation Project or must be located in one of the following designated geographical areas:
 - 1. An area which has been certified as in need of substantial revitalization in accordance with the provisions of Section 74-65(b);
 - 2. An enterprise community as proposed and approved by the County Board on June 22, 1994, or the Chicago City Council on May 18, 1994, and the municipality in which such real estate is located, or, if in an unincorporated area, the County must by lawful Resolution determine that such real estate is consistent with an overall plan for the rehabilitation of the area; or
 - 3. Any one of the following five townships: Bloom, Bremen, Calumet, Rich and Thornton.
 - b. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of Class 8, unless:

1. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
2. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 8 application.

In addition, the Ordinance or Resolution where applicable shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.

If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such, a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8, and a Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 8 application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 8. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 8 application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

If the real estate is located within an Industrial Growth Zone, prior to filing a Class 8 eligibility application with the Assessor and in lieu of an Ordinance or Resolution, an applicant may obtain from an authorized officer of the municipality or an authorized officer of the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, a letter stating that the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the filing of the Class 8 application with the Assessor. Such authorized officer shall

have been designated by the legislative body of the municipality or, in the case of an unincorporated area, by the Cook County Board.

A copy of the authorized officer letter and a certified copy of the legislative action designating the authorized officer shall be included with the Class 8 application and submitted to the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development for verification prior to filing of the application with the Assessor. The applicant must demonstrate that the industrial development project qualifies for the Class 8 classification.

In addition, the letter from the authorized officer shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.

Following verification that the Class 8 application is in an Industrial Growth Zone and has been properly submitted with a letter from an authorized officer and a certified copy of the legislative action designating the authorized officer, the Bureau of Economic Development will forward the application to the Assessor's Office for filing.

Temporary Emergency Economic Recovery Modification (TEERM) Program: In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of Class 8 under the TEERM Program, if:

1. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings; and
2. The buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for at least 12 continuous months.

The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 8 application under the TEERM Program.

In addition, the Ordinance or Resolution shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and

3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.

If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such, a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8, and a Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 8 application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 8. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 8 application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

~~Applications for the TEERM Program must be received by the Assessor's Office on or before November 30, 2018, to receive consideration.~~

Critical Emergency Employee Retention Modification (CEERM) Program: In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of a Class 8 under the CEERM Program if:

1. There has been no purchase for value; and
2. The buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for at least 3 continuous months; and
3. The applicant has provided sufficient documentation to establish that such applicant will create or maintain at least 250 jobs for employees at the subject location. For purposes of this Section, the term "employee" shall mean an individual who performs duties or services at the subject location on average at least 30 hours per week in any two-week period for which such individual is paid; "hours per week" shall mean hours for which an individual is paid or entitled to payment for a period of time during which no duties are performed by such individual due to vacation, holiday, illness, incapacity, jury duty, military duty or approved leave of absence.

The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, and a determination that the applicant's participation in the CEERM Program is necessary for the development to occur, and that without such designation the industrial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the property to be in imminent risk of remaining vacant and unused, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 8 application under the CEERM Program.

In addition, the Ordinance or Resolution where applicable shall:

1. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality; and
2. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
3. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be

The CEERM Program shall be limited to the party who is the initial applicant of the Class 8 incentive under the CEERM Program and the subject of the municipal Resolution or Ordinance.

If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 under the CEERM Program and provide a County Resolution to that effect.

A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 8 application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 8. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 8 application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

- c. A copy of the Resolution or letter confirming that a Resolution under the TEERM Program or the CEERM Program, as the case may be, has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts

- d. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date of new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial re-occupancy, or in the case of incentives granted pursuant to the TEERM Program or the CEERM Program from the date of the notice of approval.
- e. Unless it was granted pursuant to the TEERM Program or the CEERM Program, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a ten-percent assessment level pursuant to Section 74-64(11), if the following requirements are met:
 - 1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of the taxpayer's intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
 - 2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial or commercial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class 8; and
 - 3. A copy of that Resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Assessor's Office before the expiration of the ten-percent assessment level period.
- f. Class 8 incentives that are granted pursuant to the TEERM Program or the CEERM Program are not renewable. For all other Class 8 incentives, the number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and meet the requirements for Class 8.
- g. A copy of the request for renewal of the incentive will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.
- h. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64(11). After expiration of the last incentive period the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this Division.
- i. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine eligibility for the benefits provided under Class 8.
- j. The certification of an area as in need of substantial revitalization shall expire five years from the date such certification is granted. The Assessor shall notify the

applicant of the date of expiration of certification one year before the date of the expiration of the certification. Such certification, pursuant to the same criteria, may be extended for one additional five-year period subject to reapplication by the appropriate local governing body within the period from one year to six months prior to the expiration of the initial five-year period.

- k. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 8 recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 8 site. A copy of such reports will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the non-filing.
- (13) *Class 9.* All real estate otherwise entitled to Class 3 classification under this division, provided that such real estate, consisting of land and existing buildings and structures is multifamily residential real estate; either has undergone major rehabilitation, or is new construction, or both; has at least 35 percent of the dwelling units leased at rents affordable to low- or moderate-income persons or households; and is in substantial compliance with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes.
- a. To qualify for the Class 9 classification, the applicant must:
 - 1. File an eligibility application with the Assessor prior to commencement of rehabilitation and/or of new construction;
 - 2. Either undertake and complete a major rehabilitation of the subject property, or undertake and complete construction of a new building;
 - 3. Maintain the subject property, including any new construction, in substantial compliance with all local building, safety and health codes and requirements for the duration of the Class 9 classification period;
 - 4. Lease, for the duration of the Class 9 classification period, at least 35 percent of the dwelling units of the subject property, including any new construction, to tenants at rents which will not exceed rents affordable to low- and moderate-income persons or households;
 - 5. Agree to make a current listing of Class 9 tenants and their income available to the Assessor upon request;
 - 6. Further agrees to annually provide the tenants with a list of the permissible Class 9 rents;
 - 7. Agree to notify tenants of the upcoming Class 9 expiration at least one year prior to the termination of the incentive treatment; and

8. File annually with the Assessor, on or before a date determined by the Assessor, for the duration of the Class 9 classification period, a sworn statement verifying continuous compliance with the Class 9 provisions of this Division.
 - b. No applicant shall discriminate on the basis of race, color, sex, marital status, religion, national origin or ancestry, or on any other basis prohibited under Federal, State or local law.
 - c. Upon completion of the major rehabilitation, the applicant must supplement the application by submitting evidence showing that major rehabilitation did, in fact, occur, the date that the major rehabilitation was completed and that the real estate complies with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes. Upon completion of the new construction, the applicant must supplement the application by submitting an occupancy permit showing the date that the new construction was completed and ready for occupancy, and evidence that the real estate complies with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes.
 - d. Beginning January 1, 2000, the Class 9 classification shall have an initial duration of ten years from the date that the major rehabilitation was completed. That period may be extended for additional ten-year periods if:
 1. An application is filed with the Assessor at least 12 months before the expiration of the incentive period;
 2. The applicant presents evidence that the real estate currently complies with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes; and
 3. The Assessor determines that all application qualifications, except the major rehabilitation or new construction requirement, were maintained during the incentive period.
 - e. When the Class 9 classification is due to expire or is terminated by action of the owner or the Assessor, the property owner shall, in a manner and form determined by the Assessor, notify all Class 9 tenants of the date of the termination of Class 9 classification. Once the Class 9 classification is terminated, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this Division.
- (14) *Class 5.* Real estate otherwise entitled to Class 3 classification under this division, consisting of land and existing buildings and structures, which is has been subject to a Section 8 contract renewal. The portion of the land and building eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the number of Section 8 units bears to the total number of units. The proportion shall be applied only to property used for residential purposes, and not to portions of the property, if any, used for commercial purposes.

- a. Property qualifies for the Class S classification if its Section 8 contract has been renewed pursuant to one of the following alternatives:
 1. HUD has approved renewal of the Section 8 contract under the mark up to market option, after finding that:
 - i. The property has received a physical inspection score of at least 60, in an inspection by HUD's Real Estate Assessment Center, confirming that the property is decent, safe, sanitary and in good repair with no uncorrected exigent health and safety (EHS) violations;
 - ii. The property does not have a low- and moderate-income use restriction that cannot be eliminated by unilateral action by the owner. If, however, the current rent is lower than the use restriction, HUD may use the mark up to market option to increase the rents to the use restriction level, which would be a renewal qualifying for the S classification; and
 - iii. A rent comparability study conducted by HUD has demonstrated that comparable market rents are above 100 percent of the HUD Fair Market Rent.
 2. HUD has approved a contract renewal for five years of the Section 8 contract under the mark up to market under HUD's discretionary authority, after finding that the property meets at least one of the required criteria:
 - i. A vulnerable population is affected,
 - ii. There is a low vacancy rate in the area, which would make tenant based assistance difficult to use, or a lack of comparable housing, or
 - iii. The project is a high priority for the local community, as demonstrated by a contribution of state or local funds to the property.
 3. HUD has approved renewal of a Section 8 contract for a not for profit corporation under any available option under HUD's renewal procedures as described in HUD's Section 8 Renewal Policy Guidelines.
- b. Additional requirements for qualification for the S classification are:
 1. At least 20 percent of the living units must be Section 8 units for qualifying low- and moderate-income persons.

2. The owner must agree to retain at least the existing number of Section 8 units for at least five years after the expiration of the expiring or expired Section 8 contract.
 3. For the duration of the Class S classification period, applicant must file annually with the Assessor, on or before a date determined by the Assessor, a sworn statement verifying continuous compliance with the Class S provisions of this Division.
 4. Applicant must agree to notify the Assessor's Office if the Section 8 contract is terminated prior to its expiration date. Applicant shall provide to the Assessor's office a copy of any notice of default or notice of abatement received from HUD.
- c. When the applicant applies to HUD for a contract renewal, no less than 120 days prior to the expiration of the contract, the applicant shall notify the Assessor's Office of the application, on a form provided by that office. Upon receiving approval of the contract renewal from HUD, the applicant shall file an application for the incentive with the Assessor's Office, on a form provided by that office. The application shall be supported by a copy of HUD's letter approving the contract renewal and a copy of the executed renewal contract.
- d. Any property which, as of November 23, 1999, has an existing Section 8 contract with a mark up to market option may apply for Class S classification for the any portion of the 2001 assessment year encompassed within the contract term, and for the remainder of the contract term, including any renewals approved with the mark up to market option. The classification shall continue until the expiration or termination of the Section 8 contract.
- Any property which, as of (DATE AMENDMENT APPROVED), has an existing Section 8 contract renewal may apply for Class S classification for any portion of the 2006 assessment year encompassed within the contract term, and for the remainder of the contract term, including any renewals approved with the mark up to market option, mark up to market option under HUD's discretionary authority or a Section 8 contract that has been renewed by a not-for-profit corporation under any available option under HUD's renewal procedures as described in HUD's Section 8 Renewal Policy Guidelines. The classification shall continue until the expiration or termination of the Section 8 contract.
- e. The incentive may be renewed if the Section 8 contract is again renewed under any of the following three options: 1) the mark up to market option; 2) the mark up to market option under HUD's discretionary authority; or 3) by a not-for-profit corporation under any available option under HUD's renewal procedures as described in HUD's Section 8 Renewal Policy Guidelines. Upon filing an application with HUD, no less than 120 days prior to termination of the contract, for renewal of the Section 8 contract, the taxpayer shall provide notice to the Assessor's Office of its application for renewal. The taxpayer shall provide a copy

to the Assessor's Office of HUD's approval of the contract renewal, or notification of other action.

- f. The Assessor's Office shall adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine initial and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under Class S.
- (15) *Class L.* Real estate which is to be used for commercial or industrial purposes and which is designated as Class 3, Class 4, Class 5a or Class 5b pursuant to this Division; is a landmark or contributing building; and has undergone substantial rehabilitation. The substantial rehabilitation must constitute an investment by the owner of at least 50 percent of the building's full market value as determined by the Assessor in the assessment year prior to the commencement of the substantial rehabilitation.
- a. Generally, the incentive shall apply only to the building and will not apply to the land underneath the building. However, if the entire building has been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months prior to the filing of the eligibility application with the Assessor, the land upon which the building is situated shall also be eligible for the incentive.
 - b. Prior to filing a Class L eligibility application with the Assessor, an applicant must obtain an Ordinance or Resolution from the unit of local government in which the real estate is located, which expressly states that the local government:
 1. Has determined that the incentive provided by Class L is necessary for the substantial rehabilitation of the property;
 2. Supports and consents to the granting of the incentive; and
 3. Has reviewed and accepted its preservation commission's written recommendation of the project for the Class L incentive. This recommendation will specify the project's budget and proposed scope of work and will specify that the project will meets or exceeds the Standards of the United States Department of the Interior for Rehabilitation, Preservation, Restoration, and Reconstruction of historic properties.
 4. In addition, the Ordinance or Resolution shall:
 - i. Describe the redevelopment objective of the municipality;
 - ii. State the applicant's intended use of the property; and
 - iii. State that an Economic Disclosure Statement, as defined in this Division, was received and filed by the municipality or County Board, as the case may be.

- c. A certified copy of the Ordinance or Resolution need not be filed with the Assessor at the time the Class L eligibility application is filed but the Ordinance or Resolution must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class L.
- d. If the Ordinance or Resolution is not filed at the time of the eligibility application is filed, the applicant shall instead, include the following items with the eligibility application:
 - 1. A letter from the municipality or the County, as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance supporting the incentive has been requested; and
 - 2. A copy of the preservation commission's recommendation of the project.
- e. A copy of the Resolution or letter confirming that a Resolution has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.
- f. Additionally, to qualify a landmark building or contributing building for Class L classification, an eligibility application must be made to the Assessor within one year prior to the commencement of substantial rehabilitation. After the substantial rehabilitation has been completed, the preservation commission shall review the project to determine that it is eligible under Subsection (14) of this Section. The applicant must supplement the eligibility application with a copy of the determination of the preservation commission prior to classification of the real estate as Class L.
- g. The initial Class L classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed.
- h. For property which was initially classified as Class 3, 4 or 5b, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a 16-percent assessment level, if the following requirements are met:
 - 1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of the taxpayer's intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
 - 2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class L; and

3. A copy of that Resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Office of the Assessor before the expiration of the incentive period.

The number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and qualify for Class L. The notice of intent to request renewal which is filed with the Assessor's Office will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts.

- i. If, as of November 23, 1999, a property is receiving Class L treatment, but the assessment level is higher than 16 percent, that taxpayer may apply for renewal as outlined above and receive a 16-percent assessment level for the prescribed period beginning after the filing and approval of the Resolution and renewal application. However, if as of the effective date, the taxpayer's assessment is higher than 16 percent and the taxpayer is granted a renewal of the incentive for subsequent years, no reduction of the current assessment level based on renewal of the incentive will be granted. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64. After expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this Division.
 - j. For commercial properties, once the original 12-year incentive period has expired, the commercial Class L incentive will expire. The incentive classification will be subject to renewal subject to subsection (h).
 - k. The Assessor shall adopt rules consistent with the foregoing necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine initial and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under Class L.
 - l. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class L recipients as to the continued landmark status of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class L site. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules may result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the non-filing.
 - m. The Assessor shall provide the Chairman of the Business and Economic Development Committee of the Cook County Board, annually on or before December 1, a report of each Class L that was designated in the prior year. Such report shall consist of the address of the Class L designated property, the date such designation was granted, the amount of property taxes that were not assessed each year during which the Class L incentive was in effect for such property, and if provided by the applicant, the aggregate amount of the investment in the project and the number of jobs generated in connection with such project.
- (16) *Class 10.* Used as a licensed bed and breakfast, as defined under the laws of the Cook County municipality where the property is situated, or registered as a Bed & Breakfast with

the State of Illinois bed and Breakfast Act, as established under 50 ILCS 820/1 et seq., the Illinois Bed and Breakfast Act, with six rentable units or less and with all said units contained in one improvement where none of the units is owner occupied and a Homeowner's Exemption pursuant to the Illinois Property Tax Code, Chapter 35, Title 4, Article 15 shall be available if allowed by law, provided a Bed and Breakfast is not required to be qualified for Homeowner Exemptions.

