

**Board of Commissioners of Cook County**

118 North Clark Street  
Chicago, IL



**Action Summary - Final**

**Wednesday, June 18, 2014**

**11:00 AM**

**Cook County Building, Board Room, 118 North Clark Street, Chicago, Illinois**

**Board of Commissioners**

**ATTENDANCE**

Present: President Preckwinkle, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Daley, Fritchey, García, Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Murphy, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele, Sims, Suffredin and Tobolski (15)

Absent: Commissioners Gainer and Reyes (2)

**PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

Pursuant to Cook County Code Section 2-107(dd) Public Testimony, public testimony will be permitted at regular and special meetings of the Board. Duly authorized public speakers shall be called upon at this time to deliver testimony germane to a specific item(s) on the meeting agenda, and the testimony must not exceed three (3) minutes. The names of duly authorized speakers shall be published in the Post Board Action Agenda and Journal of Proceedings as prepared by the Clerk of the Board.

1. George Blakemore, Concerned Citizen
2. Priscilla Mims, Board Member of the League of Women Voters of Cook County
3. Betty Boles, Vice President, SEIU Local 73
4. Adrienne Alexander, Policy & Legislative Specialist of AFSCME Council 31

**CONSENT CALENDAR**

Pursuant to Cook County Code Section 2-107(gg) Consent Calendar, the Secretary to the Board of Commissioners hereby transmits Resolutions for your consideration. The Consent Calendar Resolutions shall be published in the Post Board Action Agenda and Journal of Proceedings as prepared by the Clerk of the Board.

**14-3582**

**RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE JESÚS G. GARCÍA, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

**HONORING THE LIFE OF JAMES F. DONNERY, II**

**WHEREAS**, Almighty God in His infinite wisdom has called James “Jim” F. Donnery, II from our midst; and

**WHEREAS**, a citizen of Syracuse, New York, Jim was born in Cornwall on the Hudson, New York, to the late James F. and Dorothy McCabe Donnery on December 24, 1950, the older brother to Patrick Donnery; and

**WHEREAS**, he enlisted in the Army in 1970, and after completing his basic and advanced training was stationed in Darmstadt, Germany during the Vietnam War, attaining the rank of Specialist (SPC) E-4 as a Radio Specialist, and

**WHEREAS**, upon an honorable discharge, Jim returned to New York, eventually settling in Syracuse with the love of his life, Gail McCue Donnery, where he worked his way up to Regional Director of Vehicle Safety for the Bureau of Motor Vehicles of Northern New York; and

**WHEREAS**, Jim connected easily with people from all walks of life, his gentleness and love of life evident to everyone who met him, which drew people to him and won him many friends wherever he went; and

**WHEREAS**, Jim and his mother Dorothy, who worked for 39 years at the U.S. Military Academy in West Point, were well known fixtures at Guinan's, a tiny Irish pub in a general store in Garrison, New York, or as Jim referred to it, the "Little Chapel On the River" adopted as the book title by Gwendolyn Bounds about a place that fostered community and welcomed all; and

**WHEREAS**, for over 30 years, Jim visited the greater Chicago area every year during the first weekend of December to reconnect with his Army buddies, Butch Campbell, citizen of Dayton, Ohio and "Jorge" Dennis Conroy, citizen of Chicago, Illinois; and

**WHEREAS**, Jim was a big fan of Billy Goat Tavern cheezburgers, making the lower level Michigan Avenue locale his first stop during his annual visits, sometimes before checking into his hotel; and

**WHEREAS**, due to an aggressive prostate cancer, Jim was unable to visit Chicago one year, but at the request of his Chicago buddy an obliging Billy Goat Tavern manager express mailed a few orders of cheezburgers, which had Jim scouring every Syracuse tavern and liquor store for Old Style Beer to complete his meal-albeit unsuccessfully; and

**WHEREAS**, a lover of good food, Jim frequented many eateries in Cook County: delighted in skillet cornbread and live music at Banderas in the Gold Coast, enjoyed Reza's Persian food in Andersonville, savored first-rate Greek food at Mikonos in Nilas, danced to Mariachi music at Mi Tierra in Little Village, relished Southern style cooking at Dixie Kitchen in Evanston, first sampled Korean food at Sabo in Nilas, and favored Playa Azul and Bruna's in Pilsen, to name a few, and he also enjoyed its cultural offerings, such as Second City, Navy Pier, Goodman Theatre, National Museum of Mexican Art, Chicago Cultural Center, Old Town School of Music and Chicago Botanic Garden, and more; and

**WHEREAS**, Jim passed away on Memorial Day, May 26, 2014, survived by his loving wife Gail, and his brother Patrick and his family, and mourned by the many people whom he touched throughout his well-lived life.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners does hereby offer its deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the family and many friends of James "Jim" Donnery, and joins them in sorrow at this time of loss; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be tendered to his surviving spouse, Gail Donnery, that his memory may be so honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3617**  
**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE  
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**HONORING REVEREND DR. PHILIP BLACKWELL, SENIOR PASTOR,  
FIRST UNITED CHURCH AT THE CHICAGO TEMPLE UPON  
THE OCCASION OF HIS RETIREMENT AFTER  
FORTY-FIVE YEARS AS A MINISTER**

**WHEREAS**, Reverend Dr. Philip Blackwell's last Sunday at the First United Church at the Chicago Temple will be June 29, 2014, after which he will commence retirement; and

**WHEREAS**, Reverend Dr. Philip Blackwell's faith dispensations have aided countless many in their individual faith journeys; and

**WHEREAS**, Reverend Dr. Blackwell is to be applauded for his steadfast commitment to uplifting lives and serving the needs of families and individuals throughout the First United Church at the Chicago Temple faith community, and in the larger community as well; and

**WHEREAS**, Reverend Dr. Blackwell has been an important moral arbiter on social issues not only for the faith community of the First United Church at the Chicago Temple but for the larger society as well; and

**WHEREAS**, in shepherding First United Church at the Chicago Temple, Reverend Dr. Blackwell has proven himself to be a man of character and leadership, and will be sorely missed.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners, on behalf of the residents of Cook County, thanks Reverend Dr. Philip Blackwell for the positive change he has effected in the spiritual lives of the residents of Cook County and wishes him a fulfilling retirement and every continued success in his future endeavors.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3618  
Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE  
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**HONORING REVEREND DR. ALVIN BRIDGES UPON THE OCCASION  
OF HIS RETIREMENT FOR HIS OVER FIFTY YEARS AS A MINISTER**

**WHEREAS**, on Saturday, June 14, 2014 the Corner Stone Presbyterian Church faith community will be celebrating Reverend Dr. Alvin Bridges' upcoming retirement; and

**WHEREAS**, Reverend Dr. Bridges' faith dispensations have helped countless many in their individual faith journeys; and

**WHEREAS**, Reverend Dr. Bridges is to be applauded for his steadfast commitment to uplifting lives and serving the needs of families and individuals throughout the Corner Stone Presbyterian Church faith community, and in the larger community as well, for the past 12 years; and

**WHEREAS**, a farm boy as a child, Reverend Dr. Bridges became, over the years, a learned man, having earned a PhD in Divinity from Buckner University in Fort Worth, Texas in 1978; and

**WHEREAS**, while still in college, Reverend Dr. Bridges worked with Reverend Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. in the Civil Rights Movement; and

**WHEREAS**, Reverend Dr. Bridges has shepherded a number of churches since his ordination in 1958, including, early on, the New Philadelphia Church - Baptist (Assistant Pastor), the Sixth Presbyterian Church of Chicago (Youth Minister) and Good Hope Presbyterian Church in Bessemer, Alabama (Pastor); and

**WHEREAS**, Reverend Dr. Bridges was called in 1969 to be the Pastor of Warren Central United Church of Chicago and Central Presbyterian Church in Chicago, which had merely 42 members between them; by the time Reverend Dr. Bridges resigned in 1974, their combined membership totaled 237; and

**WHEREAS**, in 1973, Reverend Dr. Bridges assumed the position of Executive Director of Associated Urban Ministries, Presbytery of Chicago; in this position he provided dedicated leadership and enabling resources for the African American Presbyterian churches; and

**WHEREAS**, in 1976, Reverend Dr. Bridges travelled to East Africa to establish a relationship between the East African Presbyterian Church and the American Presbyterian Church, during this trip he visited churches in Geneva, Switzerland; Nairobi, Kenya; Tanzania; Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; and Cairo, Egypt; during his trip Reverend Dr. Bridges met not only with church leaders but with ambassadors and heads of state; and

**WHEREAS**, in 1982, Reverend Dr. Bridges accepted the call to pastor the South Shore Presbyterian Church; under his tenure, the South Shore Presbyterian Church and Roseland Presbyterian Church merged to create the Corner Stone Presbyterian Church; and

**WHEREAS**, in 2003, Reverend Dr. Bridges was installed as Moderator of the Presbytery, which is composed of 106 congregations with a membership of 43,000 members; his service included many levels of its administrative and program mission work; and

**WHEREAS**, ever by Reverend Dr. Bridges' side over the course of his of ministry was Mary T. Ward, whom Reverend Dr. Bridges married on August 20, 1966, and who was born into Eternal Life on April 28, 2003; and

**WHEREAS**, the faith community of Corner Stone Presbyterian Church is truly privileged and favored by God to have had Reverend Dr. Alvin Bridges a man of character and leadership as their Pastor for the past twelve (12) years.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners, on behalf of the residents of Cook County, does hereby thank Reverend Dr. Alvin Bridges for his important and commendable work on behalf of our County and wishes him a fulfilling retirement and every continued success in his future endeavors; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that a copy of this Resolution be tendered to Reverend Dr. Alvin Bridges, as a token of the esteem in which he is held by the President of the Cook County Board of Commissioners and the Cook County Board of Commissioners.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3662  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT, JERRY BUTLER,  
EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER,  
JESÚS G. GARCÍA, ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, GREGG GOSLIN,  
STANLEY MOORE, JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, EDWIN REYES,  
TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS,  
ROBERT B. STEELE, LARRY SUFFREDIN AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI,  
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**HONORING OAK PARK RIVER FOREST HIGH SCHOOL  
COACHING STAFF, STUDENTS AND FAMILIES FOR THEIR VOLUNTEERISM  
AND SUPPORT FOR WASHINGTON, ILLINOIS ON NOVEMBER 24, 2013**

**WHEREAS**, on November 17th a devastating tornado touched down in the town of Washington, Illinois, 150 miles southwest of Chicago; and

**WHEREAS**, the tornado brought winds between 170 and 190 miles per hour and cut a path of destruction approximately 3 1/2 miles long causing \$800 million in damages, injuring more than 200 people and claiming three (3) lives; and

**WHEREAS**, the Oak Park and River Forest High School Huskie Wrestling program began collecting donations, food and clothing to give to the families in Washington; and

**WHEREAS**, on November 24th, 100 members of the Huskie Wrestling program, including 80 students, descended on Washington; and

**WHEREAS**, coaches, students and their families helped the recovery of residents' personal items, pictures and keepsakes from 1,110 damaged or destroyed homes; and

**WHEREAS**, the Huskie Wrestling program showed true teamwork, dedication and commitment to community by putting aside their needs and putting others first; and

**WHEREAS**, the Huskie Wrestling program has created a family like bond with the Washington Wrestling program.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the President and Members of the Cook County Board of Commissioners do hereby recognize and honor all the Oak Park and River Forest High School volunteers involved in this effort for their display of unity and selflessness in the aftermath of a devastating event; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the President and Members of the Cook County Board of Commissioners expresses immeasurable gratitude to all volunteers involved in this incident for their remarkable courage and honor which has contributed to enhancing the spirit of community orientated recovery efforts within our local jurisdictions, Cook County and our region.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3665**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE LARRY SUFFREDIN, EARLEAN COLLINS, ROBERT B. STEELE,  
PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE, JERRY BUTLER, JOHN P. DALEY,  
JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA,  
ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE,  
JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,  
PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI,  
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**IN MEMORY OF THE HONORABLE JUDGE ANTHONY BURRELL**

**WHEREAS**, Judge Anthony Burrell, a man whose inspirational life story of altered trajectories and accomplished leadership and service, died far too soon at age 52 after a long battle with cancer. Judge Burrell left behind countless friends, family and a community enriched for having known him; and

**WHEREAS**, Anthony Burrell was born on the west side of the city of Chicago where, at an early age he confronted issues of violence and was an eye-witness to many of the social pathologies and lack of opportunity associated with the poverty that exists there; and

**WHEREAS**, Anthony Burrell's exceptional talent revealed itself early in life. As a young student, his essay about Michele Clark won distinction and resulted in the naming of a new Chicago school, the Michele Clark Academic

Preparatory Magnet High School, on Chicago's West Side in the heart of the South Austin Community. In 1974, Austin Middle School was renamed to honor the Chicago based journalist, who was killed in a plane crash in December 1972. Anthony Burrell's essay and award ceremony were featured on a nationally syndicated television show; and

**WHEREAS**, Anthony Burrell nearly succumbed to the pressure of street gangs; he dropped out of Austin High School as a freshman. However, shortly afterward, he completely changed the direction of his life. He sought and obtained his general equivalency diploma. Next, he pursued criminal justice classes at Malcolm X College and later graduated from Loyola University in Chicago; and

**WHEREAS**, while at Loyola, Anthony Burrell was, again recognized for academic ability; he received the Dean Charles Hart prize. The Hart Prize was established posthumously by Dr. Hart's colleagues in the English department at Loyola. It is given each year to a graduating senior who has excelled as a student of literature; and

**WHEREAS**, because Anthony Burrell had entirely changed the direction of his life and because of his exceptional academic abilities he was awarded a full scholarship at Cornell University Law School. During his time at Cornell, Burrell was a member of Black Law Students Association (BLSA), the Black Law Student Association, and assisted other students of color with their legal studies and advanced the cause of black achievement; and

**WHEREAS**, from 1989 to 1994, Anthony Burrell worked as a Cook County prosecutor in the juvenile court system; and

**WHEREAS**, Anthony Burrell was first elected to be a Cook County Circuit Court judge in 2002 and won re-election in 2008. In 2009, the Illinois Committee for Honest Government presented him with the Distinguished Service award; and

**WHEREAS**, a natural and dedicated community leader, Mr. Burrell was concerned with violence prevention and was committed to making positive changes in the region. He was an organizer for the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP) and worked at Maryville Academy, a home for troubled youth, as a resident adviser and counselor; and

**WHEREAS**, Judge Burrell was an effective and pragmatic problem solver. He never forgot the challenges of growing up in a community that routinely forces its young people to confront lethal danger. He crafted the "Safe Neighborhoods Action Plan" a comprehensive violence prevention plan to fight back to against Chicago's youth homicide crisis; and

**WHEREAS**, Judge Burrell fought a valiant battle against cancer. And, in much the same way as he had taken the lessons from his childhood on the west side to help the children who came after him, Judge Burrell found a way to assist others who battled with cancer. He was an advisory member of the Brad's Kid's Pediatric Cancer Foundation, a charity focusing on children with cancer; and

**WHEREAS**, Judge Burrell is survived by sisters, Pamela Burrell, and Lavette Bradford; his nieces, Tamika Burrell, Samara Wiley, Alicia Wiley and Jasmine Wiley; his nephew, Shaun Burrell, and eight (8) grand-nieces and four (4) grand-nephews.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Board of Commissioners of Cook County, on behalf of the 5.2 million residents of Cook County who he served dutifully, commemorates the extraordinary life of the Honorable Judge Anthony Burrell, and herewith expresses its sincere gratitude for the invaluable and innumerable contributions he has made to the Citizens of Cook County, Illinois; and



**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body and that an official copy of the same be tendered to the family of the Honorable Judge Anthony Burrell.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3691  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE LARRY SUFFREDIN, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

**HONORING THE 40TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE PRESENCE  
SAINT FRANCIS EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES SYSTEM**

**WHEREAS**, Presence Saint Francis Hospital is a nationally recognized, full-service teaching hospital and named one of Chicago's Best Hospitals; and

**WHEREAS**, since 1974, the Saint Francis Hospital Emergency Medical Services System has been dedicated to providing high quality pre-hospital education, including Emergency Medical Technician (EMT) Paramedic training; and

**WHEREAS**, Presence Saint Francis Hospital has been the Emergency Medical Services Resource Hospital for 40 years, providing services to seven (7) communities including: Evanston, Lincolnwood, Northfield, Skokie, Wheeling, Wilmette and Winnetka along with three (3) private providers: Advance, Elite and Superior Ambulance Companies; and two (2) non-transport agencies; and

**WHEREAS**, for 40 years Saint Francis Hospital Emergency Medical Services have provided countless residents of Cook County access to quality emergency care. Quality emergency care dramatically improves the survival and recovery rate of those who experience sudden illness or injury. Throughout its 40 year history its members have worked tirelessly to bring the best quality of care to residents; and

**WHEREAS**, Saint Francis is the designated resource hospital, and as such, collaborates closely with regional Emergency Departments, and colleagues at its associate hospitals, which include Evanston Hospital, Glenbrook Hospital and Skokie Hospital; and

**WHEREAS**, the Saint Francis Emergency Medical Services System, the third oldest EMS System in Illinois, is one of four (4) Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Systems that are incorporated in Illinois Department of Public Health Region X, which covers a large geographic area starting at the northern border of Chicago extending to Wisconsin; and

**WHEREAS**, as a region, in coordination with the Illinois Department of Public Health, the Saint Francis Hospital Emergency Medical Services Systems works to support multiple regional roles, including authorship of Standard Operating Procedures, Trauma Policy and Procedures, Standards and Practices and Disaster Management Planning.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Board of Commissioners of Cook County, on behalf of the 5.2 million residents of Cook County takes great pleasure in honoring and celebrating the 40th Anniversary of the Presence Saint Francis Emergency Medical Services System and herewith honors the progress and impact they have made in bettering the lives of the citizens of Cook County, Illinois; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body and that an official copy of the same be tendered to the Presence Saint Francis Hospital.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3715  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE JOHN P. DALEY, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE, JERRY BUTLER,  
EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA,  
ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE,  
JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI,  
DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT B. STEELE, LARRY SUFFREDIN AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI,  
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**DR. ROBERT DOLEHIDE RETIREMENT**

**WHEREAS**, it has come to the attention of the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Dr. Robert Dolehide will retire from his medical practice in Morgan Park, thus concluding over fifty years of dedicated healthcare service to the community; and

**WHEREAS**, after graduating from De La Salle High School, St. Mary's University, and Loyola Medical School, Dr. Robert Dolehide began his medical career at Cook County Hospital, where he specialized in Internal Medicine; and

**WHEREAS**, in the course of his long career in medicine, Dr. Robert Dolehide has been recognized for his compassion, his professionalism, personal touch, and his dedication while upholding the mission to provide every patient with the best possible care; and

**WHEREAS**, Dr. Robert Dolehide has participated in research projects, and has assisted in training the next generation of physicians, including his son, Dr. Kevin Dolehide, with whom he has practiced for twenty (20) years; and

**WHEREAS**, Dr. Robert Dolehide has been an inspiration for his colleagues, and leaves a legacy of success that has been duly acknowledged with the designation of an honorary street sign at 113th and Western, “Dr. Dolehide Way”; and

**WHEREAS**, throughout his stellar career, Dr. Robert Dolehide has experienced triumphs both great and small, and through it all he has performed his various leadership roles with a professionalism and commitment to community service which exemplifies excellence in medicine; and

**WHEREAS**, Dr. Robert Dolehide can now use his well-earned retirement time to enjoy the company of his wife Eileen, their eight (8) children and forty-six (46) grandchildren, and many friends.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County, that the Board does hereby extend its congratulations to Dr. Robert Dolehide on the occasion of his retirement, offers its deepest appreciation to him for his decades of outstanding service in the education system, and joins his friends and colleagues in wishing him many years of health and happiness; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of the Honorable Body, and a ceremonial copy of same be presented to Dr. Robert Dolehide to commemorate this occasion.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3717  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE JOHN P. DALEY, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE, JERRY BUTLER,  
EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA,  
ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE,  
JOAN PATRICIA, MURPHY, EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,  
PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT B. STEELE, LARRY SUFFREDIN  
AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**IN MEMORIAM OF CATHERINE FIEDLER**

**WHEREAS**, Almighty God in His infinite wisdom has called Catherine L. Fiedler from our midst; and

**WHEREAS**, Catherine L. Fiedler (nee Quinn) was the beloved wife and best friend of the late Raymond Fiedler; and

**WHEREAS**, Catherine L. Fiedler was the loving mother of Barb (James Walsh) Touchett; Kathleen (Gary) Gray, Ray (Kim), Bob (Kate), Michael (Karen) and Tom (Lisa) Fiedler; and

**WHEREAS**, Catherine L. Fiedler was the proud grandma of Megan, Jessica (Jorge), Emily (James), Matt, Timothy (Sarah), Jenna (Dan), Kathryn, Annie, Charlie, Jillian, Maggie, Jordan, Brooks, Michael, Sarah, Nicholas and William; and

**WHEREAS**, Catherine L. Fiedler was the dear great-grandmother of Evelyn, Harper, Jackson, Sophia, Delilah, Quinn, Silas and baby girl Serwas expected in July; and

**WHEREAS**, Catherine L. Fiedler was a longtime resident of Oak Park and summer resident of Assembly Park in Delavan, Wisconsin; and

**WHEREAS**, all who knew her will attest that Catherine L. Fiedler was a kind and compassionate woman, virtuous of character and gentle in spirit, admired and respected by her many friends and neighbors, and dearly loved by her family.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County that the Board does hereby offer its deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the family and many friends of Catherine L. Fiedler, and joins them in sorrow at this time of loss; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body, and a suitable copy of same be tendered to the family of Catherine L. Fiedler, that her memory may be so honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3718  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE JOHN P. DALEY, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE, JERRY BUTLER,  
EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA,  
ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE,  
JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,  
PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT STEELE, LARRY SUFFREDIN  
AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**IN MEMORIAM OF WILLIAM J. GREEN, SR.**

**WHEREAS**, Almighty God in His infinite wisdom has called William J. Green, Sr. from our midst; and

**WHEREAS**, William J. Green, Sr. was the most cherished husband and best friend of Dorothy Green (nee Caulfield); and

**WHEREAS**, William J. Green, Sr. was the loving and devoted father of Cheryl (Paul) Foertsch, Jeannine Cheatham, Mary Beth (Craig) Meseko, William J. (Kristine) Green, Jr. and Kathleen "Katie" (Timothy) Lyons; and

**WHEREAS**, William J. Green, Sr. was the adored grandfather of Paul, Christopher, Clare, Alexander, Patrick, Liam, Owen, Connor, Ava, Quinn, Nora and Shane; and

**WHEREAS**, William J. Green, Sr. was the loving brother of Charles (Marge), G. Patrick (the late Patricia), Richard (Charlene) and Thomas Green, and the fond brother-in-law of Mary (Don) Hoffman, Jean Quaid and Patricia (Michael) Walsh; and

**WHEREAS**, William J. Green, Sr. was an admired uncle, cousin and friend of many, including his treasured MR. DOGS, MBA, Choir Members, Card Group and A.A.; and

**WHEREAS**, all who knew him will attest that William J. Green, Sr. was a kind and compassionate man, virtuous of character and gentle in spirit, admired and respected by his many friends and neighbors, and dearly loved by his family.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County that the Board does hereby offer its deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the family and many friends of Bill Green, and joins them in sorrow at this time of loss; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body, and a suitable copy of same be tendered to the family of Bill Green, that his memory may be so honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3719  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE JOHN P. DALEY, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE, JERRY BUTLER,  
EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA,**

**ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE,  
JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,  
PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT B. STEELE,  
LARRY SUFFREDIN AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**IN MEMORIAM OF JAMES DALEY, SR.**

**WHEREAS**, Almighty God in His infinite wisdom has called James Daley, Sr., from our midst; and

**WHEREAS**, James Daley, Sr., was the beloved husband of Mary Kathryn "Dot" (nee Mahoney) Daley, his wife of 60 years; and

**WHEREAS**, James Daley, Sr., was the loving father of Mary K. (Cosmo) Briatta, James P. Jr., (Denise), John J. (Terri), Daniel P. (Ann), Catherine A. (Chris) Torie, Colleen P. (David) Jahn, and Patricia A. (Paul) Glenchur; and

**WHEREAS**, James Daley, Sr., was the dear grandfather of nineteen (19), and great-grandfather of two (2); and

**WHEREAS**, James Daley, Sr., was the fond brother of John M. (the late Veronica) Daley and the kind uncle of many nieces and nephews; and

**WHEREAS**, James Daley, Sr., was known for his love of golf, the family dogs, leisure time in Naples, Florida and Long Beach, Indiana, and sharing the company of his grandchildren and great-grandchildren; and

**WHEREAS**, all who knew him will attest that James Daley, Sr., was a kind and compassionate man, virtuous of character and gentle in spirit, admired and respected by his many friends and neighbors, and dearly loved by his family.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County that the Board does hereby offer its deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the family and many friends of James Daley, Sr., and joins them in sorrow at this time of loss; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body, and a suitable copy of same be tendered to the family of James Daley, Sr., that his memory may be so honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE JOHN P. DALEY, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE, JERRY BUTLER,  
EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA,  
ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE,  
JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,  
PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT B. STEELE, LARRY SUFFREDIN  
AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**IN MEMORIAM OF EUGENE T. MCGRAIL**

**WHEREAS**, Almighty God in His infinite wisdom has called Eugene T. McGrail from our midst; and

**WHEREAS**, Eugene T. McGrail was the loving husband of M. Patricia (Pat) McGrail; and

**WHEREAS**, Eugene T. McGrail was the beloved father of Michael, Patti Cummings; Dr. Dan (Karla), Betsey (Jim Nolan), Connie, Andy (Sheri) and Megan (Brian) Wand, and

**WHEREAS**, Eugene T. McGrail was the fond brother of Joan (Cornelius) Sullivan, the late Rita (Louis) Clemens and the late Frank (the late Rosemarie); and

**WHEREAS**, Eugene T. McGrail was the loving grandfather of nine (9) and fond uncle and great-uncle of many nieces and nephews; and

**WHEREAS**, Eugene T. McGrail answered the call of duty to his country and served in the U.S. Army during World War II; and

**WHEREAS**, Eugene T. McGrail graduated as Valedictorian of St. Rita High School, and was a passionate supporter of the St. Rita Mustangs; and

**WHEREAS**, Eugene T. McGrail worked for People's Gas Company in a successful career that spanned forty-one (41) years; and

**WHEREAS**, all who knew him will attest that Eugene T. McGrail was a kind and compassionate man, virtuous of character and gentle in spirit, admired and respected by his many friends and neighbors, and dearly loved by his family.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County that the Board does hereby offer its deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the family and many friends of Eugene T. McGrail, and joins them in sorrow at this time of loss; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body, and a suitable copy of same be tendered to the family of Eugene T. McGrail, that his memory may be so honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3736  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE,  
JERRY BUTLER, EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY,  
BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA, ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN,  
GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE, EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,  
PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT B. STEELE, LARRY SUFFREDIN  
AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**A RESOLUTION TO HONOR THE CRISIS CENTER FOR SOUTH SUBURBIA**

**WHEREAS**, this year, the Crisis Center for South Suburbia commemorates 35 years of service, safety, strength and hope for victims of family violence in south and southwestern suburban Cook County; and

**WHEREAS**, the Crisis Center for South Suburbia is a nonprofit community organization that provides emergency shelter and other essential services for individuals and families victimized by domestic violence, while addressing the societal issues that contribute to domestic violence; and

**WHEREAS**, founded in 1979, the Crisis Center for South Suburbia remains the only residential shelter for its catchment area of over 800,000 people of the ten (10) townships south of Chicago and provides a thirty-five (35) bed facility for women and their children, a 24-hour crisis hotline, counseling services, a speakers bureau, court advocacy, dating violence prevention, family care management, partner abuse prevention and many more vital programs; and

**WHEREAS**, through weekly counseling and group sessions, the Crisis Center for South Suburbia empowers victims of domestic violence to find the strength to walk away from a tumultuous relationship, gain their independence and become confident, productive members of our society free of abuse; and

**WHEREAS**, the Crisis Center for South Suburbia is funded from government grants, private donations and the proceeds from the Center's Neat Repeats Resale Stores located in Worth and Orland Park as well as annual fundraising events; and

**WHEREAS**, through the tireless, committed efforts of the Crisis Center for South Suburbia Board of Directors, 40 staff members and 250 dedicated volunteers, 55,000 lives have been touched, affording countless opportunities for those affected by domestic violence to improve their lives and end the cycle of abuse.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners does hereby congratulate the Crisis Center for South Suburbia upon its 35th anniversary and wishes continued success to this venerable organization in the future; and



**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that a suitable copy of this Resolution be presented to the Crisis Center for South Suburbia in recognition of its achievements and good works and that it also be spread upon the proceedings of this Honorable Body.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3746  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE ROBERT B. STEELE, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE,  
JERRY BUTLER, EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY,  
BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA, ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN,  
GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE, JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, EDWIN REYES,  
TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS,  
LARRY SUFFREDIN AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI,  
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**CELEBRATING THE 50TH ANNIVERSARY OF NEW  
ST. PAUL CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST**

**WHEREAS**, on Thursday, July 31, 2014, New St. Paul Church of God in Christ will celebrate its 50th Anniversary; and

**WHEREAS**, in the Fall of 1964 Pastor Joseph Paul Easterling together with nine (9) members founded Monument of Love Church of God in Christ which later would become New St. Paul Church of God in Christ; and

**WHEREAS**, having grown from a mission with nine (9) members to a membership of 100 in 1977 the congregation moved to its permanent location at 2113 West Marquette Road; and

**WHEREAS**, in 1981 in response to the growth and needs of the community it serves, Dr. Easterling changed the direction of the Church. New St. Paul Church of God in Christ began to address not only the spiritual needs of the community, but also ministering to the social and development needs for its growth; such as providing a clothes and food pantry in addition to hosting a free lunch program and summer day camp; and

**WHEREAS**, as New St. Paul Church of God in Christ continued to grow in membership and service the congregation worked diligently to expand their facilities through a three (3) phase project completed in 1995 to better serve their neighbors; and

**WHEREAS**, now under the leadership of Pastor, Elder Rory C. Hood, New St. Paul Church of God in Christ offers several ministries to fully serve their community such as daycare, food pantry, summer food programs, senior ministry and youth services; and

**WHEREAS**, New St. Paul Church of God in Christ is a true beacon of hope for the community it has served for nearly 50 years.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that I, Toni Preckwinkle, President of the Cook County Board of Commissioners along with the Board of Commissioners do hereby congratulate Pastor, Elder Rory C. Hood and the New St. Paul Church of God in Christ on the occasion of its 50th Anniversary and for the important and commendable service and leadership it provides to its congregation and surrounding community; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be spread upon the official proceeding of this Honorable Body and a ceremonial copy be given to Elder Rory C. Minor in commemoration of this auspicious occasion.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3750  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE,  
JERRY BUTLER, EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY,  
BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA, ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN,  
GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE, EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,  
PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT B. STEELE, LARRY SUFFREDIN  
AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**A RESOLUTION TO HONOR DOROTHY JOSEPHINE BUHE  
ON THE OCCASION OF HER 100TH BIRTHDAY**

**WHEREAS**, Dorothy Josephine Buhe has reached a remarkable milestone in her life and will be honored by family and friends at a special celebration on the occasion of her 100th birthday on June 29, 2014; and

**WHEREAS**, Dorothy Josephine Buhe was born on June 30, 1914 to Edward Nicholas Klein and Augusta Anna Schutz in Chicago and has one sibling, Florence Murney, who is 101 years old; and

**WHEREAS**, Dorothy Josephine Buhe was raised on the south side of Chicago at 33rd Street and Princeton Avenue and attended St. Raphael Grammar School and St. Martin Commercial High School; her first job was at the Spiegel Store and then Fish Furniture; and

**WHEREAS**, Dorothy Josephine Buhe married Charles James Buhe, the love of her life, at St. Rita Church on September 23, 1938 and together they raised three (3) children, James born in 1940, Kathryn born in 1943, and Stephen born in 1955; in 1955 the family moved to Worth where Dorothy still resides in her home today; and

**WHEREAS**, Dorothy Josephine Buhe eventually went back to work at Bonds Men's Clothing and Worth School; she was a Democratic election judge for many years at least until she was 80 years old and her macular degeneration made it impossible to continue; and

**WHEREAS**, Dorothy Josephine Buhe has witnessed monumental events over the course of her lifetime including World War I and II, the Great Depression, the Korean War, the Vietnam War and the rise and fall of communism, as well as the advent of the airplane, television, telephone, the space age, the world-wide web and the dawn of the new Millennium; and

**WHEREAS**, Dorothy Josephine Buhe leads an interesting, happy and full life and counts among her many blessings her grandchildren Kelly A. and Bernard P. Roche, Timothy and Jennifer Buhe, Elizabeth and Jonathan Buhe, and her great grandchildren Devin Roche, Brett, Luke and Jake Buhe, and Ethan and Allyson Connelly; and