Sec. 74-73. - Revocation or cancellation of incentive classification.

(a) The following Incentive Classifications are subject to revocation herein: Class 6b (special circumstances); Class 6b TEERM ; Class 6b CEERM; Class 6b SER; Class 7a; Class 7b; Class 7c; Class 8; ~~and~~ Class 8 TEERM ; and Class 8 CEERM.

(b) The incentive may be revoked under the following circumstances:

- (1) By rule, as provided by the Assessor;
- (2) By the County Board by Resolution or Ordinance, which is based on a report from the Bureau of Economic Development that has been approved by the Economic Development Advisory Committee of the County;
- (3) By the County Board by Resolution or Ordinance at the request of the Municipality by submission of a Municipal Resolution or Ordinance; and
- (4) By request of the taxpayer to cancel.

(c) A basis for revocation pursuant to Section 74-73(b)(2) or (b)(3) above includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- (1) Failure to comply with the requirements of Section 74-71 or 74-72;
- (2) Delinquency in the payment of any property taxes administered by Cook County or by a local municipality; or
- (3) Inaccuracies or omissions in documents submitted by the taxpayer, including, but not limited to, the application and the Economic Disclosure Statement.

In case of revocation or cancellation, the Incentive Classification shall be deemed null and void for the tax year in which the incentive was revoked or cancelled as to the subject property. In such an instance, the taxpayer shall be liable for and shall reimburse to the County Collector an amount equal to the difference in the amount of taxes that would have been collected had the subject property been assessed as a Class 5 property and the amount of taxes collected under the Incentive Classification as determined by the Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 27th of June 2018.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Ordinance be approved, as substituted. The motion carried.

18-4109
ORDINANCE AMENDMENT

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE LUIS ARROYO JR, RICHARD R. BOYKIN, JERRY BUTLER,
JOHN P. DALEY, DENNIS DEER, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, JESUS G. GARCIA, GREGG
GOSLIN, EDWARD M. MOODY, STANLEY MOORE, SEAN M. MORRISON,
PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI,
DEBORAH SIMS, LARRY SUFFREDIN AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI,
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

AMENDMENT TO COUNTY CONTRACTS REGARDING
SEXUAL HARASSMENT COMPLIANCE

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 42 Human Relations, Section 42-40 of the Cook County Code, is hereby amended as follows:

Sec. 42-40. - County contracts.

(a) *Prohibition.* No person who is a party to a contract with Cook County ("County") shall engage in unlawful discrimination or sexual harassment against any individual in the terms or conditions of employment, credit, public accommodations, housing, or provision of County facilities, services or programs. "Sexual harassment" has such meaning as is ascribed to it in other sections of this article.

(b) *Contract provisions.* The ~~purchasing agent~~ Chief Procurement Officer for the County, and all other department heads, as authorized, shall include a provision in all County contracts that sets forth the County's policies with respect to unlawful discrimination and sexual harassment, as embodied in this article, and that requires every contractor to certify its compliance with these policies and its agreement to abide by such policies as a part of the contractor's contractual obligations. In certifying its compliance with this section, every Contractor shall certify that it has policies, procedures, and training advising employees of the illegality of sexual harassment and the rights and remedies for aggrieved employees. All County contracts shall further provide that if any party to a contract with the County is found to have violated any provision of this article, or furnished false or misleading information in any investigation, hearing, or inquiry held pursuant to this article, that contract may be subject to a declaration of default and termination.

(c) *Exception.* This section applies only to contracts executed after the effective date of the ordinance from which this article is derived.

Effective date: This Ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

Approved and adopted this 27th of June 2018.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: **DAVID ORR**, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Ordinance be approved. The motion carried.

**BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
MEETING OF JUNE 27, 2018**

**18-3983
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT AND TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,
COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

EXCEL COLOR CORPORATION 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: Excel Color Corporation

Address: 220 Bond Street, Elk Grove Village, Illinois

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Elk Grove Village

Cook County District: 15

Permanent Index Number: 08-22-102-027-0000; 08-22-1020-028-0000 and 08-22-1020-188-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: Village of Elk Grove Resolution Number 16-17

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: 12 months vacant

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: Two (2) - three (3) full-time jobs

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: 11 full-time jobs

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: Same as above

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 15 construction jobs

Proposed use of property: Industrial use manufacturing and distribution of industrial coatings, sealants, plastic, artistic clay and more.

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 27th of June 2018.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: **DAVID ORR**, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**18-3984
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT AND TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,
COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

ESCORZA LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: Escorza LLC

Address: 1901 Greenleaf Avenue, Elk Grove Village, Illinois

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Elk Grove

Cook County District: 15

Permanent Index Number: 08-35-104-066-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: Elk Grove Village, Resolution Number 24-16

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: Five (5) months vacant

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: 19 full-time jobs

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: 16 full-time jobs, 44 part-time jobs

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: Same as above

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 10-20 construction jobs

Proposed use of property: Industrial use - warehousing and distribution of flooring products

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 27th of June 2018.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: **DAVID ORR**, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**18-3986
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT AND TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,
COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

WOLF FAMILY ENTERPRISES, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: Wolf Family Enterprises, LLC

Address: 1001 Morse Avenue, Elk Grove Village

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Elk Grove Village

Cook County District: 15

Permanent Index Number: 08-34-308-002-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: Elk Grove Village, Resolution Number 45-16

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: 13 months vacant

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: Three (3) to Five (5) full-time jobs

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: 25 full-time jobs, 10 part-time jobs

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: Same as above

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 10-20 construction jobs

Proposed use of property: Industrial use, manufacturing and distribution for remanufacturing and distribution of aluminum wheels

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months, have been purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 27th of June 2018.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President

Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: **DAVID ORR**, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**18-3987
RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT AND SEAN M. MORRISON,
COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

MLRP MESSENGER, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST

WHEREAS, the Cook County Bureau of Economic Development received and reviewed a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b application containing the following information:

Applicant: MLRP Messenger, LLC

Address: 100 E. Howard Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois

Municipality or Unincorporated Township: Des Plaines

Cook County District: 17

Permanent Index Number: 09-30-101-035-0000

Municipal Resolution Number: City of Des Plaines, Resolution Number R-70-18

Number of month property vacant/abandoned: 43 months vacant

Special circumstances justification requested: Yes

Estimated Number of jobs created by this project: 25-70 full-time jobs

Estimated Number of jobs retained at this location: Not available

Estimated Number of employees in Cook County: Not available

Estimated Number of construction jobs: 10 construction jobs

Proposed use of property: Industrial use, warehousing and/or distribution

Living Wage Ordinance Compliance Affidavit Provided: Yes or No

WHEREAS, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an abandoned industrial facility; and

WHEREAS, the Cook County Classification System for Assessment defines abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for more than 24 continuous months, there has been no purchased for value by a purchaser and the property is in need of substantial rehabilitation ; and

WHEREAS, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances may exist that justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, in the case of abandonment of over 24 months and no purchase for value by a disinterested buyer, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property as being deemed abandoned; and

WHEREAS, Class 6b requires a Resolution by the County Board validating the property as abandoned for the purpose of Class 6b; and

WHEREAS, the municipality states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the qualifications of this property to meet the definition of abandoned with special circumstances; and

WHEREAS; industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the above-captioned property is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 27th of June 2018.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: **DAVID ORR**, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner García, seconded by Commissioner Moody, that the Resolution be approved. The motion carried.

**RULES AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE
MEETING OF JUNE 27, 2018**

18-4451

Presented by: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS

COOK COUNTY CLERK, David Orr, presented in printed form a record of the Journal of Proceedings of the regular meeting held on 05/16/2018.

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, that the Journal of Proceedings be approved. The motion carried.

**FINANCE COMMITTEE
MEETING OF JUNE 27, 2018**

COURT ORDERS

18-3080

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,360.13
Case Name: Interest of Kahil F.
Trial Court No(s): 16JA13
Appellate Court No(s): 1-17-1389 CONS 17-1403

18-3081

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,639.47
Case Name: Interest of Diego C., Giovanni C.
Trial Court No(s): 13JA942, 14JA1312
Appellate Court No(s): 1-17-1767

18-3903

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$2,797.50
Case Name: In the Interest of Q.J.
Trial Court No(s): 16JA80
Appellate Court No(s): 1-17-2468

18-3389

Attorney/Payee: Dawn Projansky
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,162.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ulysses Polk
Case No(s): 13CR13349-04

18-3445

Attorney/Payee: Gerald Niewoehner
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$84.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Demetrius Gray
Case No(s): 16MC22683501

18-3771

Attorney/Payee: Christopher A. Tinsley
Presenter: Christopher A. Tinsley
Fees: \$1,050.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Antwan Davis
Case No(s): 13CR1334903

18-3772

Attorney/Payee: Dvorak Law Offices
Presenter: Richard Dvorak
Fees: \$1,718.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Antwan Davis
Case No(s): 13CR1334903

18-3887

Attorney/Payee: Jayne A. Ingles
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$13, 662.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Jose Luis Torres

Case No(s): 93CR24511

18-3938

Attorney/Payee: Darren O'Brien

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$2,620.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Anthony Perkins

Case No(s): 16C 6008

18-4003

Attorney/Payee: Luis Rosell

Fees: \$3,040.00

Services rendered for court appointed representation of indigent respondent: expert witness

Name(s) of respondent(s): Matthew Edmonds

Case No(s): 17CR80004

18-4062

Attorney/Payee: Dena M. Singer

Presenter: Dena M. Singer

Fees: \$8,126.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Melissa Almendarez

Case No(s): 08CR1590302

18-4073

Attorney/Payee: Dr. Lesley Kane

Presenter: Daniel T. Coyne

Fees: \$1,520.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): expert fees

Name(s) of respondent(s): Luis Tenorio

Case No(s): 07CR80012

18-4119

Attorney/Payee: Tony Thedford

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$11,610.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Joey Jones

Case No(s): 14CR7805

18-4124

Attorney/Payee: John Cleary

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$7,312.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): David Lewis

Case No(s): 15CR80002

18-4125

Attorney/Payee: John Cleary

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$5,437.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Jeffrey Minor

Case No(s): 14CR80005

18-4147

Attorney/Payee: Clarence Butler

Presenter: Same

Fees: 12,816.70

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Ronald Johnson

Case No(s): 14CR1624801

18-4153

Attorney/Payee: Michael Bonaguro

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$2,050.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Cornell Dawson, Ulysses Polk

Case No(s): 13CR13349-01, 13CR13349-04

18-4173

Attorney/Payee: George E. Becker

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$4,057.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Cornell Dawson, Ulysses Polk

Case No(s): 13CR13349-01, 13CR13349-04

18-4213

Attorney/Payee: CSC Law, PC
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$5,500.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Felix Dirzo Camarillo
Case No(s): 16CR11766-01

18-4222

Attorney/Payee: Howard B Brookins, Jr
Presenter: Howard Brookins
Fees: \$2,342.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Emanuel Lollis
Case No(s): 17CR80002

18-4223

Attorney/Payee: Howard B Brookins, Jr
Presenter: Howard Brookins
Fees: \$1,135.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Samuel Lewis
Case No(s): 11CR80002

18-4224

Attorney/Payee: Howard B Brookins, Jr
Presenter: Howard Brookins
Fees: \$2,140.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Terry Berry
Case No(s): 17CR80008

18-4225

Attorney/Payee: Howard B Brookins, Jr
Presenter: Howard Brookins
Fees: \$552.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): David Gillespie
Case No(s): 16CR80010

18-4226

Attorney/Payee: Howard B Brookins, Jr
Presenter: Howard Brookins
Fees: \$20,771.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Bobby Brown
Case No(s): 10CR80007

18-3751

Attorney/Payee: Rodney W. Stewart
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$262.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representative
Name(s) of respondent(s): Victoria Stocklaufer (mother)
In Re: V. Stocklaufer, M. Stocklaufer, A. Stocklaufer (minors)
Case No(s): 11JA831, 11JA832, 11JA833

18-3775

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$925.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Quinta Turner (mother)
In Re: C. Washington, J. Turner (minors)
Case No(s): 17JA1132, 17JA1219

18-3855

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$350.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ronnie McCrary (father)
In Re: S. McCrary (minor)
Case No(s): 16JA667

18-3863

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$575.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Moody, T. Patterson (minors) GAL
In Re: T. Moody, T. Patterson (minors)
Case No(s): 16JA00137, 16JA00138

18-3864

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$643.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Hall, M. Hall, K. Hall, C. Hall, M. Hall (minors) GAL

In Re: D. Hall, M. Hall, K. Hall, C. Hall, M. Hall (minors)

Case No(s):06JA660, 06JA661, 06JA662, 06JA663

18-3866

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$762.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Daniel Cazares (father)

In Re: D. Cazares, M. Cazares, A. Cazares, N. Cazares, A. Cazares, B. Cazares (minors)

Case No(s): 16JA272, 16JA273, 16JA274, 16JA275, 16JA276, 16JA1879

18-3874

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$131.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): LeRoy Jackson (father)

In Re: C. Jackson (minor)

Case No(s): 03JA415

18-3875

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$237.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Luis Garcia (father)

In Re: T. Garcia (minor)

Case No(s): 10JA614

18-3876

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$812.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Andy Thomas (father)

In Re: K. Thomas (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA698

18-3877

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$368.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lamont Hines (father)
In Re: K. Harris-Hutton (minor)
Case No(s): 17JA01193

18-3878

Attorney/Payee: Paul Karoll
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$231.70
Service rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name of respondent(s): Richard Perez (father)
In Re: N. Perez (minor)
Case No(s): 17JA780

18-3879

Attorney/Payee: Paul Karoll
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$487.50
Service rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name of respondent(s): K. Williams, S. Woods (minors) GAL
In Re: K. Williams, S. Woods (minors)
Case No(s): 02JA1019, 02JA1020

18-3880

Attorney/Payee: Paul Karoll
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$262.50
Service rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name of respondent(s): Ricky Hudson (father)
In Re: R. Hudson (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA643

18-3881

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,012.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Plunkett, J. Johnson (minors) GAL

In Re: J. Plunkett, J. Johnson (minors)

Case No(s): 17JA390, 17JA391

18-3899

Attorney/Payee: Ezra Hemphill Attorney at Law

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$200.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Delgado (adoptive mother)

In Re: A. Delgado, S. Delgado, A. Delgado (minors)

Case No(s): 13JA00035, 13JA00036, 13JA00037

18-3900

Attorney/Payee: Donna L. Ryder

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,022.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Quentin Jenkins (father)

In Re: Q. Jenkins, Q. Jenkins (minors)

Case No(s): 16JA802, 18JA36

18-3901

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$331.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Darell Thomas (father)

In Re: D. Thomas (minor)

Case No(s): 15JA1058

18-3902

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$431.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Theotis Hopkins, Dexter Johnson (fathers)

In Re: D. Watkins, E. Watkins (minors)

Case No(s): 13JA892, 13JA893

18-3905

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$600.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): LaJardine Sterling (mother)

In Re: C. Sterling, G. Sterling, G. Brown (minors)

Case No. (s) 11JA730, 11JA613, 11JA267

18-3917

Attorney/Payee: Monica M. Torres

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,412.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Tony Thompson (father)

In Re: J. Young (minor)

Case No(s): 17JA652

18-3918

Attorney/Payee: Brian J. O'Hara

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,050.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Names(s) of respondent(s): Amber Thames

In Re: A. McGhee (minor)

Case No(s): 12JA00384

18-3919

Attorney/Payee: Brian J. O'Hara

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,306.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Names(s) of respondent(s): Markus Gallegos

In Re: M. Gallegos (minor)

Case No(s): 17JA413

18-3925

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,156.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): N. Washington (minor) GAL
In Re: N. Washington (minor)
Case No(s): 10JA00126

18-3928

Attorney/Payee: Elizabeth Butler
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,306.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Barbarann Stephanys
In Re: D. Rhodes, S. Rhodes, D. Rhodes, S. Stephanys, F. Burrage, F. Burrage, F. Burrage (minors)
Case No(s): 17JA249, 17JA250, 17JA251, 17JA252, 17JA253, 17JA254, 18JA253

18-3929

Attorney/Payee: Elizabeth Butler
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$427.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Monique Oliver (mother)
In Re: R. Oliver, A. Hill, D. Hill, R. Hill, A. Hill (minors)
Case No(s): 10JA00736, 10JA00737, 10JA00738, 10JA00739, 10JA00740

18-3932

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$312.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Dorothy Jordan (mother)
In Re: N. Boswell (minor)
Case No(s): 11JA361

18-3940

Attorney/Payee: Monica M. Torres
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$500.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Douglas McGrew (father)
In Re: I. McGrew, I. McGrew (minors)
Case No(s): 17JA799, 17JA800

18-3947

Attorney/Payee: Monica M. Torres

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$962.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Jose Enrique Rodriguez Sanchez (father)

In Re: J. Rodriguez (minor)

Case No(s): 16JA00012

18-3951

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$625.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Darryl Lyles (father)

In Re: D. Lyles, C. Lyles (minors)

Case No(s): 14JA00338, 14JA00339

18-3954

Attorney/Payee Brian J. O'Hara

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$937.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Names(s) of respondent(s): S Witherspoon (minor) GAL

In Re: S. Witherspoon (minor)

Case No(s): 17JA412

18-3963

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$887.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Julius Thomas (father)

In Re: J. Thomas, J. Thomas, B. Thomas (minors)

Case No(s): 15JA553, 15JA554, 15JA55

18-3967

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$337.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Yvette Hernandez (mother)

In Re: A. Lopez (minor)

Case No. (s) 17JA230

18-3968

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$313.18

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Cesar Huerta (father)

In Re: D. Huerta, A. Huerta, C. Huerta (minors)

Case No. (s) 11JA273, 11JA276, 11JA274

18-3981

Attorney/Payee: Steven O. Ross

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$805.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): I. Kizer (minor) GAL

In Re: I. Kizer (minor)

Case No(s): 17JA1241

18-3990

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$175.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Mayfield (minor) GAL

In Re: A. Mayfield (minor)

Case No(s): 10JA0424

18-3991

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$475.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Detrice Fair (mother)

In Re: K. Savage, K. Savage (minors)

Case No(s): 15JA244, 15JA245

18-3992

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$700.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Nadjia Harris (Guardian)
In Re: I. Ascencio (minor)
Case No(s): 17JA1143

18-3995

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida, Attorney
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$850.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Demond Ferguson (father)
In Re: B. Ferguson, D. Ferguson (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA092, 13JA732

18-3996

Attorney/Payee: Crystal B. Ashley
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$560.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Franklin Young (mother)
In Re: B. Jackson (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA1277

18-3997

Attorney/Payee: Crystal B. Ashley
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,382.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Katrina Fisher (mother)
In Re: I. Fisher (minor)
Case No(s): 17JA403

18-3998

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,237.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Anthony Barrett (father)
In Re: T. Norman (minor)
Case No(s): 17JA942

18-3999

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$437.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Michael Perez (father)

In Re: M. Perez (minor)

Case No(s): 18JA00470

18-4001

Attorney/Payee: Joseph G. Gebhart, Attorney at Law

Presenter: Same

Fees \$887.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Sedgwick Hughes (father)

In Re: M. Bailey (minor)

Case No(s): 15JA991

18-4004

Attorney/Payee: Robert A. Horwitz

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$537.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Blackman, D. Blackman (minors) GAL

In Re: D. Blackman, D. Blackman (minors)

Case No(s): 13JA22, 14JA492

18-4008

Attorney/Payee: Midwest Mind Care Inc

Presenter: Vikramjit Singh Gill

Fees: \$650.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): expert witness

Name(s) of respondent(s): E. Meyer

Case No(s): 2018COMH1796

18-4009

Attorney/Payee: Midwest Mind Care Inc

Presenter: Vikramjit Singh Gill

Fees: \$700.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): expert witness

Name(s) of respondent(s): M. Arroyo

Case No(s): 2018COMH1824

18-4012

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$487.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Reed, K. Reed (minors) GAL

In Re: T. Reed, K. Reed (minors)

Case No(s): 11JA1017, 11JA1018

18-4013

Attorney/Payee: Monica M. Torres

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$831.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Ella Cooper (mother)

In Re: L. Cooper (minor)

Case No(s): 14JA00594

18-4025

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$812.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Keshawna Clay (mother)

In Re: K. Clay (minor)

Case No. (s) 17JA1135

18-4026

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$362.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Love Ford (father)

In Re: L. Grant (minor)

Case No(s) 17JA975

18-4031

Attorney/Payee: Elizabeth Butler

Presenter Same

Fees: \$803.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s) legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Benny Lopez (father)

In Re: L. Lopez (minor)

Case No(s): 17JA388

18-4036

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,000.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Sharon Hendrickson (legal guardian)

In Re: A. McKenzie (minor)

Case No(s): 17JA666

18-4043

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$2,587.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Alejandro Ayala (father)

In Re: J. Diaz (minor)

Case No(s): 17JA1168

18-4044

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$356.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Billy Lane (father)

In Re: K. Woods (minor)

Case No(s): 14JA000375

18-4053

Attorney/Payee: Robert A. Horwitz

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,325.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): S. Zajler (minor) GAL

In Re: S. Zajler (minor)

Case No(s): 18JA121

18-4063

Attorney/Payee Brian J. O'Hara

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,212.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent

respondent(s): legal representation
Names(s) of respondent(s): Desiree Williams (legal guardian)
In Re: J. Robinson (minor)
Case No(s): 17JA1364

18-4064

Attorney/Payee Brian J. O'Hara
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$668.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent
respondent(s): legal representation
Names(s) of respondent(s): Anthony Adams (father)
In Re: R. Reed (minor)
Case No(s) :17JA1023

18-4066

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$700.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Joseph Sardin IV (father)
In Re: J. Sardin, M. Harris (minors)
Case No(s): 03JA00764, 05JA01024

18-4082

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,455.68
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): L. Banks-Green (adoptive mother)
In Re: D. Green (minor)
Case No(s): 17JA372

18-4083

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$298.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Gregory Minniefield (father)
In Re: D. Reeves (minor)
Case No(s): 09JA0161

18-4086

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,025.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Edwards (minor) GAL

In Re: T. Edwards (minor)

Case No(s): 00JA00546

18-4087

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$393.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Saleem Sanford (father)

In Re: J. Watkins (minor)

Case No(s): 16JA713

18-4088

Attorney/Payee: Crystal B. Ashley

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$435.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Natasha Lindsey (mother)

In Re: B. Scates, D. Scates, I. Lindsey (minors)

Case No(s): 08JA1130, 08JA1131, 08JA1132

18-4092

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$312.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Urszula Piatek (mother)

In Re: C. Piatek, D. Piatek P. Piatek (minors)

Case No(s): 15JA1021, 15JA1071, 15JA1072

18-4095

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$700.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Craig Sanders (father)

In Re: K. Love-King, K. Sanders, R. Sanders, A. Love-Sanders (minors)

Case No(s) 14JA302, 14JA304, 14JA305, 15JA165

18-4103

Attorney/Payee Darlene Redmond

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$468.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): David Reyes, (father)

In Re: K. Reyes (minor)

Case No(s): 17JA0112

18-4104

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$187.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Mary Joy Santos (guardian)

In Re: R. Santos, R. Bassetl (minors)

Case No(s): 15JA523, 15JA524

18-4105

Attorney/Payee-Darlene Redmond, Esq.

Presenter: Darlene Redmond

Fees: \$381.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s):
legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Taurus Thomas (father)

In Re: A. Thomas, H. Thomas (minors)

Case No(s): 14JA663, 14JA664

18-4106

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$175.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Precious Williams (mother)

In Re: M. Williams (minor)

Case No(s): 00JA793

18-4107

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$268.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): S. Young (father), L. Garcia (mother)

In Re: Z. Young (minor)

Case No(s): 14JA482

18-4117

Attorney/Payee: Stuart Joshua Holt, Attorney at Law

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$562.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Briana Cubie (mother)

In Re: P. Cubie, N. Boston (minors)

Case No(s): 17JA00448, 17JA00449

18-4120

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$606.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Ayanna Stovall (mother)

In Re: R. Stovall (minor)

Case No(s): 14JA004

18-4121

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$2,425.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Steven Goodman (father)

In Re: A. Goodman, C. Goodman (minors)

Case No(s): 16JA1025, 17JA1063

18-4122

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$150.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Christopher Kenerson (father)

In Re: M. Brady (minor)

Case No(s): 17JA395

18-4123

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$243.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Hawkins (minor) GAL

In Re: A. Hawkins (minor)

Case No(s): 06JA429

18-4126

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$262.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Olivia Johnson (mother)

In Re: J. Currie (minor)

Case No(s): 16JA00747

18-4127

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$437.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Brown (minor) GAL

In Re: T. Brown (minor)

Case No(s): 16JA00712

18-4128

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$593.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Jackson (minor) GAL

In Re: A. Jackson (minor)

Case No(s): 18JA00363

18-4129

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$931.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Stefen Fisher (father)

In Re: R. Fisher (minor)

Case No(s): 18JA00276

18-4131

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$643.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Hale-Kirby (minor) GAL

In Re: A. Hale-Kirby (minor)

Case No(s): 16JA00960

18-4132

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$368.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Cassandra Morehead (mother)

In Re: N. Warren (minor)

Case No(s): 17JA01111

18-4133

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$712.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Z. Harvey (minor) GAL

In Re: Z. Harvey (minor)

Case No(s): 17JA00144

18-4134

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$287.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Juanita Tyler (plenary guardian)

In Re: K. Woods (minor)

Case No(s): 14JA00375

18-4135

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastoune

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$725.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Mardia Morris (mother)
In Re: M. Morris (minor)
Case No(s): 18JA00225

18-4136

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$868.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Swann, Z. Swann (minors) GAL
In Re: J. Swann, Z. Swann (minors)
Case No(s): 18JA00493, 17JA01094

18-4141

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$987.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Nicole Belcastro (mother)
In Re: L. Belcastro, T. Belcastro, A. Belcastro (minors)
Case No(s): 09JA650, 09JA 651, 14JA1270

18-4143

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$687.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent: C. Kriescher (minor) GAL
In Re: C. Kriescher (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA1176

18-4148

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$2,006.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): G. Holmes (father)
In Re: K. Holmes, Z. Holmes (minors)
Case No(s) 14JA563, 17JA936

18-4156

Attorney/Payee: Donna L. Ryder

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$757.50

Service rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Names(s) of respondent(s): Caldelario Saucedo (father)

In Re: M. Saucedo, A. Saucedo (minors)

Case No(s): 17JA1226, 17JA1227

18-4166

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Stevens, Ltd.

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$797.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent: legal representation

Names(s) of respondent(s): Anthony Anaya Sr. (father)

In Re: A. Anaya, A. Anaya, A. Hernandez, A. Anaya (minors)

Case No(s): 15JA118, 15JA119, 15JA120, 15JA121

18-4169

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$487.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s) : legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): David Rivera (father)

In Re: N. Rivera (minor)

Case No(s): 17JA01138

18-4170

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,058.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Kierra Hester (mother)

In Re: K. Holmes, Z. Holmes (minors)

Case No(s): 14JA563, 17JA936

18-4172

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$ 1,012.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Danielle Love (mother)

In Re: D. Emerson, D. Emerson, J. Emerson, S. Emerson, D. Love (minors)

Case No. (s) 16JA1055, 16JA1056, 16JA1057, 16JA1058, 16JA1059

18-4175

Attorney/Payee: Francine N. Green-Kelner
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$573.30
Services rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Nelson Galan (father)
In Re: C. Galan (minor)
Case No(s): 08JA00507

18-4177

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$412.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Indigo Lang (mother)
In Re: I. Ascencio (minor)
Case No(s): 17JA01143

18-4178

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$700.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tamika Williams (mother)
In Re: T. Williams, T. Oglesby (minors)
Case No(s): 13JA00664, 13JA00665

18-4180

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$437.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Yameen, A. Yameen (minors) GAL
In Re: A. Yameen, A. Yameen (minors)
Case No(s): 15JA01198, 15JA01199

18-4181

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$525.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent: S. Cortez (father)
In Re: L. Cortez (minor)
Case No(s): 16JA145

18-4182

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$612.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Summeries, J. Summeries (minor) GAL
In Re: A. Summeries, J. Summeries (minors)
Case No(s): 11JA00840, 11JA00840

18-4183

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$937.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent: D. Farrell (mother)
In Re: C. Farrell, C. Wallace, A. Collins (minors)
Case No(s): 17JA807, 17JA808, 17JA809

18-4184

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$337.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): L. Davis (mother)
In Re: D. Davis, Z. Davis (minors)
Case No(s): 17JA00318, 18JA00049

18-4186

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$412.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent: J. Palmore (father)
In Re: M. Palmore (minor)
Case No(s): 14JA641

18-4188

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$3,493.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): R. Willis, A. Key-Knox, D. Thompson, J. Thompson (minors) GAL

In Re: R. Willis, A. Key-Knox, D. Thompson, J. Thompson (minors)

Case No(s): 14JA00749, 14JA00750, 16JA00830, 17JA01296

18-4189

Attorney/Payee: Colleen R. Daly, Attorney at Law, LLC

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$2,302.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Allinia Biggs (mother)

In Re: F. Stampley, F. Stampley, K. Sharp, M. Powers, M. Powers (minors)

Case No(s): 16JA857, 16JA858, 16JA859, 16JA855, 16JA856

18-4190

Attorney/Payee: Francine N. Green-Kelner

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$487.50

Services rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Nelson Galan (father)

In Re: C. Galan (minor)

Case No(s): 08JA00507

18-4191

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,520.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): David Edwards

In Re: K. Edwards (minor)

Case No(s): 17JA616

18-4193

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,482.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Fernandez (minor) GAL

In Re: T. Fernandez (minor)

Case No(s): 01JA1845

18-4194

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,175.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Shamica Barnes(mother)
In Re: Z. Barnes (minor)
Case No(s): 15JA314

18-4195

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,040.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Raymond Simmons
In Re: K. Harris, M. Harris (minors)
Case No(s): 17JA1013,17JA1014

18-4196

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,405.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Willie Jackson (father)
In Re: Z. Smith, Z. Jackson (minors)
Case No(s): 17JA457,17JA458

18-4197

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$279.23
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): James Lewis (father)
In Re: S. Lewis (minor)
Case No(s): 06JA283

18-4198

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$550.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Aaron Green (father)

In Re: A. Green (minor)
Case No(s): 16JA972

18-4199

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$300.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Sandra Watson (mother)
In Re: S. Watson, C. Watson (minors)
Case No(s): 14JA403, 14JA404

18-4200

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$612.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Brian Kizer (father)
In Re: I. Kizer (minor)
Case No(s): 17JA1241

18-4204

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$838.18
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Williemenia Sykes (mother)
In Re: A. Gills (minor)
Case No(s): 16JA847

18-4205

Attorney/Payee: Judith Hannah
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$487.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Steven Goodman (father)
In Re: A. Goodman, C. Goodman (minors)
Case No(s): 16JA1025, 17JA1063

18-4211

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$106.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): V. Flores, C. Flores, N. Beltran (minors) GAL

In Re: V. Flores, C. Flores, N. Beltran (minors)

Case No(s): 09JA1092, 09JA1093, 12JA319

18-4212

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$337.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): X. Rayford (minor) GAL

In Re: X. Rayford (minor)

Case No(s): 15JA359

18-4215

Attorney/Payee: Steve O. Ross

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$340.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Deangelo Roberts (father)

In Re: J. Farias (minor)

Case No(s): 15JA707

18-4216

Attorney/Payee: Steven O. Ross

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$150.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Veronica Ferguson (mother)

In Re: L. Ferguson (minor)

Case No(s): 16JA934

18-4217

Attorney/Payee: Steven O. Ross

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$355.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Dontanion Cottrell (father)

In Re: J. Cottrell, A. Cottrell (minors)

Case No(s): 16JA1050, 16JA1051

18-3516

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$1,050.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent
respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): K. Smallwood (minor) Culina Carter (mother)
Case No(s): 15JD1866

18-3939

Attorney/Payee: Monica M. Torres
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$643.75
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): H. Lopez (minor), Guadalupe Ruiz (mother)
Case No(s): 17JD01817

18-3952

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$950.00
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Vidal (minor), Santa Arredondo (mother)
Case No(s): 14JD03494

18-4002

Attorney/Payee: Joseph G. Gebhart, Attorney at Law
Presenter: Same
Fees \$812.25
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): Louis Calderon (grandfather)
In Re: M. Maldonado (minor)
Case No(s): 16JD2657

18-4054

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe
Presenter: Same
Fees: \$887.50
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Palafox (minor), M. O'Campo (mother)
Case No(s): 17JD2149

18-4065

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$750.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): H. Lopez (minor), Guadalupe Ruiz (mother)

Case No(s): 17JD01817

18-4098

Attorney/Payee: Monica M. Torres

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$412.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): M. Pearson (minor)

Case No(s): 15JD2747

18-4158

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$900.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): C. Barnett (minor), Cylicia Paramore (mother)

Case No(s): 17JD00839

18-4159

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$600.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): K. Ivory (minor) Vanisha Whiteside (mother)

In Re: Case No(s): 14JD04810

18-4163

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$681.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): B. Delgado (minor), S. Penkala (custodian)

Case No(s): 16JD2400

18-4206

Attorney/Payee: Maureen T. Murphy

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,618.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): L. Williams (minor), Shamika Clanton (mother)

Case No(s): 17JD325

18-4209

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$275.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Parrott (minor), Fatima And Hattie Parrott

In Re: Case No(s): 17JD02154

18-4228

Firm: McGuireWoods, LLP.