**WHEREAS**, Dorothy Josephine Buhe is a compassionate and lively individual, loved by all who know her, whose exemplary life and commitment to her faith, her family, her friends and her community are an inspiration to us all.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners does hereby congratulate Dorothy Josephine Buhe on the occasion of her 100th birthday and wishes her as much happiness as she has brought to others in the course of 100 wonderful years; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that a suitable copy of this resolution be tendered to Dorothy Josephine Buhe to commemorate this joyous occasion and her Centenarian status.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3759  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE PETER N. SILVESTRI, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

**HONORING NICOLE ALICIA JACONETTY ON HER GRADUATION  
FROM FORDHAM UNIVERSITY**

**WHEREAS**, Nicole Alicia Jaconetty graduated Magna Cum Laude from Fordham University with a double major in American Studies and Anthropology and a minor in Political Science and was elected to Phi Beta Kappa; and

**WHEREAS**, Nicole Jaconetty earned early acceptance to Fordham’s Master’s Program in Elections and Campaign Management; and

**WHEREAS**, Nicole Jaconetty was president of the Fordham Club which is a combined honors society, fraternity, and dean’s advisory council of the top thirty leaders of the senior class; and

**WHEREAS**, she was a volunteer with the Fordham Global Outreach “Go-Team” cultural immersion and service program in the Navajo Nation in New Mexico; and

**WHEREAS**, in addition to her exemplary academic record, Nicole Jaconetty was involved in several clubs, organizations and service programs at Fordham University.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the President and the Cook County Board of Commissioners do hereby congratulate Nicole Alicia Jaconetty on her graduation and her outstanding achievements and wish her continued success in her future endeavors; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be prepared for presentation to Nicole Alicia Jaconetty as evidence of the esteem in which she is held by this Honorable Body and the residents of Cook County.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3760  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE LARRY SUFFREDIN, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, JOHN P. DALEY**

**AND EARLEAN COLLINS, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**COMMEMORATING THE LIFE, MANY ACCOMPLISHMENTS  
AND MEMORY OF WILLIAM H. LUKING**

**WHEREAS**, William H. Luking, a man who possessed an unequalled wisdom and expertise about Illinois government, history and politics died on June 12, 2014 leaving behind countless friends, co-workers, family and a community enriched for having known him; and

**WHEREAS**, William H. Luking was an accomplished and longtime advocate for the city of Chicago, and was widely viewed as one of the most knowledgeable and influential people at the Illinois statehouse; and

**WHEREAS**, William H. Luking spent nearly three (3) decades working for Chicago mayors in Springfield and other city entities, including Chicago Public Schools, the Park District, the Chicago Transit Authority and City Colleges of Chicago; and

**WHEREAS**, William H. Luking is credited with the successful negotiations that led to the construction of a new ballpark for the White Sox in the late 1980s, the move to give city mayors control over public schools in the mid-1990s, and recent efforts to get legislative approval for pension changes the city; and

**WHEREAS**, William H. Luking was a great advocate and friend to the Chicago Botanic Garden; and

**WHEREAS**, William H. Luking was the unique man in politics who could count as friends those who were both adversaries as well as allies. He was widely known and appreciated for his candor, friendship, and willingness to freely share his knowledge about the legislative process and its history; and

**WHEREAS**, William H. Luking can be credited for having inspired many of Illinois' most qualified and effective candidates to run for office, especially his favorite Law School Professor, Dawn Clark Netsch. Likewise, his encouragement, friendship and advise assisted many who served Illinois and was instrumental in improving the lives of countless citizens; and

**WHEREAS**, William H. Luking was born in Connersville, Indiana and graduated from the University of Notre Dame where he was a student leader; and

**WHEREAS**, William H. Luking graduated from Northwestern University Law School and used his legal training to protect the rights of many residents of Cook County; and

**WHEREAS**, William H. Luking, was the devoted son of Lowell and Elizabeth McCarthy Luking, fond brother of Mary Anne Morris, Beth Luking, Meg Luking, Gene Luking, Joe Luking, Charlene Wood, Laura Jamison, and Rosemary Card and close companion of Claire Manning.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Board of Commissioners of Cook County, on behalf of the 5.2 million residents of Cook County commemorates the extraordinary life of William H. Luking, and herewith expresses its sincere gratitude for the invaluable contributions he has made to the Citizens of Cook County, Illinois; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body and that an official copy of the same be tendered to the family of William H. Luking.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3762  
RESOLUTION  
Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE ROBERT B. STEELE, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE,  
JERRY BUTLER, EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY,  
BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA, ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN,  
GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE, JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, EDWIN REYES,  
TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, LARRY SUFFREDIN  
AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**HONORING THE LIFE AND LEGACY OF DR. MAYA ANGELOU**

**WHEREAS**, God has called from our midst Dr. Maya Angelou on May 28, 2014; and

**WHEREAS**, Maya Angelou was an African American poet, author, singer and dancer; and

**WHEREAS**, she published seven (7) autobiographies, three (3) books of essays, and several books of poetry and was credited with movies, and television shows spanning more than 50 years; and

**WHEREAS**, born Marguerite Annie Johnson on April 4, 1928 (Maya Angelou) was the second child of Bailey Johnson and Vivian Johnson; and

**WHEREAS**, her older brother Bailey, Jr, nicknamed Marguerite “Maya” derived from “My” or “My Sister”; and

**WHEREAS**, during the 1950’s she took up modern dance classes and met choreographer Alvin Ailey, with whom she formed a dance team, calling themselves “Al and Rita,” and performed modern dance at fraternal black organizations throughout San Francisco; and

**WHEREAS**, beginning in 1954 she began to dance professionally in clubs around San Francisco where she sang and danced calypso music under the name of Marguerite Johnson but at the suggestion of her managers changed it to Maya Angelou, a distinctive name that set her apart and captured the feel of her calypso dance performances; and

**WHEREAS**, in the 1968, Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. asked Maya Angelou to organize a march but before it could take place Dr. King was assassinated on her 40th birthday, it devastated by this loss she was encouraged by her friend James Baldwin to write about her pain; and

**WHEREAS**, she wrote, produced and narrated Black, Blues, Black a ten (10) part series of documentaries about the connection between blues music and black Americans’ African heritage for National Education Television, the precursor of Public Broadcasting Service (PBS); and

**WHEREAS**, in 1969 addition, she wrote her first autobiography, “*I know Why the Caged Bird Sings*”, which brought her international acclaim and recognition; and

**WHEREAS**, during the 1970s Maya Angelou worked as a composer, writing for singer Roberta Flack, as well as film scores, and she also appeared in a supporting role in the television miniseries Roots; and

**WHEREAS**, Dr. Maya Angelou received over thirty (30) honorary degrees from colleges and universities from all over the world including in 1981 the lifetime Reynolds Professorship of American Studies at Wake Forest University in Winston-Salem, North Carolina, and considered herself a teacher who wrote on various subjects of interest including ethics, theology, science, theater, and philosophy just to name a few; and

**WHEREAS**, in 1993, Dr. Maya Angelou recited her poem “*One the Pulse of Morning*” at the inauguration of President William Jefferson Clinton, becoming the first poet to make an inaugural recitation since Robert Frost at President John F. Kennedy’s inauguration in 1961; and

**WHEREAS**, her recitation resulted in more fame and recognition for her pervious works and broadened her appeal across educational, racial and economic boundaries; and

**WHEREAS**, one of Dr. Maya Angelou quotes perfectly captures her indomitable spirit “All my life, everything that I have done was about survival not just rare, awful plodding survival but survival with grace and faith. While one may encounter many defeats, one must not be defeated”; and

**WHEREAS**, Dr. Maya Angelou was truly a phenomenal woman who’s love for poetry, commitment to the arts, dedication to activism and true humanitarianism will live on in the hearts of many generations to come; and

**WHEREAS**, Dr. Maya Angelou is survived by her son Guy Johnson.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that I Toni Preckwinkle, and the Cook County Board of Commissioners, on behalf of the 5.2 million residents of Cook County, do hereby express our deepest sympathy to the family of the late Dr. Maya Angelou for the invaluable contributions that she has made to the citizens of Cook County, Illinois; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that a suitable copy of this Resolution be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body and that an official copy of the same is tendered to the family of Dr. Maya Angelou.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3771  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE JOHN P. DALEY, PRESIDENT TONI PRECKWINKLE, JERRY BUTLER,  
EARLEAN COLLINS, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, BRIDGET GAINER, JESÚS G. GARCÍA,  
ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, GREGG GOSLIN, STANLEY MOORE,  
JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, EDWIN REYES, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,  
PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS, ROBERT B. STEELE,  
LARRY SUFFREDIN AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**IN MEMORIAM OF JAMES SHAUGHNESSEY**

**WHEREAS**, Almighty God in His infinite wisdom has called James E. Shaughnessey from our midst; and

**WHEREAS**, James E. Shaughnessey Devoted father of Laura (Thomas) Carter and James; and

**WHEREAS**, James E. Shaughnessey was the beloved son of the late James and late Lorraine (nee O'Connor); and

**WHEREAS**, James E. Shaughnessey was the loving brother of Maryanne Russell, Eileen (Pat) Cooper-Flood, Rosemarie Bergmenn, Kathleen (Mike) Kelly-Dorman and the late Terrence (Barbara); and

**WHEREAS**, James E. Shaughnessey was the cherished grandfather of Jessica (Dan) Bachman and great-grandfather of Kyle and Ava; and

**WHEREAS**, James E. Shaughnessey was a fond friend of Betty Coit and family, and Papa to Taylor, Marykate and Emma; and

**WHEREAS**, James E. Shaughnessey was a longtime resident of Chicago’s Canaryville neighborhood and active member of the FLAGS Club; and

**WHEREAS**, all who knew him will attest that James E. Shaughnessey was a kind and compassionate man, virtuous of character and gentle in spirit, admired and respected by his many friends and neighbors, and dearly loved by his family.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Board of Commissioners of Cook County that the Board does hereby offer its deepest condolences and most heartfelt sympathy to the family and many friends of James E. Shaughnessey, and joins them in sorrow at this time of loss; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that this text be spread upon the official proceedings of this Honorable Body, and a suitable copy of same be tendered to the family of James E. Shaughnessey, that his memory may be so honored and ever cherished.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

\_\_\_\_\_

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by President Pro Tempore Steele, that this Consent Calendar Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**PRESIDENT**  
**JUSTICE ADVISORY COUNCIL**

**14-3608**

**Presented by:** JULIANA STRATTON, Executive Director, Justice Advisory Council

**PROPOSED GRANT AWARD**



**Department:** Cook County Justice Advisory Council

**Grantee:** Cook County Justice Advisory Council

**Grantor:** Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority

**Request:** Authorization to accept grant

**Purpose:** Cook County requests grant funding for staffing, drug testing, electronic monitoring, and to secure treatment and other services for participants in the Adult Redeploy Illinois (Hope Program) in order to improve their chances of successfully completing probation and staying out of prison.

**Grant Amount:** \$1,237,828.00

**Grant Period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2015

**Fiscal Impact:** N/A

**Accounts:** N/A

**Concurrences:**

The Budget Department has received all requisite documents and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any.

**Summary:** Using Adult Redeploy Illinois resources, Cook County seeks to change the way the criminal justice system approaches probation. The program will provide more constructive support to probationers through consistent and fair sanctions coupled with treatment and services. The key stakeholders engaged in Adult Redeploy Illinois planning and implementation include the Office of the Chief Judge, State's Attorney's Office, Office of the Public Defender, Adult Probation Office, Sheriff's Office, and the Justice Advisory Council. Cook County requests funding for staffing, drug testing, electronic monitoring, and to secure treatment and other services for participants in order to improve their chances of successfully completing probation and staying out of prison.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Collins, seconded by Commissioner García, that this Grant Award be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3609**

**Presented by:** JULIANA STRATTON, Executive Director, Justice Advisory Council

**PROPOSED GRANT AWARD**

**Department:** Cook County Justice Advisory Council

**Grantee:** Office of the Chief Judge

**Grantor:** Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority

**Request:** Authorization to accept grant

**Purpose:** The Access to Community Treatment (ACT) Court is a drug court probation program that aims to link to people with community-based behavioral health, vocational and educational services while piloting the use of

Affordable Care Act-expanded Medicaid health insurance to pay for covered services.

**Grant Amount:** \$1,116,736.00

**Grant Period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2015

**Fiscal Impact:** N/A

**Accounts:** N/A

**Concurrences:**

The Budget Department has received all requisite documents and determined the fiscal impact on CookCounty, if any.

**Summary:** The ACT Court is a drug court probation program targeting nonviolent drug and retail theft offenders newly arrested in Cook County who are at high risk of reoffending and have a high need for treatment services. The ACT Court aims to link to people with community-based behavioral health, vocational and educational services while piloting the use of Affordable Care Act-expanded Medicaid health insurance to pay for covered services. Following admission to the ACT Court, participants are screened using the Level of Service Inventory - Revised ("LSIR") to determine their criminogenic needs. The ACT Court team then links participants with community-based services matched with their clinical and criminogenic needs. Participant compliance is monitored closely and encouraged through a range of rewards and sanctions, utilizing incarceration as a sanction only as a last resort and for periods of a week or less.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Collins, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Grant Award be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3610**

**Presented by:** JULIANA STRATTON, Executive Director, Justice Advisory Council

**PROPOSED GRANT AWARD**

**Department:** Cook County Justice Advisory Council

**Grantee:** Cook County Justice Advisory Council

**Grantor:** Illinois Juvenile Justice Commission

**Request:** Authorization to accept grant

**Purpose:** This proposal seeks to provide support for the Restorative Justice (RJ) Hubs Leadership Circle Community Coordinator who will support existing RJ Hubs and the successful launch of new RJ Hubs in targeted Chicago communities.

**Grant Amount:** \$147,342.00

**Grant Period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2015

**Fiscal Impact:** \$16,372.00

**Accounts:** 490-818

**Concurrences:**

The Budget Department has received all requisite documents and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any.

**Summary:** This proposal seeks to fund a Restorative Justice (RJ) Hubs Leadership Circle Community Coordinator, under the employment of the Community Justice for youth Institute. The role of this Leadership Circle Community Coordinator is to support existing RJ Hubs and the successful launch of new RJ Hubs in targeted Chicago communities; promote relationship building, restorative justice practices and a healthy collaborative process. The Leadership Circle provides technical assistance, evaluative oversight, resource support, a learning community and corrective feedback necessary to provide consistent quality. It is also responsible for creating a replicable RJ Hub model, developing a manual and orientation guide, providing comprehensive training on trauma, Restorative Justice and peace circles, and establishing a documentation system that evaluates intervention and service provision throughout the RJ Hubs.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Collins, seconded by Commissioner Butler, that this Grant Award be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3650**

**Presented by:** JULIANA STRATTON, Executive Director, Justice Advisory Council

**PROPOSED GRANT AWARD**

**Department:** Cook County Justice Advisory Council

**Grantee:** Cook County Justice Advisory Council

**Grantor:** Illinois Department of Human Services

**Request:** Authorization to accept grant

**Purpose:** The purpose of the grant is to fund an exploratory analysis of youth from Chicago committed to IDJJ by the Cook County Juvenile Court.

**Grant Amount:** \$24,500.00

**Grant Period:** 5/1/2014 - 6/30/2014

**Fiscal Impact:** N/A

**Accounts:** N/A

**Concurrences:**

The Budget Department has received all requisite documents and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any.

**Summary:** The JAC proposes to conduct an in-depth analysis of youth from Chicago committed to IDJJ by the Cook County Juvenile Court. The focus of the analysis will be youth committed to IDJJ on “bring back orders” for drug offenses and for misdemeanor offenses. We intend to analyze the IDJJ commitment records and any available assessment scores upon entry to IDJJ, all arrest records for the youth committed to IDJJ, and detention

history for all youth committed to IDJJ. Combined, these data sets will provide an overview of youths' arrest history, recidivism rates in terms of rearrests, detention stays, new commitments to IDJJ, history of separation from family and community in detention, and initial insight into dispositional data. The partners may also have access to data regarding services provided to youth via JISC or DFSS providers, and YASI scores when committed to IDJJ.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Collins, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Grant Award be approved. The motion carried**

**COMMITTEE REPORTS**

**14-3689**

**REPORT OF THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON LABOR**

June 17, 2014

**ATTENDANCE**

Present: Chairman Murphy, Vice Chairman García, Commissioners Butler, Gainer and Sims (5)

Absent: Commissioners Fritchey and Reyes (2)

**SECTION 1**

**14-3085**

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION**

**SEIU LOCAL 73 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS - COOK COUNTY HEALTH AND HOSPITALS SYSTEM**

**WHEREAS**, the Illinois Public Employee Labor Relations Act (5 ILCS 315/1 et seq.) has established regulations regarding collective bargaining with a union; and

**WHEREAS**, Collective Bargaining Agreements for the period of December 1, 2008 through November 30, 2012, effective the date of approval by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, have been negotiated between the Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 73 representing Technologists, Technicians, Service & Maintenance, and Healthcare Professional employees at Cook County Health Facilities; and

**WHEREAS**, general wage increases and salary adjustments have already been approved and are reflected in the Salary Schedules included in the Collective Bargaining Agreements negotiated between the County of Cook and Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 73; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners does hereby refer to the Finance Subcommittee on Labor for review an recommendation the Collective Bargaining Agreements between the County of Cook and the Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 73.

---

**A motion was made by Vice Chairman García, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Resolution be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**14-3085  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE**

**PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**SEIU LOCAL 73 COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS  
COOK COUNTY HEALTH AND HOSPITALS SYSTEM**

**WHEREAS**, the Illinois Public Employee Labor Relations Act (5 ILCS 315/1 et seq.) has established regulations regarding collective bargaining with a union; and

**WHEREAS**, Collective Bargaining Agreements for the period of December 1, 2008 through November 30, 2012, effective the date of approval by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, have been negotiated between the Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 73 representing Technologists, Technicians, Service and Maintenance, and Healthcare Professional employees at Cook County Health Facilities; and

**WHEREAS**, general wage increases and salary adjustments have already been approved and are reflected in the Salary Schedules included in the Collective Bargaining Agreements negotiated between the County of Cook and Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 73.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners does hereby refer to the Finance Subcommittee on Labor for review an recommendation the Collective Bargaining Agreements between the County of Cook and the Service Employees International Union (SEIU) Local 73.

Approved and adopted this 18th of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

**ADJOURNMENT**

**Commissioner Garcia, seconded by Commissioner Sims, moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion passed and the meeting was adjourned.**

**YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTION  
WITH REGARD TO THE MATTER NAMED HEREIN:**

**File Id Number 14-3085**

Recommended for Approval

Respectfully submitted,

FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON LABOR

JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DeLEON, Secretary

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Garcia, moved that the Report of the Finance Subcommittee on Labor be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.**

**14-3568**

**REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATION AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS**

June 17, 2014

**ATTENDANCE**

Present: Chairman Suffredin, Vice Chairman Fritchey, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Daley, Gainer, García, Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Murphy, Schneider, Silvestri, Sims, Steele and Tobolski (16)

Absent: Commissioner Reyes (1)

**PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

**Chairman Suffredin asked the Secretary to the Board to call upon the registered public speaker, in accordance with Cook County Code, Sec. 2-107(dd).**

- 1. George Blakemore, Concerned Citizen

**SECTION 1  
APPOINTMENTS**

**14-3190**

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

**Appointee:** Jack L. Block

**Position:** Member

**Department/Board/Commission:** Cook County Commission on Human Rights

**Effective date:** Immediate

**Expiration date:** 7/1/2015, or until a successor is appointed. Mr. Block will fill the vacancy of Belkis Cervantes Muldoon.

The nominee's resume and statement of interest were entered into the record. The nominee also gave an oral statement as to his interest in and qualifications for the position.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Appointment be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**14-3191**

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

**Appointee:** Ceylan Eatherton

**Position:** Member

**Department/Board/Commission:** Cook County Commission on Human Rights

**Effective date:** Immediate

**Expiration date:** 7/1/2015, or until a successor is appointed. Ms. Eatherton will fill the vacancy of Jae Choi Kim.

The nominee's statement of interest and resume were entered into the record. The nominee also gave an oral statement as to her interest in and qualifications for the position.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Gainer, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Appointment be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**14-3193**

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

**Appointee:** Lyneir D. Richardson

**Position:** Director

**Department/Board/Commission:** Cook County Land Bank Authority Board of Directors

**Effective date:** Immediate

**Expiration date:** 1/1/2017, or until a successor is appointed. The appointment is pursuant to the requirement that the Land Bank Authority Board contain one (1) representative with commercial retail development experience. Pursuant to Cook County's home rule powers, it is hereby requested that the residency requirement for this appointee be waived.

The nominee's statement of interest and resume were entered into the record. The nominee also gave an oral statement as to his interest in and qualifications for the position.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Gainer, seconded by Commissioner Butler, to waive the residency requirement for this appointment. The motion carried.**

**A motion was made by Commissioner Gainer, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Appointment be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

14-3195

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

**Appointee:** Alex Botvinnik

**Position:** Trustee

**Department/Board/Commission:** Northfield Woods Sanitary District

**Effective date:** Immediate

**Expiration date:** 6/2/2017, or until a successor is appointed

The nominee’s resume was entered into the record. The nominee also gave an oral statement as to his interest in and qualifications for the position.

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Appointment be recommended for approval. The motion carried.

**PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDMENT-**

14-3306

~~**Sponsored by:** TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, LARRY SUFFREDIN, JOHN P. DALEY, JOHN A. FRITCHEY, EARLEAN COLLINS and PETER N. SILVESTRI, County Commissioner~~

~~**AN AMENDMENT TO THE COOK COUNTY LOBBYIST REGISTRATION ORDINANCE**~~

~~**NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED,** by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Part I. General Ordinances, Chapter 2. Administration, Article VI. Ethics, Division 3. Lobbyists, Sections 2-622, 2-632, 2-634, 2-637 and 2-640 are hereby amended as follows:~~

~~**Sec. 2-622. Definitions.**~~

~~—The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this division shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:~~

~~—*Administrative action* means the execution or rejection of any rule, regulation, legislative rule, standard, fee, rate, contractual agreement, purchasing agreement or other delegated legislative or quasi-legislative action to be taken or withheld by any County official or County employee.~~

~~—*Board* means the County Board and any and all of its standing or special committees or subcommittees.~~

~~—*Clerk* means the duly elected or appointed Clerk of the County.~~

~~—*Commissioner* means any of the duly elected or duly appointed County Board members.~~

~~—*Compensation* means money, thing of value or other pecuniary benefits received or to be received in return for, or as reimbursement for, or as a result of, services rendered or to be rendered, for lobbying. This includes a contract, promise or agreement, whether or not legally enforceable, to provide or arrange for compensation for services rendered or to be rendered.~~

~~—*County agency* means any board, commission, department or authority under the jurisdiction of the President or Board or any other County official.~~

~~—*County employee* means an individual employed by the County whether part-time or full-time.~~

~~—*County matter* means any executive action, legislative action or administrative action.~~

~~—*County official* means the Assessor, members of the Board of Review, Clerk of the Circuit Court, Clerk, Commissioners, President, Recorder of Deeds, Sheriff, State's Attorney, and Treasurer of the County, and any County agency or member thereof.~~



~~—Direct affiliation means relationship with any natural person or spouse, father, mother, son or daughter possessing or owning an interest in a Lobbying Enterprise.~~

~~—Executive action means the proposal, drafting, development, consideration, amendment, adoption, approval, promulgation, issuance, modification, rejection or postponement by a County official or County employee of a rule, regulation, order, decision, determination, contractual agreement, purchasing agreement or other quasi-legislative or quasi-judicial action or proceeding.~~

~~—Expenditure means anything having a value of \$10.00 or more including, but not limited to, a payment, distribution, loan, advance, deposit, political contribution, honoraria, travel or entertainment expense, meal or beverage expense, or gift of money. This includes a contract, promise, or agreement, whether or not legally enforceable, to make an expenditure, for services rendered or to be rendered.~~

~~—File, filed, or filing means:~~

~~(1) Delivery to an office of the Clerk by the close of business of the prescribed filing date; or~~

~~(2) Deposit with the United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, in sufficient time so that the mailed documents arrive at an office of the Clerk by the close of business of the prescribed filing date.~~

~~—Gift means anything having a value of \$10.00 or more given without consideration or expectation of return.~~

~~—Legislation means ordinances, resolutions, amendments, nominations, appointments, reports, contracts or proposed contracts, and other matters pending or proposed in the Board or which require Board approval. —~~

~~Legislative action means the development, drafting, introduction, consideration, modification, adoption, rejection, review, enactment, or passage or defeat of any ordinance, amendment, motion, resolution, report, nomination, administrative rule or other matter by any County official or County employee. The term "legislative action" also means the action of the President in approving or vetoing any ordinance, resolution or motion or portion thereof, and the action of any County official or County employee in the development of a proposal for introduction before the Board.~~

~~—Lobbyist means any person who, on behalf of any person other than himself, or as any part of his duties as an employee of another, undertakes to influence any legislative or administrative action, including, but not limited to:~~

~~(1) A bond inducement ordinance;~~

~~(2) A zoning matter;~~

~~(3) A concession agreement;~~

~~(4) The creation of a tax increment financing district;~~

~~(5) The establishment of a Class 6(b) Cook County property tax classification;~~

~~(6) The introduction, passage or other action to be taken on an ordinance, resolution, motion, order, appointment or other matter before the Cook County Board of Commissioners;~~

~~(7) The preparation of contract specifications;~~

~~(8) The solicitation, award or administration of a contract;~~

~~(9) The award or administration of a grant, loan, or other agreement involving the disbursement of public monies; or~~

~~(10) Any other determination made by an elected or appointed county official or employee of the county with respect to the procurement of goods, services or construction. — Provided, however, that a person shall not be deemed to have undertaken to influence any legislative or administrative action solely by submitting an application for a county permit or license or by responding to a county request for proposals or qualifications. — The term "lobbyist" shall include, but not be limited to, any Attorney, accountant, or consultant engaged in the above-described activities; provided, however, that an Attorney shall not be considered a lobbyist while representing clients in a formal adversarial hearing, unless said Attorney is also an elected official of the county; and provided further that the term "lobbyist" shall not include a person who, on an unpaid basis, seeks to influence legislative or administrative action on behalf of an entity that is not engaged in a profit-seeking enterprise; further provided that an employee, officer or director of a not-for-profit entity who seeks to influence legislative or administrative action on behalf of such an entity shall not be considered a lobbyist for purposes of this chapter.~~

~~—Lobbying Enterprise means any entity that hires, retains, employs, or compensates a natural person to lobby local, state or federal governments or agencies.~~

~~—Lobbying Activity Report means a log maintained by each Lobbyist and submitted to the Cook County Clerk that records all contacts by a Lobbyist with any County Official or County employee.~~

~~—Person means any individual, entity, corporation, partnership, firm, association, union, trust, estate, as well as any parent or subsidiary of any of the foregoing, and whether or not operated for profit.~~

~~—Political contribution means any money or thing of value given to a political committee, as defined in 10 ILCS 5/9-1.9 (political committee defined), in the County.~~

~~Legislative History: 5/21/14 Board of Commissioners referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee~~

**PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDMENT**

**14-2492**

**Sponsored by:** LARRY SUFFREDIN, County Commissioner

**AN ORDINANCE GOVERNING PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENTS TO COOK COUNTY BUREAUS**

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 2, Article IV, Division 1 of the Cook County Code is hereby enacted as follows:

**Section 2-141. - Presidential Appointments**

The following Cook County employees shall be appointed by the President, with the advice and consent of the Board of Commissioners:

- (a) Public Defender (pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/3-4004.1);
- (b) Director of Facilities Management (pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/3-14003);
- (c) Budget Director (pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/3-14005);
- (d) Chief Administrative Officer (pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/3-14006); and
- (e) Chief Information Officer (pursuant to 55 ILCS 5/3-14010);

...

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 42, Article II, Section 42-34(a)(6) of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 42-34. - Commission on Human Rights.**

The organization and administration of the Cook County Commission on Human Rights shall be sufficiently independent to assure that no interference or influence external to the office adversely affects the independence and objectivity of the Commission on Human Rights.

**(a) Enforcement.**

- (1) The President shall appoint 11 members to a Commission on Human Rights ("Commission") subject to approval of the Cook County Board of Commissioners. In appointing members to the Commission, the President shall take into account the diversity of communities and conditions protected by this article and shall seek the input of affected communities.

Four of the initial appointees shall be appointed for term expiring on July 1 of the year following their appointment, four shall be appointed for term expiring on July 1 of the second year following their appointment, and three shall be appointed for terms ending on July 1 of the third year following their appointment. Thereafter, members shall be appointed for three-year terms.

- (2) Each member of the Commission:
  - a. Shall reside within the corporate boundaries of the County;
  - b. Shall not be an employee of the County during the member's term; and
  - c. Shall serve without compensation, but may be reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred in the performance of Commission duties.
- (3) A majority of the members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum for the purpose of transacting business.
- (4) At its first meeting after July 1 of each year, the Commission shall elect from its membership a Chairperson and a Vice-Chairperson. The chairperson shall preside at all meetings and hearings of the Commission. In the absence of the Chairperson, the Vice-Chairperson shall preside.
- (5) The President may remove any member of the Commission for incompetence, substantial neglect of duty, gross misconduct, malfeasance in office, or violation of any law, after written notice, stating with particularity the grounds for removal and providing an opportunity for the member to respond.
- (6) The President, with the advice and consent of the Board of Commissioners, shall appoint an Executive Director of the staff for the Commission. The Executive Director shall be responsible for the day-to-day operation of the Commission and its staff.

...

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 2, Article VII, Division 2, Subdivision IV, Section 2-591 of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 2-591. Composition and powers.**

The organization and administration of the Cook County Board of Ethics shall be sufficiently independent to assure that no interference or influence external to the office adversely affects the independence and objectivity of the Board of Ethics. The composition and powers of the Board of Ethics are as follows:

- (1) The Board of Ethics shall be composed of five members appointed by the President of the County Board with the advice and consent of the County Board and will take into account the diversity of communities and conditions protected by this article. The Board of Ethics shall have an executive director who shall be appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Board of Commissioners.

...

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 2, Article VI, Division 1, Section 2-473 of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 2-473. - Cook County Justice Advisory Council.**

- (a) There is hereby created a Judicial Advisory Council which shall consist of five members who shall be appointed by the President of the County Board; said Council shall be referred to as the Cook County Justice Advisory Council. All shall be

persons learned in the law, and two at least of their number shall be members of the judiciary. The persons thus appointed shall hold office for four years and until their respective successors have been duly appointed and qualified. They shall serve without compensation, but shall be reimbursed for all expenses incurred in carrying out the duties defined by this section. The President, with the advice and consent of the Board of Commissioners, shall appoint an Executive Director of the Justice Advisory Council.

...

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 26, Article II, Section 26-32 of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 26-32. - Executive Director.**

- (a) The Executive Director of the DHSEM shall be appointed by the President of the County Board of Commissioners with the advice and consent of the Board of Commissioners and shall serve until removed by the President.

...

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 2, Article VI, Division 1, Section 2-471 of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 2-471. - Veteran's Assistance Commission.**

The County Board does concur in the action of the President of the County Board in incorporating the activities of the Veteran's Assistance Commission of Cook County into the County Bureau of Administration. The President, with the advice and consent of the Board of Commissioners, shall appoint the Superintendent of the Veterans Assistance Commission.

...

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 2, Article III, Division 2, Section 2-102 of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 2-102. - Definitions.**

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this division, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

- (a) *Board* means the County Board.
- (b) *Commissioner* means any duly elected or duly appointed County Board Commissioner, and means the same as "member" of the Board.
- (c) *Committee* means a committee of the Board and includes a standing committee, a special committee and a standing or special subcommittee of a committee.
- (d) *Clerk* means the Clerk of the Board.
- (e) *Committee of the whole* means a committee comprised of all Commissioners as required by this division.
- (f) *Majority* means a simple majority of those Commissioners present and entitled to vote on a

question, while a Majority vote means the vote of a simple majority of those Commissioners present and entitled to vote on a question.

(g) *Majority of those elected* means a majority of the total number of Commissioners entitled by law to be elected to the Board, irrespective of the number of elected or appointed Commissioners actually serving in office. So long as 17 Commissioners are entitled to be elected to the Board, a vote of a "majority of those elected" shall mean nine affirmative votes.

(h) *President* means the President of the Cook County Board of Commissioners.

(i) *Secretary* means the Secretary of the Board who has been appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Board of Commissioners.

(j) *Term* means the four-year term of office established by State law for the President and the Commissioners.

...

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 44, Article II, Section 44-45 of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 44-45. - Bureau of Human Resources.**

(a) *Established; Chief of Human Resources to be Chief Executive Officer of Bureau of Human Resources.* There is hereby established a bureau which shall be known as the Bureau of Human Resources. The Chief of Human Resources shall be the chief executive officer of the Bureau of Human Resources and shall be appointed by the President, with the advice and consent of the Board of Commissioners, and shall serve at the pleasure of the President. The Chief of Human Resources shall be responsible for the general management and control of the Bureau of Human Resources in a manner consistent with the ordinances of the County, the laws of the state, and the rules of the Bureau.

...