Special State's Attorney(s): Christina M. Egan

Case Name: *Brown, et al. v. Cook County, et al., Howard, et al. v. Cook County, et al., Wilson, et al. v. Cook County, et al., Caloca, et al. v. Cook County, et al., EEOC Charges - Assistant Public Defenders, Class Action*

Case No.(s): 17 C 8085, 17 C 8146, 17 C 8248, 17 C 9056

Time period: 11/01/2017 - 03/31/2018

This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$470,635.89

Paid to Date: \$0.00

Litigation Subcommittee Approval: 05/15/2018

18-4230

Firm: Law Office of Mary Patricia Benz

Special State's Attorney(s): Mary Patricia Benz

Case Name: Amy Campanelli, Lester Finkle and Victor Erbring

Case No.(s): 2016IN04569, 2016IN04570, 2016IN0457

Time period: 04/01/2017 - 04/06/2018

This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$6,737.95

Paid to Date: \$21,608.00

Litigation Subcommittee Approval: 04/24/2018

18-4233

Firm: Patricia C. Bobb & Associates, P.C.

Special State's Attorney(s): Patricia C. Bobb

Case Name: Swift, Saunders, Thames and Richardson v. City of Chicago, et al.

Case No.(s): 12 L 12995, 12 C 9158, 12 C 9170 and 12 c 9184

Time period: 11/16/2017 - 05/17/2018

This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$8,382.50

Paid to Date: \$289,081.27
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: 06/05/2018

18-4235

Firm: Riley Safer Holmes & Cancila, LLP
Special State's Attorney(s): Patricia Brown Holmes
Case Name: Re: Research re: Ordinance
Case No.(s): N/A
Time period: 08/10/2017 - 11/13/2017
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$11,710.50
Paid to Date: \$0.00
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: (\$5,531.50 on 11/14/2017), (\$5,494.50 on 12/12/2017), (\$684.50 on 01/09/2018)

18-4236

Firm: Odelson & Sterk, LTD.
Special State's Attorney(s): Burton S. Odelson
Case Name: Bless v. Cook County, et al.
Case No.(s): 13 C 4217
Time period: 01/15/2018 - 03/30/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$1,946.45
Paid to Date: \$44,438.35
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: 05/15/2018

18-4237

Firm: Querrey & Harrow, LTD.
Special State's Attorney(s): Terrence F. Guolee
Case Name: Hernandez v. Cook County Sheriff's Department, et al.
Case No.(s): 07 C 85
Time period: 02/25/2018 - 03/28/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$4,521.89
Paid to Date: \$1,214,520.86
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: 04/24/2018

18-4238

Firm: Querrey & Harrow, LTD.
Special State's Attorney(s): Terrence F. Guolee
Case Name: Hernandez v. Cook County Sheriff's Department, et al.
Case No.(s): 07 C 855
Time period: 04/09/2018 - 04/26/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$1,156.60
Paid to Date: \$1,214,520.86
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: 05/15/2018

18-4239

Firm: Law offices of Robbins Schwartz
Special State's Attorney(s): Kenneth M. Florey
Case Name: RE: Representation of Commissioner Dan Patlak
Case No.(s): N/A
Time period: 08/30/2017 - 01/31/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$23,595.56
Paid to Date: \$0.00
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: 04/24/2018

18-4316

Firm: Rock Fusco & Connelly, LLC.
Special State's Attorney(s): John J. Rock
Case Name: Hicks v. Cook County Sheriff's Office and McBride and Smith v. Cook County Sheriff's Office
Case No.(s): 15 C 6852 and 17 C 4951
Time period: 02/01/2018 - 04/30/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$18,872.00
Paid to Date: \$9,277.50
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: (\$5,066.50 on 04/24/2018), (\$7,699.20 on 05/15/2018) and (\$6,106.30 on 06/05/2018)

18-4317

Firm: Rock Fusco & Connelly, LLC.
Special State's Attorney(s): John J. Rock
Case Name: Percy Taylor v. Cook County, et al.
Case No.(s): 13 C 1856, 13 C 6512, 15 C 5919
Time period: 02/01/2018 - 04/30/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$46,195.57
Paid to Date: \$198,533.29
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: (\$12,466.97 on 04/24/2018), (\$12,630.00 on 05/15/2018) and (\$21,098.60 on 06/05/2018)

18-4345

Firm: Rock Fusco & Connelly, LLC
Special State's Attorney(s): John J. Rock
Case Name: Kelly v. Village of Kenilworth, et al.
Case No.(s): 16 CH 5192
Time period: 12/01/2017 - 01/30/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$1,277.25
Paid to Date: \$12,931.63
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: (\$1,092.25 on 02/06/2018), (\$185.00 on 03/13/2018)

18-4346

Firm: Rock Fusco & Connelly, LLC
Special State's Attorney(s): John J. Rock
Case Name: Zaborowski, et al. v. Sheriff of Cook County, et al.
Case No.(s): 08 C 6946
Time period: 04/01/2018 - 04/30/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$3,292.00
Paid to Date: \$21,403.88
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: 06/05/2018

18-4348

Firm: Rock Fusco & Connelly, LLC
Special State's Attorney(s): John J. Rock
Case Name: Marva Crowder v. Servant Cook Sheriff, et al.
Case No.(s): 17 C 3050
Time period: 12/01/2017 - 01/30/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$2,115.50
Paid to Date: \$870.06
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: (\$713.50 on 02/06/2018), (\$1,402.00 on 03/13/2018)

18-4351

Firm: Rock Fusco & Connelly, LLC
Special State's Attorney(s): John J. Rock
Case Name: Marva Crowder v. Servant Cook Sheriff, et al.
Case No.(s): 17 C 3050
Time period: 02/01/2018 - 04/30/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$3,001.25
Paid to Date: \$870.06
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: (\$1,748.00 on 04/24/2018), (\$869.00 on 05/15/2018) and (\$384.25 on 06/05/2018)

18-4352

Firm: Rock Fusco & Connelly, LLC
Special State's Attorney(s): John J. Rock
Case Name: Rucker v. Dart, et al. and Lynon v. Cook County, et al.
Case No.(s): 17 C 1987, 16 C 11719
Time period: 03/01/2018 - 04/30/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$4,878.50
Paid to Date: \$2,509.00
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: (\$3,611.00 on 05/15/2018) and (\$1,267.50 on 06/05/2018)

18-4246

Compliance/Complaint Administrator: Mary T. Robinson
Case Name: Shakman, et al. v. Democratic Organization of Cook County, et al.
Case No.(s): 69 C 2145
Date of This Order: 06/04/2018
Unopposed Petition Number: 155
This Court Ordered Amount of this petition: \$7,260.89
Paid to Date: \$8,795,055.82

Commissioner Sims voted “no”

18-4247

Compliance/Complaint Administrator: Susan G. Feibus
Case Name: Shakman, et al. v. Cook County Assessor, et al.
Case No.(s): 69 C 2145
Date of This Order: 06/01/2018
Unopposed Petition Number: 110
This Court Ordered Amount of this petition: \$37,668.32
Paid to Date: \$2,227,860.45

Commissioner Sims voted “no”

18-4248

Compliance/Complaint Administrator: Cardelle Spangler
Case Name: Shakman, et al. v. Cook County Recorder of Deeds, et al.
Case No.(s): 69 C 2145
Date of This Order: 05/30/2018
Unopposed Petition Number: 187
This Court Ordered Amount of this petition: \$17,412.33
Paid to Date: \$2,209,871.39

Commissioner Sims voted “no”

18-4249

Compliance/Complaint Administrator: Cardelle Spangler
Case Name: Shakman, et al. v. Cook County Recorder of Deeds, et al.
Case No.(s): 69 C 2145
Date of This Order: 06/01/2018
Unopposed Petition Number: 188
This Court Ordered Amount of this petition: \$25,534.31
Paid to Date: \$2,228,643.77

Commissioner Sims voted “no”

18-4292

Firm: Ruben M. Garcia and Associates Ltd.
Special State's Attorney(s): Leynee A. Cruz
Case Name: Estate of Gregorio Martinez
Case No.(s): 17 P 182
Time period: 01/11/2017 - 04/24/2017
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$2,172.50
Paid to Date: \$0
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4293

Firm: Ruben M. Garcia and Associates Ltd.
Special State's Attorney(s): Leynee A. Cruz
Case Name: Estate of Flores
Case No.(s): 16 P 7289
Time period: 11/23/2016 - 03/10/2017
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$1,682.00
Paid to Date: \$0
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4295

Firm: Ruben M. Garcia and Associates Ltd.
Special State's Attorney(s): Leynee A. Cruz
Case Name: Estate of Soto
Case No.(s): 16 P 4701
Time period: 07/28/2016 - 10/03/2016
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$2,796.25
Paid to Date: \$0
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4296

Firm: Ruben M. Garcia and Associates Ltd.
Special State's Attorney(s): Leynee A. Cru
Case Name: Estate of Hernandez
Case No.(s): 16 P 0543
Time period: 05/26/2016 - 05/01/2017
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$9,345.96
Paid to Date: \$0
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4297

Firm: Ruben M. Garcia and Associates Ltd.

Special State's Attorney(s): Ruben M. Garcia
Case Name: Estate of Bustamonte
Case No.(s): 16 P 6670
Time period: 10/27/2016 - 01/29/2017
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$1,646.25
Paid to Date: \$0
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4298

Firm: Stern & Associates
Special State's Attorney(s): Adam Stern
Case Name: Estate of Sandoval
Case No.(s): 17 P 4628
Time period: 07/21/2017 - 09/28/2017
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$920.00
Paid to Date: \$0
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4300

Firm: Stern & Associates
Special State's Attorney(s): Matthew McQuiston
Case Name: Estate of Ishmael Hernandez
Case No.(s): 16 P 543
Time period: 01/28/2016 - 05/01/2017
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$7,040.00
Paid to Date: \$0
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4313

Firm: Reda Ciprian Magnone LLC
Special State's Attorney(s): Ian D. Fidler
Case Name: Estate of Roy Bridges
Case No.(s): 16 P 7642
Time period: 12/09/2016 - 05/08/2017
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$2,862.83
Paid to Date: \$0
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4314

Firm: Reda Ciprian Magnone LLC
Special State's Attorney(s): Ian D. Fidler
Case Name: Estate of Randy Soward
Case No.(s): 17 P 1449

Time period: 03/07/2017 - 10/20/2017
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$2,700.00
Paid to Date: \$0
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4250

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 87 CR 15089
Date of This Order: 06/05/2018
Time period: 05/01/2018 - 05/31/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$8,271.50
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4254

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 79 C 2527
Date of This Order: 05/23/2018
Time period: 04/01/2018 - 04/31/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$3,764.25
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4255

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 90 CR 11984
Date of This Order: 06/04/2018
Time period: 05/01/2018 - 05/31/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$11,109.50
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4256

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 92 CR 22460

Date of This Order: 06/04/2018
Time period: 05/01/2018 - 05/31/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$7,636.50
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4257

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 80 C 5534
Date of This Order: 06/04/2018
Time period: 04/01/2018 - 05/31/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$6,140.00
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4259

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 88 CR 18817
Date of This Order: 05/18/2018
Time period: 04/01/2018 - 04/30/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$16,197.50
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4260

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 88 CR 18817
Date of This Order: 06/06/2018
Time period: 05/01/2018 - 05/31/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$15,388.50
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4261

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor

Case No.(s): 91 CR 21147
Date of This Order: 05/31/2018
Time period: 03/01/2018 - 03/31/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$1,850.00
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4262

Firm: Sexauer Law, P.C.
Attorney(s): Stephanie M. Sexauer
Case Name: Estate of Juan Hernandez
Case No.(s): 16 P 3250
Date of This Order: 08/25/2016
Time period: 05/20/2016 - 08/17/2016
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$3,213.00
Paid to Date: \$0.00
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4264

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 92 CR 0023, 91 CR 21147
Date of This Order: 05/30/2018
Time period: 02/01/2018 - 04/31/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$800.00
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4265

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 90 CR 16376 (2)
Date of This Order: 06/06/2018
Time period: 04/01/2018 - 04/30/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$3,600.00
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4266

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke

Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 90 CR 16376 (2)
Date of This Order: 06/06/2018
Time period: 05/01/2018 - 05/31/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$5,620.00
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4267

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 90 CR 25846
Date of This Order: 06/06/2018
Time period: 03/01/2018 - 04/30/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$3,120.00
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4268

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 90 CR 25846
Date of This Order: 06/06/2018
Time period: 05/01/2018 - 05/31/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$7,767.50
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4269

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 82 CR 1211
Date of This Order: 06/05/2018
Time period: 05/01/2018 - 05/31/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$11,003.00
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4270

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor

Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 91 CR 22460, 91 CR 2215
Date of This Order: 06/05/2018
Time period: 05/01/2018 - 05/31/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$11,136.25
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

18-4272

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor
Attorney(s): Michael J. O'Rourke
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor
Case No.(s): 92 CR 2023
Date of This Order: 06/06/2018
Time period: 05/01/2018 - 05/31/2018
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$6,417.50
Paid to Date: \$5,155,223.13
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Court Orders be approved. The motion carried.

WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS

18-4045

Employee: Frank Perna
Job Title: Janitor
Department: Facilities Management
Date of Incident: 11/25/2013
Incident/Activity: Petitioner injured his right shoulder lifting garbage out of the tilt cart for placement in the dumpster.
Accidental Injuries: Right shoulder
Petition and Order No: 14 WC 07754
Claim Amount: \$112,404.75
Attorney: Ian Elfenbaum of Elfenbaum Evers, Amarilio & Zielinska, P.C.
Date of Subcommittee Approval: 05/15/2018
Prior/pending claims: N/A

18-4144

Employee: Maurice House
Job Title: Youth Development Specialis
Department: Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

Date of Incident: 04/30/2013 and 01/29/2015

Incident/Activity: On 04/30/13, Petitioner injured his left elbow, left wrist and left ankle when he tried to prevent two residents from fighting. On 01/29/15, Petitioner injured his right hand trying to restrain a resident.

Accidental Injuries: Left elbow, left wrist, left ankle and right hand

Petition and Order No: 13 WC 17821 & 15 WC 05485

Claim Amount: \$43,386.01

Attorney: Nancy J. Shepard of Seidman Margulis & Fairman, LLP

Date of Subcommittee Approval: 05/15/2018

Prior/pending claims: N/A

18-4179

Employee: Andre Loreda

Job Title: Correctional Officer

Department: Corrections

Date of Incident: 08/26/2016

Incident/Activity: Petitioner injured his right hand during an altercation with an inmate.

Accidental Injuries: Right hand

Petition and Order No: 16 WC 27802

Claim Amount: \$17,391.72

Attorney: Mirko Akrap of The Vrdolyak Law Group

Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Prior/pending claims: N/A

18-4214

Employee: Elsie Guelespe

Job Title: Probation Officer

Department: Juvenile Probation and Court Services Department

Date of Incident: 11/15/2016

Incident/Activity: The Petitioner was diagnosed with Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder after she was assaulted by one of her parolees.

Accidental Injuries: Head

Petition and Order No: 16 WC 38484

Claim Amount: \$30,000.00

Attorney: Joel Herrera of Herrera Law Center, LLC

Date of Subcommittee Approval: 05/15/2018

Prior/pending claims: 05/09/1997 (\$1.00)

18-4218

Employee: Evelyn Pollock

Job Title: Correctional Officer

Department: Department of Correction

Date of Incident: 05/22/2017

Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured her neck, right shoulder, arm and hand when she was attacked by

an inmate.

Accidental Injuries: Neck, right shoulder, arm and hand

Petition and Order No: 17 WC 16435

Claim Amount: \$30,777.60

Attorney: Adriana M. Preston of Cuda Law Offices, Ltd.

Date of Subcommittee Approval: 05/15/2018

Prior/pending claims: N/A

18-4220

Employee: Timothy Wilson

Job Title: Deputy Sheriff

Department: Sheriff's Court Services

Date of Incident: 01/11/2017

Incident/Activity: Petitioner injured his left shoulder while forcing entry into a residence on a court ordered eviction.

Accidental Injuries: Left shoulder

Petition and Order No: 17 WC 07203

Claim Amount: \$38,759.00

Attorney: Karin K. Connelly of Ridge & Downes

Date of Subcommittee Approval: 05/15/2018

Prior/pending claims: 03/11/15 (\$11,160.67)

18-4221

Employee: Lynden Nichols

Job Title: Customer Service Representative

Department: Stroger Hospital

Date of Incident: 12/06/2016

Incident/Activity: Petitioner sustained injury to her knees and back after she slipped on ice at the entrance to the hospital.

Accidental Injuries: Knees and back

Petition and Order No: 16 WC 38421

Claim Amount: \$5,000.00

Attorney: Benjamin R. Good of Goldstein, Aiossa & Good

Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A

Prior/pending claims: None

18-4301

Employee: Gene Walker

Job Title: Supply Coordinator

Department: Assessor's Office

Date of Incident: 10/31/2011

Incident/Activity: Petitioner injured his left leg when he hit his left knee against a metal cabinet after he lost his balance lifting a five gallon water jug.

Accidental Injuries: Left knee
Petition and Order No: 15 WC 28426
Claim Amount: \$10,300.00
Attorney: Charles J. Candiano of Candiano Law Office
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: N/A

18-4303

Employee: Shawn Frey
Job Title: Police Officer
Department: Sheriff's Police Department
Date of Incident: 07/13/2014 and 05/18/2016
Incident/Activity: The Petitioner was involved in a motor vehicle accident that injured his head, neck, shoulders and back. The Petitioner injured his right hand while rescuing an injured person from a motor vehicle accident.
Accidental Injuries: Head, neck, shoulders, back and right hand
Petition and Order No: 14 WC 25514
Claim Amount: \$1.00
Attorney: Alex C. Wimmer of Botto Gilbert Lancaster, P.C.
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: 04/01/2018 (treating)

18-4305

Employee: Eric Passini
Job Title: Correctional Officer
Department: Department of Corrections
Date of Incident: 06/10/2017 and 09/15/2017
Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured his left knee while restraining a combative inmate. The Petitioner re-injured his left knee while restraining a combative detainee.
Accidental Injuries: Left knee
Petition and Order No: 17 WC 17794
Claim Amount: \$14,166.41
Attorney: Parag P. Bhosale of Gardi & Haught, Ltd.
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Prior/pending claims: None

18-4328

Employee: Mark Tellado
Job Title: Correctional Officer
Department: Department of Corrections
Date of Incident: 12/28/2015
Incident/Activity: Petitioner injured his back and right shoulder while helping take down a detainee hung from a rail at the jail.
Accidental Injuries: Back and right shoulder

Petition and Order No: 16 WC 01846
Claim Amount: \$84,962.25
Attorney: Joshua E. Rudolfi of Ankin Law Office, LLC
Date of Subcommittee Approval: 05/15/2018
Prior/pending claims: 11/26/00 (\$40,000.00)

18-4329

Employee: Servando Velez
Job Title: Police Officer
Department: Sheriff's Police Department
Date of Incident: 07/25/2017
Incident/Activity: Petitioner injured his right knee when he slipped on a loose runner or step on a staircase while serving a warrant.
Accidental Injuries: Right knee
Petition and Order No: 17 WC 23647
Claim Amount: \$28,000.00
Attorney: Jose M. Rivero of Cullen, Haskins, Nicholson & Menchetti, P.C.
Date of Subcommittee Approval: 05/15/2018
Prior/pending claims: None

18-4350

Employee: Michael Tellez
Job Title: Correctional Officer
Department: Department of Corrections
Date of Incident: 09/24/2016 and 08/15/2017
Incident/Activity: The Petitioner injured his left wrist while restraining a combative detainee. The Petitioner was attacked by an inmate who projected bodily fluid onto the Petitioner's face and right arm.
Accidental Injuries: Left wrist, right arm and face
Petition and Order No: 16 WC 30722 and 17 WC 31753
Claim Amount: \$28,096.00
Attorney: Michael Tellez and the Law Offices of Peter G. Lekas
Date of Subcommittee Approval: 06/05/2017
Prior/pending claims: None

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Workers Compensation Claims be approved. The motion carried.

PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS**18-4046**

Case: Forest Villa Nursing and Rehabilitation v. Cook County, et al.
Case No: 18 L 1814
Settlement Amount: \$75,000.00

Department: 4896 - Managed Care
Payable to: Forest Villa Nursing and Rehabilitation Center, LLC
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: This matter arises from a breach of contract.

18-3950

Case: Ball v. Dart, et al.
Case No: 17 C 5114
Settlement Amount: \$825.00
Department: 1239 - Department of Corrections
Payable to: Angela Balls Baker
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegations of a civil rights violation.

18-4014

Case: O'neal v. Dart
Case No: 17 C 2513
Settlement Amount: \$3,000.00
Department: 4240 - Cermak Health Services of Cook County
Payable to: Rodgerick O'neal
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegations of a civil rights violation

18-4016

Case: Towns v. Dart
Case No: 14 C 9617
Settlement Amount: \$4,000.00
Department: 1239 - Department of Corrections
Payable to: GoodSmith, Cregg & Unruh LLP
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegations of a civil rights violation

18-4142

Case: Caples v. Dart, et al.
Case No: 16 C 1976
Settlement Amount: \$4,250.00
Department: 1239 - Department of Corrections
Payable to: Xan Caples
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegations of a civil rights violation.

18-4168

Case: Michael J. Williams v. Director Miller, et al.,
Case No: 17 C 7520
Settlement Amount: \$1,500.00
Department: 1239 - Department of Corrections
Payable to: Michael J. Williams
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegations of a civil rights violation.

18-4241

Case: Martinez v. Dart
Case No: 17 C 7041
Settlement Amount: \$1,200.00
Department: 1239 - Department of Corrections
Payable to: Gloria Martinez
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation

18-4244

Case: Jones v. Dart
Case No: 17 C 4963
Settlement Amount: \$600.00
Department: 1239 - Department of Corrections
Payable to: Jaimee Jones
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation

18-4294

Case: Hunt v. Marquez, et al.
Case No: 16 C 10537
Settlement Amount: \$80,000.00
Department: 1239 - Department of Corrections
Payable to: Tina Hunt and Law Offices of Jeffrey J. Neslund
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil right violation.

18-4307

Case: Rodriguez v. Dart, et al.
Case No: 16 C 10560
Settlement Amount: \$19,000.00
Department: 1239 - Department of Corrections
Payable to: Norberto Rodriguez and Dolan Law Group
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of civil rights violation

18-4308

Case: Lugardo v. Dart
Case No: 16 C 2274
Settlement Amount: \$300.00
Department: 4240 - Cermak Health Services of Cook County
Payable to: Alonzo H. Zahour in trust for Matthew Mendez Lugardo
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation

18-4315

Case: David Quinn v. CCDOC, et al.
Case No: 16 C 11545
Settlement Amount: \$1,520.00
Department: 1239-Department of Corrections
Payable to: David Quinn
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation

18-4325

Case: Colbert v. Dart
Case No: 17 C 9144
Settlement Amount: \$800.00
Department: 1239 - Department of Corrections
Payable to: Sharron Colbert
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation

18-4336

Case: Brown v. Dart, et al.
Case No: 15 C 10445
Settlement Amount: \$19,944.00
Department: 1239 - Department of Corrections
Payable to: Jose Brown
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation

18-4340

Case: Gates v. Dart
Case No: 17 C 6458
Settlement Amount: \$650.00
Department: 1239 - Department of Corrections

Payable to: Lakeena Gates
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation

18-4342

Case: Clanton v. Dart, et. al.
Case No: 17 C 945
Settlement Amount: \$900.00
Department: 1239-Department of Corrections
Payable to: Eric Clanton
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation

18-4343

Case: Willis v. Cook County, et al.
Case No: 17 C 1150
Settlement Amount: \$500.00
Department: 1239 - Department of Corrections
Payable to: Danton Willis
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation.

18-4347

Case: Ford v. Dart
Case No: 16 C 848
Settlement Amount: \$5,000.00
Department: 1239 - Department of Corrections
Payable to: Charles R. Ford
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation

18-4349

Case: Brown v. Dart, et al.
Case No: 15 C 10445
Settlement Amount: \$2,500.00
Department: Cermak Health Services of Cook County
Payable to: Jose Brown
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A
Subject matter: Allegations of a civil rights violation

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Proposed Settlements be approved. The motion carried.

EMPLOYEES' INJURY COMPENATION CLAIMS

18-4319

The Department of Risk Management is submitting invoices totaling \$710,220.21 for payment of workers compensation costs incurred by employees injured on duty including settlements within the grant of authority conveyed by the Cook County Board of Commissioners to the Department of Risk Management. Individual checks will be issued by the Comptroller in accordance with the submitted report prepared by the Department of Risk Management.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Employee Injury Compensation Claims be approved. The motion carried.

**ZONING AND BUILDING COMMITTEE
MEETING OF JUNE 27, 2018**

18-4302

Presented by: JAMES WILSON, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Request: Variation V 18-16

Township: Northfield

County District: #14

Property Address: 600 Waukegan Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062

Property Description: The subject property is approximately 5.13 acres located west of Waukegan Road (Frontage Road) and south of the Edens Spur Toll Road.

Owner: 600 Waukegan Road Condominium Association, 600 Waukegan Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062

Agent/Attorney: Project Outdoor, LLC., 20 W. Kinzie, 17th Floor, Chicago, Illinois 60654 and Nicholas Ftikas Esq. (Law Offices of Sam Banks), 221 N. LaSalle St., 38th Floor, Chicago, IL 60601

Current Zoning: I-1 Restricted Industry District

Intended use: Applicant seeks a variance to: (1) reduce the distance of a billboard from the Forest Preserve District from the minimum required 500 feet to a proposed 125 feet, (2) increase the height of the billboard from maximum allowed 40 feet to a proposed 58 feet and (3) reduce the front yard setback from minimum required 30 feet to a proposed 1.5 feet. The variance is sought to construct a billboard advertising sign.

Recommendation: ZBA Recommendation is that the application be granted.

Conditions: None

Objectors: None

History:

Zoning Board Hearing: 6/6/2018

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 6/6/2018

County Board extension granted: N/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the recommendation of the Zoning and Building Committee be approved. The motion carried.

18-4304

Presented by: JAMES WILSON, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Request: Variation V 18-25

Township: Stickney

County District: 16

Property Address: 4737 S. Lockwood Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60638

Property Description: The subject property is approximately 0.33 acre located on the east side of Lockwood Avenue and approximately 351 feet south of 47th Street.

Owner: Manuel Garcia, 4737 S. Lockwood Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60638

Agent/Attorney: Same as above

Current Zoning: R-5 Single Family Residence

Intended use: Applicant seeks a variance to reduce the right interior side yard setback from the minimum required 10 feet to an existing 1.17 feet. The variance is sought to bring existing conditions into compliance to obtain an after-the-fact building permit.

Recommendation: ZBA Recommendation is that the application be granted as amended.

Conditions: None

Objectors: None

History:

Zoning Board Hearing: 6/6/2018

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 6/6/2018

County Board extension granted: N/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the recommendation of the Zoning and Building Committee be approved, as amended. The motion carried.

18-4306

Presented by: JAMES WILSON, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Request: Variation V 18-23

Township: Orland

County District: 17

Property Address: 13812 McCabe Drive, Orland, Illinois 60467

Property Description: The subject property is approximately 0.33 acre located on the northwest corner of Stagecoach Road and McCabe Court.

Owner: Patrick and Karen Flanagan, 13812 McCabe Drive, Orland, Illinois 60467

Agent/Attorney: Same as above

Current Zoning: R-5 Single Family Residence

Intended use: Applicant seeks a variance to: (1) reduce the corner side yard setback from the minimum required 15 feet to an existing 14 feet and (2) increase the height of the fence located the corner side yard from the maximum allowed 3 feet to an existing 6 feet. The request is sought to bring existing conditions into compliance.

Recommendation: ZBA Recommendation is that the application be granted.

Conditions: None

Objectors: None

History:

Zoning Board Hearing: 6/6/2018

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 6/6/2018

County Board extension granted: N/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the recommendation of the Zoning and Building Committee be approved. The motion carried.

18-4311

Presented by: JAMES WILSON, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Request: Variation V 18-09

Township: Palos

County District: 17

Property Address: 8030 W. 130th Street, Palos Park, Illinois 60464

Property Description: The subject property is approximately 0.46 acre located north of 130th Street approximately 274 feet west of 80th Avenue, in Section 35.

Owner: Jozef & Anna Palasz, 8030 W. 130th Street, Palos Park, Illinois 60464

Agent/Attorney: Ed Janczur Esq., 19 S. LaSalle Street, #1201, Chicago, Illinois 60603

Current Zoning: R-4 Single Family Residence District

Intended use: Applicant seeks a variance to reduce the rear yard setback from the minimum required 50 feet to an existing 28 feet 11-1/4 inches. The variance is sought to obtain an after-the-fact building permit for an attached garage addition

Recommendation: ZBA Recommendation that the application be Denied

Conditions: None

Objectors: Retired Judge Thomas Nowinski, 8015 W. 129th Place, Palos Park, Illinois
Ed Davidson, 8045 E. 129th Place, Palos Park, Illinois (Objectors Group Exhibit
including
photos & letter from another neighbor)
Mark Wiater, 129 S. 80th Avenue, Palos Park, Illinois

History:

Zoning Board Hearing: 4/4/2018 and 6/6/2018

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 6/6/2018

County Board extension granted: N/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the recommendation of the Zoning and Building Committee be denied. The motion carried.

18-4291

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Request: Special Use with companion Variation SU 18-03 & V 18-10

Township: Northfield

County District: 14

Property Address: 600B Waukegan Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062

Property Description: The Subject Property is approximately 1.98 acres and is located at the southeast corner of Interstate 94 (Edens Spur) and IL-43 Waukegan Road.

Owner: PSD2 LLC., owner and managing member of PSD2 LLC, David Blinderman, 224 N. Des Plaines Street, Suite 650 Chicago, Illinois 60661

Agent/Attorney: Daniel Shapiro Esq., 224 N. Des Plaines Street, Suite 650 Chicago, Illinois 60661

Current Zoning: I-1 Restricted Industrial District

Intended use: Applicant seeks a Special Use for Unique Use on an I-1 Restricted Industrial District to operate a motor freight terminal with a companion Variation V 18-10 to reduce the front yard setback from 30 feet to an existing 22 feet.

Recommendation: ZBA Recommendation is of Approval.

Conditions: None

Objectors: Two objectors Tim Rueckert, Northfield Township Road District, Highway Commissioner, and Wally Kerr, Northfield Township Road District.