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 2, Article VII, Division 2, Section 2-591 of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 2-591. - Composition and powers.**

The organization and administration of the Cook County Board of Ethics shall be sufficiently independent to assure that no interference or influence external to the office adversely affects the independence and objectivity of the Board of Ethics. The composition and powers of the Board of Ethics are as follows:

- (1) The Board of Ethics shall be composed of five members appointed by the President of the County Board with the advice and consent of the County Board and will take into account the diversity of communities and conditions protected by this article. The Board of Ethics shall have an executive director who shall be appointed by the President, with the advice and consent of the Board of Commissioners.

...

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 10, Article I, Section 10-2 of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 10-2. - Definitions.**

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this chapter shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

*Administrator* means the licensed veterinarian appointed by the President, with the advice and consent of the County Board, pursuant to the Illinois Animal Control Act (510 ILCS 5/1 et seq.) or authorized representative.

*Animal* means any live vertebrate creature except man.

*Animal capable of transmitting rabies* means all animals classified as mammals.

*Animal control warden* means an employee of the County appointed by the Administrator to powers in the enforcement of this chapter.

*Bird* means any flying vertebrate that is covered with feathers.

*Bite* means seizure of a person with the jaws or teeth of any cat, dog or other animal capable of transmitting rabies so that the person so seized has been wounded or pierced and further includes contact of the saliva of cat, dog or other animal with any break or abrasion of the skin.

*Cat* means all members of the classification, *Felis catus*.

*Confined* means the restriction of the cat, dog or other animal at all times by the owner in a manner that will isolate the cat, dog or other animal from the public and other cats, dogs or other animals.

*Control* means any owned animal that is either secured by a leash or lead, or within the premises of its owner, or confined within a crate or cage, or confined within a vehicle, or within the premises of another person with the consent of that person.

*Dangerous or vicious animal* means any animal which has known vicious propensities or which has been known to attack or injure any person who was peacefully conducting themselves in any place where they may lawfully be.

*Dog* means all members of the classification, *Canis familiaris*.

*Domestic animal* means any animal which has been domesticated by man so as to live and breed in a tame condition.

*Guard dog* means a dog used in a commercial business or by a municipal or police department for the purposes of patrol and protection.

*Inoculation against rabies* means the injection of a rabies vaccine approved by the Illinois Department of Agriculture and administered by a licensed veterinarian in accordance with the company's recommendations for the vaccine used.

*Owner* means any person having the right of property in an animal, who keeps or harbors an animal, who has it in their care, acts as its custodian or who knowingly permits an animal to remain on or about any premises occupied by them unless possession is prohibited by Federal or State laws. Native wildlife remaining on or about any premises shall not be included in this definition.

*Pound* means any facility licensed by the Illinois Department of Agriculture and approved by the Administration for the purpose of enforcing this chapter and used as a shelter for seized, stray, homeless, abandoned or unwanted animals.

*Service animal* means any guide dog, signal dog, or other animal individually trained to do work or perform tasks for the benefit of an individual with a disability, including, but not limited to, guiding individuals with impaired vision, alerting individuals with impaired hearing to intruders or sounds, providing minimal protection or rescue work, pulling a wheelchair, or fetching dropped items.

*Stray animal* means any owned animal that is not controlled.

*Tethering* means to restrain a dog by tying the dog to any object or structure, including without limitation a house, tree, fence, post, garage, shed, [or] clothes line by any means, including without limitation a chain, rope, cord, leash or running line.

*Tow chain or log chain* means any chain that is more than one-quarter of an inch in width.

**Effective date:** This ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Ordinance be recommended for deferral. The motion carried.**

**ADJOURNMENT**

**Commissioner Garcia, seconded by Commissioner Moore, moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion passed and the meeting was adjourned.**

**SECTION 2**

**YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS WITH REGARD TO THE MATTERS NAMED HEREIN:**

File ID 14-3190	Recommended for Approval
File ID 14-3191	Recommended for Approval
File ID-3193	Recommended for Approval
File ID 14-3193	Recommended for Approval
File ID 14-3195	Recommended for Approval
File ID 14-2492	Recommended for Deferral

Respectfully submitted,

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATION AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS

LARRY SUFFREDIN, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DeLEON, Secretary

---

**Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved that the Report of the Committee on Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.**

14-3569

**REPORT OF THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON LITIGATION**

June 17, 2014

**ATTENDANCE**

Present: Chairman Silvestri, Vice Chairman Fritchey, Commissioners Collins, Gainer, Schneider, Suffredin and Tobolski (7)

Absent: None (0)

Court Reporter: Anthony W. Lisanti, C.S.R.

**PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

**The Secretary announced that there were no public speakers.**

**A motion was made by Vice Chairman Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Suffredin to receive and file the Case Disposition Report. The motion carried.**

**SECTION 1**

**PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING**

14-3747

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, DEPUTY STATE'S ATTORNEY, CHIEF, CIVIL ACTIONS BUREAU

**Litigation Jacket No. 321607**

**Department:** State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

**Request:** Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

**Case Name:** Flores v. Giuliano, et al.

**Case Number:** 12 CV 162

**A motion was made by Vice Chairman Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Suffredin, that this Item be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Schneider, that *Koschman v. City of Chicago, et al.*; be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Schneider, that *Hernandez v. Cook County Sheriff's Department* be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**



A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Schneider, that *Gwendolyn Bell v. County of Cook, et al.* be recommended for approval. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Schneider, that *James Degorski v. Cook County Sheriff, et al.* be recommended for approval. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Schneider, that *United States of America v. Cook County, et al.* be recommended for approval. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Schneider, that *Davy v. Cook County* be recommended for approval. The motion carried.

A motion was made by Vice Chairman Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Suffredin, that *Hudson v. Preckwinkle, et al.* be recommended for approval. The motion carried.

Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Gainer, moved to Adjourn. The motion carried unanimously and the meeting was adjourned.

## SECTION 2

### YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS WITH REGARD TO THE MATTERS NAMED HEREIN:

File ID 14-3747

Recommended for Approval

Respectfully submitted,

FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON LITIGATION

PETER N. SILVESTRI, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DELEON, Secretary

---

Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved that the Report of the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.

14-3567

### 1481REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

June 17, 2014

### ATTENDANCE

Present: Chairman Fritchey, Vice Chairman Gorman, Commissioners Butler, Daley, García, Goslin, Schneider, Silvestri and Steele (9)

Absent: None (0)

Also Present: Commissioner Murphy, Simona Rollinson, – Chief Information Officer; Dorothy Brown – Clerk of the Circuit Court; Bridget A. Dancy – Chief Information Officer, Office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court; Mary Jo Horace – Deputy Chief Information Officer; Tom Lynch – Director of ERP; Robert McInerney – Chief Information Officer, Office of the Sheriff; Douglas MacClean – Deputy Director, Office of the State’s Attorney

**PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

**Chairman Fritchey asked the Secretary to the Board to call upon the registered public speakers, in accordance with Cook County Code, Sec. 2-107(dd).**

1. George Blakemore, Concerned Citizen

**SECTION 1**

**14-1411**

**Presented by:** Simona Rollinson, Chief Information Officer, Bureau of Technology

**REPORT**

**Department:** Cook County Bureau of Technology

**Request:** Refer to the Committee on Technology

**Report Title:** Quarterly Progress Report on the Creation of the Automated Criminal Justice System

**Report Period:** 3/1/2014 - 5/31/2014

**Summary:** Pursuant to Resolution 13-2002, the CIO shall update the Board of Commissioners via the Technology Committee on progress being made towards achieving the goal of an integrated, automated Cook County Criminal Justice System on a quarterly basis beginning with the first quarter of the FY2014. This is the second quarterly report of FY2014.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Steele, that this Report be recommended for receiving and filing. The motion carried.**

**14-1481**

**Presented by:** Mary Jo Horace, Deputy Chief Information Officer, Bureau of Technology

**Sponsored by:** JOHN A. FRITCHEY, County Commissioner and TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

**PROPOSED ORDINANCE**

**COOK COUNTY INFORMATION SECURITY ORDINANCE**

**WHEREAS**, technology and information resources in the various agencies and departments of Cook County are strategic and vital assets belonging to the people of the County; and

**WHEREAS**, Cook County government has a duty to its citizens to ensure that the information entrusted to its agencies is safe, secure, and protected from unauthorized access, use, or destruction; and

**WHEREAS**, coordinated efforts are necessary to protect these assets against unauthorized access, disclosure, use, and modification or destruction, whether accidental or deliberate, as well as to assure the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information; and

**WHEREAS**, a strong information security framework must be coordinated, promulgated and implemented throughout county agencies and departments, including the offices of the separately Elected Officials, to ensure the development and maintenance of minimum information security controls to protect technology and information resources that support the operations and assets of said agencies and departments and to enable the County's protection of the public health, safety, morals and welfare;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Chapter 2 Administration, Article I, In General, Division 1, Cook County Information Security, Sec. 2-8 through 2-14 of the Cook County Code, is hereby enacted as follows:

## **ARTICLE I. In General**

### **Division 1 Cook County Information Security**

#### **Sec. 2-8. Short title.**

This division shall be known and may be cited as the "Cook County Information Security Ordinance."

#### **Sec. 2-9. Purpose and Policy.**

All Elected Officials, Departments, Office Institutions or Agencies of Cook County ("County"), including but not limited to the offices and departments under the jurisdiction of the County Board President, the Board of Commissioners, Cook County Health and Hospitals System, Cook County State's Attorney, Cook County Sheriff, Cook County Public Defender, Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Cook County Treasurer, Cook County Clerk, Cook County Recorder of Deeds, Cook County Assessor, Chief Judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Board of Review, Cook County Public Defender, Independent Inspector General, Veteran's Assistance Commission and the Public Administrator (collectively, "County Agency") shall take all reasonable precautions to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of County information. Such precautions shall be in accordance with applicable Federal and State laws and regulations and take into consideration industry standards and best practices.

#### **Sec. 2-10. Definitions.**

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this division shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

*Guideline* means a recommendation to assist a County employee or County contractor in making appropriate decisions or performing a particular task, which allows for latitude in interpretation and implementation. *Plan* means a comprehensive document that details strategic direction, which may also provide additional details, such as Standards used and so forth.

*Policy* means a document that communicates leadership expectations to an organization or business unit, which may also be considered as mandatory business rules or organization-specific directives and which are communication of management intent.

*Procedure* means a document stating the manner in which a Policy shall be functionally implemented in a County Agency's environment, which may define specific operation steps, manual methods, or instructions for compliance with a Policy.

*Standard* means a document that contains a specification or describes minimum implementation that satisfies a Policy.

**Sec. 2-11. Information Security Framework.**

(a) Subject to the approval of the Cook County Chief Information Officer ("CIO"), the Bureau of Technology's Chief Information Security Officer ("CISO") shall create comprehensive and written information security Plans, Policies, Procedures, Standards, and Guidelines for the County and County Agencies (collectively, the "Information Security Framework") to reasonably protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of County information; all County Agencies shall collaborate with the CISO in the creation of the Information Security Framework as requested.

(b) The Information Security Framework shall be in accordance with applicable Federal and State laws and regulations and take into consideration industry standards and best practices.

(c) The Information Security Framework shall include a risk management process, which the CISO shall direct, to identify information security risks in County Agencies and deploy risk mitigation strategies, processes, and procedures.

(d) The Information Security Framework shall include information security incident and breach response Plans as a subset of information security.

**Sec. 2-12. Adoption and Compliance**

(a) The CIO and CISO shall publish and make available the Information Security Framework to all County Agencies; said County Agencies shall adopt and comply with the Information Security Framework.

(b) County Agencies may deviate from the Information Security Framework based on their unique requirements, but only upon receiving prior written approval from the CIO and CISO.

(c) County Agencies shall take all appropriate actions, including completing assigned training and if warranted, initiating disciplinary action, to ensure their employees and contractors adopt and comply with the Information Security Framework.

**Sec. 2-13. Review, Remediation and Enforcement**

(a) Annually the CISO shall review and approve the proposed information security Plans of each County Agency to determine if such Plans are in conformance with the Information Security Framework or properly deviated with prior written approval from the CIO and CISO.

(b) Annually the CISO shall review the status of County Agency adoption and compliance with the Information Security Framework and timely report compliance related issues to the CIO.

(c) Where a County Agency has not fully adopted or complied with the Information Security Framework, the CIO and CISO shall direct that County Agency to take the necessary remediation steps and other measures required

for adoption and to bring them into compliance.

(d) The CIO and CISO shall be authorized to take all appropriate actions to ensure and enforce compliance with the Information Security Framework.

**Sec. 2-14. Reporting and Exceptions.**

(a) At least once each calendar year, the CISO through the CIO shall report to the Cook County Board of Commissioners on the Information Security Framework.

(b) At a minimum, the CISO's annual report shall detail: (i) the status of all County Agencies' adoption and compliance with the Information Security Framework and (ii) a summary of all requests for deviations from the Information Security Framework that the CISO has previously approved or rejected.

**Effective date:** This ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that the substitute to Item 14-1481 be recommended for acceptance. The motion carried.**

**PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE ORDINANCE FOR FILE ID 14-1481**

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners and JOHN A. FRITCHEY, Commissioner, Cook County Board of Commissioners

**COOK COUNTY INFORMATION SECURITY ORDINANCE**

**WHEREAS**, technology and information resources in the various agencies and departments funded by the Cook County Board of Commissioners are strategic and vital assets belonging to the people of the County and State; and

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has a responsibility to the citizens of Cook County to ensure that the information entrusted to these agencies is safe, secure, and protected from unauthorized access, use, or destruction; and

**WHEREAS**, coordinated efforts are encouraged to protect these assets against unauthorized access, disclosure, use, and modification or destruction, whether accidental or deliberate, as well as to assure the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information; and

**WHEREAS**, a strong information security framework should be coordinated, promulgated and implemented throughout agencies funded by the County, including the offices of the separately elected County and State Officials, to ensure the development and maintenance of minimum information security controls to protect technology and information resources that support the operations and assets of said agencies and departments and to enable the protection of the public health, safety, morals and welfare.

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Chapter 2 Administration, Article XII, Cook County Information Security Ordinance, Sec. 2-960 through 2-967 of the Cook County Code, is hereby enacted as follows:

**ARTICLE XII. Cook County Information Security**

**Sec. 2-960. Short title.**

This article shall be known and may be cited as the "Cook County Information Security Ordinance."

**Sec. 2-961. Purpose and Policy.**

All separately elected County and State Officials, Departments, Office Institutions or Agencies funded by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, including but not limited to the offices and departments under the control of the County Board President, the Board of Commissioners, Cook County Health and Hospitals System, State's Attorney of Cook County, Cook County Sheriff, Cook County Public Defender, Illinois Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Cook County Treasurer, Cook County Clerk, Cook County Recorder of Deeds, Cook County Assessor, Chief Judge of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Board of Review, Cook County Public Defender, Cook County Independent Inspector General, Cook County Veteran's Assistance Commission and the Public Administrator (collectively, "Agency") shall take all appropriate precautions to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of information. Such precautions shall be in accordance with applicable Federal and State laws and regulations and take into consideration industry standards and best practices.

**Sec. 2-962. Countywide Information Security Working Group.**

(a) An Information Security Working Group shall be composed of the directors of the information systems for all Agencies set forth in Section 2-961, or the directors' designees.

(b) The Bureau of Technology's Chief Information Security Officer ("CISO") shall be the chair of the Information Security Working Group.

**Sec. 2-963. Definitions.**

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this article shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

*Guideline* means a recommendation to assist an Agency employee or contractor in making appropriate decisions or performing a particular task, which allows for latitude in interpretation and implementation.

*Plan* means a comprehensive document that details strategic direction, which may also provide additional details, such as Standards used and so forth.

*Policy* means a document that communicates leadership expectations to a business unit or department of an Agency, which may also be considered as mandatory business rules or organization-specific directives and which are communication of management intent.

*Procedure* means a document stating the manner in which a Policy shall be functionally implemented in an Agency's environment, which may define specific operation steps, manual methods, or instructions for compliance with a Policy.

*Standard* means a document that contains a specification or describes minimum implementation that satisfies a Policy.

**Sec. 2-964. Information Security Framework.**

(a) The Information Security Working Group shall assist the CISO in creating, and updating as necessary, comprehensive and written information security Plans, Policies, Procedures, Standards, and Guidelines for the Agencies (collectively, the "Information Security Framework") to reasonably protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of Agency information.

(b) In creating and updating the Information Security Framework, the CISO shall seek the advice and recommendations of each Agency in order to ensure that the Information Security Framework addresses unique considerations of said Agency; all Agencies shall advise and collaborate with the CISO in the creation of the Information Security Framework.

(c) The Information Security Framework shall: (1) be in accordance with applicable Federal and State laws and regulations; (2) state all Agencies' minimum requirements and precautions to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of Agencies' information; (3) address the unique considerations of each Agency in a manner that does not unduly interfere with the operations of such Agency or any confidentiality or privilege required for such operations; and (4) take into consideration industry standards and best practices by including critical and necessary components of any such similar framework, for example, risk management processes, information security incident response plans, and data breach notification plans.

**Sec. 2-965. Adoption and Compliance**

(a) The Bureau of Technology's Chief Information Officer ("CIO") and CISO shall publish and make available the Information Security Framework to all Agencies; said Agencies shall adopt and comply with the Information Security Framework in a reasonable time, except as set forth in subsections (b) and (c) of this section. The CIO, CISO and Agencies shall not otherwise disclose the Information Security Framework except as required to further the security of the various information systems or as required by law.

(b) After publication of the Information Security Framework, Agencies may deviate from the Information Security Framework based on their unique requirements, but only upon the approval of the Information Security Working Group and signing of a mutual agreement that would be executed by the CISO and the Agency following the Information Security Working Group approval.

(c) After publication of the Information Security Framework, any Agency not under the control of the County Board President may elect to not adopt and comply with the Information Security Framework by sending written notice to the CIO, the Chair of the Information Security Working Group, and the Technology Committee of the Board of Commissioners within ninety calendar days after such publication; provided that to the extent that such Agency continues to use or access information systems under the management or control of the CIO, the CIO may only allow such Agency to use and access such information systems in a manner consistent with the use and access conditions of the Information Security Framework.

(1) Any Agency not under the control of the County Board President that elects to not adopt and comply with the Information Security Framework under this subsection may, subsequently and at any time, elect to adopt and comply with the Information Security Framework by giving written notice ninety calendar days' in advance to the CIO, the Chair of the Information Security Working Group, and the Technology Committee of the Board of Commissioners.

(2) The adoption and compliance with the Information Security Framework, or the lack thereof, shall not affect any rights and responsibilities arising under any law, including the Illinois Constitution, the Illinois Counties Code, or the Code of Ordinance of Cook County, Illinois.

(d) Agencies adopting the Information Security Framework shall take all appropriate actions, including completing assigned training and if warranted, initiating disciplinary action, to ensure their employees and contractors adopt and comply with the Information Security Framework.

**Sec. 2-966. Review, Remediation and Enforcement**

(a) No less than annually, the CISO shall review and determine the status of Agency adoption and compliance with the Information Security Framework and whether an Agency's use and access of County information systems adheres to the use and access conditions of the Information Security Framework.

(b) Where the CISO has determined that an Agency has not fully adopted or complied with, or uses or access County information systems contrary to, the Information Security Framework, the CIO and CISO shall notify the Information Security Working Group and that Agency to remediate such deficiencies in adoption, compliance, use or access in a reasonable time; and the CISO shall assist such Agency with its remediation effort if requested.

(c) The CIO and CISO shall be authorized to take all other appropriate actions to protect the County’s network that are consistent with the requirements of the Information Security Framework.

(d) Where an Agency disagrees with the CISO’s determination that such Agency has not fully adopted or complied with, or uses or access County information systems contrary to, the Information Security Framework, then such Agency may request that the Information Security Working Group review and determine the status of such Agency’s adoption and compliance with the Information Security Framework. The CISO shall follow the Information Security Working Group’s determinations.

**Sec. 2-967. Reporting.**

(a) At least once each calendar year, the CISO shall report to the Information Security Working Group on the Information Security Framework.

(b) At a minimum, the CISO’s annual report shall detail: (i) the status of all Agencies’ adoption and compliance with the Information Security Framework, and (ii) a summary of all advice and recommendations of each Agency regarding their unique considerations. Based on information provided by the Information Security Working Group, the CISO may modify his or her annual report.

(c) The CIO shall present the CISO’s annual report to the Cook County Board of Commissioners following the presentation of that report to the Information Security Working Group.

**Effective date:** This Ordinance shall be effective upon passage.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Butler, seconded by Commissioner Schneider, that Item 14-1481 be recommended for approval as substituted. The motion carried.**

**14-2269**

**Presented by:** Mary Jo Horace, Deputy Chief Information Officer, Bureau of Technology

**PROPOSED CONTRACT (TECHNOLOGY)**

**Department:** Bureau of Technology

**Vendor:** SunGard Availability Services LP, Wayne, Pennsylvania

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute.

**Goods or Services:** Information Security, Compliance and Incident Response Services

**Contract Value:** \$1,364,123.00

**Contract period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2018~~5/1/2014 - 4/30/2018~~, with two (2) two-year extension options

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY2014 \$171,659.00~~\$228,443.00~~; FY2015: \$340,704.00; FY2016: \$340,704.00; FY2017: \$340,704.00; FY2018: \$170,352.00~~\$113,568.00~~

**Accounts:** 769-260 Account

**Contract Number:** 1350-12461

**Concurrences:**

The Vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprises Ordinance.



The Chief Procurement Officer Concurs

**Summary:** In 2013, Cook County issued an RFP for Information Security, Compliance and Incident Response services, which resulted in the contract that BOT now seeks authorization for the CPO to execute. Procuring the services of information security experts is a critical step to improving the County's information security practices, achieving compliance with applicable information security regulations and best practices, and properly handling information security incidents.

Cook County provides services for approximately 5.3 million residents. Many of these services handle sensitive information including social security numbers, credit card numbers, and personal health information. With the assistance of information security consulting experts, the County can enhance its information security program by performing nationally recognized risk assessments, enhancing the County's information security framework, performing additional cyber security monitoring and testing, and improving its incident response and forensic response capabilities. In addition to the objectives identified above, other desired outcomes include the protection personal information of County residents and the mitigation of cyber-security risks.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Butler, seconded by Commissioner Schneider, that this Contract (Technology) be recommended for approval as amended. The motion carried.**

**14-3173**

**Presented by:** F. Thomas Lynch, Director, Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP)

**REPORT**

**Department:** ERP, Enterprise Resource Planning

**Request:** Refer to Committee on Technology

**Report Title:** ERP Project Status Report

**Report Period:** Ongoing

**Summary:** The Director of ERP will provide a comprehensive update to the Board of Commissioners via the Technology Committee on the status of all ongoing ERP projects. The status update will reflect progress being made towards achieving the goals of selecting and implementing a Countywide Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) platform, configuring and installing a biometric-based Time & Attendance system, and upgrading and migrating the JDEdwards HR/Payroll system to a cloud hosting environment. This is the first report of FY 2014.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Gorman, seconded by Commissioner Schneider, that this Report be recommended for receiving and filing. The motion carried.**

**ADJOURNMENT**

**Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion passed and the meeting was adjourned.**

**SECTION 2**

**YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTION  
WITH REGARD TO THE MATTER NAMED HEREIN:**

File Id 14-1411 for Receiving and Filing	Recommended
File Id 14-1481 for Approval as Substituted	Recommended
File Id 14-2269 for Approval as Amended	Recommended
File Id 14-3173 for Receiving and Filing	Recommended

Respectfully submitted,

COMMITTEE ON TECHNOLOGY

JOHN A. FRITCHEY, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DeLEON, Secretary

---

**Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, moved that the Report of the Committee on Technology be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.**

**14-3577**

**REPORT OF THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON WORKERS' COMPENSATION**

June 17, 2014

**ATTENDANCE**

Present: Chairman Schneider, Commissioners Fritchey, Moore and Tobolski (4)

Absent: Commissioner Reyes (1)

**PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

**The Secretary announced that there were no public speakers.**

**SECTION 1**

**Commissioner Tobolski seconded by Commissioner Moore moved Approval of the cases over \$25,000.00. The motion carried.**

**1. SETTLEMENTS**

**County Government**

**BICKEL, JOHN**

**12 WC 12417  
12 WC 12418**

**\$37,398.17**

The Petitioner injured his right knee while he was participating in riot training exercises.

**Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved Approval. The motion carried.**

**BRODERICK, GILBERT**  
11 WC 39620

**\$83,857.47**

Petitioner slipped on a wet surface, twisted his left knee and struck his left shoulder against his squad car.

**Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved Approval. The motion carried.**

**GARCIA, RAUL**  
12 WC 24253

**\$28,000.00**

The Petitioner was knocked to the floor, stomped and kicked by an inmate.

**Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved Approval. The motion carried.**

**HOWARD, GARY**  
11 WC 29449

**\$28,794.52**

The Petitioner twisted his knee on an uneven sidewalk.

**Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved Approval. The motion carried.**

**NUGENT, THOMAS**  
11 WC 21024

**\$75,000.00**

The Petitioner fell off a scaffold and injured his right foot and knee.

12 WC 32586

The Petitioner stepped into a hole, injuring his left foot.

**Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved Approval. The motion carried.**

**SILLER, JOHNNIE**  
11 WC 08268

**\$35,000.00**

Petitioner slipped on water and fell to the ground on his lower back while restraining detainees from fighting.

11 WC 40140

A juvenile detainee pushed a locker on the Petitioner's lower back.

**Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved Approval. The motion carried.**

**STILES, LARRY**  
10 WC 118

**\$135,000.00**

The Petitioner slipped and fell off a ladder injuring his neck and right shoulder.

**Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved Approval. The motion carried.**

**WILEY, JOHN**

**08 WC 37805**

**\$47,445.00**

The Petitioner was restraining a combative resident when he injured his back and left elbow.

**09 WC 20866**

The Petitioner was restraining a resident from fighting when he injured his back, right knee and right elbow.

**09 WC 49977**

The Petitioner was restraining a combative resident when he injured his left arm and left elbow.

**12 WC 33965**

The Petitioner was between two residents who were fighting when he fell and injured his left elbow and shoulder.

**Commissioner Moore, seconded by Commissioner Fritchey, moved Approval. The motion carried.**

**ADJOURNMENT**

**Commissioner Fritchey seconded by, Commissioner Moore moved to adjourn. The motion carried unanimously and the meeting was adjourned.**

Respectfully submitted,

FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON WORKERS' COMPENSATION

TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DeLEON, Secretary

---

**Commissioner Schneider, seconded by Commissioner Moore, moved that the Report of the Finance Subcommittee on Workers' Compensation be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.**

**14-3690**

**REPORT OF THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON REAL ESTATE AND  
BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

June 17, 2014

**ATTENDANCE**

Present: Chairman García, Vice Chairman Murphy, Commissioners Butler, Gorman, Moore, Schneider and Steele (7)

Absent: Commissioner Reyes (1)

**PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

**Chairman Garcia asked the Secretary to the Board to call upon the registered public speakers, in accordance with Cook County Code, Sec. 2-107(dd).**

**1. George Blakemore, Concerned Citizen**

**SECTION 1**

**14-1930**

**Sponsored by:** PETER N. SILVESTRI, County Commissioner

**PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDMENT**

**AMENDMENT TO ASSESSMENT CLASSES - CLASS 6A**

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Chapter 74 Taxation, Article II Real Property Taxation, Division 2, Section 74-63 through 74-69 of the Cook County Code of Ordinances are hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 74-63. Assessment classes.**

Real estate is divided into the following assessment classes:

- (1) *Class 1.* Unimproved real estate.
- (2) *Class 2.* Real estate:
  - a. Used as a farm;
  - b. Used for residential purposes when improved with a house, an apartment building of not more than six living units, or residential condominium, a residential cooperative or a government-subsidized housing project, if required by statute to be assessed in the lowest assessment category;
  - c. Improved with a building put to commercial and residential use, of six or less units where the building measures less than 20,000 square feet of above grade space; or
  - d. Real estate improved with a single room occupancy building, as defined in this division, provided that:
    1. At least one-third of the single room occupancy units are leased at no more than 80 percent of the current "Fair Market Rent Schedule for Existing Housing for Single Room Occupancy

Units" as set by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (hereinafter "FMR schedule");

2. No single room occupancy units are leased at rents in excess of 100 percent of the current FMR schedule;
3. The overall maximum average rent per unit for all single room occupancy units in the building shall not exceed 90 percent of the current FMR schedule; and
4. The subject property is in substantial compliance with all local building, safety and health codes and requirements.

In the event that the owner fails to comply with these requirements, the Class 2 classification shall be revoked.

- (3) *Class 3.* All improved real estate used for residential purposes which is not included in any other class.
- (4) *Class 4.* Real estate owned and used by a not-for-profit corporation in furtherance of the purposes set forth in its charter unless used for residential purposes. If such real estate is used for residential purposes, it shall be classified in the appropriate residential class.
- (5) *Class 5a.* All real estate not included in Class 1, Class 2, Class 3, Class 4, Class 5b, Class 6a, Class 6b, Class C, Class 7a, Class 7b, Class 8, Class 9, Class S or Class L of this section.
- (6) *Class 5b.* All real estate used for industrial purposes as defined herein and not included in any other class.
- (7) *Class 6a.* Real estate used primarily for the purpose of a retail center with a grocery store component deemed "qualified" for the purposes of the Amended Class 6a, including the land upon which such property is situated; or real estate used primarily for the purpose of a retail development enterprise deemed "qualified", consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated.

a. A property may be deemed "qualified" for the purpose of the Amended Class 6a if:

i. The retail center with a grocery store component that occupies the premise or retail development enterprise that will occupy the premise will generate sales tax revenue through the Retail's Occupation Tax, the Service Occupation Tax and/or the Use Tax; and

ii. With regards to the retail center with a grocery store enterprise, the retail center with a grocery store component that occupies the premises submits evidence of hardship supporting a determination that the Amended Class 6a designation is necessary for the retail center with a grocery store component to continue operations at its current location and maintain its staff, and that without such designation the retail center with grocery store component would not be economically viable, causing the property to be in imminent risk of becoming or continuing to be vacant and unused. With regards to the retail development enterprise, the retail development enterprise that will occupy the premises submits evidence of hardship supporting a determination that the Amended Class 6a designation is necessary for the retail to be developed, and that without such designation the retail development enterprise would not be economically viable, causing the property to be in imminent risk of continuing to be vacant and unused.

b. An applicant must obtain, from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an Ordinance or Resolution expressly stating that it has determined the property is “qualified” for the purpose of the Amended Class 6a and that it supports and consents to the Amended Class 6a application to the Assessor. A certified copy of the Ordinance or Resolution need not be filed at the time of filing the Amended Class 6a eligibility application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Amended Class 6a. If the Ordinance or Resolution is not filed at the time of the eligibility application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County, as the case may be, confirming that an Ordinance or Resolution supporting the incentive has been requested.

c. A copy of the Ordinance or Resolution or letter confirming that an Ordinance or Resolution has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor’s Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.

d. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed “qualified” for the purpose of the Amended Class 6a, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must present the municipal-enabling Ordinance or Resolution obtained in accordance with Section b to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed “qualified” for the purpose of the Amended Class 6a and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of the County Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed “qualified” for the purpose of the Amended Class 6a need not be filed at the time of filing the Amended Class 6a application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Amended Class 6a.

e. The Assessor shall provide rules for the filing of annual reports by recipients of Amended Class 6a incentives granted as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Amended Class 6a site. In such reports, recipients shall be required to certify whether the retail center with grocery store component or the retail development enterprise continues its operations at that location. In addition, recipients of Amended Class 6a incentives shall be required to report to the Assessor within 30 days if the retail center with grocery store component or the retail development enterprise ceases operations at that location. A copy of such reports will be forwarded by the Assessor’s Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor’s rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling. Additionally, if the retail center with grocery store component or the retail development enterprise ceases operations at that location, then the Amended Class 6a incentive granted shall terminate.

f. Class 6a applications for newly constructed or substantially rehabilitated buildings and other structures must be made to the Assessor within one year prior to the commencement of such new construction or substantial rehabilitation to qualify for a Class 6a incentive.

g. This classification will begin from date of the notice of approval regarding the retail center with grocery store component or from the date of such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) regarding the retail development enterprise and will continue for a period of 12 years or until the retail center with grocery store component or the retail development enterprise ceases operations at that location if that occurs sooner.

- h. This incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a ten percent assessment level pursuant to Section 74-64(7), if the following requirements are met:
- i. The property can continue to be deemed “qualified” for the purposes of the Class 6a;
  - ii. The applicant notifies the Assessor’s Office of intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
  - iii. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the premise continues to be deemed “qualified” for the purpose of the Amended Class 6a, and supports and consents to renewal of the Amended Class 6a; and
  - iv. A copy of that Resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Assessor’s Office before the expiration of the ten percent assessment level period.
- i. The number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and the property continues to be deemed “qualified” for the purpose of the Amended Class 6a.
- j. A copy of the request for renewal of the incentive will be forwarded by the Assessor’s Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts.
- k. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next 2 years, pursuant to Section 74-64(7). After expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
- l. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine eligibility for the benefits provided under Amended Class 6a.
- (7)(8) Class 6b. Real estate used primarily for industrial purposes, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.
- a. An applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an Ordinance or Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the incentive provided by Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on that specific real estate and that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the Class 6b application to the Assessor. A certified copy of the Ordinance or Resolution need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b eligibility application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the eligibility application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a



letter from the municipality or the County, as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance supporting the incentive has been requested.