History:

Zoning Board Hearing: 5/2/2018

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 6/6/2018

County Board extension granted: N/A

18-4291

ORDINANCE

Sponsored by

THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE,

PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

ORDINANCE GRANTING A SPECIAL USE

**LOCATED IN LEYDEN TOWNSHIP
AS AUTHORIZED BY THE COOK COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE**

WHEREAS, the owner of a Subject Property located in Leyden Township described in Section 1, herein, has petitioned the Cook County Board of Commissioners for Special Use Permit in the C-4 General Commercial District for the operation of an automotive sales and dealership leasing business. The Property Identification Numbers are 12-29-405-022-0000 and 12-29-205- 016-0000, in Section 29 of Leyden Township; and

WHEREAS, the said petition was received by the Zoning Board of Appeals of Cook County as SU17-07, public hearings were held in regard to said request after due notice, all in accordance with the Cook County Zoning Ordinance and the Statutes of the State of Illinois; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals entered detailed Findings in accordance with the Standards set forth in the Zoning Ordinance, and denied its recommendation to the Cook County Board of Commissioners as stated in the Findings of Fact, for the said application for a Special Use Permit; and

WHEREAS, it is the unanimous determination of the Cook County Board of Commissioners that the said request not concur with the recommendation of the Zoning Board of Appeals, and Grant the Special Use with Conditions.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois:

Section 1: BACKGROUND That the following described Subject Property be granted a Special Use Permit in the C-4 General Commercial District for the operation of an automotive sales and dealership leasing business, in Section 29, of Leyden Township with four conditions: 1.) that the Owner/Applicant pave, stripe and install parking stops for 28 cars, 2.) that the Owner/Applicant install lights illuminating into the lot from Mannheim Road, 3.) that the Owner/Applicant provides additional landscaping on Mannheim Road and 4.) that the Owner/Applicant provides ingress on Mannheim Road and egress on Diversey Avenue, and

Section 2: DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

The subject property is approximately 0.48 acre located on the southwest corner of Diversey Avenue and Mannheim Road in Section 29 of Leyden Township, Cook County District #16. The common addresses are 10405 W. Diversey Avenue, Suite C, Melrose Park, Illinois 60160 and 2748 N. Mannheim Road, Melrose Park, Illinois 60160.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

PARCEL 1

THE EAST 41.0 FEET OF THE WEST 159.0 FEET OF LOT 148 IN FRED’K H. BARLETT’S GRAND FARM UNIT “E”, BEING THE SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTH HALF OF THE NORTH HALF OF THE EAST THREE QUARTERS OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 40, NORTH, RANGE 12, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED MAY 9, 1938 AS DOCUMENT NO. 12156472, IN COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

CONTAINING 5,267 SQUARE FEET PARCEL NO. 12-29-405-022-0000

PARCEL 2

LOT 148, EXCEPT THE WEST 159.0 FEET THEREOF, IN FRED’K H. BARTLETT’S GRAND FARMUNIT ‘E’, BEING A SUBDIVISION OF THE NORTH HALF OF THE NORTH HALF OF THE LAST THREE QUARTERS OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 40, NORTH, RANGE 12, EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF RECORDED MAY 9, 1938 AS DOCUMENT NO.12156472, IN COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

CONTAINING 15,420 SQUARE FEET PARCEL NO. 12-29-405-016-0000

Section 3: That the Special Use in the C-4 General Commercial District as mentioned in Section 1 of this Ordinance is hereby authorized.

Section 4: SPECIAL USE That this Ordinance under the provisions of Section 13.8.9 of the Cook County Zoning Ordinance be in full force and effect from and after its passage and approval, except that if said use is not established within one year as provided in Section 13.8.14 said Special Use for shall be null and void. That said Subject Property be developed and constructed pursuant to the detailing set forth in the testimony and contained in the exhibits and Findings of the Cook County Zoning Board of Appeals hereby incorporated by reference into the Ordinance, as provided by law.

Approved and adopted this 27th of June 2018.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Ordinance be approved. The motion carried.

Presented by: JAMES WILSON, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

Request: Special Use with companion Variation SU 18-03 & V 18-10

Township: Northfield

County District: 14

Property Address: 600B Waukegan Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062

Property Description: The Subject Property is approximately 1.98 acres and is located at the southeast corner of Interstate 94 (Edens Spur) and IL-43 Waukegan Road.

Owner: PSD2 LLC., owner and managing member of PSD2 LLC, David Blinderman, 224 N. Des Plaines Street, Suite 650 Chicago, Illinois 60661

Agent/Attorney: Daniel Shapiro Esq., 224 N. Des Plaines Street, Suite 650 Chicago, Illinois 60661

Current Zoning: I-1 Restricted Industrial District

Intended use: Applicant seeks a Special Use for Unique Use on an I-1 Restricted Industrial District to operate a motor freight terminal with a companion Variation V 18-10 to reduce the front yard setback from 30 feet to an existing 22 feet.

Recommendation: ZBA Recommendation is of Approval.

Conditions: None

Objectors: Two objectors Tim Rueckert, Northfield Township Road District, Highway Commissioner, and Wally Kerr, Northfield Township Road District.

History:

Zoning Board Hearing: 5/2/2018

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 6/6/2018

County Board extension granted: N/A

**18-4299
ORDINANCE**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE,
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**ORDINANCE GRANTING A SPECIAL USE
LOCATED IN NORTHFIELD TOWNSHIP**

AS AUTHORIZED BY THE COOK COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE

WHEREAS, the owner of a Subject Property located in Northfield Township described in Section 1, herein, has petitioned the Cook County Board of Commissioners for Special Use Permit in the I-1 Restricted Industrial District to operate a motor freight terminal with a companion Variation V 18-10 to reduce the front and side yard setback from 30 feet to an exceeding 22 feet. The Property Identification Numbers are 04-04-400-012-0000 and 04-04- 400-013-0000, in Section 4 of Northfield Township; and

WHEREAS, the said petition was received by the Zoning Board of Appeals of Cook County as SU 18-03 and V 18-10, public hearings were held in regard to said request after due notice, all in accordance with the Cook County Zoning Ordinance and the Statutes of the State of Illinois; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning Board of Appeals entered detailed Findings in accordance with the Standards set forth in the Ordinance recommending that the Cook County Board of Commissioners grant as stated in the Findings of Fact, said application for a Special Use permit; and

WHEREAS, it is the determination that said request be granted in accordance with the Recommendation of the Zoning Board of Appeals.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois:

Section 1: BACKGROUND That the following described Subject Property be granted a Special Use Permit in the I-1 Restricted Industrial District to operate a motor freight terminal with a companion Variation V 18-10 to reduce the front and side yard setback from 30 feet to an exceeding 22 feet, in Section 4 of Northfield Township, and

Section 2: DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

The Subject Property is approximately 1.98 acres and is located at the southeast corner of Interstate 94 (Edens Spur) and IL-43 Waukegan Road in Section 4 of Northfield Township, Cook County District #14. The common address is 600B Waukegan Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION**PARCEL 2:**

THAT PART OF THE NORTH 10 ACRES OF THE NORTH 380.10 FEET OF THAT PART OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 4, TOWNSHIP 42 NORTH, RANGE 12 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, LYING EAST OF THE EAST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF THE CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE AND ST. PAUL RAILROAD AND WEST OF THE CENTERLINE OF WAUKEGAN ROAD, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID NORTH 10 ACRES, SAID POINT BEING 678.11 FEET EAST OF THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID RAILROAD, AS MEASURED ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE; THENCE NORTH ALONG A LINE DRAWN PERPENDICULAR TO SAID SOUTH LINE, 222.07 FEET TO A POINT 30.0 FEET SOUTH OF THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST 1/4; THENCE WEST ALONG A LINE PARALLEL TO SAID NORTH LINE, 172.31 FEET; THENCE SOUTH ALONG A LINE DRAWN PERPENDICULAR TO LAST

DESCRIBED LINE, 222.07 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID NORTH 10 ACRES, SAID POINT BEING 505.80 FEET EAST OF THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID RAILROAD; THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE, 172.31 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

CONTAINING 38,246 SQUARE FEET PARCEL NO, 04-04-400-012-0000

PARCEL 3

THAT PART OF THE NORTH 10 ACRES OF THE NORTH 380.10 FEET OF THAT PART OF THE SOUTHEAST 1/4 OF SECTION 4, TOWNSHIP 42 NORTH, RANGE 12 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, LYING EAST OF THE EAST RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF THE CHICAGO MILWAUKEE AND ST. PAUL RAILROAD AND WEST OF THE CENTERLINE OF WAUKEGAN ROAD DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID NORTH 10 ACRES, SAID POINT BEING 678.11 FEET EAST OF THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF SAID RAILROAD, AS MEASURED ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE; THENCE NORTH ALONG A LINE DRAWN PERPENDICULAR TO THE SAID SOUTH LINE, 222.07 FEET TO A POINT 30.0 FEET SOUTH OF THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST 1/4; THENCE EAST ALONG A LINE PARALLEL WITH SAID NORTH LINE, 12.83 FEET TO ITS INTERSECTION WITH A LINE, SAID LINE BEING DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: BEGINNING AT A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST 1/4, SAID POINT BEING 1441.06 FEET WEST OF THE EAST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST 1/4; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY TO A POINT THAT IS 1067.79 FEET EAST OF THE EASTERLY RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF AFOREMENTIONED RAILROAD AND 251.64 FEET SOUTH OF SAID NORTH LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST 1/4; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG LAST DESCRIBED LINE, 432.44 FEET TO ITS INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID NORTH 10 ACRES; THENCE WEST ALONG SAID SOUTH LINE TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, IN COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS.

CONTAINING 44,039 SQUARE FEET PARCEL NO, 04-04-400-013-0000

Section 3: That the Special Use in the I-1 Restricted Industrial District as mentioned in Section 1 of this Ordinance is hereby authorized.

Section 4: SPECIAL USE That this Ordinance under the provisions of Section 13.8.9 of the Cook County Zoning Ordinance be in full force and effect from and after its passage and approval, except that if said use is not established within one year as provided in Section 13.8.14 said Special Use for shall be null and void. That said Subject Property be developed and constructed pursuant to the detailing set forth in the testimony and contained in the exhibits and Findings of the Cook County Zoning Board of Appeals hereby incorporated by reference into the Ordinance, as provided by law.

Approved and adopted this 27th of June 2018.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President
Cook County Board of Commissioners

(S E A L)

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the recommendation of the Zoning and Building Committee be approved. The motion carried.

NEW ITEMS

In accordance with Cook County Code Section 2-103(g) Amendment or Suspension of rules, Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, moved to suspend Section 2-105(h) prior notice to public. The motion carried.

18-3232

Presented by: EARL MANNING, Director, Office of Capital Planning and Policy

PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT

Department(s): The Department of Capital Planning and Policy, The Department of Facilities Management, The Department of Transportation and Highways

Vendor: Various Vendors (See "Summary" Below)

Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

Good(s) or Service(s): Countywide Job Order Contract (JOC) Program

Original Contract Period: 6/1/2016-5/31/2018 with two (2) one (1) year renewal options

Proposed Contract Period Extension: 6/1/2019-5/31/2020

Total Current Contract Amount Authority: \$89,000,000.00

Original Approval (Board or Procurement): 5/11/2016

Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s): N/A

Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s): 3/14/2018, \$149,995.51 to 1555-14475 GC3; 2/15/2018, 2/21/2018, 3/14/2018, 6/1/2018-5/31/2019 to renew all contracts

This Increase Requested: \$76,500,000.00

Potential Fiscal Impact: FY18 \$16,798,478.26 FY19 \$29,850,760.87 FY20 \$29,850,760.87

Accounts: Capital Improvement Program- 580

Contract Number(s): Various vendors (See “Summary” Below)

Concurrences:

The vendor has met the Minority-and Women-owned Business Enterprise Ordinance via direct participation.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

Summary: This amendment provides for the second and final of two (2), one (1) year renewal options and increases to the Countywide Job Order Contract (JOC) Program. JOC is a quantity based procurement process that allows facility owners to complete a multitude of repair/maintenance and construction project with a single, competitively bid contract. The JOC process involved first selecting a vendor pool of potential contractors through a competitive procurement process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code, and identifying projects for such selected vendors. The vendors listed below were found to be the lowest, responsive and responsible bidders.

The County is under no obligation to expend the amount requested, and is only obligated to pay JOC contractors when the construction work is procured through the JOC Program. The amendment will allow the County to extend the utilization of JOC contracts to facilitate all departments’ upcoming projects.

Contract Number(s)	Vendor Pool	Amount Increase
1555-14475GC1	F.H. Paschen	\$8,000,000.00
1555-14475GC2	AGAE Contractors	\$8,000,000.00
1555-14475GC3	Paul Borg Construction	\$8,000,000.00
1555-14475GC4	Old Veterans Construction	\$8,000,000.00
1555-14475MC5	S. Mechanical	\$6,000,000.00
1555-14475MC6	Paschen Autumn JV	\$6,000,000.00
1555-14475EC7	Paschen M.G. JV	\$5,000,000.00
1555-14475EC8	Sharlen Electric Company	\$ 5,000,000.00
1555-14475SW9	F.H. Paschen (SW)	\$ 4,000,000.00
1555-14475SW10	MQ Construction (SW)	\$ 4,000,000.00
1555-14475D11	F.H. Paschen (D)	\$ -
1555-14475D12	Old Veterans Construction (D)	\$ -
1555-14475GC-SBE14	AGAE Contractors (SBE)	\$ 4,000,000.00
1555-14475GC-SBE15	Pacific Construction (SBE)	\$ 4,000,000.00
1555-14475MC-SBE16	Autumn Construction (SBE)	\$ 3,000,000.00
1555-14475EC-SBE17	All Tech Energy (SBE)	\$ 2,000,000.00
1555-14475SW-SBE18	MQ Construction (SBE)	\$ 1,500,000.00
1555-14475D-SBE19	AGAE Contractors (D-SBE)	\$ -
		Totals \$ 76,500,000.00

A motion was made by Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Butler, that the Contract Amendment be referred to the Asset Management Committee. The motion carried.

18-4330

Presented by: SUSAN CAMPBELL, Director, Department of Planning and Development

PROPOSED GRANT AWARD

Department: Department of Planning and Development

Grantee: Cook County Bureau of Economic Development

Grantor: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Request: Authorization to accept grant

Purpose: To support various community development, homeless and social services, affordable housing, and economic development activities in suburban Cook County

Grant Amount:

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG): \$10,805,545.00

Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG): \$834,885.00

HOME Investment Partnerships: \$6,764,251.00

Grant Period:

CDBG: 10/1/18-9/30/19

ESG: 10/1/18-9/30/20

HOME: 10/1/18-9/30/23

Fiscal Impact: None

Accounts: 9421801, 9411801, 7721801

Concurrences:

Budget and Management Services has received all requisite documents, and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any.

Summary: Transmitted herewith are the proposed funding sources and uses for the 2018 Program Year for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG); Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG); and HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) programs.

These funds are provided to Cook County via an annual formula grant through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and are restricted for use within suburban Cook County. The Planning and Development Subcommittee of the Economic Development Advisory Council (EDAC) and the full EDAC are expected to approve these recommendations at public hearings which will be held in June.

The 2018 Program Year funding allocations are as follows:

CDBG: \$10,805,545
ESG: \$834,885
HOME: \$6,764,251

CDBG funding may be utilized to support various community development activities for the benefit of low- and moderate-income persons.

ESG funding may be utilized to support various shelter and service activities for the benefit of homeless persons or persons at-risk of homelessness.

HOME funding may be utilized to support affordable housing development activities for the benefit of low-income persons.

The proposed sources and uses of all program funds will be incorporated into the County's Annual Action Plan, which will be made available for public comment prior to submittal to HUD by 8/15/2018.

I respectfully request approval of the recommended proposed funding sources and uses for the 2018 CDBG, ESG, and HOME funds; and that the Bureau Chief of Economic Development or his/her designee be authorized to execute, on behalf of the County of Cook any and all documents necessary to further the approval herein, including but not limited to, subrecipient agreements, intergovernmental agreements, amendments, and modifications thereto, loan documents, lien assignments, releases of mortgages and liens, and mortgage assumptions.

A motion was made by Commissioner Gainer, seconded by Commissioner Boykin, that the Grant Award be referred to the Workforce, Housing and Community Development Committee. The motion carried.

18-4334

Presented by: MARIA PAPPAS, Cook County Treasurer

REPORT

Department: Treasurer

Report Title: Refer to Audit Committee

Report Period: Fiscal Years 2016 and 2017

Summary: Submitting herewith, the Financial Statements as of November 30, 2017 and 2016, Supplemental Information as of November 30, 2017, and the Independent Auditor's Reports

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Goslin, that the Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.

18-4528

Sponsored by: LUIS ARROYO JR, RICHARD R. BOYKIN, JERRY BUTLER, JOHN P. DALEY, DENNIS DEER, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, JESUS G. GARCIA, GREGG GOSLIN, EDWARD M. MOODY, STANLEY MOORE, SEAN M. MORRISON, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, LARRY SUFFREDIN and JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION OPPOSING THE REDUCTION OF AIR AND WATER POLLUTION STANDARDS IN WISCONSIN FOR THE PROPOSED FOXCONN DEVELOPMENT

WHEREAS, Foxconn Technology Group (“Foxconn”) is a manufacturer of computer, communication and electronics; and

WHEREAS, Foxconn is constructing a fabrication plant in Mount Pleasant, Wisconsin to manufacture LCD glass panels. Mount Pleasant is in Racine County, Wisconsin; and

WHEREAS, the proposed plant is sited at the headwaters of the Des Plaines River and is located approximately 50 miles from the border of Cook County; and

WHEREAS, Cook County shares the Des Plaines Watershed Region, and our water and air resources are all interconnected; and

WHEREAS, the State of Wisconsin has passed legislation that waives environmental regulations for the Foxconn plant;

WHEREAS, the legislation allows Foxconn to fill 26 acres of wetlands with dredged materials without an Environmental Impact Study or input from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; and

WHEREAS, the United States Environmental Protection Agency exempted Racine County, Wisconsin from air quality requirements for ozone, allowing Foxconn to avoid having to install stringent pollution control equipment; and

WHEREAS, the Foxconn plant will divert approximately 7 million gallons of water from Lake Michigan a day; and

WHEREAS, the greatest natural resource Cook County has is Lake Michigan and the proposed plant will adversely affect that resources; and

WHEREAS, there has been no stormwater management plan for the proposed plant, even though the plant will encompass thousands of acres of farmland; and

WHEREAS, the Des Plaines River has had significant flooding and removing permeable land at the headwaters of the River will likely increase the downstream flood risk; and

WHEREAS, without a proper study of all potential environmental impacts of the plant, it is unknown if there will be adverse effects to the environment and population of Cook County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners of Cook County call on the State of Wisconsin and the United States Environmental Protection Agency to require the Foxconn Development to comply with all applicable environmental regulations in the construction and operation of its proposed plant at Mount Pleasant, Wisconsin; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners of Cook County urges the State of Wisconsin and the United States Environmental Protection Agency to uniformly apply and enforce all applicable regulations and laws as written without any exemptions, waivers or variances to ensure negative impacts are not imposed on the residents of downstream Cook County;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners of Cook County supports the Illinois Attorney General, Illinois General Assembly and Illinois agencies in taking whatever actions possible to protect Cook County and the State of Illinois against the loss of water resources, potential flooding, and other ecological impacts from this development; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution shall be provided to the Governor of Wisconsin, the Director of the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, the Governor of Illinois, the Illinois Attorney General, the Director of the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, the Director of the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the Director of Region 5 of the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, that the Resolution be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion carried.

18-4541

Sponsored by: LUIS ARROYO JR, RICHARD R. BOYKIN, JERRY BUTLER, JOHN P. DALEY, DENNIS DEER, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, JESUS G. GARCIA, GREGG GOSLIN, EDWARD M. MOODY, STANLEY MOORE, SEAN M. MORRISON, TONI PRECKWINKLE, (PRESIDENT), TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, LARRY SUFFREDIN and JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

SMALL BUSINESS COMMISSION FOR COOK COUNTY

BE IT ORDAINED, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Chapter 2 Administration, Article III, County Board, Division sections 2-84 through 2-86 of the Cook County Code, is hereby enacted as Follows:

Sec. 2-84. Short Title.

This Division shall be known and may be cited as the “Cook County Commission on Small Business and Supplier Diversity.

Sec. 2-85. Policy and Purpose.

(a) There is hereby created a Commission to help create sustainable small business initiatives that promote business opportunities, financial growth and further development and expansion of small and minority businesses and businesses that are owned by people with disabilities.

(b) Explore issues and barriers that prevent small businesses, women owned businesses, businesses owned by people with disabilities and minority owned businesses from being successful.

(c) Meet and exceed procurement existing procurement goals. Research and promote best practices that facilitate meeting contracting and subcontracting goals.

(d) Review compliance code and compliance of Cook County Agencies.

(e) Review and recommend best practices to reduce access to capital challenges faced by minority, women owned businesses and businesses owned by people with disabilities.

(f) Review and make recommendations on how Cook County Hospital System and other County agencies can increase the participation of minority, women owned businesses and businesses owned by people with disabilities.

Cook County Commission on Small Business.

(a) The Commission will have eleven (11) members including: two (2) Commissioners who were the original co-sponsors of the ordinance who shall serve as Chair and Co-Chair of the Commission. Four (4) of the Commission members shall be selected by the Chair and Co-Chair and must be certified MBE or WBE business owners certified by Cook County. Five (5) members of the Commission shall be appointed by the President of the Cook County Board of Commissioners and may include but not be limited to: representatives of Cook County Agencies, civic leaders, majority contractors or private sector representatives who have established excellent diversity practices. All shall be confirmed by the Cook County Board.

(b) Non-elected Board Members will serve for two (2) years and can be reappointed for consecutive terms. Commissioners who are appointed shall serve (4) year terms that coincides with election cycles of commissioners.

(c) The Commission has the authority to review and make recommendations on approval or reversal of certification appeals.

(d) The Commission will advise the President's office and Board of Commissioners not less than quarterly on its recommendations.

(e) The Commission shall have Four (4) Committees 1. Compliance Monitoring, 2. Certification Advisory Team 3. Outreach/Mentoring and Training 4. Capital Access/Banking

All meetings of the Commission will be open to the public.

Effective date: This ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

A motion was made by Commissioner Deer, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that the Proposed Ordinance be referred to the Contract Compliance Committee. The motion carried.

18-4548

Presented by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): F. Thomas Lynch

Position: Chief Information Officer

Department/Board/Commission: Enterprise Technology

Effective date: 6/25/2018

Expiration date: N/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, that the Proposed Appointment be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion carried.

18-4549

Presented by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED APPOINTMENT

Appointee(s): Jay E. Stewart

Position: Bureau Chief

Department/Board/Commission: Economic Development

Effective date: 6/25/2018

Expiration date: N/A

A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, that the Proposed Appointment be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion carried.

18-4552

Sponsored by: TONI PRECKWINKLE, (PRESIDENT), Cook County Board of Commissioners

PROPOSED ORDINANCE

AN ORDINANCE PROVIDING FOR THE ISSUANCE OF SALES TAX REVENUE BONDS, SERIES 2018, OF THE COUNTY OF COOK, ILLINOIS; THE APPROVAL, EXECUTION AND DELIVERY OF A FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL INDENTURE; AND PROVIDING FOR OTHER MATTERS IN CONNECTION WITH THE ISSUANCE OF THE SERIES 2018 BONDS

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 6(a) of Article VII of the 1970 Constitution of the State of Illinois (the "Illinois Constitution"), the County of Cook, Illinois (the "County") is a home rule unit of local government and as such may exercise any power and perform any function pertaining to its government and affairs, including, but not limited to, the power to tax and to incur debt; and

WHEREAS, the County may also exercise powers relating to the power to tax and to incur debt pursuant to the Counties Code, as supplemented and amended by the Local Government Debt Reform Act of the State of Illinois (collectively, the "Act"); and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners of the County (the "Corporate Authorities") has not adopted any ordinance, resolution, order or motion or provided any County Code (as defined in the hereinafter defined Master Indenture) provisions which restrict or limit the exercise of the home rule powers of the County in the issuance of sales tax revenue bonds for corporate purposes or which otherwise provide any special rules or procedures for the exercise of such powers; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the ordinance duly adopted by the Corporate Authorities on July 24, 2012, the County duly authorized and approved that certain Master Trust Indenture, dated as of August 1, 2012, as amended and supplemented from time to time (the "Master Indenture"), between the County and The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A. (the "Trustee") which provides that the County may issue bonds authenticated and delivered under and pursuant to the Master Indenture (the "Bonds") for the purpose of financing costs of Projects (as defined in the Master Indenture) and paying costs related to the issuance of such Bonds; and

WHEREAS, it was intended and hereby determined that the financing of costs of the Projects includes expenditures for the refinancing of debt incurred to pay costs of the Series 2018 Projects as defined below in this Ordinance.

WHEREAS, the Master Indenture further provides that all such Bonds shall be designated "Sales Tax Revenue Bonds," shall include such further appropriate designations as the County may determine, and may be issued in one or more Series (as defined in the Master Indenture) with each Bond bearing upon its face the designation determined for its Series; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Master Indenture and that certain First Supplemental Trust Indenture, dated as of August 1, 2012 (the "First Supplemental Indenture"), between the County and the Trustee, the County has previously issued its Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2012 (the "Series 2012 Bonds") in the original aggregate principal amount of \$90,000,000.00 for the purpose of financing costs of Projects; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Master Indenture and that certain Second Supplemental Trust Indenture, dated as of July 1, 2013 (the "Second Supplemental Indenture"), between the County and the Trustee, the County issued its Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2013 (Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds - Direct Payment), in the original aggregate principal amount of \$24,945,000.00 (the "Series 2013 Bonds") for the purpose of financing costs of Projects; and

WHEREAS, the County previously issued its \$125,000,000.00 original principal amount of General Obligation Bonds, Series 2014D (the "Prior Bonds") pursuant to a Trust Indenture, dated as of October 1, 2014, between the County and Amalgamated Bank of Chicago, as trustee, a portion of the proceeds of which financed projects which qualify as "Projects" pursuant to the Master Indenture with the intent of refinancing such Prior Bonds with a subsequent financing; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Master Indenture and that certain Third Supplemental Trust Indenture, dated as of August 1, 2017 (the "Third Supplemental Indenture"), between the County and the Trustee, the County issued its Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2017 in the original aggregate principal amount of \$165,000,000.00 (the "Series 2017 Bonds") for the purpose of financing costs of Projects and refunding a portion of the outstanding Prior Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the County now desires to refund all or a portion of the outstanding Prior Bonds with proceeds of additional Sales Tax Revenue Bonds issued under the Master Indenture and the Fourth Supplemental Indenture (as hereinafter defined); and

WHEREAS, as pertains to Cook County government and affairs, and as in the interests of the public health, safety, and welfare of the inhabitants of the County, it is necessary, prudent, or advisable that the County provide financing for or refinancing of various projects and purposes for the benefit of the County and its residents, including, but not limited to, the construction, equipping, altering or repair of various County facilities or for any other Projects under the Master Indenture (collectively, together with projects financed with proceeds of the Prior Bonds, the "Series 2018 Projects"); and

WHEREAS, the specific components of the construction, equipping, altering and repair of various County facilities and of any other Projects relating to the Series 2018 Bonds shall be specified in the Bond Order pursuant to Section 3(d) of this Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the aggregate costs of the Series 2018 Projects eligible for financing or refinancing are estimated to be not less than One Hundred Seventy-Five Million Dollars (\$175,000,000.00); and

WHEREAS, the Corporate Authorities have determined that it is advisable and necessary to authorize the issuance of County of Cook, Illinois, Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2018 (the "Series 2018 Bonds") in one or more Series for the following purposes: (i) provide for the refunding of all or a portion of the outstanding Prior Bonds, (ii) paying all or a portion of the costs of the Series 2018 Projects; (iii) capitalizing interest payable on the Series 2018 Bonds to the extent determined to be necessary as provided herein; (iv) funding a debt service reserve fund for the Series 2018 Bonds to the extent determined to be necessary as provided herein; and (v) paying the expenses of issuing the Series 2018 Bonds and the refunding of the outstanding Prior Bonds; and

WHEREAS, the County, by virtue of its constitutional home rule powers and all laws applicable thereto has the power to issue the Series 2018 Bonds and such borrowing is for a proper public purpose and in the public interest; and

WHEREAS, the Corporate Authorities have determined that in connection with the issuance of the Series 2018 Bonds it is advisable or necessary to authorize the execution and delivery of a Fourth Supplemental Trust Indenture (the "Fourth Supplemental Indenture"), between the County and the Trustee, as authorized pursuant to the Master Indenture.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED, by the Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, Illinois, that following Ordinance is hereby enacted:

Section 1. Findings

The Corporate Authorities hereby find that all of the recitals contained in the preambles to this Ordinance are full, true and correct and do hereby incorporate them into this Ordinance by this reference. It is hereby found and determined that the Corporate Authorities are authorized by law to issue the Series 2018 Bonds in one or more Series to (i) refund all or a portion of the outstanding Prior Bonds; and (ii) finance or refinance the Series 2018 Projects (including the payment of costs of issuance related thereto, subject to the limitations set forth in Section 3(a) of this Ordinance). It is hereby found and determined that such borrowing of money pertains to the government and affairs of the County, is necessary, prudent or advisable for the public health, safety and welfare of the government and affairs of the County, is for a proper public purpose or purposes and is in the public interest, and is authorized pursuant to the Act; and these findings and determinations shall be deemed conclusive. The issuance of the Series 2018 Bonds is authorized by the Illinois Constitution and the Act and the Series 2018 Bonds shall be issued pursuant to the Illinois Constitution and the Act.

Section 2. Issuance of the Series 2018 Bonds

(a) There shall be authorized the issuance of the Series 2018 Bonds in one or more Series in the aggregate principal amount of not to exceed One Hundred Seventy-Five Million Dollars (\$175,000,000.00) (i) plus an amount equal to the amount of any net original issue premium obtained in the sale of the Series 2018 Bonds (not to exceed twenty-five percent (25%) of the principal amount thereof) or (ii) less an amount equal to the amount of any net original issue discount offered in the sale of the Series 2018 Bonds (not to exceed two percent (2.00%) of the principal amount thereof) for the purposes described in the preambles to this Ordinance. The Series 2018 Bonds may be issued from time to time in one or more Series in said aggregate principal amount, or such lesser aggregate principal amount as may be determined by the Chief Financial Officer of the County (the "Chief Financial Officer") (it being hereby expressly provided that in the event of a vacancy in the office of Chief Financial Officer or the absence or temporary or permanent incapacity of the Chief Financial Officer, the Comptroller of the County shall be authorized to act in the capacity of the Chief Financial Officer for all purposes of this Ordinance). The Series 2018 Bonds shall be designated "Sales Tax Revenue Bonds, Series 2018", with such additions, modifications or revisions as shall be determined to be necessary by the Chief Financial Officer at the time of the sale and having any other authorized features determined by the Chief Financial Officer as desirable to be reflected in the title of the Series 2018 Bonds.

(b) The Series 2018 Bonds shall be issued and secured pursuant to the terms and provisions of the Master Indenture and the Fourth Supplemental Indenture but within the limitations prescribed in this Ordinance. The Fourth Supplemental Indenture is to be entered into between the County and the Trustee

(or such other trustee as shall be selected by the President of the Corporate Authorities (the "President") or the Chief Financial Officer, having a corporate trust office located within the County). The President and the Chief Financial Officer are each hereby authorized to execute and deliver the Fourth Supplemental Indenture on behalf of the County, such Fourth Supplemental Indenture to be in substantially the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, and which is made a part hereof and hereby approved with such changes therein as shall be approved by the President or Chief Financial Officer executing the same (including such changes as necessary to reflect the Series 2018 Bonds and the related Series 2018 Projects), with such execution to constitute conclusive evidence of their approval and the Corporate Authorities' approval of any changes or revisions therein from the form attached hereto. All capitalized terms used in this Ordinance without definition shall have the meanings assigned to such terms in the Master Indenture or the Fourth Supplemental Indenture. The President and the Chief Financial Officer are each hereby authorized to act as an Authorized Officer for the purposes provided in the Master Indenture and the Fourth Supplemental Indenture.