- b. A copy of the Resolution or letter confirming that a Resolution has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.
- c. Class 6b applications for newly constructed or substantially rehabilitated buildings and other structures must be made to the Assessor within one year prior to the commencement of such new construction or substantial rehabilitation to qualify for a Class 6b incentive. With respect to abandoned property, the Class 6b application must be made to the Assessor prior to the commencement of the reoccupation of the vacant and unused property.
- d. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of Class 6b, unless:
  1. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
  2. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 6b application. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b eligibility application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 6b eligibility application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Temporary Emergency Economic Recovery Modification (TEERM) Program: In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of a Class 6b under the TEERM Program if there has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for at least 12 continuous months. The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 6b application under the TEERM Program. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required

finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 6b application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Sustainable Emergency Relief (SER) Program: In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purpose of Class 6b under the SER Program if:

1. The industrial enterprise that occupies the premises has been at the same location for a minimum of ten years prior to the date of application for the SER Program;
2. The industrial enterprise that occupies the premises submits evidence of hardship supporting a determination that participation in the SER Program is necessary for the industrial enterprise to continue operations at its current location and maintain its staff, and that without such designation the industrial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the property to be in imminent risk of becoming vacant and unused; and
3. The applicant is not receiving another Cook County property tax incentive for the same property.

The finding that a property is qualified, along with the specification of the special circumstances, and a determination that the applicant's participation in the SER Program is necessary for the industrial enterprise to continue operations at its current location and maintain its staff, and that without such designation the industrial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the property to be in imminent risk of becoming vacant and unused, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 6b application. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purposes of Class 6b, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purposes of Class 6b and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purposes of Class 6b need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 6b application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Applications for the TEERM Program or SER Program under a Class 6b application must be received by the Assessor's Office on or before November 30, 2018 to receive consideration.

The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of annual reports by recipients of Class 6b incentives granted pursuant to the SER Program as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 6b site. In such reports, recipients shall be required to certify whether the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application continues its operations at that location. In addition, recipients of Class 6b incentives granted pursuant to the SER Program shall be required to report to the Assessor within 30 days if the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application ceases operations at that location. A copy of such reports will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling. Additionally, if the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application ceases operations at that location, then the Class 6b incentive granted pursuant to the SER program shall terminate.

- e. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial reoccupancy, or in the case of incentives granted pursuant to the TEERM Program, from the date of the notice of approval. In the case of incentives granted pursuant to the SER Program, this classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date of the notice of approval, or until the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application ceases operations at that location if that occurs sooner.
- f. Unless a Class 6b granted pursuant to the TEERM Program or the SER Program, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a ten percent assessment level pursuant to section 74-64(7), if the following requirements are met:
  - 1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
  - 2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class 6b; and
  - 3. A copy of that Resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Assessor's Office before the expiration of the ten-percent assessment level period.
- g. Class 6b incentives that are granted pursuant to the TEERM Program or SER Program are not renewable. For all other Class 6b incentives, the number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and meet the requirements for Class 6b.
- h. A copy of the request for renewal of the incentive will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts.
- i. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64(7). After expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
- j. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine eligibility for the benefits provided under Class 6b.

- k. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 6b recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 6b site. A copy of such reports will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.

~~(8)~~(9) *Class C.* Real estate which is to be used for industrial or commercial purposes, including abandoned property, as defined in Section 74-62, including the land upon which such property is situated; or vacant land; where such real estate because of contamination has undergone environmental testing and remediation and has received a "No Further Remediation Letter" from the site remediation program.

- a. To be eligible for a Class C classification an applicant must have received a "No Further Remediation Letter" confirming achievement of the remediation objectives based on the industrial or commercial use.
- b. The owner of the property is rendered ineligible for the Class C classification by having previously owned or operated the site, directly or indirectly, or having been a partner or being associated through a family or business relationship with anyone who has owned or operated the site, which ownership or operation caused the contamination which was remediated pursuant to a site remediation. A present owner who can successfully demonstrate that the owner was not responsible for the contamination may be eligible for Class C classification.
- c. An applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an ordinance or resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the incentive provided by Class C is necessary for development to occur on that specific real estate and that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the Class C application to the Assessor. A certified copy of the ordinance or resolution must be filed at the time of application for the Class C classification. A copy of that ordinance or resolution, whichever is submitted, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.
- d. To qualify for the Class C classification, an application for Class C classification must be made within one year of the receipt of the "No Further Remediation Letter." Where an application for Class C classification encompasses less than all of the contiguous property owned by the applicant upon which remediation has been completed, the one year limitation will be waived for any subsequent separate application for Class C classification for the remainder or for additional portions of the property, provided that such subsequent application is made within seven years.
- e. Additionally, to qualify for the Class C classification, the estimated remediation costs, including site investigation, testing, oversight, remediation and removal costs, monitoring, and engineering and legal fees associated with the remediation process, must total at least \$100,000.00, or alternatively, must total at least 25 percent of the market value of the real estate as determined by the Assessor's property record card in the year prior to the remediation, whichever is less.
- f. The initial Class C classification shall continue for a period of 12 years for both industrial and commercial property. For industrial property, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a 16 percent assessment level, if the following requirements are met:

1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of the taxpayer's intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class C; and
3. A copy of that resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Office of the Assessor before the expiration of the 16 percent assessment level period.

The number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and qualify for Class C. Any property which applies for Class C treatment on or before the adoption date of the ordinance from which this division is derived will be eligible for this renewal term at the end of their original incentive period subject to the above requirements. The notice of intent to request renewal which is filed with the Assessor's Office will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the effected districts.

- g. If, on November 23, 1999, a property is receiving Class C treatment, but the assessment level is higher than 16 percent, that taxpayer may apply for renewal as outlined above and receive a 16 percent assessment level for the prescribed period beginning after the filing and approval of the resolution and renewal application. However, if, as of that effective date, the taxpayer's assessment is higher than 16 percent and the taxpayer is granted a renewal of the incentive for subsequent years, no reduction of the current assessment level based on renewal of the incentive will be granted. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64. After such ten-year period expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
  - h. For commercial properties, once the original 12-year incentive period has expired, the commercial Class C incentive will expire. The incentive classification will not be subject to renewal and the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
  - i. The Assessor shall review the application and supporting documentation to determine eligibility for the Class C classification. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with the foregoing necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine initial and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under the Class C classification.
  - j. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class C recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class C site. Such reports shall be verified. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.
- ~~(9)~~(10) *Class 7a.* Real estate used primarily for commercial purposes, comprising a qualified commercial development project, as determined pursuant to Section 74-65(a), located in an area in need of commercial development, where total development costs, exclusive of land, do not exceed \$2,000,000.00, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, as defined in this division, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the

rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.

- a. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7a. The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the resolution or ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 7a application. Notwithstanding the foregoing, special circumstances may not be determined to justify finding that a property is deemed "abandoned" where:
  - A. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
  - B. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

Such resolution or ordinance must be filed with the eligibility application. If the ordinance or resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7a and a resolution to that effect shall be included with the Class 7a eligibility application filed with the Assessor.

- b. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial reoccupancy. After such 12-year period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
- c. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 7a recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 7a site. Such reports shall be verified. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.

~~(10)~~(11) *Class 7b.* Real estate used primarily for commercial purposes, as defined in this division, comprising a qualified commercial development project, as determined pursuant to Section 74-65(a), located in an "area in need of commercial development", where total development costs, exclusive of land, exceed \$2,000,000.00, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, as defined herein, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.

- a. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7b. The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the resolution or ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 7b application. Notwithstanding the foregoing, special circumstances may not be determined to justify finding that a property is deemed "abandoned" where:

- A. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
- B. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

Such resolution or ordinance must be filed with the eligibility application. If the ordinance or resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7b and a resolution to that effect shall be included with the Class 7b eligibility application filed with the Assessor.

- b. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial reoccupancy.
- c. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 7b recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 7b site. Such reports shall be verified. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.

~~(11)~~(12) *Class 8.* Real estate used primarily for industrial and commercial purposes, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, as defined in this division, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation.

- a. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel. Such real estate must be either obtained through the Cook County Tax Reactivation Project or must be located in one of the following designated geographical areas:
  - 1. An area which has been certified as in need of substantial revitalization in accordance with the provisions of Section 74-65(b);
  - 2. An enterprise community as proposed and approved by the County Board on June 22, 1994, or the Chicago City Council on May 18, 1994, and the municipality in which such real estate is located, or, if in an unincorporated area, the County must by lawful Resolution determine that such real estate is consistent with an overall plan for the rehabilitation of the area; or
  - 3. Any one of the following five townships: Bloom; Bremen; Calumet; Rich; and Thornton.
- b. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of Class 8, unless:
  - 1. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
  - 2. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 8 application. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such, a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8, and a Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 8 application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 8. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 8 application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Temporary Emergency Economic Recovery Modification (TEERM) Program: In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of Class 8 under the TEERM Program, if there has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for at least 12 continuous months. The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 8 application. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such, a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8, and a Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 8 application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 8. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 8 application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Applications for the TEERM Program must be received by the Assessor's Office on or before November 30, 2018 to receive consideration.

- c. A copy of the Resolution or letter confirming that a Resolution has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.
- d. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date of new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial reoccupancy, or in the case of incentives granted pursuant to the TEERM Program, from the date of the notice of approval.



- e. Unless it was granted pursuant to the TEERM Program, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a ten-percent assessment level pursuant to 74-64(11), if the following requirements are met:
  - 1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of the taxpayer's intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
  - 2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial or commercial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class 8; and
  - 3. A copy of that Resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Assessor's Office before the expiration of the 10 percent assessment level period.
- f. Class 8 incentives that are granted pursuant to the TEERM Program are not renewable. For all other Class 8 incentives, the number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and meet the requirements for Class 8.
- g. A copy of the request for renewal of the incentive will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.
- h. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64(11). After expiration of the last incentive period the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
- i. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine eligibility for the benefits provided under Class 8.
- j. The certification of an area as in need of substantial revitalization shall expire five years from the date such certification is granted. The Assessor shall notify the applicant of the date of expiration of certification one year before the date of the expiration of the certification. Such certification, pursuant to the same criteria, may be extended for one additional five-year period subject to reapplication by the appropriate local governing body within the period from one year to six months prior to the expiration of the initial five-year period.
- k. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 8 recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 8 site. A copy of such reports will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.

~~(12)~~(13) *Class 9.* All real estate otherwise entitled to Class 3 classification under this division, provided that such real estate, consisting of land and existing buildings and structures is multifamily residential real estate; either has undergone major rehabilitation, or is new construction, or both; has at least 35 percent of the dwelling units leased at rents affordable to low-or moderate-income persons or households; and is in substantial compliance with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes.

- a. To qualify for the Class 9 classification, the applicant must:
  1. File an eligibility application with the Assessor prior to commencement of rehabilitation and/or of new construction;
  2. Either undertake and complete a major rehabilitation of the subject property, or undertake and complete construction of a new building;
  3. Maintain the subject property, including any new construction, in substantial compliance with all local building, safety and health codes and requirements for the duration of the Class 9 classification period;
  4. Lease, for the duration of the Class 9 classification period, at least 35 percent of the dwelling units of the subject property, including any new construction, to tenants at rents which will not exceed rents affordable to low- and moderate-income persons or households;
  5. Agree to make a current listing of Class 9 tenants and their income available to the Assessor upon request;
  6. Further agrees to annually provide the tenants with a list of the permissible Class 9 rents;
  7. Agree to notify tenants of the upcoming Class 9 expiration at least one year prior to the termination of the incentive treatment; and
  8. File annually with the Assessor, on or before a date determined by the Assessor, for the duration of the Class 9 classification period, a sworn statement verifying continuous compliance with the Class 9 provisions of this division.
- b. No applicant shall discriminate on the basis of race, color, sex, marital status, religion, national origin or ancestry, or on any other basis prohibited under Federal, State or local law.
- c. Upon completion of the major rehabilitation, the applicant must supplement the application by submitting evidence showing that major rehabilitation did, in fact, occur, the date that the major rehabilitation was completed and that the real estate complies with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes. Upon completion of the new construction, the applicant must supplement the application by submitting an occupancy permit showing the date that the new construction was completed and ready for occupancy, and evidence that the real estate complies with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes.
- d. Beginning January 1, 2000, the Class 9 classification shall have an initial duration of ten years from the date that the major rehabilitation was completed. That period may be extended for additional ten-year periods if:
  1. An application is filed with the Assessor at least 12 months before the expiration of the incentive period;
  2. The applicant presents evidence that the real estate currently complies with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes; and
  3. The Assessor determines that all application qualifications, except the major rehabilitation or new construction requirement, were maintained during the incentive period.

- e. When the Class 9 classification is due to expire or is terminated by action of the owner or the Assessor, the property owner shall, in a manner and form determined by the Assessor, notify all Class 9 tenants of the date of the termination of Class 9 classification. Once the Class 9 classification is terminated, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.

~~(13)~~(14) *Class S.* Real estate otherwise entitled to Class 3 classification under this division, consisting of land and existing buildings and structures, which is has been subject to a Section 8 contract renewal. The portion of the land and building eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the number of Section 8 units bears to the total number of units. The proportion shall be applied only to property used for residential purposes, and not to portions of the property, if any, used for commercial purposes.

- a. Property qualifies for the Class S classification if its Section 8 contract has been renewed pursuant to one of the following alternatives:

- 1. HUD has approved renewal of the Section 8 contract under the mark up to market option, after finding that:

- i. The property has received a physical inspection score of at least 60, in an inspection by HUD's Real Estate Assessment Center, confirming that the property is decent, safe, sanitary and in good repair with no uncorrected exigent health and safety (EHS) violations;

- ii. The property does not have a low- and moderate-income use restriction that cannot be eliminated by unilateral action by the owner. If, however, the current rent is lower than the use restriction, HUD may use the mark up to market option to increase the rents to the use restriction level, which would be a renewal qualifying for the S classification; and

- iii. A rent comparability study conducted by HUD has demonstrated that comparable market rents are above 100 percent of the HUD Fair Market Rent.

- 2. HUD has approved a contract renewal for five years of the Section 8 contract under the mark up to market under HUD's discretionary authority, after finding that the property meets at least one of the required criteria:

- i. A vulnerable population is affected,

- ii. There is a low vacancy rate in the area, which would make tenant based assistance difficult to use, or a lack of comparable housing, or

- iii. The project is a high priority for the local community, as demonstrated by a contribution of state or local funds to the property.

- 3. HUD has approved renewal of a Section 8 contract for a not for profit corporation under any available option under HUD's renewal procedures as described in HUD's Section 8 Renewal Policy Guidelines.

- b. Additional requirements for qualification for the S classification are:

- 1. At least 20 percent of the living units must be Section 8 units for qualifying low and moderate-income persons.

- 2. The owner must agree to retain at least the existing number of Section 8 units for at least five years after the expiration of the expiring or expired Section 8 contract.

3. For the duration of the Class S classification period, applicant must file annually with the Assessor, on or before a date determined by the Assessor, a sworn statement verifying continuous compliance with the Class S provisions of this division.
  4. Applicant must agree to notify the Assessor's Office if the Section 8 contract is terminated prior to its expiration date. Applicant shall provide to the Assessor's office a copy of any notice of default or notice of abatement received from HUD.
- c. When the applicant applies to HUD for a contract renewal, no less than 120 days prior to the expiration of the contract, the applicant shall notify the Assessor's Office of the application, on a form provided by that office. Upon receiving approval of the contract renewal from HUD, the applicant shall file an application for the incentive with the Assessor's Office, on a form provided by that office. The application shall be supported by a copy of HUD's letter approving the contract renewal and a copy of the executed renewal contract.
  - d. Any property which, as of November 23, 1999, has an existing Section 8 contract with a mark up to market option may apply for Class S classification for the any portion of the 2001 assessment year encompassed within the contract term, and for the remainder of the contract term, including any renewals approved with the mark up to market option. The classification shall continue until the expiration or termination of the Section 8 contract.

Any property which, as of (DATE AMENDMENT APPROVED), has an existing Section 8 contract renewal may apply for Class S classification for any portion of the 2006 assessment year encompassed within the contract term, and for the remainder of the contract term, including any renewals approved with the mark up to market option, mark up to market option under HUD's discretionary authority or a Section 8 contract that has been renewed by a not-for-profit corporation under any available option under HUD's renewal procedures as described in HUD's Section 8 Renewal Policy Guidelines. The classification shall continue until the expiration or termination of the Section 8 contract.

- e. The incentive may be renewed if the Section 8 contract is again renewed under any of the following three options: 1) the mark up to market option; 2) the mark up to market option under HUD's discretionary authority; or 3) by a not-for-profit corporation under any available option under HUD's renewal procedures as described in HUD's Section 8 Renewal Policy Guidelines. Upon filing an application with HUD, no less than 120 days prior to termination of the contract, for renewal of the Section 8 contract, the taxpayer shall provide notice to the Assessor's Office of its application for renewal. The taxpayer shall provide a copy to the Assessor's Office of HUD's approval of the contract renewal, or notification of other action.
  - f. The Assessor's Office shall adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine initial and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under Class S.
- (14)(15) *Class L.* Real estate which is to be used for commercial or industrial purposes and which is designated as Class 3, Class 4, Class 5a or Class 5b pursuant to this division; is a landmark or contributing building; and has undergone substantial rehabilitation. The substantial rehabilitation must constitute an investment by the owner of at least 50 percent of the building's full market value as determined by the Assessor in the assessment year prior to the commencement of the substantial rehabilitation.
- a. Generally, the incentive shall apply only to the building and will not apply to the land underneath the building. However, if the entire building has been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous

- months prior to the filing of the eligibility application with the Assessor, the land upon which the building is situated shall also be eligible for the incentive.
- b. Prior to filing a Class L eligibility application with the Assessor, an applicant must obtain an ordinance or resolution from the unit of local government in which the real estate is located, which expressly states that the local government:
    1. Has determined that the incentive provided by Class L is necessary for the substantial rehabilitation of the property;
    2. Supports and consents to the granting of the incentive; and
    3. Has reviewed and accepted its preservation commission's written recommendation of the project for the Class L incentive. This recommendation will specify the project's budget and proposed scope of work and will specify that the project will meet or exceed the Standards of the United States Department of the Interior for Rehabilitation, Preservation, Restoration, and Reconstruction of historic properties.
  - c. A certified copy of the ordinance or resolution need not be filed with the Assessor at the time the Class L eligibility application is filed but the ordinance or resolution must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class L.
  - d. If the ordinance or resolution is not filed at the time of the eligibility application is filed, the applicant shall instead, include the following items with the eligibility application:
    1. A letter from the municipality or the County, as the case may be, confirming that a resolution or ordinance supporting the incentive has been requested; and
    2. A copy of the preservation commission's recommendation of the project.
  - e. A copy of the resolution or letter confirming that a resolution has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.
  - f. Additionally, to qualify a landmark building or contributing building for Class L classification, an eligibility application must be made to the Assessor within one year prior to the commencement of substantial rehabilitation. After the substantial rehabilitation has been completed, the preservation commission shall review the project to determine that it is eligible under Subsection (14) of this section. The applicant must supplement the eligibility application with a copy of the determination of the preservation commission prior to classification of the real estate as Class L.
  - g. The initial Class L classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed.
  - h. For property which was initially classified as Class 3, 4 or 5b, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a 16 percent assessment level, if the following requirements are met:
    1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of the taxpayer's intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;

2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class L; and
3. A copy of that resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Office of the Assessor before the expiration of the incentive period.

The number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and qualify for Class L. The notice of intent to request renewal which is filed with the Assessor's Office will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts.

- i. If, as of November 23, 1999, a property is receiving Class L treatment, but the assessment level is higher than 16 percent, that taxpayer may apply for renewal as outlined above and receive a 16 percent assessment level for the prescribed period beginning after the filing and approval of the resolution and renewal application. However, if as of the effective date, the taxpayer's assessment is higher than 16 percent and the taxpayer is granted a renewal of the incentive for subsequent years, no reduction of the current assessment level based on renewal of the incentive will be granted. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64. After expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
- j. For commercial properties, once the original 12-year incentive period has expired, the commercial Class L incentive will expire. The incentive classification will not be subject to renewal and the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
- k. The Assessor shall adopt rules consistent with the foregoing necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine initial and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under Class L.
- l. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class L recipients as to the continued landmark status of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class L site. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules may result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.

**Sec. 74-64. Market value percentages.**

The Assessor shall assess, and the Board of Review shall review, assessments on real estate in the various classes at the following percentages of market value:

- (1) Class 1: 10 percent.
- (2) Class 2: 10 percent.
- (3) Class 3: 16 percent in tax year 2009, 13 percent in tax year 2010, 10 percent in tax year 2011, and subsequent years.
- (4) Class 4: 25 percent.
- (5) Class 5a: 25 percent.

- (6) Class 5b: 25 percent.
- (7) Class 6a: 10 percent for first ten years and for any subsequent ten year renewal periods; if the incentive is not renewed, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- ~~(7)~~(8) Class 6b: 10 percent for first ten years and for any subsequent ten-year renewal periods; if the incentive is not renewed, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- ~~(8)~~(9) Class C: Industrial properties: 10 percent for first ten years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12; commercial properties: 10 percent for first ten years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- ~~(9)~~(10) Class 7a: 10 percent for first ten years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- ~~(10)~~(11) Class 7b: 10 percent for first ten years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- ~~(11)~~(12) Class 8: 10 percent for first ten years and for any subsequent ten-year renewal periods; if the incentive is not renewed, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- ~~(12)~~(13) Class 9: 10 percent for an initial ten-year period, renewable upon application for additional ten-year periods.
- ~~(13)~~(14) Class S: 10 percent for the term of the Section 8 contract renewal under the mark up to market option, as defined herein, and for any additional terms of renewal of the Section 8 contract under the mark up to market option.
- ~~(14)~~(15) Class L, renewable properties: 10 percent for first ten years and for any subsequent ten-year renewal periods; if the incentive is not renewed, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12; commercial properties: 10 percent for first ten years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.

**Sec. 74-65. Qualifications for commercial development project status.**

- (a) To qualify as a commercial development project under Class 7a or 7b, it is necessary that the project be located in an area in need of commercial development in that:
  - (1) The area is, or has been within the last ten years, designated by Federal, State or local agency as a conservation, blighted or renewal area or an area encompassing a rehabilitation or redevelopment plan or project adopted under the Illinois Urban Renewal Consolidation Act of 1961, as amended, or the Commercial Renewal Re-development Areas Act of 1967, as amended, or that the area is located in a Federal Empowerment Zone or Enterprise Community, as proposed and approved by the County Board on June 22, 1994, or the Chicago City Council on May 18, 1994, or the Commercial District Development Commission Ordinance of the City of Chicago or designation of like effect adopted under any similar statute or ordinance;
  - (2) Real estate taxes within the area, during the last six years, have declined, remained stagnant or potential real estate taxes are not being fully realized due to the depressed condition of the area;
  - (3) There is a reasonable expectation that the development, re-development or rehabilitation of the commercial development project is viable and likely to go forward on a reasonably timely basis if granted Class 7a or 7b designation and will therefore result in the economic enhancement of the area;
  - (4) Certification of the commercial development project for Class 7a or 7b designation will materially assist development, redevelopment or rehabilitation of the area and the commercial development project would not go forward without the full incentive offered under Class 7a or 7b; and

- (5) Certification of the commercial development project for Class 7a or 7b designation is reasonably expected to ultimately result in an increase in real property tax revenue and employment opportunities within the area.
- (b) Prior to filing a Class 7a or 7b eligibility application with the Assessor, an applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an ordinance or resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)—(a)(5) of this section are present and that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the Class 7a or 7b application to the Assessor. A certified copy of such ordinance or resolution shall be included with the Class 7a or 7b application at the time of filing the application with the Assessor. A copy of the ordinance or resolution, whichever is submitted, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts. The application shall include any other information deemed necessary by the Assessor. The applicant must demonstrate that the commercial development project qualifies for the Class 7a or 7b classification and shall bear the expense of doing so.
- (c) Inasmuch as the County desires to encourage economic development in the neighborhoods of the County, support the increased use of the incentive by smaller projects and to limit the expense of such applications, the Assessor shall liberally construe the requirements of Subsections (a)(1)—(a)(5) of this section for Class 7a applications.
- (d) The Assessor shall adopt rules, including a provision to ensure a proper review of the application and supporting data.
- (e) Certification of a commercial development project shall not be denied by reason of insufficient size if it otherwise qualifies hereunder. In determining what constitutes the "full incentive offered" as provided in Subsection (a)(4) of this section, consideration may be given to any lawful intergovernmental participation agreements under which the project developer has agreed, as a precondition to Class 7a or 7b certification, to share a portion of future profits with the appropriate taxing districts.
- (f) For Class 7a applications, where the Assessor finds that the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)—(a)(5) of this section exist, the Assessor shall, within 60 days after receipt of the application and necessary supporting data, certify the commercial development project eligible for Class 7a treatment under this division.
- (g) In order to determine Class 7b applications, upon receipt of the application and all the necessary supporting data, the Assessor shall forward it to the Economic Development Advisory Committee of the County. The Committee shall within 30 days return the application to the Assessor with a finding stating whether the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)—(a)(5) of this section are present. The Assessor shall review the application, supporting data, findings of the Committee and other appropriate facts. Where the Assessor finds the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)—(a)(5) of this section exist, the Assessor shall, within 30 days of the receipt of the Committee's findings, certify the commercial development project eligible for Class 7b treatment under this division.
- (h) Class 7a and 7b certifications shall lapse within one year from the date of issuance unless new construction or substantial rehabilitation, or in the case of abandoned property, reoccupation of the commercial development project has commenced prior to its expiration.
- (i) To be certified as an area in need of substantial revitalization for purposes of Class 8 classification it is necessary that:



- (1) The municipality in which the area is located or, if an unincorporated area, the County determine by lawful resolution that the area is in a state of economic depression and that it is not economically feasible for private enterprise to accomplish the necessary modernization, rehabilitation, and development of the area without public assistance and encouragement, or a determination of similar import;
  - (2) The municipality or, if in an unincorporated area, the County apply to the Assessor for certification of the area as one in need of substantial revitalization;
  - (3) Upon receiving an application to certify an area as in need of substantial revitalization, the Assessor shall review the application, supporting data and other appropriate factors relevant to a determination of the severity of the economic conditions of the area. In determining whether the "in need of substantial revitalization" requirement is met, the Assessor shall give strong consideration and substantial weight to the fact that an area is located in a Federal empowerment zone or enterprise community, as proposed and approved by the County Board on June 22, 1994, or the Chicago City Council on May 18, 1994.
- (j) Upon finding that existing factors convincingly demonstrate that the area is in need of substantial revitalization, as defined in this division, the Assessor shall grant such certification to the area. In making this determination statistical data relevant to the surrounding area as well as the specific area for which certification is sought may be considered. The surrounding area for the City of Chicago shall be the community area as defined in this division; for all other areas in the County it shall be, where applicable, the municipality in which the area is located.
  - (k) If a municipality within an Enterprise Community, as proposed and approved by the County Board on June 22, 1994, or the Chicago City Council on May 18, 1994, determines by municipal resolution that the area is in a state of economic depression and that it is not economically feasible for private enterprise to accomplish the necessary modernization, rehabilitation, and development of the area without public assistance and encouragement, or a determination of similar import and submits a request for Class 8 certification, such certification shall be automatic pursuant to this division. However, each property eligible for a Class 8 incentive within the certified area must file an application in a timely manner.
  - (l) Any Class 6a incentive that is still active can be renewed. The Class 6a incentive is defined in this division. The renewal procedures described in Section 74-63 apply to Class 6a as well.

**Sec. 74-66. Property in two or more classes.**

Where a single parcel of real estate is partially included in two or more of the above-described classes, each portion shall be assessed at the assessment level herein prescribed for that class.

**Sec. 74-67. Assessor's status and progress report.**

A written report on the status and progress of the implementation of this division, or any amendments thereto, and all rules promulgated by the Assessor hereunder, shall be submitted by the County Assessor to the President and County Board annually on or before December 1.

**Sec. 74-68. Classification system to apply with tax assessment year.**

- (a) The incentive provisions of this division provided to qualifying parcels of real estate for Class 6a, Class 6b, Class C, Class 7a, Class 7b and Class 8 shall expire on December 31, 2015, unless otherwise reviewed by action of the County. Real estate granted a Class 6, Class 6a, Class 6b, Class 7 or Class 8 classification on or before December 31, 1994, shall retain such classification under the terms and conditions of this division prior to January 1, 1995. Real estate for which an application for Class 6a, Class 6b, Class 7 or Class 8 classification is filed with the Assessor on or before December 31, 1994, and which thereafter is determined by the Assessor

to be eligible for the classification under the terms and conditions of this division after January 1, 1995, shall be entitled to receive such classification under such terms and conditions.

- (b) Real estate granted a Class 6a, Class 6b, Class 6c, Class 7a, Class 7b or Class 8 classification on or before December 31, 1999, shall retain such classification under the terms and conditions of this division prior to January 1, 2000. Real estate for which an application for Class 6b, Class 6c, Class 7a, Class 7b or Class 8 classification is filed with the Assessor on or before December 31, 1999, and which thereafter is determined by the Assessor to be eligible for classification under the terms and conditions of this division existing prior to January 1, 2000, shall be entitled to receive such classification under such terms and conditions.
- (c) Real Estate granted a Class 6a, Class 6b, Class 7a, Class 7b or Class 8 classification on or before December 31, 2004, shall retain such classification under the terms and conditions of the Ordinance prior to January 1, 2005. Real estate for which an application for Class 6b, Class 7a, Class 7b or Class 8 classification is filed with the Assessor on or before December 31, 2004, and which thereafter is determined by the Assessor to be eligible for classification under the terms and conditions of this Ordinance existing prior to January 1, 2005, shall be entitled to receive such classification under such terms and conditions.

**Sec. 74-69. Applicable assessment level.**

The assessment level applicable to real estate classified under incentive Classes 6a, 6b, C, 7a, 7b, 8, 9 and L shall in no event exceed the assessment level which otherwise would have been applicable to such real estate under the remaining assessment classes provided in this division.

**Effective date:** This ordinance amendment shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

**Legislative History:** 3/12/14 Board of Commissioners referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development.

**Legislative History:** 4/8/14 Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development committee meeting recommended for deferral to the next meeting.

**Legislative History:** 5/21/14 Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development committee meeting recommended for deferral to the next meeting.

**Legislative History :** 5/21/14 Board of Commissioners deferred

**A motion was made by Vice Chairman Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Schneider, that this Ordinance Amendment be accepted as substituted. The motion carried.**

**PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE TO 14-1930**

**Sponsored by:** PETER N. SILVESTRI, County Commissioner, JERRY BUTLER, JOHN P. DALEY, JESUS GARCIA, ELIZABETH “LIZ” DOODY GORMAN and JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, County Commissioners

**PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDMENT**

**AMENDMENT TO ASSESSMENT CLASSES - CLASS 7C**

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Chapter 74 Taxation, Article II Real Property Taxation, Division 2, Section 74-63 through 74-73 of the Cook County Code of Ordinances are hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 74-63. Assessment classes.**

Real estate is divided into the following assessment classes:

- (1) *Class 1.* Unimproved real estate.
- (2) *Class 2.* Real estate:
  - a. Used as a farm;
  - b. Used for residential purposes when improved with a house, an apartment building of not more than six living units, or residential condominium, a residential cooperative or a government-subsidized housing project, if required by statute to be assessed in the lowest assessment category;
  - c. Improved with a building put to commercial and residential use, of six or less units where the building measures less than 20,000 square feet of above grade space; or
  - d. Real estate improved with a single room occupancy building, as defined in this division, provided that:
    1. At least one-third of the single room occupancy units are leased at no more than 80 percent of the current "Fair Market Rent Schedule for Existing Housing for Single Room Occupancy Units" as set by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (hereinafter "FMR schedule");
    2. No single room occupancy units are leased at rents in excess of 100 percent of the current FMR schedule;
    3. The overall maximum average rent per unit for all single room occupancy units in the building shall not exceed 90 percent of the current FMR schedule; and
    4. The subject property is in substantial compliance with all local building, safety and health codes and requirements.

In the event that the owner fails to comply with these requirements, the Class 2 classification shall be revoked.
- (3) *Class 3.* All improved real estate used for residential purposes which is not included in any other class.
- (4) *Class 4.* Real estate owned and used by a not-for-profit corporation in furtherance of the purposes set forth in its charter unless used for residential purposes. If such real estate is used for residential purposes, it shall be classified in the appropriate residential class.
- (5) *Class 5a.* All real estate not included in Class 1, Class 2, Class 3, Class 4, Class 5b, Class 6b, Class C, Class 7a, Class 7b, Class 7c, Class 8, Class 9, Class S or Class L of this section.
- (6) *Class 5b.* All real estate used for industrial purposes as defined herein and not included in any other class.

- (7) *Class 6b.* Real estate used primarily for industrial purposes, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.
- a. An applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an Ordinance or Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the incentive provided by Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on that specific real estate and that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the Class 6b application to the Assessor. A certified copy of the Ordinance or Resolution need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b eligibility application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the eligibility application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County, as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance supporting the incentive has been requested.
  - b. A copy of the Resolution or letter confirming that a Resolution has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.
  - c. Class 6b applications for newly constructed or substantially rehabilitated buildings and other structures must be made to the Assessor within one year prior to the commencement of such new construction or substantial rehabilitation to qualify for a Class 6b incentive. With respect to abandoned property, the Class 6b application must be made to the Assessor prior to the commencement of the reoccupation of the vacant and unused property.
  - d. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of Class 6b, unless:
    1. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
    2. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 6b application. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such

a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b eligibility application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 6b eligibility application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Temporary Emergency Economic Recovery Modification (TEERM) Program: In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of a Class 6b under the TEERM Program if there has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for at least 12 continuous months. The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 6b application under the TEERM Program. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 6b application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Sustainable Emergency Relief (SER) Program: In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purpose of Class 6b under the SER Program if:

1. The industrial enterprise that occupies the premises has been at the same location for a minimum of ten years prior to the date of application for the SER Program;
2. The industrial enterprise that occupies the premises submits evidence of hardship supporting a determination that participation in the SER Program is necessary for the industrial enterprise to continue operations at its current location and maintain its staff, and that without such designation the industrial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the property to be in imminent risk of becoming vacant and unused; and
3. The applicant is not receiving another Cook County property tax incentive for the same property.

The finding that a property is qualified, along with the specification of the special circumstances, and a determination that the applicant's participation in the SER Program is necessary for the industrial enterprise to continue operations at its current location and maintain its staff, and that without such designation the industrial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the property to be in imminent risk of becoming vacant and unused, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance

supporting and consenting to the Class 6b application. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purposes of Class 6b, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purposes of Class 6b and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purposes of Class 6b need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 6b application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Applications for the TEERM Program or SER Program under a Class 6b application must be received by the Assessor's Office on or before November 30, 2018 to receive consideration.

The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of annual reports by recipients of Class 6b incentives granted pursuant to the SER Program as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 6b site. In such reports, recipients shall be required to certify whether the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application continues its operations at that location. In addition, recipients of Class 6b incentives granted pursuant to the SER Program shall be required to report to the Assessor within 30 days if the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application ceases operations at that location. A copy of such reports will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling. Additionally, if the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application ceases operations at that location, then the Class 6b incentive granted pursuant to the SER program shall terminate.

- e. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial reoccupancy, or in the case of incentives granted pursuant to the TEERM Program, from the date of the notice of approval. In the case of incentives granted pursuant to the SER Program, this classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date of the notice of approval, or until the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application ceases operations at that location if that occurs sooner.
- f. Unless a Class 6b granted pursuant to the TEERM Program or the SER Program, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a ten percent assessment level pursuant to section 74-64(7), if the following requirements are met:
  1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
  2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial use of the property is

necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class 6b; and

3. A copy of that Resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Assessor's Office before the expiration of the ten-percent assessment level period.
  - g. Class 6b incentives that are granted pursuant to the TEERM Program or SER Program are not renewable. For all other Class 6b incentives, the number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and meet the requirements for Class 6b.
  - h. A copy of the request for renewal of the incentive will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts.
  - i. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64(7). After expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
  - j. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine eligibility for the benefits provided under Class 6b.
  - k. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 6b recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 6b site. A copy of such reports will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.
- (8) *Class C*. Real estate which is to be used for industrial or commercial purposes, including abandoned property, as defined in Section 74-62, including the land upon which such property is situated; or vacant land; where such real estate because of contamination has undergone environmental testing and remediation and has received a "No Further Remediation Letter" from the site remediation program.
- a. To be eligible for a Class C classification an applicant must have received a "No Further Remediation Letter" confirming achievement of the remediation objectives based on the industrial or commercial use.
  - b. The owner of the property is rendered ineligible for the Class C classification by having previously owned or operated the site, directly or indirectly, or having been a partner or being associated through a family or business relationship with anyone who has owned or operated the site, which ownership or operation caused the contamination which was remediated pursuant to a site remediation. A present owner who can successfully demonstrate that the owner was not responsible for the contamination may be eligible for Class C classification.
  - c. An applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an ordinance or resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the incentive provided by Class C is necessary for development to occur on that specific real estate and that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the Class C application to the Assessor. A certified copy of the ordinance or resolution must be filed at the time of application for the Class C classification. A copy of that ordinance or resolution, whichever is submitted, will be

forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.

- d. To qualify for the Class C classification, an application for Class C classification must be made within one year of the receipt of the "No Further Remediation Letter." Where an application for Class C classification encompasses less than all of the contiguous property owned by the applicant upon which remediation has been completed, the one year limitation will be waived for any subsequent separate application for Class C classification for the remainder or for additional portions of the property, provided that such subsequent application is made within seven years.
- e. Additionally, to qualify for the Class C classification, the estimated remediation costs, including site investigation, testing, oversight, remediation and removal costs, monitoring, and engineering and legal fees associated with the remediation process, must total at least \$100,000.00, or alternatively, must total at least 25 percent of the market value of the real estate as determined by the Assessor's property record card in the year prior to the remediation, whichever is less.
- f. The initial Class C classification shall continue for a period of 12 years for both industrial and commercial property. For industrial property, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a 16 percent assessment level, if the following requirements are met:
  1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of the taxpayer's intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
  2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class C; and
  3. A copy of that resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Office of the Assessor before the expiration of the 16 percent assessment level period.

The number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and qualify for Class C. Any property which applies for Class C treatment on or before the adoption date of the ordinance from which this division is derived will be eligible for this renewal term at the end of their original incentive period subject to the above requirements. The notice of intent to request renewal which is filed with the Assessor's Office will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the effected districts.

- g. If, on November 23, 1999, a property is receiving Class C treatment, but the assessment level is higher than 16 percent, that taxpayer may apply for renewal as outlined above and receive a 16 percent assessment level for the prescribed period beginning after the filing and approval of the resolution and renewal application. However, if, as of that effective date, the taxpayer's assessment is higher than 16 percent and the taxpayer is granted a renewal of the incentive for subsequent years, no reduction of the current assessment level based on renewal of the incentive will be granted. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64. After such ten-year period expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.



- h. For commercial properties, once the original 12-year incentive period has expired, the commercial Class C incentive will expire. The incentive classification will not be subject to renewal and the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
  - i. The Assessor shall review the application and supporting documentation to determine eligibility for the Class C classification. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with the foregoing necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine initial and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under the Class C classification.
  - j. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class C recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class C site. Such reports shall be verified. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.
- (9) *Class 7a.* Real estate used primarily for commercial purposes, comprising a qualified commercial development project, as determined pursuant to Section 74-65(a), located in an area in need of commercial development, where total development costs, exclusive of land, do not exceed \$2,000,000.00, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, as defined in this division, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.
- a. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7a. The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the resolution or ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 7a application. Notwithstanding the foregoing, special circumstances may not be determined to justify finding that a property is deemed "abandoned" where:
    - A. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
    - B. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.
- Such resolution or ordinance must be filed with the eligibility application. If the ordinance or resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7a and a resolution to that effect shall be included with the Class 7a eligibility application filed with the Assessor.
- b. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial reoccupancy. After such 12-year period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
  - c. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 7a recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 7a site. Such reports shall be verified. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.

(10) *Class 7b.* Real estate used primarily for commercial purposes, as defined in this division, comprising a qualified commercial development project, as determined pursuant to Section 74-65(a), located in an "area in need of commercial development", where total development costs, exclusive of land, exceed \$2,000,000.00, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, as defined herein, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.

- a. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7b. The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the resolution or ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 7b application. Notwithstanding the foregoing, special circumstances may not be determined to justify finding that a property is deemed "abandoned" where:
  - A. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
  - B. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

Such resolution or ordinance must be filed with the eligibility application. If the ordinance or resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7b and a resolution to that effect shall be included with the Class 7b eligibility application filed with the Assessor.

- b. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial reoccupancy.
- c. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 7b recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 7b site. Such reports shall be verified. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.

(11) *Class 7c or Commercial Urban Relief Eligibility ("CURE").* Real estate used primarily for commercial purposes, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.

- a. An applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an Ordinance or Resolution expressly stating that:
- (i) The property, site, or area is deemed a redevelopment priority by the municipality, and
  - (ii) The municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the incentive provided by Class 7c is necessary for development to occur on that specific real estate and that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the Class 7c application to the Assessor.

In order to determine Class 7c applications, an application for Class 7c shall be submitted to the Assessor and the Bureau of Economic Development. Upon receipt of the application and all the necessary supporting data, the Economic Development Advisory Committee of the County, within 30 days return the application to the Assessor with a finding stating whether the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)—(a)(4) of this section are present. The Assessor shall review the application, supporting data, findings of the Committee and other appropriate facts. Where the Assessor finds the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)—(a)(4) of this section exist, the Assessor shall, within 30 days of the receipt of the Committee's findings, certify the commercial development project eligible for Class 7c treatment under this division.

Where the Economic Development Advisory Committee does not return a finding stating whether the conditions of Subsections (a)(1) – (a)(4) of this section are present within 30 days, and upon further application of the requesting party, the Assessor may review the application, supporting data, and other appropriate facts and certify the commercial development project eligible for Class 7c treatment and grant the incentive.

- (1) That the Property's (the real estate that is subject of the Incentive application) Assessed Value, Equalized Assessed Value or Real estate taxes for three of the last six years, have declined or remained stagnant due to the depressed condition;
- (2) There is a reasonable expectation that the development, re-development or rehabilitation of the commercial development project is viable and likely to go forward on a reasonably timely basis if granted Class 7c designation and will therefore result in the economic enhancement of the property;
- (3) Certification of the commercial development project for Class 7c designation will materially assist development, redevelopment or rehabilitation of the property and the commercial development project would not go forward without the full incentive offered under Class 7c; and
- (4) Certification of the commercial development project for Class 7c designation is reasonably expected to ultimately result in an increase in real property tax revenue and employment opportunities of the property.

A certified copy of the Ordinance or Resolution need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 7c eligibility application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 7c. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the eligibility application, the applicant may instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County, as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance supporting the incentive has been requested.

- b. A copy of the Resolution or letter confirming that a Resolution has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.

c. Class 7c applications for newly constructed or substantially rehabilitated buildings and other structures must be made to the Assessor within one year prior to the commencement of such new construction or substantial rehabilitation to qualify for a Class 7c incentive. With respect to abandoned property, the Class 7c application must be made to the Assessor prior to the commencement of the reoccupation of the vacant and unused property. For the purpose of this Incentive [Class 7c] “abandoned property” shall mean property where the buildings and other structures, or portions thereof, have been vacant and unused for more than 12 continuous months and as established by rule of the Assessor.

d. This classification shall continue for a period of 3 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial occupancy.

e. Class 7c incentives that are granted are renewable.

The incentive shall be phase out after 5 years, pursuant to Section 74-64(8). After expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.

f. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine eligibility and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under Class 7c.

g. Class 7c Applications must be received by the Assessor's Office on or before November 30, 2019 to receive consideration.

h. Renewal. The Class 7c Incentive shall be limited to only one (1) renewal. The applicant may apply for a renewal on or after the 3rd Year of the Incentive, but before the expiration of the 5th Year of the Incentive. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County as to whether it will validate the renewal. The Incentive may be renewed unless otherwise limited herein.

i. Effective Year. The Class 7c Incentive shall be effective for the tax year the application for the Incentive is filed. In addition, the Class 7c Incentive may be applied for only one year prior to the tax year in which the Incentive was applied via a certificate of error.

~~(4412)~~Class 8. Real estate used primarily for industrial and commercial purposes, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, as defined in this division, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation.

a. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel. Such real estate must be either obtained through the Cook County Tax Reactivation Project or must be located in one of the following designated geographical areas:

1. An area which has been certified as in need of substantial revitalization in accordance with the provisions of Section 74-65(b);
2. An enterprise community as proposed and approved by the County Board on June 22, 1994, or the Chicago City Council on May 18, 1994, and the municipality in which such real estate is

located, or, if in an unincorporated area, the County must by lawful Resolution determine that such real estate is consistent with an overall plan for the rehabilitation of the area; or

3. Any one of the following five townships: Bloom; Bremen; Calumet; Rich; and Thornton.
- b. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of Class 8, unless:
  1. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
  2. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 8 application. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such, a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8, and a Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 8 application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 8. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 8 application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Temporary Emergency Economic Recovery Modification (TEERM) Program: In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of Class 8 under the TEERM Program, if there has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for at least 12 continuous months. The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 8 application. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such, a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8, and a Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 8 application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 8. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 8 application, the applicant shall instead

file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Applications for the TEERM Program must be received by the Assessor's Office on or before November 30, 2018 to receive consideration.

- c. A copy of the Resolution or letter confirming that a Resolution has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.
- d. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date of new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial reoccupancy, or in the case of incentives granted pursuant to the TEERM Program, from the date of the notice of approval.
- e. Unless it was granted pursuant to the TEERM Program, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a ten-percent assessment level pursuant to 74-64(11), if the following requirements are met:
  1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of the taxpayer's intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
  2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial or commercial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class 8; and
  3. A copy of that Resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Assessor's Office before the expiration of the 10 percent assessment level period.
- f. Class 8 incentives that are granted pursuant to the TEERM Program are not renewable. For all other Class 8 incentives, the number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and meet the requirements for Class 8.
- g. A copy of the request for renewal of the incentive will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.
- h. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64(11). After expiration of the last incentive period the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
- i. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine eligibility for the benefits provided under Class 8.
- j. The certification of an area as in need of substantial revitalization shall expire five years from the date such certification is granted. The Assessor shall notify the applicant of the date of expiration of certification one year before the date of the expiration of the certification. Such certification, pursuant to the same criteria, may be extended for one additional five-year period subject to reapplication by

the appropriate local governing body within the period from one year to six months prior to the expiration of the initial five-year period.

- k. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 8 recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 8 site. A copy of such reports will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.

(~~42~~13)Class 9. All real estate otherwise entitled to Class 3 classification under this division, provided that such real estate, consisting of land and existing buildings and structures is multifamily residential real estate; either has undergone major rehabilitation, or is new construction, or both; has at least 35 percent of the dwelling units leased at rents affordable to low-or moderate-income persons or households; and is in substantial compliance with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes.

- a. To qualify for the Class 9 classification, the applicant must:
  - 1. File an eligibility application with the Assessor prior to commencement of rehabilitation and/or of new construction;
  - 2. Either undertake and complete a major rehabilitation of the subject property, or undertake and complete construction of a new building;
  - 3. Maintain the subject property, including any new construction, in substantial compliance with all local building, safety and health codes and requirements for the duration of the Class 9 classification period;
  - 4. Lease, for the duration of the Class 9 classification period, at least 35 percent of the dwelling units of the subject property, including any new construction, to tenants at rents which will not exceed rents affordable to low- and moderate-income persons or households;
  - 5. Agree to make a current listing of Class 9 tenants and their income available to the Assessor upon request;
  - 6. Further agrees to annually provide the tenants with a list of the permissible Class 9 rents;
  - 7. Agree to notify tenants of the upcoming Class 9 expiration at least one year prior to the termination of the incentive treatment; and
  - 8. File annually with the Assessor, on or before a date determined by the Assessor, for the duration of the Class 9 classification period, a sworn statement verifying continuous compliance with the Class 9 provisions of this division.
- b. No applicant shall discriminate on the basis of race, color, sex, marital status, religion, national origin or ancestry, or on any other basis prohibited under Federal, State or local law.
- c. Upon completion of the major rehabilitation, the applicant must supplement the application by submitting evidence showing that major rehabilitation did, in fact, occur, the date that the major rehabilitation was completed and that the real estate complies with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes. Upon completion of the new construction, the applicant must supplement the application by submitting an occupancy permit showing the date that the new

construction was completed and ready for occupancy, and evidence that the real estate complies with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes.

- d. Beginning January 1, 2000, the Class 9 classification shall have an initial duration of ten years from the date that the major rehabilitation was completed. That period may be extended for additional ten-year periods if:
  - 1. An application is filed with the Assessor at least 12 months before the expiration of the incentive period;
  - 2. The applicant presents evidence that the real estate currently complies with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes; and
  - 3. The Assessor determines that all application qualifications, except the major rehabilitation or new construction requirement, were maintained during the incentive period.
- e. When the Class 9 classification is due to expire or is terminated by action of the owner or the Assessor, the property owner shall, in a manner and form determined by the Assessor, notify all Class 9 tenants of the date of the termination of Class 9 classification. Once the Class 9 classification is terminated, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.

~~(1314)~~ *Class S*. Real estate otherwise entitled to Class 3 classification under this division, consisting of land and existing buildings and structures, which is has been subject to a Section 8 contract renewal. The portion of the land and building eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the number of Section 8 units bears to the total number of units. The proportion shall be applied only to property used for residential purposes, and not to portions of the property, if any, used for commercial purposes.

- a. Property qualifies for the Class S classification if its Section 8 contract has been renewed pursuant to one of the following alternatives:
  - 1. HUD has approved renewal of the Section 8 contract under the mark up to market option, after finding that:
    - i. The property has received a physical inspection score of at least 60, in an inspection by HUD's Real Estate Assessment Center, confirming that the property is decent, safe, sanitary and in good repair with no uncorrected exigent health and safety (EHS) violations;
    - ii. The property does not have a low- and moderate-income use restriction that cannot be eliminated by unilateral action by the owner. If, however, the current rent is lower than the use restriction, HUD may use the mark up to market option to increase the rents to the use restriction level, which would be a renewal qualifying for the S classification; and
    - iii. A rent comparability study conducted by HUD has demonstrated that comparable market rents are above 100 percent of the HUD Fair Market Rent.
  - 2. HUD has approved a contract renewal for five years of the Section 8 contract under the mark up to market under HUD's discretionary authority, after finding that the property meets at least one of the required criteria:
    - i. A vulnerable population is affected,



- ii. There is a low vacancy rate in the area, which would make tenant based assistance difficult to use, or a lack of comparable housing, or
    - iii. The project is a high priority for the local community, as demonstrated by a contribution of state or local funds to the property.
  3. HUD has approved renewal of a Section 8 contract for a not for profit corporation under any available option under HUD's renewal procedures as described in HUD's Section 8 Renewal Policy Guidelines.
- b. Additional requirements for qualification for the S classification are:
  1. At least 20 percent of the living units must be Section 8 units for qualifying low and moderate-income persons.
  2. The owner must agree to retain at least the existing number of Section 8 units for at least five years after the expiration of the expiring or expired Section 8 contract.
  3. For the duration of the Class S classification period, applicant must file annually with the Assessor, on or before a date determined by the Assessor, a sworn statement verifying continuous compliance with the Class S provisions of this division.
  4. Applicant must agree to notify the Assessor's Office if the Section 8 contract is terminated prior to its expiration date. Applicant shall provide to the Assessor's office a copy of any notice of default or notice of abatement received from HUD.
- c. When the applicant applies to HUD for a contract renewal, no less than 120 days prior to the expiration of the contract, the applicant shall notify the Assessor's Office of the application, on a form provided by that office. Upon receiving approval of the contract renewal from HUD, the applicant shall file an application for the incentive with the Assessor's Office, on a form provided by that office. The application shall be supported by a copy of HUD's letter approving the contract renewal and a copy of the executed renewal contract.
- d. Any property which, as of November 23, 1999, has an existing Section 8 contract with a mark up to market option may apply for Class S classification for the any portion of the 2001 assessment year encompassed within the contract term, and for the remainder of the contract term, including any renewals approved with the mark up to market option. The classification shall continue until the expiration or termination of the Section 8 contract.

Any property which, as of (DATE AMENDMENT APPROVED), has an existing Section 8 contract renewal may apply for Class S classification for any portion of the 2006 assessment year encompassed within the contract term, and for the remainder of the contract term, including any renewals approved with the mark up to market option, mark up to market option under HUD's discretionary authority or a Section 8 contract that has been renewed by a not-for-profit corporation under any available option under HUD's renewal procedures as described in HUD's Section 8 Renewal Policy Guidelines. The classification shall continue until the expiration or termination of the Section 8 contract.
- e. The incentive may be renewed if the Section 8 contract is again renewed under any of the following three options: 1) the mark up to market option; 2) the mark up to market option under HUD's discretionary authority; or 3) by a not-for-profit corporation under any available option under HUD's renewal procedures as described in HUD's Section 8 Renewal Policy Guidelines. Upon filing an

application with HUD, no less than 120 days prior to termination of the contract, for renewal of the Section 8 contract, the taxpayer shall provide notice to the Assessor's Office of its application for renewal. The taxpayer shall provide a copy to the Assessor's Office of HUD's approval of the contract renewal, or notification of other action.

- f. The Assessor's Office shall adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine initial and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under Class S.

(4415) *Class L*. Real estate which is to be used for commercial or industrial purposes and which is designated as Class 3, Class 4, Class 5a or Class 5b pursuant to this division; is a landmark or contributing building; and has undergone substantial rehabilitation. The substantial rehabilitation must constitute an investment by the owner of at least 50 percent of the building's full market value as determined by the Assessor in the assessment year prior to the commencement of the substantial rehabilitation.

- a. Generally, the incentive shall apply only to the building and will not apply to the land underneath the building. However, if the entire building has been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months prior to the filing of the eligibility application with the Assessor, the land upon which the building is situated shall also be eligible for the incentive.
- b. Prior to filing a Class L eligibility application with the Assessor, an applicant must obtain an ordinance or resolution from the unit of local government in which the real estate is located, which expressly states that the local government:
  - 1. Has determined that the incentive provided by Class L is necessary for the substantial rehabilitation of the property;
  - 2. Supports and consents to the granting of the incentive; and
  - 3. Has reviewed and accepted its preservation commission's written recommendation of the project for the Class L incentive. This recommendation will specify the project's budget and proposed scope of work and will specify that the project will meet or exceed the Standards of the United States Department of the Interior for Rehabilitation, Preservation, Restoration, and Reconstruction of historic properties.
- c. A certified copy of the ordinance or resolution need not be filed with the Assessor at the time the Class L eligibility application is filed but the ordinance or resolution must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class L.
- d. If the ordinance or resolution is not filed at the time of the eligibility application is filed, the applicant shall instead, include the following items with the eligibility application:
  - 1. A letter from the municipality or the County, as the case may be, confirming that a resolution or ordinance supporting the incentive has been requested; and
  - 2. A copy of the preservation commission's recommendation of the project.
- e. A copy of the resolution or letter confirming that a resolution has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.

- f. Additionally, to qualify a landmark building or contributing building for Class L classification, an eligibility application must be made to the Assessor within one year prior to the commencement of substantial rehabilitation. After the substantial rehabilitation has been completed, the preservation commission shall review the project to determine that it is eligible under Subsection (14) of this section. The applicant must supplement the eligibility application with a copy of the determination of the preservation commission prior to classification of the real estate as Class L.
- g. The initial Class L classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed.
- h. For property which was initially classified as Class 3, 4 or 5b, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a 16 percent assessment level, if the following requirements are met:
  - 1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of the taxpayer's intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
  - 2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class L; and
  - 3. A copy of that resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Office of the Assessor before the expiration of the incentive period.

The number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and qualify for Class L. The notice of intent to request renewal which is filed with the Assessor's Office will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts.

- i. If, as of November 23, 1999, a property is receiving Class L treatment, but the assessment level is higher than 16 percent, that taxpayer may apply for renewal as outlined above and receive a 16 percent assessment level for the prescribed period beginning after the filing and approval of the resolution and renewal application. However, if as of the effective date, the taxpayer's assessment is higher than 16 percent and the taxpayer is granted a renewal of the incentive for subsequent years, no reduction of the current assessment level based on renewal of the incentive will be granted. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64. After expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
- j. For commercial properties, once the original 12-year incentive period has expired, the commercial Class L incentive will expire. The incentive classification will not be subject to renewal and the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
- k. The Assessor shall adopt rules consistent with the foregoing necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine initial and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under Class L.
- l. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class L recipients as to the continued landmark status of the property and the number of persons employed

at the Class L site. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules may result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.

**Sec. 74-64. Market value percentages.**

The Assessor shall assess, and the Board of Review shall review, assessments on real estate in the various classes at the following percentages of market value:

- (1) Class 1: 10 percent.
- (2) Class 2: 10 percent.
- (3) Class 3: 16 percent in tax year 2009, 13 percent in tax year 2010, 10 percent in tax year 2011, and subsequent years.
- (4) Class 4: 25 percent.
- (5) Class 5a: 25 percent.
- (6) Class 5b: 25 percent.
- (7) Class 6b: 10 percent for first ten years and for any subsequent ten-year renewal periods; if the incentive is not renewed, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- (8) Class C: Industrial properties: 10 percent for first ten years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12; commercial properties: 10 percent for first ten years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- (9) Class 7a: 10 percent for first ten years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- (10) Class 7b: 10 percent for first ten years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- (11) Class 7c: 10 percent for first 3 years, 15 percent in year 4 and 20 percent in year 5.
- ~~(12)~~Class 8: 10 percent for first ten years and for any subsequent ten-year renewal periods; if the incentive is not renewed, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- ~~(13)~~Class 9: 10 percent for an initial ten-year period, renewable upon application for additional ten-year periods.
- ~~(14)~~Class S: 10 percent for the term of the Section 8 contract renewal under the mark up to market option, as defined herein, and for any additional terms of renewal of the Section 8 contract under the mark up to market option.
- ~~(15)~~Class L, renewable properties: 10 percent for first ten years and for any subsequent ten-year renewal periods; if the incentive is not renewed, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12; commercial properties: 10 percent for first ten years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.

**Sec. 74-65. Qualifications for commercial development project status.**

- (a) To qualify as a commercial development project under Class 7a or 7b, it is necessary that the project be located in an area in need of commercial development in that:

- (1) The area is, or has been within the last ten years, designated by Federal, State or local agency as a conservation, blighted or renewal area or an area encompassing a rehabilitation or redevelopment plan or project adopted under the Illinois Urban Renewal Consolidation Act of 1961, as amended, or the Commercial Renewal Re-development Areas Act of 1967, as amended, or that the area is located in a Federal Empowerment Zone or Enterprise Community, as proposed and approved by the County Board on June 22, 1994, or the Chicago City Council on May 18, 1994, or the Commercial District Development Commission Ordinance of the City of Chicago or designation of like effect adopted under any similar statute or ordinance;
  - (2) Real estate taxes within the area, during the last six years, have declined, remained stagnant or potential real estate taxes are not being fully realized due to the depressed condition of the area;
  - (3) There is a reasonable expectation that the development, re-development or rehabilitation of the commercial development project is viable and likely to go forward on a reasonably timely basis if granted Class 7a or 7b designation and will therefore result in the economic enhancement of the area;
  - (4) Certification of the commercial development project for Class 7a or 7b designation will materially assist development, redevelopment or rehabilitation of the area and the commercial development project would not go forward without the full incentive offered under Class 7a or 7b; and
  - (5) Certification of the commercial development project for Class 7a or 7b designation is reasonably expected to ultimately result in an increase in real property tax revenue and employment opportunities within the area.
- (b) Prior to filing a Class 7a or 7b eligibility application with the Assessor, an applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an ordinance or resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)—(a)(5) of this section are present and that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the Class 7a or 7b application to the Assessor. A certified copy of such ordinance or resolution shall be included with the Class 7a or 7b application at the time of filing the application with the Assessor. A copy of the ordinance or resolution, whichever is submitted, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts. The application shall include any other information deemed necessary by the Assessor. The applicant must demonstrate that the commercial development project qualifies for the Class 7a or 7b classification and shall bear the expense of doing so.
- (c) Inasmuch as the County desires to encourage economic development in the neighborhoods of the County, support the increased use of the incentive by smaller projects and to limit the expense of such applications, the Assessor shall liberally construe the requirements of Subsections (a)(1)—(a)(5) of this section for Class 7a applications.
- (d) The Assessor shall adopt rules, including a provision to ensure a proper review of the application and supporting data.
- (e) Certification of a commercial development project shall not be denied by reason of insufficient size if it otherwise qualifies hereunder. In determining what constitutes the "full incentive offered" as provided in Subsection (a)(4) of this section, consideration may be given to any lawful intergovernmental participation agreements under which the project developer has agreed, as a precondition to Class 7a or 7b certification, to share a portion of future profits with the appropriate taxing districts.

- (f) For Class 7a applications, where the Assessor finds that the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)—(a)(5) of this section exist, the Assessor shall, within 60 days after receipt of the application and necessary supporting data, certify the commercial development project eligible for Class 7a treatment under this division.
- (g) In order to determine Class 7b applications, upon receipt of the application and all the necessary supporting data, the Assessor shall forward it to the Economic Development Advisory Committee of the County. The Committee shall within 30 days return the application to the Assessor with a finding stating whether the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)—(a)(5) of this section are present. The Assessor shall review the application, supporting data, findings of the Committee and other appropriate facts. Where the Assessor finds the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)—(a)(5) of this section exist, the Assessor shall, within 30 days of the receipt of the Committee's findings, certify the commercial development project eligible for Class 7b treatment under this division.
- (h) Class 7a and 7b certifications shall lapse within one year from the date of issuance unless new construction or substantial rehabilitation, or in the case of abandoned property, reoccupation of the commercial development project has commenced prior to its expiration.
- (i) To be certified as an area in need of substantial revitalization for purposes of Class 8 classification it is necessary that:
  - (1) The municipality in which the area is located or, if an unincorporated area, the County determine by lawful resolution that the area is in a state of economic depression and that it is not economically feasible for private enterprise to accomplish the necessary modernization, rehabilitation, and development of the area without public assistance and encouragement, or a determination of similar import;
  - (2) The municipality or, if in an unincorporated area, the County apply to the Assessor for certification of the area as one in need of substantial revitalization;
  - (3) Upon receiving an application to certify an area as in need of substantial revitalization, the Assessor shall review the application, supporting data and other appropriate factors relevant to a determination of the severity of the economic conditions of the area. In determining whether the "in need of substantial revitalization" requirement is met, the Assessor shall give strong consideration and substantial weight to the fact that an area is located in a Federal empowerment zone or enterprise community, as proposed and approved by the County Board on June 22, 1994, or the Chicago City Council on May 18, 1994.
- (j) Upon finding that existing factors convincingly demonstrate that the area is in need of substantial revitalization, as defined in this division, the Assessor shall grant such certification to the area. In making this determination statistical data relevant to the surrounding area as well as the specific area for which certification is sought may be considered. The surrounding area for the City of Chicago shall be the community area as defined in this division; for all other areas in the County it shall be, where applicable, the municipality in which the area is located.
- (k) If a municipality within an Enterprise Community, as proposed and approved by the County Board on June 22, 1994, or the Chicago City Council on May 18, 1994, determines by municipal resolution that the area is in a state of economic depression and that it is not economically feasible for private enterprise to accomplish the necessary modernization, rehabilitation, and development of the area without public assistance and encouragement, or a determination of similar import and submits a request for Class 8 certification, such certification shall be automatic pursuant to this division. However, each property eligible for a Class 8 incentive within the certified area must file an application in a timely manner.
- (l) Any Class 6a incentive that is still active can be renewed. The Class 6a incentive is defined in this division. The renewal procedures described in Section 74-63 apply to Class 6a as well.

**Sec. 74-66. Property in two or more classes.**

Where a single parcel of real estate is partially included in two or more of the above-described classes, each portion shall be assessed at the assessment level herein prescribed for that class.

**Sec. 74-67. Assessor's status and progress report.**

A written report on the status and progress of the implementation of this division, or any amendments thereto, and all rules promulgated by the Assessor hereunder, shall be submitted by the County Assessor to the President and County Board annually on or before December 1.

**Sec. 74-68. Classification system to apply with tax assessment year.**

- (a) The incentive provisions of this division provided to qualifying parcels of real estate for Class 6b, Class C, Class 7a, Class 7b and Class 8 shall expire on December 31, 2015, unless otherwise reviewed by action of the County. Real estate granted a Class 6, Class 6a, Class 6b, Class 7 or Class 8 classification on or before December 31, 1994, shall retain such classification under the terms and conditions of this division prior to January 1, 1995. Real estate for which an application for Class 6a, Class 6b, Class 7 or Class 8 classification is filed with the Assessor on or before December 31, 1994, and which thereafter is determined by the Assessor to be eligible for the classification under the terms and conditions of this division after January 1, 1995, shall be entitled to receive such classification under such terms and conditions.
- (b) Real estate granted a Class 6b, Class 6c, Class 7a, Class 7b or Class 8 classification on or before December 31, 1999, shall retain such classification under the terms and conditions of this division prior to January 1, 2000. Real estate for which an application for Class 6b, Class 6c, Class 7a, Class 7b or Class 8 classification is filed with the Assessor on or before December 31, 1999, and which thereafter is determined by the Assessor to be eligible for classification under the terms and conditions of this division existing prior to January 1, 2000, shall be entitled to receive such classification under such terms and conditions.
- (c) Real Estate granted a Class 6b, Class 7a, Class 7b or Class 8 classification on or before December 31, 2004, shall retain such classification under the terms and conditions of the Ordinance prior to January 1, 2005. Real estate for which an application for Class 6b, Class 7a, Class 7b or Class 8 classification is filed with the Assessor on or before December 31, 2004, and which thereafter is determined by the Assessor to be eligible for classification under the terms and conditions of this Ordinance existing prior to January 1, 2005, shall be entitled to receive such classification under such terms and conditions.