(c)The Fourth Supplemental Indenture shall set forth such additional covenants with respect to the Series 2018 Bonds as shall be deemed necessary by the Chief Financial Officer in connection with the sale of the Series 2018 Bonds. The Series 2018 Bonds shall be executed by the officers of the County and prepared in the form as provided in the Fourth Supplemental Indenture, with such changes therein as shall be approved by the President or the Chief Financial Officer executing the same, with such execution to constitute conclusive evidence of their approval and the Corporate Authorities' approval of any changes or revisions therein from the form attached thereto.

(d)The principal of the Series 2018 Bonds shall become due and payable on or before the later of: (i) November 15, 2048, or (ii) the date which is 30 years after the date of issuance of the Series 2018 Bonds. The Series 2018 Bonds shall be dated no earlier than August 1, 2018, and not later than the date of issuance thereof, as shall be provided in the Fourth Supplemental Indenture (any such date for any Bonds being the "Dated Date"). The Series 2018 Bonds shall be issued as Current Interest Bonds and shall bear interest at a fixed rate or rates not to exceed seven percent (7%) per annum per maturity as determined by the Chief Financial Officer at the time of the sale thereof

(e)The Series 2018 Bonds shall be redeemable prior to maturity at the option of the County, in whole or in part on any date, at such times and at such redemption prices (to be expressed as a percentage of the principal amount of Series 2018 Bonds being redeemed not to exceed one hundred three percent (103%), plus accrued interest to the date of redemption, all as shall be determined by the Chief Financial Officer at the time of the sale thereof. Certain of the Series 2018 Bonds may be term bonds that are made subject to sinking fund redemption, at par and accrued interest to the date fixed for redemption, as determined by the Chief Financial Officer at the time of the sale thereof; *provided* that the Series 2018 Bonds shall reach final maturity not later than the date set forth in Section 2(d) hereof.

Each Series 2018 Bond shall bear interest (computed upon the basis of a three hundred sixty (360) day year of twelve (12) thirty (30) day months) payable on the fifteenth days of May and November of each year, commencing on such May 15 and November 15 as determined by the Chief Financial Officer at the time of the sale thereof.

(f)The Series 2018 Bonds may be issued in either certificated or book-entry only form as determined by the Chief Financial Officer. In connection with the issuance of Series 2018 Bonds in book-entry only form, the Chief Financial Officer is authorized to execute and deliver a representation letter to

the book-entry depository selected by the Chief Financial Officer in substantially the form previously used in connection with obligations issued by the County in book-entry form.

Section 3. Sale of the Series 2018 Bonds; Bond Order; Financing Team; Execution of Documents Authorized; Undertakings; Offering Materials; Credit Facilities

(a) The Chief Financial Officer is hereby authorized to sell all or any portion of the Series 2018 Bonds to the Underwriters described in Section 3(c) below, from time to time, and in one or more Series, on such terms as he or she may deem to be in the best interests of the County; *provided* that an amount not to exceed two percent (2.00%) of the principal amount of the Series 2018 Bonds is authorized to be used to pay reasonable costs of issuance and sale of the Series 2018 Bonds authorized and sold pursuant to this Ordinance and costs of the refunding of the Prior Bonds, including, without limitation, printing, bond rating, travel of outside vendors, security, delivery, legal and financial advisory services, initial fees of trustees, registrars, paying agents and other fiduciaries, initial costs of credit or liquidity enhancement arrangements, underwriter's discounts and fees, but excluding bond insurance, as determined in the Bond Order. Nothing contained in this Section shall limit the sale of the Series 2018 Bonds, or any maturity or maturities thereof, at a price or prices in excess of the principal amount thereof

(b) The Series 2018 Bonds shall be issued as bonds on which the interest paid and received is excludable from the gross income of the owners thereof for federal income tax purposes under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") (except to the extent that such interest is taken into account in computing an adjustment used in determining the alternative minimum tax for certain corporations).

(c) The selection of the following party or parties in the capacity as indicated is hereby expressly approved in connection with the issuance and sale of the Series 2018 Bonds:

<u>Capacity</u>	<u>Party or Parties</u>
Senior Manager	Loop Capital Markets LLC
Co-Senior Manager	Barclays Capital Inc. PNC Capital Markets LLC
Co-Managers	Estrada Hinojosa & Company, Inc. Melvin Securities, LLC Siebert Cisneros Shank & Co. LLC
Bond Counsel	Ice Miller LLP
Co-Bond Counsel	Pugh, Jones & Johnson, P.C.
Financial Advisors	PFM Financial Advisors LLC Acacia Financial Group, Inc.
Disclosure Counsel	Greenberg Traurig, LLP
Co-Disclosure Counsel	Burke Burns & Pinelli, Ltd.
Special Disclosure Counsel - Pension	Kutak Rock LLP
Underwriters' Counsel	Charity & Associates, P.C.
Trustee	Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A.

(d) Subsequent to the sale of the Series 2018 Bonds, the Chief Financial Officer shall file a Bond Order in the office of the County Clerk of the County (the "County Clerk"), with a copy of the executed

Fourth Supplemental Indenture attached and directed to the Corporate Authorities identifying: (i) the aggregate principal amount of the Series 2018 Bonds sold and the purchase price at which each Series 2018 Bond was sold; (ii) the principal amount of the Series 2018 Bonds maturing and subject to mandatory redemption in each year; (iii) the redemption provisions applicable to the Series 2018 Bonds; (iv) the interest rate or rates payable on the Series 2018 Bonds; (v) the Dated Date of the Series 2018 Bonds; (vi) the identity of any municipal bond insurer and of any provider of a debt service reserve fund surety bond; (vii) the identity of any provider of a Credit Facility; (viii) the information regarding the title and designation of the Series 2018 Bonds; (ix) the Series 2018 Projects to be financed or refinanced with the proceeds of the Series 2018 Bonds; (x) the principal amounts and maturities of the Prior Bonds to be refunded, if any; together with (xi) any other matter authorized by this Ordinance to be determined by the Chief Financial Officer at the time of sale of the Series 2018 Bonds, and thereafter the Series 2018 Bonds so sold shall be duly prepared and executed in the form and manner provided herein and delivered to the respective Underwriters in accordance with the terms of sale.

(e) Any one of the President; the Chief Financial Officer; or any other officer, official or employee of the County so designated by a written instrument signed by the President or the Chief Financial Officer and filed with the Trustee (a "Designated Officer") is hereby authorized to execute such documents, with appropriate revisions to reflect the terms and provisions of the Series 2018 Bonds as authorized by this Ordinance and such other revisions in text as the President or the Chief Financial Officer shall determine are necessary or desirable in connection with the sale of the Series 2018 Bonds, to effect the issuance and delivery and maintenance of the status of the Series 2018 Bonds, including but not limited to:

- (i) a contract of purchase (the "Purchase Contract") by and between the County and the Underwriters, which Purchase Contract shall be in form acceptable to the Chief Financial Officer and as customarily entered into by the County for transactions of this type;
- (ii) a continuing disclosure undertaking (the "Continuing Disclosure Undertaking"), as approved by the Chief Financial Officer to effect compliance with Rule 15c2-12 adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, with such revisions as are deemed appropriate to reflect the issuance of the Series 2018 Bonds as bonds secured by Pledged Sales Tax Revenues;
- (iii) such certification, tax returns and documentation as may be required by Bond Counsel, including, specifically, a tax agreement, to render their opinion as to the tax-exempt status of the Series 2018 Bonds; and

The execution thereof by such Designated Officers is hereby deemed conclusive evidence of approval thereof with such changes, additions, insertions, omissions or deletions as such officers may determine, with no further official action of or direction by the Corporate Authorities.

(f) When the Continuing Disclosure Undertaking is executed and delivered on behalf of the County, it will be binding on the County and the officers, agents, and employees of the County, and the same are hereby authorized and directed to do all such acts and things and to execute all such documents as may be necessary to carry out and comply with the provisions of such Continuing Disclosure Undertaking as executed and delivered. Notwithstanding any other provisions hereof, the sole remedies for failure to comply with any Continuing Disclosure Undertaking shall be the ability of the beneficial owner of any

Series 2018 Bond to seek mandamus or specific performance by court order, to cause the County to comply with its obligations thereunder.

(g)The preparation, use and distribution of a preliminary official statement and an official statement relating to the sale and issuance of the Series 2018 Bonds are hereby authorized and approved. Any one of the President or Chief Financial Officer is hereby authorized to execute and deliver an official statement relating to the sale and issuance of the Series 2018 Bonds on behalf of the County, in substantially the form previously used by the County for transactions of this type with such revisions as the President or the Chief Financial Officer shall determine are necessary or required in connection with the sale of the Series 2018 Bonds.

(h)In connection with the sale of the Series 2018 Bonds, if determined by the President or the Chief Financial Officer to be in the best financial interest of the County, the Chief Financial Officer is authorized to procure one or more municipal bond insurance policies covering all or a portion of the Series 2018 Bonds and to procure one or more debt service reserve fund surety bonds for deposit into any Series 2018 Debt Service Reserve Subaccount.

(i)In connection with the sale of the Series 2018 Bonds, the President or the Chief Financial Officer is hereby authorized to obtain one or more Credit Facilities with one or more financial institutions. The President or the Chief Financial Officer is hereby authorized to enter into a reimbursement agreement and to execute and issue a promissory note in connection with the provisions of each Credit Facility. Any Credit Facility and any reimbursement agreement shall be in substantially the form of the credit facilities and reimbursement agreements previously entered into by the County in connection with the sale of Sales Tax Revenue Bonds or notes, but with such revisions in text as the President or the Chief Financial Officer shall determine are necessary or desirable, the execution thereof by the President or the Chief Financial Officer to evidence the approval by the Corporate Authorities of all such revisions. The annual fee paid to any financial institution that provides a Credit Facility shall not exceed two percent (2.00%) of the average principal amount of such Series 2018 Bonds outstanding during such annual period. The final form of any reimbursement agreement entered into by the County with respect to the Series 2018 Bonds shall be attached to the Bond Order filed with the County Clerk pursuant to this Section. Any promissory or similar note delivered in connection with any such reimbursement agreement shall mature not later than the final maturity date of the Bonds and each such promissory or similar note shall bear interest at a rate not exceeding fifteen percent (15.00%) per annum. The President or the Chief Financial Officer is hereby authorized to execute and deliver each such reimbursement agreement.

Section 4. Alternative Allocation of Proceeds of Series 2018 Bonds

The County by its Corporate Authorities reserves the right, as it becomes necessary from time to time, to change the purposes of expenditure of the Series 2018 Bonds, to change priorities, to revise cost allocations among expenditures and to substitute projects, in order to meet current needs of the County; subject, however, to the provisions of the Act and to the tax covenants of the County relating to the tax exempt status of interest on the Series 2018 Bonds and further subject to the provisions of the Master Indenture and the Fourth Supplemental Indenture regarding amendments thereto. To the extent any action of the County described in the prior sentence is proposed to be taken with respect to the proceeds of the Series 2018 Bonds, it shall be conditioned on receipt by the County of an opinion of Bond Counsel to the effect that such action shall not cause the interest on such Series 2018 Bonds to become subject to federal income taxation.

Section 5.Reimbursement

None of the proceeds of the Series 2018 Bonds which are not refinancing the Prior Bonds will be used to pay, directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, for an expenditure that has been paid by the County more than 60 days prior to the date hereof except architectural, engineering costs or construction costs incurred prior to commencement of the Series 2018 Projects or expenditures for which an intent to reimburse was properly declared under Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2. This Ordinance is in itself a declaration of official intent under Treasury Regulations Section 1.150-2 as to all costs of the Series 2018 Projects paid after the date that is 60 days prior to the date hereof and prior to issuance of the Series 2018 Bonds.

Section 6.Tax Covenant

With respect to the Series 2018 Bonds, the County covenants to take any action required by the provisions of Section 148(f) of the Code in order to assure compliance with Section 709 of the Master Indenture and to otherwise comply with the provisions of any tax certificate or agreement executed in connection with the Series 2018 Bonds.

Section 7.Performance Provisions

The President, the Chief Financial Officer, the County Clerk, for and on behalf of the County shall be, and each of them hereby is, authorized and directed to do any and all things necessary to effect the performance of all obligations of the County under and pursuant to this Ordinance, the Master Indenture, and the Fourth Supplemental Indenture, and the performance of all other acts of whatever nature necessary to effect and carry out the authority conferred by this Ordinance, the Master Indenture, and the Fourth Supplemental Indenture, including but not limited to, the exercise following the delivery date of any of the Series 2018 Bonds of any power or authority delegated to such official of the County under this Ordinance with respect to the Series 2018 Bonds upon the initial issuance thereof, but subject to any limitations on or restrictions of such power or authority as herein set forth. The President, the Chief Financial Officer, the County Clerk and other officers, agents and employees of the County are hereby further authorized, empowered and directed for and on behalf of the County, to execute and deliver all papers, documents, certificates and other instruments that may be required to carry out the authority conferred by this Ordinance, the Master Indenture and the Fourth Supplemental Indenture or to evidence said authority.

Section 8.Proxies

The President and the Chief Financial Officer may each designate another to act as their respective proxy and to affix their respective signatures to, in the case of the President, each of Series 2018 Bonds, whether in temporary or definitive form, and to any other instrument, certificate or document required to be signed by the President or the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to this Ordinance, the Master Indenture, and the Fourth Supplemental Indenture. In each case, each shall send to the Corporate Authorities written notice of the person so designated by each, such notice stating the name of the person so selected and identifying the instruments, certificates and documents which such person shall be authorized to sign as proxy for the President and the Chief Financial Officer, respectively. A written signature of the President or the Chief Financial Officer, respectively, executed by the person so designated underneath, shall be attached to each notice. Each notice, with signatures attached, shall be filed with the County Clerk. When the signature of the President is placed on an instrument, certificate or document at the direction of the

President in the specified manner, the same, in all respects, shall be as binding on the County as if signed by the President in person. When the signature of the Chief Financial Officer is so affixed to an instrument, certificate or document at the direction of the Chief Financial Officer, the same, in all respects, shall be binding on the County as if signed by the Chief Financial Officer in person.

Section 9.This Ordinance a Contract

The provisions of this Ordinance shall constitute a contract between the County and the registered owners of the Series 2018 Bonds, and no changes, additions or alterations of any kind shall be made hereto, except as herein provided. This Ordinance shall be construed in accordance with the provisions of State law without reference to its conflict of law principles.

Section 10.Prior Inconsistent Proceedings

All ordinances, resolutions, motions or orders, or parts thereof, in conflict with the provisions of this Ordinance, are to the extent of such conflict hereby repealed.

Section 11.Immunity of Officers and Employees of County

No recourse shall be had for the payment of the principal of or premium or interest on any of the Series 2018 Bonds or for any claim based thereon or upon any obligation, covenant or agreement in this Ordinance contained against any past, present or future elected or appointed officer, director, member, employee or agent of the County, or of any successor public corporation, as such, either directly or through the County or any successor public corporation, under any rule of law or equity, statute or constitution or by the enforcement of any assessment or penalty or otherwise, and all such liability of any such elected or appointed officers, directors, members, employees or agents as such is hereby expressly waived and released as a condition of and consideration for the passage of this Ordinance and the issuance of such Series 2018 Bonds.

Section 12.Passage and Approval

Presented, Passed, Approved and Recorded by the County of Cook, Illinois, a home rule unit of government, this 25th day of July, 2018.

Section 13.Effective Date

This Ordinance shall take effect immediately upon its enactment.

Exhibit "A" referred to in this Ordinance read as follows:

Exhibit A: Form of Fourth Supplemental Trust Indenture

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the Proposed Ordinance be referred to the Finance Committee. The motion carried.

ADJOURNMENT

* * * * *

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the meeting do now adjourn to meet again at the same time and same place on July 25, 2018, in accordance with County Board Resolution 18-0624.

The motion prevailed and the meeting stood adjourned.