**Sec. 74-69. Applicable assessment level.**

The assessment level applicable to real estate classified under incentive Classes 6b, C, 7a, 7b, 7c, 8, 9 and L shall in no event exceed the assessment level which otherwise would have been applicable to such real estate under the remaining assessment classes provided in this division.

**Sec. 74-70. Class 8a and 8b designation/assessment class.**

- (a) *Class 8a.* Real estate that is used primarily for industrial or commercial purposes, which real estate would qualify for a Class 8 designation pursuant to Sections 74-62 through 74-64, except for the fact that the qualifying use of the property prior to application for the incentive does not comply with the definition of abandoned property provided for in Section 74-62(b), can receive a designation as a Class 8a property so long as the applicant can show that it has complied with all of the requirements necessary to receive a Class 8

designation per Sections 74-62 through 74-64, except for meeting the definition of abandonment provided for in Section 74-62(b), but only when the Cook County Board of Commissioners provides a resolution or ordinance in support of such designation absent abandonment.

- (1) The Cook County Board of Commissioners may only provide such a resolution or ordinance in support of Class 8a designation absent abandonment when:
  - a. An applicant who collects or transmits sales tax has obtained from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the Cook County Board of Commissioners, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an agreement to abate a portion of the local government's sales tax generated by the industrial or commercial enterprise located on such real estate and such abatement of sales tax must cover the period of time for which the applicant would qualify for this Class 8a incentive; and
  - b. Applicant can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Cook County Board of Commissioners that due to national and regional economic conditions beyond its control the industrial or commercial enterprise has undergone a significant reduction in net operating income of at least 40 percent in the year it makes application for this incentive as compared to the average net operating income of the industrial or commercial enterprise in the prior three years; and
  - c. Applicant provides objective and credible evidence including, but not limited to, an economic impact study that demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Cook County Board of Commissioners that the ongoing industrial or commercial enterprise is not economically viable and as such it will cease operations within 60 days of the submission of an eligibility application for Class 8a designation to the Cook County Assessor, and thereafter the property will become vacant and unused for an extended period of time of at least 24 months; and
  - d. Applicant provides objective and credible evidence including, but not limited to, an economic impact study that demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Cook County Board of Commissioners that designation as a Class 8a property will allow the industrial or commercial enterprise to be economically viable and thereby continue its operations so that the industrial or commercial enterprise can continue to occupy and fully utilize the real estate for an extended period of time.
- (2) Such a resolution or ordinance must contain:
  - a. A finding that the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that industrial or commercial enterprise has undergone a significant reduction in net operating income of at least 40 percent in the year it makes application for the incentive as compared to the average net operating income of the industrial or commercial enterprise in the prior three years; and
  - b. A finding that the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that Class 8a designation of the property is necessary for the ongoing industrial or commercial enterprise to continue its operations and that without such designation the industrial or commercial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the property to become vacant and unused; and
  - c. A statement by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that it supports and consents to the designation of the property as a Class 8a property absent an abandonment requirement; and
  - d. A statement by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that it supports and consents to the application made to the Cook County Assessor requesting designation as a Class 8a property absent an abandonment requirement.



- (3) When the real estate is located in an incorporated area of the county, and designation as a Class 8a property is sought using the provisions of this section, the municipality in which the real estate is located must provide to the Cook County Assessor a resolution or ordinance that contains the following:
  - a. A finding by the municipality that it has determined that Class 8a designation of the property is necessary for the ongoing industrial or commercial enterprise to continue its operations and that without such designation the industrial or commercial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the property to become vacant and unused; and
  - b. A statement by the municipality that it supports and consents to the action by the Cook County Board of Commissioners to support designation of the property as a Class 8a property; and
  - c. A statement by the municipality that it supports and consents to the Class 8a application to the Cook County Assessor; and
- (4) Real estate receiving a Class 8a designation pursuant to the provisions of this section shall be assessed at the lowest percentage of market value provided for in Section 74-64(~~41~~12), however the term of the incentive will be limited to five years only and such Class 8a designation shall not be renewed:
  - a. After the initial application has been approved and granted, if the subject real estate receiving the Class 8a designation pursuant to the provisions of this section is sold or the applicant transfers ownership of any portion of the property at any time prior to the five-year term of the 8a classification, then the property's Class 8a classification shall be subject to an eligibility review by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, the municipality, and the Assessor under the procedures set forth in this Ordinance for the remainder of the five-year term.
- (5) In order for real estate to qualify for a Class 8a designation an eligibility application must be made to the Cook County Assessor.
- (6) Class 8a designation can not be applied to real estate unless the following has occurred: application is made to the Cook County Assessor; all required municipal and county ordinances and resolutions are provided to the Cook County Assessor; and the Cook County Assessor determines that the real estate which is the subject of the application for a Class 8a designation would qualify for designation as a Class 8 property but for the inability to comply with the definition of abandonment pursuant to Section 74-62(b).
- (7) The Cook County Assessor may adopt rules consistent with this section to determine eligibility for the benefits provided under Class 8a.
- (8) Upon receipt of an eligibility application for a Class 8a designation, the Cook County Assessor shall forward such application and any supporting documentation provided with such application to the Cook County Board of Commissioners or its designee for consideration as to whether the County Board will provide a resolution or ordinance in support of a Class 8a designation absent abandonment.
- (9) Real estate receiving a Class 8a designation pursuant to the provisions of this section shall not be eligible for a Class 8a designation for any year prior to the assessment year for which an application for the designation is made to the Cook County Assessor.
- (10) The Cook County Board of Commissioners or its designee may adopt rules consistent with this section that may be needed to ensure proper review of information, data and documents submitted in support of a request to the County Board for a resolution or ordinance in support of a Class 8a designation as provided for in this section.

- (11) Applicants for a Class 8a designation of property can only make such an application for the following assessment years 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and the Cook County Assessor shall not designate any real estate as Class 8a property for assessment year 2018 or thereafter.
- (12) Real estate that receives a designation as a Class 8a incentive property will lose such designation and the corresponding reduced level of assessment, if the industrial or commercial enterprise located on the property ceases operations and the subject real estate becomes vacant and unused.
- (13) Real estate that receives a designation as a Class 8a incentive property will lose such designation and the corresponding reduced level of assessment upon termination of the required partial sales tax abatement by local government.

[(14)]This Section 74-70 of the Real Estate Classification Ordinance will become effective upon passage.

(b) *Class 8b.* Real estate and improvements that house inpatient and outpatient hospital based services, where the property has been acquired for hospital use by a for-profit acquirer unrelated to the not-for-profit disposer, thereby avoiding Illinois Health Facilities and Services Review Board discontinuation approval, shall be considered for a Class 8b designation if it meets the requirements of this section and the Cook County Board of Commissioners provides a resolution or ordinance in support of such designation.

(1) The Cook County Board of Commissioners may only provide such a resolution or ordinance in support of Class 8b designation when:

- a. The applicant is a hospital, as defined in the Hospital Licensing Act, or an entity that owns the real property on which a hospital is located, the hospital is licensed by the state, and the abandonment of the hospital would require the applicant, or the hospital on behalf of which the applicant owns the real property on which the hospital is located, to obtain a permit or exemption from the State of Illinois Health Facilities and Services Review Board pursuant to the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Act prior to discontinuing hospital operations and to obtain a second permit or exemption prior to reopening or otherwise reestablishing the hospital after abandonment;
- b. The applicant demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Cook County Assessor that approval of the Class 8b designation will materially increase the likelihood that the property will be retained for hospital use with the associated employment benefits relative to industrial or commercial use of the property;
- c. The subject hospital is located in a zip code which has a ten percent or greater incidence of families and/or individuals below the poverty level, as identified by the U.S. Census Bureau's most recent census; and
- d. The subject hospital employs at least 750 full-time equivalents (full-time equivalent jobs being defined as total hours worked by all non full-time employees divided by average annual hours worked by the full-time employees).

(2) Such a resolution or ordinance must contain:

- a. A finding that the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the applicant demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Cook County Assessor that approval of the Class 8b designation will materially increase the likelihood that the property will be retained for hospital use; and

- b. A statement by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that it supports and consents to the designation of the property as a Class 8b property.
  - (3) When the real estate is located in an incorporated area of the county, and designation as a Class 8b property is sought using the provisions of this section, the municipality in which the real estate is located must provide to the Cook County Assessor a resolution or ordinance that contains the following:
    - a. A finding by the corporate authorities of the municipality that the proposed redevelopment contemplated for the subject hospital or the property on which the hospital sits is necessary and appropriate and that, without a classification having the impact of this section, the special circumstances that exist on the property on which the subject hospital sits including, but not limited to, the unique requirement that mandates that the subject hospital's operations are continually maintained without interruption in order for the State of Illinois Health Facilities and Services Review Board to issue a certificate of need and licensure approval for the continued operation of the subject hospital and the extraordinary need for the continued operation of the subject hospital within the applicable region, will not be addressed and the property on which the subject hospital sits will become vacant and underutilized and cause the continued exasperation of blighted factors within the municipality and region;
    - b. A finding by the corporate authorities of the municipality that a classification having the impact of this section is necessary for the redevelopment to occur on the property on which the subject hospital sits; and
    - c. A statement by the corporate authorities of the municipality supporting and consenting to the filing of an application for a classification having the impact of this section for the property on which the subject hospital sits.
  - (4) Real estate receiving a Class 8b designation pursuant to the provisions of this section shall be assessed at the lowest percentage of market value and for the term provided for in Section 74-64(~~11~~12).
  - (5) In order for real estate to qualify for a Class 8b designation, a Class 8 or Class 8b application must be made or have been made to the Cook County Assessor. Any application for Class 8 submitted with required municipal approval after July 1, 2008, for hospital property where the property was acquired for hospital use by an unrelated for-profit acquirer, avoiding the Health Facilities and Services Review Board discontinuation approval, shall be reconsidered as an application pursuant to this section upon supplement of such Class 8 application with the additional information required in this section, if any. Upon receipt of an application, the Cook County Assessor shall forward such application and any supporting documentation provided with the application to the Cook County Board of Commissioners for consideration as to whether the Cook County Board will provide a resolution or ordinance in support of a Class 8b designation. Real estate receiving a Class 8b designation pursuant to the provisions of this section shall be eligible for such designation beginning in the assessment year during which an application for the classification having the impact of this section is made to the Cook County Assessor.
  - (6) Class 8b designation cannot be applied to real estate unless the following has occurred: application is made or has been made to the Cook County Assessor, and all required municipal and county ordinances and resolutions are provided to the Cook County Assessor.

**Sec. 74-71. Definition.**

*Property tax incentive* for the purpose of applicability of the Living Wage pursuant to Section 34-160 of the County's Code means a reduction in the assessment level as set forth in Chapter 74, Article II, Division 2 of this

Code for owner-occupied Class 6b industrial property, Class 8 industrial property, or Class 9 multifamily residential property. For the purpose of this article, "property tax incentive" shall not include a Class 9 designation granted to supportive living facilities, which establish an alternative to nursing home care for low income older persons and persons with disabilities under Medicaid and which are certified by the State Department of Public Aid pursuant to Chapter 74, Article II, Division 2 of this Code, the County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

*Sales tax* means the Retailer's Occupation Tax, the Service Occupation Tax and/or the Use Tax.

**Sec. 74-72. Living wage requirement.**

Unless expressly waived by the County Board, not less than a Living Wage, as defined in the Cook County Procurement Code, shall be paid to each Employee of any Employer that receives a Property Tax Incentive for owner-occupied property. Each Employer receiving a Property Tax Incentive shall comply with all the requirements and procedures set forth in the County Code Chapter 34 with regard to such Living Wage, including notification of Employees and all other requirements.

---

**Sec. 74-73 Revocation or Cancellation of Incentive Classification**

- (a) The following Incentive Classifications are subject to revocation herein:  
  - Class 7c
  
- (b) The incentive may be revoked under the following circumstances:
  - 1. By rule, as provided by the Assessor,
  - 2. By the County Board by Resolution or Ordinance,
  - 3. By the County Board by Resolution or Ordinance at the request of the Municipality’s submission of a Municipal Resolution or Ordinance,
  - 4. By request of the taxpayer to cancel.

In case of revocation or cancellation, the Incentive Classification shall be deemed null and void for the tax year in which the incentive was revoked or cancelled as to the subject property. In such an instance, the taxpayer shall be liable for and shall reimburse to the County Collector an amount equal to the difference in the amount of taxes that would have been collected had the subject property been assessed as a Class 5 property and the amount of taxes collected under the Incentive Classification as determined by the Assessor.

**A motion was made by Vice Chairman Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Butler, that this Ordinance Amendment be recommended for approval as substituted. The motion carried.**

**14-1930  
SUBSTITUTE ORDINANCE AMENDMENT**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE PETER N. SILVESTRI, JERRY BUTLER, JOHN P. DALEY,  
JESUS G. GARCIA, ELIZABETH “LIZ’ DOODY GORMAN AND  
JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**AMENDMENT TO ASSESSMENT CLASSES - CLASS 7C**

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Chapter 74 Taxation, Article II Real Property Taxation, Division 2 Classification System for Assessment, Section 74-63 through 74-73 of the Cook County are hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 74-63. Assessment classes.**

Real estate is divided into the following assessment classes:

- (1) *Class 1.* Unimproved real estate.
- (2) *Class 2.* Real estate:
  - a. Used as a farm;

- b. Used for residential purposes when improved with a house, an apartment building of not more than six living units, or residential condominium, a residential cooperative or a government-subsidized housing project, if required by statute to be assessed in the lowest assessment category;
- c. Improved with a building put to commercial and residential use, of six or less units where the building measures less than 20,000 square feet of above grade space; or
- d. Real estate improved with a single room occupancy building, as defined in this division, provided that:
  - 1. At least one-third of the single room occupancy units are leased at no more than 80 percent of the current "Fair Market Rent Schedule for Existing Housing for Single Room Occupancy Units" as set by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (hereinafter "FMR schedule");
  - 2. No single room occupancy units are leased at rents in excess of 100 percent of the current FMR schedule;
  - 3. The overall maximum average rent per unit for all single room occupancy units in the building shall not exceed 90 percent of the current FMR schedule; and
  - 4. The subject property is in substantial compliance with all local building, safety and health codes and requirements.

In the event that the owner fails to comply with these requirements, the Class 2 classification shall be revoked.

- (3) *Class 3.* All improved real estate used for residential purposes which is not included in any other class.
- (4) *Class 4.* Real estate owned and used by a not-for-profit corporation in furtherance of the purposes set forth in its charter unless used for residential purposes. If such real estate is used for residential purposes, it shall be classified in the appropriate residential class.
- (5) *Class 5a.* All real estate not included in Class 1, Class 2, Class 3, Class 4, Class 5b, Class 6b, Class C, Class 7a, Class 7b, Class 7c, Class 8, Class 9, Class S or Class L of this section.
- (6) *Class 5b.* All real estate used for industrial purposes as defined herein and not included in any other class.
- (7) *Class 6b.* Real estate used primarily for industrial purposes, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.
  - a. An applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an Ordinance or Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the incentive provided by Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on that specific real estate and that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the Class 6b application to the Assessor. A certified copy of the Ordinance or Resolution need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b eligibility application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the eligibility application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County, as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance supporting the incentive has been requested.

b. A copy of the Resolution or letter confirming that a Resolution has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.

c. Class 6b applications for newly constructed or substantially rehabilitated buildings and other structures must be made to the Assessor within one year prior to the commencement of such new construction or substantial rehabilitation to qualify for a Class 6b incentive. With respect to abandoned property, the Class 6b application must be made to the Assessor prior to the commencement of the reoccupation of the vacant and unused property.

d. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of Class 6b, unless:

1. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
2. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 6b application. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b eligibility application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 6b eligibility application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Temporary Emergency Economic Recovery Modification (TEERM) Program: In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of a Class 6b under the TEERM Program if there has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for at least 12 continuous months. The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 6b application under the TEERM Program. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a

County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 6b need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 6b application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Sustainable Emergency Relief (SER) Program: In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purpose of Class 6b under the SER Program if:

1. The industrial enterprise that occupies the premises has been at the same location for a minimum of ten years prior to the date of application for the SER Program;
2. The industrial enterprise that occupies the premises submits evidence of hardship supporting a determination that participation in the SER Program is necessary for the industrial enterprise to continue operations at its current location and maintain its staff, and that without such designation the industrial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the property to be in imminent risk of becoming vacant and unused; and
3. The applicant is not receiving another Cook County property tax incentive for the same property.

The finding that a property is qualified, along with the specification of the special circumstances, and a determination that the applicant's participation in the SER Program is necessary for the industrial enterprise to continue operations at its current location and maintain its staff, and that without such designation the industrial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the property to be in imminent risk of becoming vacant and unused, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 6b application. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purposes of Class 6b, and a County Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purposes of Class 6b and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "qualified" for purposes of Class 6b need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 6b application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 6b. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 6b application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Applications for the TEERM Program or SER Program under a Class 6b application must be received by the Assessor's Office on or before November 30, 2018 to receive consideration.

The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of annual reports by recipients of Class 6b incentives granted pursuant to the SER Program as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 6b site. In such reports, recipients shall be required to certify whether the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application continues its operations at that location. In addition, recipients of Class 6b incentives granted pursuant to the



SER Program shall be required to report to the Assessor within 30 days if the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application ceases operations at that location. A copy of such reports will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling. Additionally, if the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application ceases operations at that location, then the Class 6b incentive granted pursuant to the SER program shall terminate.

e. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial reoccupancy, or in the case of incentives granted pursuant to the TEERM Program, from the date of the notice of approval. In the case of incentives granted pursuant to the SER Program, this classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date of the notice of approval, or until the industrial enterprise that occupied the premises at the time of the SER application ceases operations at that location if that occurs sooner.

f. Unless a Class 6b granted pursuant to the TEERM Program or the SER Program, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a ten percent assessment level pursuant to section 74-64(7), if the following requirements are met:

1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class 6b; and
3. A copy of that Resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Assessor's Office before the expiration of the ten-percent assessment level period.

g. Class 6b incentives that are granted pursuant to the TEERM Program or SER Program are not renewable. For all other Class 6b incentives, the number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and meet the requirements for Class 6b.

h. A copy of the request for renewal of the incentive will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts.

i. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64(7). After expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.

j. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine eligibility for the benefits provided under Class 6b.

k. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 6b recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 6b site. A copy of such reports will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.

(8) *Class C*. Real estate which is to be used for industrial or commercial purposes, including abandoned property, as defined in Section 74-62, including the land upon which such property is situated; or vacant land; where such real estate because of contamination has undergone environmental testing and remediation and has received a "No Further Remediation Letter" from the site remediation program.

a. To be eligible for a Class C classification an applicant must have received a "No Further Remediation Letter" confirming achievement of the remediation objectives based on the industrial or commercial use.

b. The owner of the property is rendered ineligible for the Class C classification by having previously owned or operated the site, directly or indirectly, or having been a partner or being associated through a family or business relationship with anyone who has owned or operated the site, which ownership or operation caused the contamination which was remediated pursuant to a site remediation. A present owner who can successfully demonstrate that the owner was not responsible for the contamination may be eligible for Class C classification.

c. An applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an ordinance or resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the incentive provided by Class C is necessary for development to occur on that specific real estate and that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the Class C application to the Assessor. A certified copy of the ordinance or resolution must be filed at the time of application for the Class C classification. A copy of that ordinance or resolution, whichever is submitted, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.

d. To qualify for the Class C classification, an application for Class C classification must be made within one year of the receipt of the "No Further Remediation Letter." Where an application for Class C classification encompasses less than all of the contiguous property owned by the applicant upon which remediation has been completed, the one year limitation will be waived for any subsequent separate application for Class C classification for the remainder or for additional portions of the property, provided that such subsequent application is made within seven years.

e. Additionally, to qualify for the Class C classification, the estimated remediation costs, including site investigation, testing, oversight, remediation and removal costs, monitoring, and engineering and legal fees associated with the remediation process, must total at least \$100,000.00, or alternatively, must total at least 25 percent of the market value of the real estate as determined by the Assessor's property record card in the year prior to the remediation, whichever is less.

f. The initial Class C classification shall continue for a period of 12 years for both industrial and commercial property. For industrial property, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a 16 percent assessment level, if the following requirements are met:

1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of the taxpayer's intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class C; and
3. A copy of that resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Office of the Assessor before the expiration of the 16 percent assessment level period.

The number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and qualify for Class C. Any property which applies for Class C treatment on or before the adoption date of the ordinance from which this division is derived will be eligible for this renewal term at the end of their original incentive period subject to the above requirements. The notice of intent to request renewal which is filed with the Assessor's Office will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the effected districts.

g. If, on November 23, 1999, a property is receiving Class C treatment, but the assessment level is higher than 16 percent, that taxpayer may apply for renewal as outlined above and receive a 16 percent assessment level for the prescribed period beginning after the filing and approval of the resolution and renewal application. However, if, as of that effective date, the taxpayer's assessment is higher than 16 percent and the taxpayer is granted a renewal of the incentive for subsequent years, no reduction of the current assessment level based on renewal of the incentive will be granted. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64. After such ten-year period expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.

h. For commercial properties, once the original 12-year incentive period has expired, the commercial Class C incentive will expire. The incentive classification will not be subject to renewal and the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.

i. The Assessor shall review the application and supporting documentation to determine eligibility for the Class C classification. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with the foregoing necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine initial and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under the Class C classification.

j. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class C recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class C site. Such reports shall be verified. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.

(9) *Class 7a.* Real estate used primarily for commercial purposes, comprising a qualified commercial development project, as determined pursuant to Section 74-65(a), located in an area in need of commercial development, where total development costs, exclusive of land, do not exceed \$2,000,000.00, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, as defined in this division, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.

a. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7a. The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the resolution or ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 7a application. Notwithstanding the foregoing, special circumstances may not be determined to justify finding that a property is deemed "abandoned" where:

A. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or

- B. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

Such resolution or ordinance must be filed with the eligibility application. If the ordinance or resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7a and a resolution to that effect shall be included with the Class 7a eligibility application filed with the Assessor.

- b. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial reoccupancy. After such 12-year period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
  - c. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 7a recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 7a site. Such reports shall be verified. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.
- (10) *Class 7b.* Real estate used primarily for commercial purposes, as defined in this division, comprising a qualified commercial development project, as determined pursuant to Section 74-65(a), located in an "area in need of commercial development", where total development costs, exclusive of land, exceed \$2,000,000.00, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, as defined herein, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.

- a. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7b. The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the resolution or ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 7b application. Notwithstanding the foregoing, special circumstances may not be determined to justify finding that a property is deemed "abandoned" where:
  - A. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
  - B. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

Such resolution or ordinance must be filed with the eligibility application. If the ordinance or resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 7b and a resolution to that effect shall be included with the Class 7b eligibility application filed with the Assessor.

- b. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial reoccupancy.

- c. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 7b recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 7b site. Such reports shall be verified. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.

(11) Class 7c or Commercial Urban Relief Eligibility ("CURE"). Real estate used primarily for commercial purposes, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel.

a. An applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an Ordinance or Resolution expressly stating that:

- (i) The property, site, or area is deemed a redevelopment priority by the municipality, and
- (ii) The municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the incentive provided by Class 7c is necessary for development to occur on that specific real estate and that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the Class 7c application to the Assessor.

In order to determine Class 7c applications, an application for Class 7c shall be submitted to the Assessor and the Bureau of Economic Development. Upon receipt of the application and all the necessary supporting data, the Economic Development Advisory Committee of the County, within 30 days return the application to the Assessor with a finding stating whether the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)-(a)(4) of this section are present. The Assessor shall review the application, supporting data, findings of the Committee and other appropriate facts. Where the Assessor finds the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)-(a)(4) of this section exist, the Assessor shall, within 30 days of the receipt of the Committee's findings, certify the commercial development project eligible for Class 7c treatment under this division.

Where the Economic Development Advisory Committee does not return a finding stating whether the conditions of Subsections (a)(1) - (a)(4) of this section are present within 30 days, and upon further application of the requesting party, the Assessor may review the application, supporting data, and other appropriate facts and certify the commercial development project eligible for Class 7c treatment and grant the incentive.

- (1) That the Property's (the real estate that is subject of the Incentive application) Assessed Value, Equalized Assessed Value or Real estate taxes for three of the last six years, have declined or remained stagnant due to the depressed condition;
- (2) There is a reasonable expectation that the development, re-development or rehabilitation of the commercial development project is viable and likely to go forward on a reasonably timely basis if granted Class 7c designation and will therefore result in the economic enhancement of the property;
- (3) Certification of the commercial development project for Class 7c designation will materially assist development, redevelopment or rehabilitation of the property and the commercial development property would not go forward without the full incentive offered under Class 7c; and

- (4) Certification of the commercial development project for Class 7c designation is reasonably expected to ultimately result in an increase in real property tax revenue and employment opportunities of the property.

A certified copy of the Ordinance or Resolution need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 7c eligibility application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 7c. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the eligibility application, the applicant may instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County, as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance supporting the incentive has been requested.

b. A copy of the Resolution or letter confirming that a Resolution has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.

c. Class 7c applications for newly constructed or substantially rehabilitated buildings and other structures must be made to the Assessor within one year prior to the commencement of such new construction or substantial rehabilitation to qualify for a Class 7c incentive. With respect to abandoned property, the Class 7c application must be made to the Assessor prior to the commencement of the reoccupation of the vacant and unused property. For the purpose of this Incentive [Class 7c] "abandoned property" shall mean property where the buildings and other structures, or portions thereof, have been vacant and unused for more than 12 continuous months and as established by rule of the Assessor.

d. This classification shall continue for a period of 3 years from the date such new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial occupancy.

e. Class 7c incentives that are granted are renewable.

The incentive shall be phase out after 5 years, pursuant to Section 74-64(8). After expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.

f. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine eligibility and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under Class 7c.

g. Class 7c Applications must be received by the Assessor's Office on or before November 30, 2019 to receive consideration.

h. Renewal. The Class 7c Incentive shall be limited to only one (1) renewal. The applicant may apply for a renewal on or after the 3rd Year of the Incentive, but before the expiration of the 5th Year of the Incentive. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County as to whether it will validate the renewal. The Incentive may be renewed unless otherwise limited herein.

i. Effective Year. The Class 7c Incentive shall be effective for the tax year the application for the Incentive is filed. In addition, the Class 7c Incentive may be applied for only one year prior to the tax year in which the Incentive was applied via a certificate of error.

(4+12) Class 8. Real estate used primarily for industrial and commercial purposes, consisting of all newly constructed buildings or other structures, including the land upon which they are situated; or abandoned property, as defined in this division, including the land upon which such property is situated; or all buildings

and other structures which are substantially rehabilitated to the extent such rehabilitation has added to their value, including qualified land related to the rehabilitation.

a. Land qualifies when the rehabilitation adds vertical or horizontal square footage to the improvements. The amount of land eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the square footage added by the rehabilitation bears to the total square footage of the improvements on the parcel. Such real estate must be either obtained through the Cook County Tax Reactivation Project or must be located in one of the following designated geographical areas:

1. An area which has been certified as in need of substantial revitalization in accordance with the provisions of Section 74-65(b);
2. An enterprise community as proposed and approved by the County Board on June 22, 1994, or the Chicago City Council on May 18, 1994, and the municipality in which such real estate is located, or, if in an unincorporated area, the County must by lawful Resolution determine that such real estate is consistent with an overall plan for the rehabilitation of the area; or
3. Any one of the following five townships: Bloom; Bremen; Calumet; Rich; and Thornton.

b. In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of Class 8, unless:

1. There has been a purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have not been vacant and unused prior to such purchase; or
2. There has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for less than 24 continuous months.

The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 8 application. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board is required to validate such, a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8, and a Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 8 application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 8. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 8 application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Temporary Emergency Economic Recovery Modification (TEERM) Program: In the instance where real estate does not meet the definition of abandoned property as defined herein, the municipality or the County Board, as the case may be, may still determine that special circumstances justify that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purpose of Class 8 under the TEERM Program, if there has been no purchase for value and the buildings and other structures have been vacant and unused for at least 12 continuous months. The finding of abandonment, along with the specification of the special circumstances, shall be included in the Resolution or Ordinance supporting and consenting to the Class 8 application. If the Ordinance or Resolution is that of a municipality, the approval of the County Board

is required to validate such, a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8, and a Resolution to that effect shall be obtained. The applicant must obtain the municipal enabling Ordinance with the required finding of special circumstances and present such municipal Ordinance to the Board of Commissioners of Cook County prior to its determination as to whether it will validate such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 and provide a County Resolution to that effect. A certified copy of an Ordinance or Resolution finding that special circumstances exist, as well as a certified copy of a County Ordinance or Resolution validating such a finding that the property is deemed "abandoned" for purposes of Class 8 need not be filed at the time of filing the Class 8 application with the Assessor, but must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class 8. If the Resolution is not filed at the time of the Class 8 application, the applicant shall instead file, at that time, a letter from the municipality or the County as the case may be, confirming that a Resolution or Ordinance regarding special circumstances has been requested.

Applications for the TEERM Program must be received by the Assessor's Office on or before November 30, 2018 to receive consideration.

c. A copy of the Resolution or letter confirming that a Resolution has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.

d. This classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date of new construction (excluding demolition, if any) or substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed, or in the case of abandoned property, from the date of substantial reoccupancy, or in the case of incentives granted pursuant to the TEERM Program, from the date of the notice of approval.

e. Unless it was granted pursuant to the TEERM Program, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a ten-percent assessment level pursuant to 74-64(11), if the following requirements are met:

1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of the taxpayer's intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial or commercial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class 8; and
3. A copy of that Resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Assessor's Office before the expiration of the 10 percent assessment level period.

f. Class 8 incentives that are granted pursuant to the TEERM Program are not renewable. For all other Class 8 incentives, the number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and meet the requirements for Class 8.

g. A copy of the request for renewal of the incentive will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.

h. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two years, pursuant to Section 74-64(11). After expiration of the last incentive period the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.



i. The Assessor may adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine eligibility for the benefits provided under Class 8.

j. The certification of an area as in need of substantial revitalization shall expire five years from the date such certification is granted. The Assessor shall notify the applicant of the date of expiration of certification one year before the date of the expiration of the certification. Such certification, pursuant to the same criteria, may be extended for one additional five-year period subject to reapplication by the appropriate local governing body within the period from one year to six months prior to the expiration of the initial five-year period.

k. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class 8 recipients as to the use of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class 8 site. A copy of such reports will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules shall result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the nonfiling.

~~(12)~~ 13 *Class 9.* All real estate otherwise entitled to Class 3 classification under this division, provided that such real estate, consisting of land and existing buildings and structures is multifamily residential real estate; either has undergone major rehabilitation, or is new construction, or both; has at least 35 percent of the dwelling units leased at rents affordable to low-or moderate-income persons or households; and is in substantial compliance with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes.

a. To qualify for the Class 9 classification, the applicant must:

1. File an eligibility application with the Assessor prior to commencement of rehabilitation and/or of new construction;
2. Either undertake and complete a major rehabilitation of the subject property, or undertake and complete construction of a new building;
3. Maintain the subject property, including any new construction, in substantial compliance with all local building, safety and health codes and requirements for the duration of the Class 9 classification period;
4. Lease, for the duration of the Class 9 classification period, at least 35 percent of the dwelling units of the subject property, including any new construction, to tenants at rents which will not exceed rents affordable to low- and moderate-income persons or households;
5. Agree to make a current listing of Class 9 tenants and their income available to the Assessor upon request;
6. Further agrees to annually provide the tenants with a list of the permissible Class 9 rents;
7. Agree to notify tenants of the upcoming Class 9 expiration at least one year prior to the termination of the incentive treatment; and
8. File annually with the Assessor, on or before a date determined by the Assessor, for the duration of the Class 9 classification period, a sworn statement verifying continuous compliance with the Class 9 provisions of this division.

b. No applicant shall discriminate on the basis of race, color, sex, marital status, religion, national origin or ancestry, or on any other basis prohibited under Federal, State or local law.

- c. Upon completion of the major rehabilitation, the applicant must supplement the application by submitting evidence showing that major rehabilitation did, in fact, occur, the date that the major rehabilitation was completed and that the real estate complies with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes. Upon completion of the new construction, the applicant must supplement the application by submitting an occupancy permit showing the date that the new construction was completed and ready for occupancy, and evidence that the real estate complies with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes.
  - d. Beginning January 1, 2000, the Class 9 classification shall have an initial duration of ten years from the date that the major rehabilitation was completed. That period may be extended for additional ten-year periods if:
    1. An application is filed with the Assessor at least 12 months before the expiration of the incentive period;
    2. The applicant presents evidence that the real estate currently complies with all applicable local building, safety and health requirements and codes; and
    3. The Assessor determines that all application qualifications, except the major rehabilitation or new construction requirement, were maintained during the incentive period.
  - e. When the Class 9 classification is due to expire or is terminated by action of the owner or the Assessor, the property owner shall, in a manner and form determined by the Assessor, notify all Class 9 tenants of the date of the termination of Class 9 classification. Once the Class 9 classification is terminated, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this division.
- (~~13~~14) *Class S.* Real estate otherwise entitled to Class 3 classification under this division, consisting of land and existing buildings and structures, which is has been subject to a Section 8 contract renewal. The portion of the land and building eligible for the incentive shall be in such proportion as the number of Section 8 units bears to the total number of units. The proportion shall be applied only to property used for residential purposes, and not to portions of the property, if any, used for commercial purposes.
- a. Property qualifies for the Class S classification if its Section 8 contract has been renewed pursuant to one of the following alternatives:
    1. HUD has approved renewal of the Section 8 contract under the mark up to market option, after finding that:
      - i. The property has received a physical inspection score of at least 60, in an inspection by HUD's Real Estate Assessment Center, confirming that the property is decent, safe, sanitary and in good repair with no uncorrected exigent health and safety (EHS) violations;
      - ii. The property does not have a low- and moderate-income use restriction that cannot be eliminated by unilateral action by the owner. If, however, the current rent is lower than the use restriction, HUD may use the mark up to market option to increase the rents to the use restriction level, which would be a renewal qualifying for the S classification; and
      - iii. A rent comparability study conducted by HUD has demonstrated that comparable market rents are above 100 percent of the HUD Fair Market Rent.
    2. HUD has approved a contract renewal for five years of the Section 8 contract under the mark up to market under HUD's discretionary authority, after finding that the property meets at least one of the required criteria:

- i. A vulnerable population is affected,
  - ii. There is a low vacancy rate in the area, which would make tenant based assistance difficult to use, or a lack of comparable housing, or
  - iii. The project is a high priority for the local community, as demonstrated by a contribution of state or local funds to the property.
3. HUD has approved renewal of a Section 8 contract for a not for profit corporation under any available option under HUD's renewal procedures as described in HUD's Section 8 Renewal Policy Guidelines.
- b. Additional requirements for qualification for the S classification are:
1. At least 20 percent of the living units must be Section 8 units for qualifying low and moderate-income persons.
  2. The owner must agree to retain at least the existing number of Section 8 units for at least five years after the expiration of the expiring or expired Section 8 contract.
  3. For the duration of the Class S classification period, applicant must file annually with the Assessor, on or before a date determined by the Assessor, a sworn statement verifying continuous compliance with the Class S provisions of this division.
  4. Applicant must agree to notify the Assessor's Office if the Section 8 contract is terminated prior to its expiration date. Applicant shall provide to the Assessor's office a copy of any notice of default or notice of abatement received from HUD.

c. When the applicant applies to HUD for a contract renewal, no less than 120 days prior to the expiration of the contract, the applicant shall notify the Assessor's Office of the application, on a form provided by that office. Upon receiving approval of the contract renewal from HUD, the applicant shall file an application for the incentive with the Assessor's Office, on a form provided by that office. The application shall be supported by a copy of HUD's letter approving the contract renewal and a copy of the executed renewal contract.

d. Any property which, as of November 23, 1999, has an existing Section 8 contract with a mark up to market option may apply for Class S classification for the any portion of the 2001 assessment year encompassed within the contract term, and for the remainder of the contract term, including any renewals approved with the mark up to market option. The classification shall continue until the expiration or termination of the Section 8 contract.

Any property which, as of (DATE AMENDMENT APPROVED), has an existing Section 8 contract renewal may apply for Class S classification for any portion of the 2006 assessment year encompassed within the contract term, and for the remainder of the contract term, including any renewals approved with the mark up to market option, mark up to market option under HUD's discretionary authority or a Section 8 contract that has been renewed by a not-for-profit corporation under any available option under HUD's renewal procedures as described in HUD's Section 8 Renewal Policy Guidelines. The classification shall continue until the expiration or termination of the Section 8 contract.

e. The incentive may be renewed if the Section 8 contract is again renewed under any of the following three options: 1) the mark up to market option; 2) the mark up to market option under HUD's discretionary authority; or 3) by a not-for-profit corporation under any available option under HUD's renewal procedures as described in HUD's Section 8 Renewal Policy Guidelines. Upon filing an application with HUD, no less than 120 days prior to termination of the contract, for renewal of the Section 8 contract, the taxpayer shall provide notice to the Assessor's

Office of its application for renewal. The taxpayer shall provide a copy to the Assessor's Office of HUD's approval of the contract renewal, or notification of other action.

f. The Assessor's Office shall adopt rules consistent with this subsection necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine initial and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under Class S.

~~(14)~~15) *Class L*. Real estate which is to be used for commercial or industrial purposes and which is designated as Class 3, Class 4, Class 5a or Class 5b pursuant to this division; is a landmark or contributing building; and has undergone substantial rehabilitation. The substantial rehabilitation must constitute an investment by the owner of at least 50 percent of the building's full market value as determined by the Assessor in the assessment year prior to the commencement of the substantial rehabilitation.

a. Generally, the incentive shall apply only to the building and will not apply to the land underneath the building. However, if the entire building has been vacant and unused for at least 24 continuous months prior to the filing of the eligibility application with the Assessor, the land upon which the building is situated shall also be eligible for the incentive.

b. Prior to filing a Class L eligibility application with the Assessor, an applicant must obtain an ordinance or resolution from the unit of local government in which the real estate is located, which expressly states that the local government:

1. Has determined that the incentive provided by Class L is necessary for the substantial rehabilitation of the property;
2. Supports and consents to the granting of the incentive; and
3. Has reviewed and accepted its preservation commission's written recommendation of the project for the Class L incentive. This recommendation will specify the project's budget and proposed scope of work and will specify that the project will meet or exceed the Standards of the United States Department of the Interior for Rehabilitation, Preservation, Restoration, and Reconstruction of historic properties.

c. A certified copy of the ordinance or resolution need not be filed with the Assessor at the time the Class L eligibility application is filed but the ordinance or resolution must be filed with the Assessor no later than the date an assessment appeal is filed to request the class change to Class L.

d. If the ordinance or resolution is not filed at the time of the eligibility application is filed, the applicant shall instead, include the following items with the eligibility application:

1. A letter from the municipality or the County, as the case may be, confirming that a resolution or ordinance supporting the incentive has been requested; and
2. A copy of the preservation commission's recommendation of the project.

e. A copy of the resolution or letter confirming that a resolution has been requested, whichever is filed with the application, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts.

f. Additionally, to qualify a landmark building or contributing building for Class L classification, an eligibility application must be made to the Assessor within one year prior to the commencement of substantial rehabilitation. After the substantial rehabilitation has been completed, the preservation commission shall review the project to determine that it is eligible under Subsection (14) of this section. The applicant must supplement the eligibility

application with a copy of the determination of the preservation commission prior to classification of the real estate as Class L.

g. The initial Class L classification shall continue for a period of 12 years from the date such substantial rehabilitation was completed and initially assessed.

h. For property which was initially classified as Class 3, 4 or 5b, this incentive may be renewed during the last year a property is entitled to a 16 percent assessment level, if the following requirements are met:

1. The taxpayer notifies the Assessor's Office of the taxpayer's intent to request renewal of the incentive from the municipality, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area;
2. The municipality in which the real estate is located or the County Board, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, adopts a resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the industrial use of the property is necessary and beneficial to the local economy, and supports and consents to renewal of the Class L; and
3. A copy of that Resolution and a completed renewal application are filed with the Office of the Assessor before the expiration of the incentive period.

The number of renewal periods is not limited as long as the property continues to apply and qualify for Class L. The notice of intent to request renewal which is filed with the Assessor's Office will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the County Board for distribution to members of the County Board from the affected districts.

i. If, as of November 23, 1999, a property is receiving Class L treatment, but the assessment level is higher than 16 percent, that taxpayer may apply for renewal as outlined above and receive a 16 percent assessment level for the prescribed period beginning after the filing and approval of the resolution and renewal application. However, if as of the effective date, the taxpayer's assessment is higher than 16 percent and the taxpayer is granted a renewal of the incentive for subsequent years, no reduction of the current assessment level based on renewal of the incentive will be granted. If no renewal is obtained, the incentive shall be phased out over the next two (2) years, pursuant to Section 74-64. After expiration of the last incentive period, the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this Division.

j. For commercial properties, once the original 12-year incentive period has expired, the commercial Class L incentive will expire. The incentive classification will not be subject to renewal and the real estate shall revert to the applicable classification under this Division.

k. The Assessor shall adopt rules consistent with the foregoing necessary to ensure proper review of all factors relevant to determine initial and continued eligibility for the benefits provided under Class L.

l. The Assessor shall provide by rule for the filing of triennial reassessment reports by all Class L recipients as to the continued landmark status of the property and the number of persons employed at the Class L site. Failure to file such reports within the time established by the Assessor's rules may result in loss of the incentive for the period relating to the non-filing.

**Sec. 74-64. Market value percentages.**

The Assessor shall assess, and the Board of Review shall review, assessments on real estate in the various classes at the following percentages of market value:

- (1) Class 1: 10 percent.

- (2) Class 2: 10 percent.
- (3) Class 3: 16 percent in tax year 2009, 13 percent in tax year 2010, 10 percent in tax year 2011, and subsequent years.
- (4) Class 4: 25 percent.
- (5) Class 5a: 25 percent.
- (6) Class 5b: 25 percent.
- (7) Class 6b: 10 percent for first ten (10) years and for any subsequent ten (10) year renewal periods; if the incentive is not renewed, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- (8) Class C: Industrial properties: 10 percent for first ten (10) years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12; commercial properties: 10 percent for first ten years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- (9) Class 7a: 10 percent for first ten (10) years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- (10) Class 7b: 10 percent for first ten (10) years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- ~~(11)~~ Class 7c: 10 percent for first three (3) years, 15 percent in year four (4) and 20 percent in year five (5).
- ~~(12)~~ Class 8: 10 percent for first ten (10) years and for any subsequent ten (10) year renewal periods; if the incentive is not renewed, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.
- ~~(13)~~ Class 9: 10 percent for an initial ten (10) year period, renewable upon application for additional ten (10) year periods.
- ~~(14)~~ Class S: 10 percent for the term of the Section 8 contract renewal under the mark up to market option, as defined herein, and for any additional terms of renewal of the Section 8 contract under the mark up to market option.
- ~~(15)~~ Class L, renewable properties: 10 percent for first ten (10) years and for any subsequent ten (10) year renewal periods; if the incentive is not renewed, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12; commercial properties: 10 percent for first ten (10) years, 15 percent in year 11 and 20 percent in year 12.

**Sec. 74-65. Qualifications for commercial development project status.**

(a) To qualify as a commercial development project under Class 7a or 7b, it is necessary that the project be located in an area in need of commercial development in that:

- (1) The area is, or has been within the last ten years, designated by Federal, State or local agency as a conservation, blighted or renewal area or an area encompassing a rehabilitation or redevelopment plan or project adopted under the Illinois Urban Renewal Consolidation Act of 1961, as amended, or the Commercial Renewal Re-development Areas Act of 1967, as amended, or that the area is located in a Federal Empowerment Zone or Enterprise Community, as proposed and approved by the County Board on June 22, 1994, or the Chicago City Council on May 18, 1994, or the Commercial District Development

Commission Ordinance of the City of Chicago or designation of like effect adopted under any similar statute or Ordinance;

- (2) Real estate taxes within the area, during the last six years, have declined, remained stagnant or potential real estate taxes are not being fully realized due to the depressed condition of the area;
- (3) There is a reasonable expectation that the development, re-development or rehabilitation of the commercial development project is viable and likely to go forward on a reasonably timely basis if granted Class 7a or 7b designation and will therefore result in the economic enhancement of the area;
- (4) Certification of the commercial development project for Class 7a or 7b designation will materially assist development, redevelopment or rehabilitation of the area and the commercial development project would not go forward without the full incentive offered under Class 7a or 7b; and
- (5) Certification of the commercial development project for Class 7a or 7b designation is reasonably expected to ultimately result in an increase in real property tax revenue and employment opportunities within the area.

(b) Prior to filing a Class 7a or 7b eligibility application with the Assessor, an applicant must obtain from the municipality in which the real estate is located, or the County Board if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an Ordinance or Resolution expressly stating that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, has determined that the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)-(a)(5) of this Section are present and that the municipality or County Board, as the case may be, supports and consents to the Class 7a or 7b application to the Assessor. A certified copy of such Ordinance or Resolution shall be included with the Class 7a or 7b application at the time of filing the application with the Assessor. A copy of the Ordinance or Resolution, whichever is submitted, will be forwarded by the Assessor's Office to the Secretary of the Board for distribution to the members of the County Board from the affected districts. The application shall include any other information deemed necessary by the Assessor. The applicant must demonstrate that the commercial development project qualifies for the Class 7a or 7b classification and shall bear the expense of doing so.

(c) Inasmuch as the County desires to encourage economic development in the neighborhoods of the County, support the increased use of the incentive by smaller projects and to limit the expense of such applications, the Assessor shall liberally construe the requirements of Subsections (a)(1)-(a)(5) of this section for Class 7a applications.

(d) The Assessor shall adopt rules, including a provision to ensure a proper review of the application and supporting data.

(e) Certification of a commercial development project shall not be denied by reason of insufficient size if it otherwise qualifies hereunder. In determining what constitutes the "full incentive offered" as provided in Subsection (a)(4) of this Section, consideration may be given to any lawful intergovernmental participation agreements under which the project developer has agreed, as a precondition to Class 7a or 7b certification, to share a portion of future profits with the appropriate taxing districts.

(f) For Class 7a applications, where the Assessor finds that the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)-(a)(5) of this section exist, the Assessor shall, within 60 days after receipt of the application and necessary supporting data, certify the commercial development project eligible for Class 7a treatment under this Division.

(g) In order to determine Class 7b applications, upon receipt of the application and all the necessary supporting data, the Assessor shall forward it to the Economic Development Advisory Committee of the County. The Committee shall within 30 days return the application to the Assessor with a finding stating whether the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)-(a)(5) of this Section are present. The Assessor shall review the application, supporting data,

findings of the Committee and other appropriate facts. Where the Assessor finds the conditions of Subsections (a)(1)-(a)(5) of this Section exist, the Assessor shall, within 30 days of the receipt of the Committee's findings, certify the commercial development project eligible for Class 7b treatment under this Division.

(h) Class 7a and 7b certifications shall lapse within one year from the date of issuance unless new construction or substantial rehabilitation, or in the case of abandoned property, reoccupation of the commercial development project has commenced prior to its expiration.

(i) To be certified as an area in need of substantial revitalization for purposes of Class 8 classification it is necessary that:

- (1) The municipality in which the area is located or, if an unincorporated area, the County determine by lawful Resolution that the area is in a state of economic depression and that it is not economically feasible for private enterprise to accomplish the necessary modernization, rehabilitation, and development of the area without public assistance and encouragement, or a determination of similar import;
- (2) The municipality or, if in an unincorporated area, the County apply to the Assessor for certification of the area as one in need of substantial revitalization;
- (3) Upon receiving an application to certify an area as in need of substantial revitalization, the Assessor shall review the application, supporting data and other appropriate factors relevant to a determination of the severity of the economic conditions of the area. In determining whether the "in need of substantial revitalization" requirement is met, the Assessor shall give strong consideration and substantial weight to the fact that an area is located in a Federal empowerment zone or enterprise community, as proposed and approved by the County Board on June 22, 1994, or the Chicago City Council on May 18, 1994.

(j) Upon finding that existing factors convincingly demonstrate that the area is in need of substantial revitalization, as defined in this Division, the Assessor shall grant such certification to the area. In making this determination statistical data relevant to the surrounding area as well as the specific area for which certification is sought may be considered. The surrounding area for the City of Chicago shall be the community area as defined in this Division; for all other areas in the County it shall be, where applicable, the municipality in which the area is located.

(k) If a municipality within an Enterprise Community, as proposed and approved by the County Board on June 22, 1994, or the Chicago City Council on May 18, 1994, determines by municipal Resolution that the area is in a state of economic depression and that it is not economically feasible for private enterprise to accomplish the necessary modernization, rehabilitation, and development of the area without public assistance and encouragement, or a determination of similar import and submits a request for Class 8 certification, such certification shall be automatic pursuant to this Division. However, each property eligible for a Class 8 incentive within the certified area must file an application in a timely manner.

(l) Any Class 6a incentive that is still active can be renewed. The Class 6a incentive is defined in this division. The renewal procedures described in Section 74-63 apply to Class 6a as well.

#### **Sec. 74-66. Property in two or more classes.**

Where a single parcel of real estate is partially included in two or more of the above-described classes, each portion shall be assessed at the assessment level herein prescribed for that class.



**Sec. 74-67. Assessor's status and progress report.**

A written report on the status and progress of the implementation of this division, or any amendments thereto, and all rules promulgated by the Assessor hereunder, shall be submitted by the County Assessor to the President and County Board annually on or before December 1.

**Sec. 74-68. Classification system to apply with tax assessment year.**

(a) The incentive provisions of this division provided to qualifying parcels of real estate for Class 6b, Class C, Class 7a, Class 7b and Class 8 shall expire on December 31, 2015, unless otherwise reviewed by action of the County. Real estate granted a Class 6, Class 6a, Class 6b, Class 7 or Class 8 classification on or before December 31, 1994, shall retain such classification under the terms and conditions of this Division prior to January 1, 1995. Real estate for which an application for Class 6a, Class 6b, Class 7 or Class 8 classification is filed with the Assessor on or before December 31, 1994, and which thereafter is determined by the Assessor to be eligible for the classification under the terms and conditions of this Division after January 1, 1995, shall be entitled to receive such classification under such terms and conditions.

(b) Real estate granted a Class 6b, Class 6c, Class 7a, Class 7b or Class 8 classification on or before December 31, 1999, shall retain such classification under the terms and conditions of this Division prior to January 1, 2000. Real estate for which an application for Class 6b, Class 6c, Class 7a, Class 7b or Class 8 classification is filed with the Assessor on or before December 31, 1999, and which thereafter is determined by the Assessor to be eligible for classification under the terms and conditions of this Division existing prior to January 1, 2000, shall be entitled to receive such classification under such terms and conditions.

(c) Real Estate granted a Class 6b, Class 7a, Class 7b or Class 8 classification on or before December 31, 2004, shall retain such classification under the terms and conditions of the Ordinance prior to January 1, 2005. Real estate for which an application for Class 6b, Class 7a, Class 7b or Class 8 classification is filed with the Assessor on or before December 31, 2004, and which thereafter is determined by the Assessor to be eligible for classification under the terms and conditions of this Ordinance existing prior to January 1, 2005, shall be entitled to receive such classification under such terms and conditions.

**Sec. 74-69. Applicable assessment level.**

The assessment level applicable to real estate classified under incentive Classes 6b, C, 7a, 7b, 7c, 8, 9 and L shall in no event exceed the assessment level which otherwise would have been applicable to such real estate under the remaining assessment classes provided in this Division.

**Sec. 74-70. Class 8a and 8b designation/assessment class.**

(a) *Class 8a.* Real estate that is used primarily for industrial or commercial purposes, which real estate would qualify for a Class 8 designation pursuant to Sections 74-62 through 74-64, except for the fact that the qualifying use of the property prior to application for the incentive does not comply with the definition of abandoned property provided for in Section 74-62(b), can receive a designation as a Class 8a property so long as the applicant can show that it has complied with all of the requirements necessary to receive a Class 8 designation per Sections 74-62 through 74-64, except for meeting the definition of abandonment provided for in Section 74-62(b), but only when the Cook County Board of Commissioners provides a Resolution or Ordinance in support of such designation absent abandonment.

- (1) The Cook County Board of Commissioners may only provide such a Resolution or Ordinance in support of Class 8a designation absent abandonment when:

a. An applicant who collects or transmits sales tax has obtained from the municipality in which the real estate is located or the Cook County Board of Commissioners, if the real estate is located in an unincorporated area, an agreement to abate a portion of the local government's sales tax generated by the industrial or commercial enterprise located on such real estate and such abatement of sales tax must cover the period of time for which the applicant would qualify for this Class 8a incentive; and

b. Applicant can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Cook County Board of Commissioners that due to national and regional economic conditions beyond its control the industrial or commercial enterprise has undergone a significant reduction in net operating income of at least 40 percent in the year it makes application for this incentive as compared to the average net operating income of the industrial or commercial enterprise in the prior three (3) years; and

c. Applicant provides objective and credible evidence including, but not limited to, an economic impact study that demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Cook County Board of Commissioners that the ongoing industrial or commercial enterprise is not economically viable and as such it will cease operations within 60 days of the submission of an eligibility application for Class 8a designation to the Cook County Assessor, and thereafter the property will become vacant and unused for an extended period of time of at least 24 months; and

d. Applicant provides objective and credible evidence including, but not limited to, an economic impact study that demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Cook County Board of Commissioners that designation as a Class 8a property will allow the industrial or commercial enterprise to be economically viable and thereby continue its operations so that the industrial or commercial enterprise can continue to occupy and fully utilize the real estate for an extended period of time.

(2) Such a resolution or ordinance must contain:

a. A finding that the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that industrial or commercial enterprise has undergone a significant reduction in net operating income of at least 40 percent in the year it makes application for the incentive as compared to the average net operating income of the industrial or commercial enterprise in the prior three years; and

b. A finding that the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that Class 8a designation of the property is necessary for the ongoing industrial or commercial enterprise to continue its operations and that without such designation the industrial or commercial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the property to become vacant and unused; and

c. A statement by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that it supports and consents to the designation of the property as a Class 8a property absent an abandonment requirement; and

d. A statement by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that it supports and consents to the application made to the Cook County Assessor requesting designation as a Class 8a property absent an abandonment requirement.

(3) When the real estate is located in an incorporated area of the county, and designation as a Class 8a property is sought using the provisions of this Section, the municipality in which the real estate is located must provide to the Cook County Assessor a Resolution or Ordinance that contains the following:

a. A finding by the municipality that it has determined that Class 8a designation of the property is necessary for the ongoing industrial or commercial enterprise to continue its operations and that without such designation the industrial or commercial enterprise would not be economically viable causing the property to become vacant and unused; and

- b. A statement by the municipality that it supports and consents to the action by the Cook County Board of Commissioners to support designation of the property as a Class 8a property; and
  - c. A statement by the municipality that it supports and consents to the Class 8a application to the Cook County Assessor; and
- (4) Real estate receiving a Class 8a designation pursuant to the provisions of this section shall be assessed at the lowest percentage of market value provided for in Section 74-64(~~41~~12), however the term of the incentive will be limited to five (5) years only and such Class 8a designation shall not be renewed:
- a. After the initial application has been approved and granted, if the subject real estate receiving the Class 8a designation pursuant to the provisions of this Section is sold or the applicant transfers ownership of any portion of the property at any time prior to the five (5) year term of the 8a classification, then the property's Class 8a classification shall be subject to an eligibility review by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, the municipality, and the Assessor under the procedures set forth in this Ordinance for the remainder of the five (5) year term.
- (5) In order for real estate to qualify for a Class 8a designation an eligibility application must be made to the Cook County Assessor.
- (6) Class 8a designation can not be applied to real estate unless the following has occurred: application is made to the Cook County Assessor; all required municipal and county Ordinances and Resolutions are provided to the Cook County Assessor; and the Cook County Assessor determines that the real estate which is the subject of the application for a Class 8a designation would qualify for designation as a Class 8 property but for the inability to comply with the definition of abandonment pursuant to Section 74-62(b).
- (7) The Cook County Assessor may adopt rules consistent with this Section to determine eligibility for the benefits provided under Class 8a.
- (8) Upon receipt of an eligibility application for a Class 8a designation, the Cook County Assessor shall forward such application and any supporting documentation provided with such application to the Cook County Board of Commissioners or its designee for consideration as to whether the County Board will provide a resolution or ordinance in support of a Class 8a designation absent abandonment.
- (9) Real estate receiving a Class 8a designation pursuant to the provisions of this Section shall not be eligible for a Class 8a designation for any year prior to the assessment year for which an application for the designation is made to the Cook County Assessor.
- (10) The Cook County Board of Commissioners or its designee may adopt rules consistent with this section that may be needed to ensure proper review of information, data and documents submitted in support of a request to the County Board for a Resolution or Ordinance in support of a Class 8a designation as provided for in this Section.
- (11) Applicants for a Class 8a designation of property can only make such an application for the following assessment years 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013 and the Cook County Assessor shall not designate any real estate as Class 8a property for assessment year 2018 or thereafter.
- (12) Real estate that receives a designation as a Class 8a incentive property will lose such designation and the corresponding reduced level of assessment, if the industrial or commercial enterprise located on the property ceases operations and the subject real estate becomes vacant and unused.

- (13) Real estate that receives a designation as a Class 8a incentive property will lose such designation and the corresponding reduced level of assessment upon termination of the required partial sales tax abatement by local government.

[(14)] This Section 74-70 of the Real Estate Classification Ordinance will become effective upon passage.

- (b) *Class 8b.* Real estate and improvements that house inpatient and outpatient hospital based services, where the property has been acquired for hospital use by a for-profit acquirer unrelated to the not-for-profit disposer, thereby avoiding Illinois Health Facilities and Services Review Board discontinuation approval, shall be considered for a Class 8b designation if it meets the requirements of this Section and the Cook County Board of Commissioners provides a Resolution or Ordinance in support of such designation.

- (1) The Cook County Board of Commissioners may only provide such a Resolution or Ordinance in support of Class 8b designation when:

- a. The applicant is a hospital, as defined in the Hospital Licensing Act, or an entity that owns the real property on which a hospital is located, the hospital is licensed by the state, and the abandonment of the hospital would require the applicant, or the hospital on behalf of which the applicant owns the real property on which the hospital is located, to obtain a permit or exemption from the State of Illinois Health Facilities and Services Review Board pursuant to the Illinois Health Facilities Planning Act prior to discontinuing hospital operations and to obtain a second permit or exemption prior to reopening or otherwise reestablishing the hospital after abandonment;

- b. The applicant demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Cook County Assessor that approval of the Class 8b designation will materially increase the likelihood that the property will be retained for hospital use with the associated employment benefits relative to industrial or commercial use of the property;

- c. The subject hospital is located in a zip code which has a ten percent or greater incidence of families and/or individuals below the poverty level, as identified by the U.S. Census Bureau's most recent census; and

- d. The subject hospital employs at least 750 full-time equivalents (full-time equivalent jobs being defined as total hours worked by all non full-time employees divided by average annual hours worked by the full-time employees).

- (2) Such a Resolution or Ordinance must contain:

- a. A finding that the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the applicant demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Cook County Assessor that approval of the Class 8b designation will materially increase the likelihood that the property will be retained for hospital use; and

- b. A statement by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that it supports and consents to the designation of the property as a Class 8b property.

- (3) When the real estate is located in an incorporated area of the county, and designation as a Class 8b property is sought using the provisions of this section, the municipality in which the real estate is located must provide to the Cook County Assessor a resolution or ordinance that contains the following:

- a. A finding by the corporate authorities of the municipality that the proposed redevelopment contemplated for the subject hospital or the property on which the hospital sits is necessary and appropriate and that, without a classification having the impact of this section, the special circumstances that exist on the property on which the subject hospital sits including, but not limited to, the unique requirement that mandates that the subject hospital's operations are continually maintained without interruption in order for the State of Illinois Health

Facilities and Services Review Board to issue a certificate of need and licensure approval for the continued operation of the subject hospital and the extraordinary need for the continued operation of the subject hospital within the applicable region, will not be addressed and the property on which the subject hospital sits will become vacant and underutilized and cause the continued exasperation of blighted factors within the municipality and region;

b. A finding by the corporate authorities of the municipality that a classification having the impact of this section is necessary for the redevelopment to occur on the property on which the subject hospital sits; and

c. A statement by the corporate authorities of the municipality supporting and consenting to the filing of an application for a classification having the impact of this Section for the property on which the subject hospital sits.

- (4) Real estate receiving a Class 8b designation pursuant to the provisions of this Section shall be assessed at the lowest percentage of market value and for the term provided for in Section 74-64(~~41~~12).
- (5) In order for real estate to qualify for a Class 8b designation, a Class 8 or Class 8b application must be made or have been made to the Cook County Assessor. Any application for Class 8 submitted with required municipal approval after July 1, 2008, for hospital property where the property was acquired for hospital use by an unrelated for-profit acquirer, avoiding the Health Facilities and Services Review Board discontinuation approval, shall be reconsidered as an application pursuant to this section upon supplement of such Class 8 application with the additional information required in this section, if any. Upon receipt of an application, the Cook County Assessor shall forward such application and any supporting documentation provided with the application to the Cook County Board of Commissioners for consideration as to whether the Cook County Board will provide a resolution or ordinance in support of a Class 8b designation. Real estate receiving a Class 8b designation pursuant to the provisions of this section shall be eligible for such designation beginning in the assessment year during which an application for the classification having the impact of this Section is made to the Cook County Assessor.
- (6) Class 8b designation cannot be applied to real estate unless the following has occurred: application is made or has been made to the Cook County Assessor, and all required municipal and county Ordinances and Resolutions are provided to the Cook County Assessor.

#### **Sec. 74-71. Definition.**

*Property tax incentive* for the purpose of applicability of the Living Wage pursuant to Section 34-160 of the County's Code means a reduction in the assessment level as set forth in Chapter 74, Article II, Division 2 of this Code for owner-occupied Class 6b industrial property, Class 8 industrial property, or Class 9 multifamily residential property. For the purpose of this article, "property tax incentive" shall not include a Class 9 designation granted to supportive living facilities, which establish an alternative to nursing home care for low income older persons and persons with disabilities under Medicaid and which are certified by the State Department of Public Aid pursuant to Chapter 74, Article II, Division 2 of this Code, the County Real Property Assessment Classification Ordinance.

*Sales tax* means the Retailer's Occupation Tax, the Service Occupation Tax and/or the Use Tax.

#### **Sec. 74-72. Living wage requirement.**

Unless expressly waived by the County Board, not less than a Living Wage, as defined in the Cook County Procurement Code, shall be paid to each Employee of any Employer that receives a Property Tax Incentive for owner-occupied property. Each Employer receiving a Property Tax Incentive shall comply with all the requirements and procedures set forth in the County Code Chapter 34 with regard to such Living Wage, including notification of Employees and all other requirements.

**Sec. 74-73 Revocation or Cancellation of Incentive Classification**

- (a) The following Incentive Classifications are subject to revocation herein: Class 7c
- (b) The incentive may be revoked under the following circumstances:
  - 1. By rule, as provided by the Assessor;
  - 2. By the County Board by Resolution or Ordinance;
  - 3. By the County Board by Resolution or Ordinance at the request of the Municipality's submission of a Municipal Resolution or Ordinance; and
  - 4. By request of the taxpayer to cancel.

In case of revocation or cancellation, the Incentive Classification shall be deemed null and void for the tax year in which the incentive was revoked or cancelled as to the subject property. In such an instance, the taxpayer shall be liable for and shall reimburse to the County Collector an amount equal to the difference in the amount of taxes that would have been collected had the subject property been assessed as a Class 5 property and the amount of taxes collected under the Incentive Classification as determined by the Assessor.

**Effective date:** This Substitute Ordinance Amendment shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

Approved and adopted this 18th of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

**14-2942**

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE and ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION**

**MASTERPIECE ACQUISITIONS, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST**

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Masterpiece Acquisitions, LLC and Resolution No. 51-13 from the Village of Elk Grove Village for an abandoned industrial facility located at 575 Lively Blvd., Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 17, Permanent Index Number 08-27-202-051-0000; and

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS**, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years,

15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

**WHEREAS**, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

**WHEREAS**, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS**, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for 21 months at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will create one (1) to three (3) full-time jobs; retain; 18 full-time jobs and create seven (7) to 10 construction jobs; and

**WHEREAS**, the Village of Elk Grove Village states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for less than 24 months; there has been a purchased for value and the subject property is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 575 Lively Blvd., Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

**Legislative History :** 5/21/14 Board of Commissioners referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Gorman, seconded by Vice Chairman Murphy, that this Resolution be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**14-2942**

**RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT**

**AND ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

**MASTERPIECE ACQUISITIONS, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST**

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Masterpiece Acquisitions, LLC and Resolution No. 51-13 from the Village of Elk Grove Village for an abandoned industrial facility located at 575 Lively Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 17, Permanent Index Number 08-27-202-051-0000; and

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS**, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

**WHEREAS**, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

**WHEREAS**, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS**, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for 21 months at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will create one (1) to three (3) full-time jobs; retain; 18 full-time jobs and create seven (7) to 10 construction jobs; and

**WHEREAS**, the Village of Elk Grove Village states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for less than 24 months; there has been a purchased for value and the subject property is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 575 Lively Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and



**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 18th of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

**14-2943**

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE and ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION**

**ELLA HOLDINGS LLC TONNE SERIES OR ITS NOMINEE 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST**

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Ella Holdings LLC Tonne Series or Its Nominee and Resolution No. 61-13 from the Village of Elk Grove Village for an abandoned industrial facility located at 1845 Tonne Road, Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 17, Permanent Index Number 08-34-300-052-0000; and

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS**, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

**WHEREAS**, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

**WHEREAS**, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS**, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

**WHEREAS,** the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for seven (7) months at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

**WHEREAS,** the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will create five (5) to twenty (20) full-time jobs; retain five (5) to 10 full-time jobs and create 10 to 30 construction jobs; and

**WHEREAS,** the Village of Elk Grove Village states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for less than 24 months; will be purchased for value pending approval of the Class 6b; and is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

**WHEREAS,** the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED,** by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 1845 Tonne Road, Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

**Legislative History:** 5/21/14 Board of Commissioners referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Gorman, seconded by Vice Chairman Murphy, that this Resolution be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**14-2943**

**RESOLUTION**

**SPONSORED BY**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT**

**AND ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

**ELLA HOLDINGS LLC TONNE SERIES OR ITS NOMINEE**

**6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVEREQUEST**

**WHEREAS,** the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

**WHEREAS,** the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Ella Holdings LLC Tonne Series or Its Nominee and Resolution No. 61-13 from the Village of Elk Grove Village for an abandoned industrial facility located at 1845 Tonne Road, Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 17, Permanent Index Number 08-34-300-052-0000; and

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS**, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

**WHEREAS**, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

**WHEREAS**, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS**, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for seven (7) months at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will create five (5) to twenty (20) full-time jobs; retain five (5) to 10 full-time jobs and create 10 to 30 construction jobs; and

**WHEREAS**, the Village of Elk Grove Village states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for less than 24 months; will be purchased for value pending approval of the Class 6b; and is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 1845 Tonne Road, Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 18th of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk.

**14-2945**

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE and JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION**

**2000 HAWTHORNE AVENUE, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST**

**WHEREAS,** the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

**WHEREAS,** the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from 2000 Hawthorne Avenue, LLC and Resolution No. 57-13 from the Village of Melrose Park for an abandoned industrial facility located at 2000-2006 Hawthorne Avenue, Melrose Park,, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 16, Permanent Index Number 12-33-400-090-0000 and 12-33-400-091-0000; and

**WHEREAS,** Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS;** industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

**WHEREAS,** in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

**WHEREAS,** in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS,** Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

**WHEREAS,** the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for one (1) month at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

**WHEREAS,** the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will create two (2) full-time jobs, one (1) part-time jobs; retain 40 full-time jobs and three (3) part-time jobs and create three (3) to four (4) construction jobs; and

**WHEREAS,** the Village of Melrose Park states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for less than 24 months; there has been a purchase for value; and subject property is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 2000-2006 Hawthorne Avenue, Melrose Park, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

**Legislative History :** 5/21/14 Board of Commissioners referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Gorman, seconded by Vice Chairman Murphy, that this Resolution be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**14-2945**

**RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT  
AND JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

**2000 HAWTHORNE AVENUE, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST**

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

**WHEREA**, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from 2000 Hawthorne Avenue, LLC and Resolution No. 57-13 from the Village of Melrose Park for an abandoned industrial facility located at 2000-2006 Hawthorne Avenue, Melrose Park, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 16, Permanent Index NumberS 12-33-400-090-0000 and 12-33-400-091-0000; and

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS**, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

**WHEREAS**, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

**WHEREAS**, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS**, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for one (1) month at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will create two (2) full-time jobs, one (1) part-time jobs; retain 40 full-time jobs and three (3) part-time jobs and create three (3) to four (4) construction jobs; and

**WHEREAS**, the Village of Melrose Park states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for less than 24 months; there has been a purchase for value; and subject property is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 2000-2006 Hawthorne Avenue, Melrose Park, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 18th of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

**14-2955**

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE and ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION**

**PAUL FAMILY REAL ESTATE VENTURE, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST**

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Paul Family Real Estate Venture, LLC and Resolution No. 15-12 from the Village of Elk Grove Village for an abandoned industrial facility located at 950 Pratt Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 17, Permanent Index Number 08-34-305-036-0000; and

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS**, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value. Qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

**WHEREAS**, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for the purpose of Class 6b ; and

**WHEREAS**, in the case of abandonment of over 24 months and no purchase for value by a disinterested buyer, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS**, Class 6b requires a resolution by the County Board validating the property is deemed abandoned for the purpose of Class 6b ; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building has been abandoned for 24 months, at the time of application, with no purchase for value and that special circumstances are present; and

**WHEREAS**, the re-occupancy will create an estimated two (2) - three (3) full-time jobs; retain 10-12 full-time jobs and create 25-30 construction jobs; and

**WHEREAS**, the Village of Elk Grove Village states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for over 24 months, there has been no purchase for value and the subject property is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 950 Pratt Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b ; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

**Legislative History:** 5/21/14 Board of Commissioners referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Gorman, seconded by Vice Chairman Murphy, that this Resolution be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**14-2955  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT  
AND ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

**PAUL FAMILY REAL ESTATE VENTURE, LLC  
6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST**

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Paul Family Real Estate Venture, LLC and Resolution No. 15-12 from the Village of Elk Grove Village for an abandoned industrial facility located at 950 Pratt Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 17, Permanent Index Number 08-34-305-036-0000; and

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS**, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value. Qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

**WHEREAS**, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for the purpose of Class 6b; and

**WHEREAS**, in the case of abandonment of over 24 months and no purchase for value by a disinterested buyer, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS**, Class 6b requires a resolution by the County Board validating the property is deemed abandoned for the purpose of Class 6b; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building has been abandoned for 24 months, at the time of application, with no purchase for value and that special circumstances are present; and



**WHEREAS**, the re-occupancy will create an estimated two (2)-three (3) full-time jobs; retain 10-12 full-time jobs and create 25-30 construction jobs; and

**WHEREAS**, the Village of Elk Grove Village states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on the specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has be vacant for over 24 months, there has been no purchase for value and the subject property is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 950 Pratt Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

Approved and adopted this 18th of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

**14-3078**

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE and JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION**

**ADVANCE WELDING SERVICES, LLC CLASS 8 PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST**

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 8 that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Advance Welding Services, LLC and Resolution No. 2012-R-14 from the Village of South Chicago Heights for an abandoned industrial facility located at 3301 East End Avenue, South Chicago Heights, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 6, Permanent Index Numbers 32-33-101-031-0000.

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS**, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value. Qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 8 can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial

re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 8 will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11<sup>th</sup> year and 20% in the 12<sup>th</sup> year; and

**WHEREAS**, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for the purpose of Class 8; and

**WHEREAS**, in the case of abandonment of under 24 months and purchase for value by a disinterested buyer, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS**, Class 8 requires a resolution by the County Board validating the property is deemed abandoned for the purpose of Class 8; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building has been abandoned for 12 months, at the time of application, with no purchase for value and that special circumstances are present; and

**WHEREAS**, the re-occupancy will create an estimated six (6) new full-time jobs; four (4) new part-time jobs and five (5) construction jobs; and

**WHEREAS**, the Village of South Chicago Heights states the Class 8 is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for less than 24 months and there has been a purchase for value; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 3301 East End Avenue, South Chicago Heights Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 8; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor

**Legislative History:**5/21/14 Board of Commissioners referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Gorman, seconded by Vice Chairman Murphy, that this Resolution be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**14-3078**

**RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE, PRESIDENT**

**AND JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, COUNTY COMMISSIONER**

**ADVANCE WELDING SERVICES, LLC**

**CLASS 8 PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST**

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 8 that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Advance Welding Services, LLC and Resolution No. 2012-R-14 from the Village of South Chicago Heights for an abandoned industrial facility located at 3301 East End Avenue, South Chicago Heights, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 6, Permanent Index Numbers 32-33-101-031-0000; and

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS**, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value. Qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 8 can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 8 will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

**WHEREAS**, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for the purpose of Class 8; and

**WHEREAS**, in the case of abandonment of under 24 months and purchase for value by a disinterested buyer, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS**, Class 8 requires a Resolution by the County Board validating the property is deemed abandoned for the purpose of Class 8; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building has been abandoned for 12 months, at the time of application, with no purchase for value and that special circumstances are present; and

**WHEREAS**, the re-occupancy will create an estimated six (6) new full-time jobs; four (4) new part-time jobs and five (5) construction jobs; and

**WHEREAS**, the Village of South Chicago Heights states the Class 8 is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for less than 24 months and there has been a purchase for value.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 3301 East End Avenue, South Chicago Heights Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 8; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor

Approved and adopted this 18th of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk.

**ADJOURNMENT**

**A motion was made by Vice Chairman Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this be to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried.**

**SECTION 2**

**YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTION  
WITH REGARD TO THE MATTERS NAMED HEREIN:**

File Id Number 14-1930	Recommended for Approval as Substituted
File Id Number 14-2942	Recommended for Approval
File Id Number 14-2943	Recommended for Approval
File Id Number 14-2945	Recommended for Approval
File Id Number 14-2955	Recommended for Approval
File Id Number 14-3078	Recommended for Approval

Respectfully submitted,

FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON REAL ESTATE AND BUSINESS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

JESUS G. GARCIA, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DeLEON, Secretary

**Commissioner Garcia, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, moved that the Report of the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.**

**14-3673**

**REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON VETERANS**

June 18, 2014

**ATTENDANCE**

Present: Vice Chairman Tobolski, Commissioners Daley, Schneider and Suffredin (4)

Absent: Chairman Reyes (1)

**PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

**Vice Chairman Tobolski asked the Secretary to the Board to call upon the registered public speakers, in accordance with Cook County Code, Sec. 2-107(dd).**

2. George Blakemore, Concerned Citizen

**SECTION 1**

**14-3211**

**Presented by:** KAREN A. YARBROUGH, Recorder of Deeds

**Sponsored by:** STANLEY MOORE, JESÚS G. GARCÍA, JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, PETER N. SILVESTRI, ROBERT STEELE and DEBORAH SIMS, County Commissioners

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION**

**RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE RECORDER OF DEEDS AND DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS TO IMPLEMENT A VETERANS' AND MILITARY DISCOUNT CARD PROGRAM**

**WHEREAS**, though there is no way to adequately repay our nation's military personnel for their service and sacrifice, we can demonstrate our gratitude by forging a collaborative effort between businesses and government that will connect veterans and active-duty military personnel with merchants who choose to honor their military service through special discounts and promotions; and

**WHEREAS**, the discount card program, to be named after adoption of this resolution by mutual assent of the relevant County departments and agencies including, but not limited to, the Bureau of Administration, the Cook County Board of Commissioners, and the Cook County Recorder of Deeds' Office, will provide veterans - those honorably discharged and other veterans generally discharged for reasons other than discipline, misconduct, resignation in lieu of misconduct charges, unfitness for duty, voluntary resignation, or court martial - and military personnel currently serving our country, with discount cards that can be used at recruited merchants throughout Cook County who agree to honor the card by providing holders with a discount on goods and services, or another appropriate money-saving promotion of their choice; and

**WHEREAS**, the veterans' and military discount card program will be mutually beneficial, helping veterans save money with discounts on goods and services, and helping business owners to enjoy increased traffic and sales in their stores; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Recorder of Deeds' Office operates a Veterans Service Office, whose main function is to record, safeguard and retrieve DD-214 military discharge records, which are documents issued to servicemen or women upon completion of their service; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Recorder of Deeds' Office will issue and produce the veteran identification cards; the Cook County Recorder will use DD-214 military discharge records in conjunction with photo identification such as a driver's license, state ID card, federal military or Veterans Affairs ID, or passport, to issue the card to veterans; in the case of active-duty military, a valid military ID or other official government identification that denotes active-duty service, and photo ID if needed, will be used; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Veterans Affairs Division within the Cook County Bureau of Administration already has the outreach capabilities to let our servicemen and veterans know about this program, and the Recorder's Office will assist with promoting this and other Cook County veterans' benefits; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners and the Office of the Cook County Board President may also disseminate information and assist in this program; and

**WHEREAS**, this program is dedicated to the nearly 225,000 veterans in Cook County, who represent almost one-third of all Illinois veterans, and to the active-duty personnel currently serving, as well as to those who have made the ultimate sacrifice; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that the Cook County Veterans Affairs Division and the Cook County Recorder of Deeds honor those who serve this great nation by implementing a veterans' discount card program.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Schneider, that this Resolution be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**14-3211  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE STANLEY MOORE, JESÚS G. GARCÍA,  
JOAN PATRICIA MURPHY, PETER N. SILVESTRI, ROBERT B. STEELE  
AND DEBORAH SIMS, COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE RECORDER OF DEEDS  
AND DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS TO IMPLEMENT  
A VETERANS' AND MILITARY DISCOUNT CARD PROGRAM**

**WHEREAS**, though there is no way to adequately repay our nation's military personnel for their service and sacrifice, we can demonstrate our gratitude by forging a collaborative effort between businesses and government that will connect veterans and active-duty military personnel with merchants who choose to honor their military service through special discounts and promotions; and

**WHEREAS**, the Discount Card Program, to be named after adoption of this Resolution by mutual assent of the relevant County departments and agencies including, but not limited to the Bureau of Administration, the Cook County Board of Commissioners and the Cook County Recorder of Deeds' Office, will provide veterans those honorably discharged and other veterans generally discharged for reasons other than discipline, misconduct, resignation in lieu of misconduct charges, unfitness for duty, voluntary resignation or court martial and military personnel currently serving our country, with discount cards that can be used at recruited merchants throughout Cook County who agree to honor the card by providing holders with a discount on goods and services, or another appropriate money saving promotion of their choice; and

**WHEREAS**, the Veterans' and Military Discount Card Program will be mutually beneficial, helping veterans save money with discounts on goods and services, and helping business owners to enjoy increased traffic and sales in their stores; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Recorder of Deeds' Office operates a Veterans Service Office, whose main function is to record, safeguard and retrieve DD-214 military discharge records, which are documents issued to servicemen or women upon completion of their service; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Recorder of Deeds' Office will issue and produce the Veteran Identification Cards; the Cook County Recorder will use DD-214 military discharge records in conjunction with photo identification

such as a driver's license, state ID card, federal military or Veterans Affairs ID, or passport to issue the card to veterans; in the case of active-duty military, a valid military ID or other official government identification that denotes active-duty service, and photo ID if needed, will be used; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Veterans Affairs Division within the Cook County Bureau of Administration already has the outreach capabilities to let our servicemen and veterans know about this program, and the Recorder's Office will assist with promoting this and other Cook County veterans' benefits; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners and the Office of the Cook County Board President may also disseminate information and assist in this program; and

**WHEREAS**, this program is dedicated to the nearly 225,000 veterans in Cook County, who represent almost one-third of all Illinois veterans, and to the active duty personnel currently serving, as well as to those who have made the ultimate sacrifice.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that the Cook County Veterans Affairs Division and the Cook County Recorder of Deeds honor those who serve this great nation by implementing a Veterans' Discount Card Program.

Approved and adopted this 18th of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

### **ADJOURNMENT**

**Commissioner Schneider, seconded by Commissioner Daley, moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion passed and the meeting was adjourned.**

### **SECTION 2**

#### **YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITH REGARD TO THE MATTERS NAMED HEREIN:**

File ID 14-3211

Recommended for Approval

Respectfully submitted,

VETERANS COMMITTEE

JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, Vice Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DELEON, Secretary

---

Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Moore, moved that the Report of the Committee on Veterans be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.

**14-3565**

**REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON RULES AND ADMINISTRATION**

June 18, 2014

**ATTENDANCE**

Present: Vice Chairman Gorman, Commissioners Daley, Schneider, Silvestri, Sims and Steele (6)

Absent: Chairman Suffredin, Commissioners Fritchey and Gainer (3)

**PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

Vice-Chairman Gorman asked the Secretary to the Board to call upon the registered public speakers, in accordance with Cook County Code, Sec. 2-107(dd).

2. Victor M. Crown, Independent Administrator
- 2 Priscilla Mims, League of Women Voters of Cook County
- 3 George Blakemore, Concerned Citizen

**SECTION 1**

**14-3675**

**JOURNAL OF PROCEEDINGS**

COOK COUNTY CLERK, David Orr, presented in printed form a record of the Journal of Proceedings of the regular meeting held on Wednesday, May 21, 2014.

---

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Journal of Proceedings be recommended for approval. The motion carried.

**14-3170**

Sponsored by: LARRY SUFFREDIN, County Commissioner

**PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDMENT**

**AN AMENDMENT CREATING A PROCEDURE FOR AMENDMENTS TO THE COOK COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES**

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Part I. General



Ordinances, Chapter 2. Administration, Article III. County Board, Division 2. Rules of Organization and Procedure, Section 2-105(g) is hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 2-105. Organization.**

...

(g) *Referrals to committees.* The Board may by motion refer any item before the Board to a Committee or to a subcommittee. The Chair of a committee may refer an item pending in that committee to a subcommittee of that committee. An item referred by the Board to any committee or subcommittee, or by a committee chair to a subcommittee, shall not be jointly referred to any other committee or subcommittee. Only upon the return of the item to the Board, either by report of the committee or by the Board's discharge of the item from the committee or subcommittee, may the Board refer the item to another committee or subcommittee.

Any item that creates a new ordinance or amends an existing section or sections of the Code shall be referred to a committee or subcommittee upon its initial introduction to the Board. Upon referral, the committee shall consider the item. Following the consideration of the item, the item shall be reported to the Board or discharged by the committee or subcommittee.

All items referred to committee or subcommittee by the Board shall be designated with a Communication Number as assigned by the Secretary to the Cook County Board of Commissioners. No committee or subcommittee shall meet for any purpose other than to consider one or more items designated by Communication Numbers.

The Clerk shall refer the draft Journal of Proceedings directly to the Committee on Rules and Administration and provide a Communication Number within 21 days of a Board Meeting.

**Effective date:** This ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

**Legislative History:** 5/21/14 Board of Commissioners referred to the Rules and Administration Committee

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Ordinance Amendment be accepted as substituted. The motion carried.**

**PROPOSED SUBSTITUTE TO 14-3170**

**PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDMENT**

**Sponsored by:** LARRY SUFFREDIN, County Commissioner, JOHN P. DALEY, ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER, PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS and ROBERT B. STEELE, County Commissioners

**AN AMENDMENT CREATING A PROCEDURE FOR AMENDMENTS TO THE COOK COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES**

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Part I. General Ordinances, Chapter 2. Administration, Article III. County Board, Division 2. Rules of Organization and Procedure, Section 2-105(g) is hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 2-105.Organization.**

- (g) *Referrals to committees.* The Board may by motion refer any item before the Board to a Committee or to a subcommittee. The Chair of a committee may refer an item pending in that committee to a subcommittee of that committee. An item referred by the Board to any committee or subcommittee, or by a committee chair to a subcommittee, shall not be jointly referred to any other committee or subcommittee. Only upon the return of the item to the Board, either by report of the committee or by the Board's discharge of the item from the committee or subcommittee, may the Board refer the item to another committee or subcommittee.

Any item that creates a new ordinance or amends an existing section or sections of the Code shall be referred to a committee or subcommittee upon its initial introduction to the Board. Upon referral, the committee shall hold a hearing on the item. Following the hearing, the item shall be reported to the Board or discharged by the committee or subcommittee. The Board may vote for immediate consideration of the item by a majority vote.

All items referred to committee or subcommittee by the Board shall be designated with a Communication Number as assigned by the Secretary to the Cook County Board of Commissioners. No committee or subcommittee shall meet for any purpose other than to consider one or more items designated by Communication Numbers.

The Clerk shall refer the draft Journal of Proceedings directly to the Committee on Rules and Administration and provide a Communication Number within 21 days of a Board Meeting.

**Effective date:** This ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Steele, that this Ordinance Amendment be recommended for approval as substituted. The motion carried.**

**14-3170  
SUBSTITUTE ORDINANCE AMENDMENT**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE LARRY SUFFREDIN, JOHN P. DALEY,  
ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER,  
PETER N. SILVESTRI, DEBORAH SIMS AND ROBERT B. STEELE,  
COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**AN AMENDMENT CREATING A PROCEDURE FOR AMENDMENTS  
TO THE COOK COUNTY CODE OF ORDINANCES**

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Part I. General Ordinances, Chapter 2 Administration, Article III County Board, Division 2 Rules of Organization and Procedure, Section 2-105(g) is hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 2-105. Organization.**

(g) *Referrals to committees.* The Board may by motion refer any item before the Board to a Committee or to a subcommittee. The Chair of a committee may refer an item pending in that committee to a subcommittee of that committee. An item referred by the Board to any committee or subcommittee, or by a committee chair to a subcommittee, shall not be jointly referred to any other committee or subcommittee. Only upon the return of the item to the Board, either by report of the committee or by the Board's discharge of the item from the committee or subcommittee, may the Board refer the item to another committee or subcommittee.

Any item that creates a new Ordinance or amends an existing section or sections of the Code shall be referred to a committee or subcommittee upon its initial introduction to the Board. Upon referral, the committee shall hold a hearing on the item. Following the hearing, the item shall be reported to the Board or discharged by the committee or subcommittee. The Board may vote for immediate consideration of the item by a majority vote.

All items referred to committee or subcommittee by the Board shall be designated with a Communication Number as assigned by the Secretary to the Cook County Board of Commissioners. No committee or subcommittee shall meet for any purpose other than to consider one or more items designated by Communication Numbers.

The Clerk shall refer the draft Journal of Proceedings directly to the Committee on Rules and Administration and provide a Communication Number within 21 days of a Board Meeting.

**Effective date:** This Substitute Ordinance Amendment shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

Approved and adopted this 18th of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

**ADJOURNMENT**

**A motion was made by Commissioner Steele, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this was to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried.**

**SECTION 2**

**YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTION  
WITH REGARD TO THE MATTERS NAMED HEREIN:**

File Id Number 14-3675  
File Id Number 14-3170

Recommended for Approval  
Recommended for Approval as Substituted

Respectfully submitted,

COMMITTEE ON RULES AND ADMINISTRATION

ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, Vice Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DeLEON, Secretary

---

**Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, moved that the Report of the Committee on Rules and Administration be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.**

**14-3563**

**REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON FINANCE**

June 18, 2014

**ATTENDANCE**

Present Chairman Daley, Vice Chairman Sims, Commissioners Collins, Fritchey, Garcia, Gorman, Goslin, Moore, Murphy, Schneider, Silvestri, Steele and Tobolski (13)

Absent Commissioners Butler, Gainer, Reyes and Suffredin (4)

**PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

**Chairman Daley asked the Secretary to the Board to call upon the registered public speaker, in accordance with Cook County Code, Sec. 2-107 (dd):**

1. George Blakemore, Concerned Citizen

**SECTION 1**

Your Committee has considered the following court orders submitted by attorneys for payment of fees earned by said attorneys for defending indigent defendants.

Your Committee, therefore, recommends that the County Comptroller and County Treasurer be, and by the adoption of this report, authorized and directed to issue checks to said attorneys in the amounts recommended.

**COURT ORDERS**

**APPELLATE CASES**

**14-3003**

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$4,589.17  
Case Name: In the Interest of: Angel H.  
Trial Court No(s): 09JA0339  
Appellate Court No(s): 12-2601, 12-2639

**14-3036**

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$2,573.12  
Case Name: In the Interest of: Trevon G.  
Trial Court No(s): 07JA74  
Appellate Court No(s): 1-13-1607

**14-3037**

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$4,028.93  
Case Name: In the Interest of: Navaeh B.  
Trial Court No(s): 11JA361  
Appellate Court No(s): 12-3606

**14-3105**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,374.95  
Case Name: In The Interest Of: W. Edelin, K. Edelin, D. Edelin, B. Edelin, F. Edelin  
Trial Court No(s): 11JA386, 11JA388, 11JA389, 11JA390, 11JA391  
Appellate Court No(s): 13-3120

**14-3277**

Attorney/Payee: Michael J. Vitale  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$2,344.50  
Case Name: Dinarr W., (father) Dinarra R., aka Dinarra W. (minor)  
Trial Court No(s): 10JA762  
Appellate Court No(s): 13-3689

**14-3283**

Attorney Payee: Anthony M. Petrone, A Professional Corporation  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$ 1,020.00  
Case Name: In the interest of Shannon S., Shalamar S., Shawntrell S.  
Trial Court Numbers: 08JA395, 08JA396, 08JA397  
Appellate Court Numbers: 13-3978

**14-3458**

Attorney/Payee: Thomas J. Esler  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$2,265.00  
Case Name: In the Interest of Cameron L.  
Trial Court No(s): 13JA0552  
Appellate Court No(s): 14-0061

**14-3507**

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$2,110.30  
Case Name: In the Interest of Eugene M., minor  
Trial Court No(s): 09JA915  
Appellate Court No(s): 1-12-3404

**APPELLATE CASES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: \$71,912.71**

**APPELLATE CASES TO BE APPROVED: \$20,305.97**

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Steele that the Appellate Cases be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**CRIMINAL DIVISION**

**14-2653**

Attorney/Payee: Lori G. Levin  
Presenter Same  
Fees: \$2,034.53  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Frankie Hollins  
Case No(s): 12CR0786603

**14-3215**

Attorney/Payee: Paul W. Plotnick  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$950.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Paul Prorok  
Case No(s): 13CR18481, 14CR3336

**14-3219**

Attorney/Payee: Dr. Romita Sillitti  
Presenter: Stephen F. Potts, Attorney  
Fees: \$2,050.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): expert witness  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Mark Lindsay  
Case No(s): 13CR80007

**14-3220**

Attorney/Payee: Dr. Romita Sillitti  
Presenter: Stephen F. Potts, Attorney  
Fees: \$1,045.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): expert witness  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Phillip White  
Case No(s): 13CR80003

**14-3221**

Attorney/Payee: Dr. Romita Sillitti  
Presenter: Stephen F. Potts, Attorney  
Fees: \$2,145.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): expert witness  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Kirk Haugen  
Case No(s): 10CR80014

**14-3222**

Attorney/Payee: Dr. Diane Lytton  
Presenter: Stephen F. Potts, Attorney  
Fees: \$5,003.42  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): expert witness  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tracy Lewis  
Case No(s): 11CR80016

**14-3223**

Attorney/Payee: Dr. Diane Lytton  
Presenter: Stephen F. Potts, Attorney  
Fees: \$1,982.96  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): expert witness  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Steven Ehrlich  
Case No(s): 99CR8000

**14-3225**

Attorney/Payee: Dr. Diane Lytton  
Presenter: Stephen F. Potts, Attorney  
Fees: \$6,519.30  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): expert witness  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Sebron Floyd  
Case No(s): 02CR80002

**14-3275**

Attorney/Payee: Matthew J. McQuaid  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,715.79  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Antonio Valdez  
Case No(s): 14CR80006

**14-3276**

Attorney/Payee: Matthew J. McQuaid  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$670.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Hubbard Thrasher  
Case No(s): 14CR80007

**14-3319**

Attorney/Payee: Eric J. Bell  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$137.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Daniel Salgado  
Case No(s): 08CR80002

**14-3445**

Attorney/Payee: Timothy Carter  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$793.74  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Carlos Wade  
Case No(s): 12CR80012

**14-3446**

Attorney/Payee: Timothy Carter  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$2,194.79  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): James Poulos  
Case No(s): 13CR80003

**14-3448**

Attorney/Payee: Timothy Carter  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$963.24  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Kevin Johnson  
Case No(s): 12CR80007

**14-3449**

Attorney/Payee: Robert A. Loeb  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$4,412.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Byron Brown  
Case No(s): 09CR15945

**14-3450**

Attorney/Payee: Timothy Carter  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,133.74  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Derrick Sallis  
Case No(s): 12CR80009

**14-3451**

Attorney/Payee: Timothy Carter  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,151.24  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Thomas Frasier  
Case No(s): 13CR80006



**14-3452**

Attorney/Payee: Jesse Opdycke  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,675.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Derrick Patterson  
Case No(s): 12CR80011

**14-3453**

Attorney/Payee: Jesse Opdycke  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$3,552.05  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Juan Syevens  
Case No(s): 12CR80008

**14-3454**

Attorney/Payee: Lesley Kane, Psy.D  
Presenter: Carter & Opdycke, Attorneys at Law  
Fees: \$4,465.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Juan Syevens  
Case No(s): 12CR80008

**14-3455**

Attorney/Payee: Lesley Kane, Psy.D  
Presenter: Carter & Opdycke, Attorneys at Law  
Fees: \$4,370.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Derrick Patterson  
Case No(s): 12CR80011

**14-3481**

Attorney/Payee: Carter & Opdycke, Attorneys at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$2,885.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lamont Trent  
Case No(s): 13CR1334917

**CRIMINAL DIVISION CASES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: \$815,494.38**

**CRIMINAL DIVISION CASES TO BE APPROVED: \$51,850.30**

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Steele that the Criminal Division Case Payments be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

14-3106

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,250.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Amie White a/k/a Amie Erikson  
Case No(s): 11D4826

**DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION CASES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014**

**TO PRESENT: \$8,197.05**

**DOMESTIC RELATIONS DIVISION CASE APPROVED: \$1,250.00**

A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Steele, that the Domestic Relations Division Case Payments be recommended for approval. The motion carried.

**CHILD PROTECTION DIVISION**

14-2376

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$737.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Bell, A. Bell, J. Perteet (minors)  
In Re: A. Bell, A. Bell, J. Perteet (minors)  
Case No(s): 05JA426, 05JA427, 05JA425

14-2626

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$317.50  
Service rendered for Court-Appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Terrence Thompson (father)  
In Re: T. Thompson (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA1105

14-2663

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$368.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Steve Williams (father)  
In Re: L. Williams (minor)  
Case No(s): 08JA811

14-2982

Attorney/Payee: Horace M. Ealy  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$337.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tremaine Holmes Sr., (father)  
In Re: T. Holmes (minor)  
Case No(s): 09JA00876

**14-2985**

Attorney/Payee: Paul Karoll  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$375.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Jackson, S. Jackson (as GAL)  
In Re: T. Jackson, S. Jackson (minors)  
Case No(s) 08JA532, 08JA533

**14-2987**

Attorney/Payee: Terence Whitney, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$618.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Corey Burrows (father)  
In Re: J. Burrows (minor)  
Case No(s): 10JA0563

**14-2989**

Attorney/Payee: Terence Whitney, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$931.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): KaRon Brooks (mother)  
In Re: T. Brooks (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA684

**14-2990**

Attorney/Payee: Terence Whitney, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$387.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): B. Carter (minor)  
In Re: B. Carter (minor)  
Case No(s): 09JA115

**14-2991**

Attorney/Payee: Terence Whitney, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$362.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Christopher Gibson (father)  
In Re: M. Gibson, D. Lyles (minors)  
Case No(s): 10JA0086, 12JA00169

**14-2992**

Attorney/Payee: Terence Whitney, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$443.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): K. Young (minor)  
In Re: K. Young (minor)  
Case No(s): 09JA00325

**14-2993**

Attorney/Payee: Terence Whitney, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$362.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Laura Jimenez (mother)  
In Re: D. Martinez, G. Martinez (minors)  
Case No(s): 10JA938, 10JA939

**14-2994**

Attorney/Payee: Terence Whitney, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$543.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Hill (minor)  
In Re: A. Hill (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA0659

**14-2995**

Attorney/Payee: Terence Whitney, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$856.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Kathiana Jules (mother)  
In Re: N. Jules, I. Jules (minors)  
Case No(s): 09JA00486, 09JA00487

**14-2998**

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$212.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Remando Stevens (father)  
In Re: R. Stevens (minor)  
Case No(s): 06JA00528

**14-2999**

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$475.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Daisy Gonzalez (mother)  
In Re: L. Kellis, J. P. Kellis, Y. Kellis (minors)  
Case No(s): 07JA00542, 07JA00543, 07JA00544

**14-3000**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$2,225.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Samantha Watkins-Cobbs (mother)  
In Re: J. Hopkins, M. Watkins, A. Simmons, N. Cobbs, A. Cobbs, (minors)  
Case No(s): 09JA529, 09JA530, 09JA532, 14JA59, 14JA60

**14-3001**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$987.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Arhetta Mayfield (mother)  
In Re: A. Mayfield (minor)  
Case No(s): 10JA424

**14-3002**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$862.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Alzonja McGruder (mother)  
In Re: D. Starnes, O. McGruder (minors)  
Case No(s): 01JA1045, 01JA1046

**14-3004**

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$775.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Rodgers, Z. Rodgers (minors)  
In Re: J. Rodgers, Z. Rodgers (minors)  
Case No(s): 10JA714, 10JA715

**14-3005**

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$575.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Bidell, Randall (father)  
In Re: D. Purches (minor)  
Case No(s): 11JA244

**14-3006**

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$775.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Aniyah Johnson (mother)

In Re: A. Johnson (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA005

**14-3007**

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$162.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Eric Hill (father)  
In Re: E. Hill (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA264

**14-3008**

Attorney/Payee: Rodney W. Stewart  
Presenter: Same  
Fee: \$562.50  
Service Rendered for court appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Names of respondent(s): Francetta Jones  
In Re: T. Taylor (minor)  
Case No(s): 09JA1048

**14-3009**

Attorney/Payee: Rodney W. Stewart  
Presenter: Same  
Fee: \$381.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent: legal representation  
Names(s) of respondent(s): Timothy Mullins (father)  
In Re: K. Hardford, B. Mullins (minors)  
Case No. 10JA042, 10JA043

**14-3027**

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$912.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tekella Funches (mother)  
In Re: M. Funches (minor)  
Case No(s): 01JA00725

**14-3028**

Attorney/Payee: Judith Hannah  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$631.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Redmond (minor)  
In Re: T. Redmond (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA90

**14-3030**

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein  
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,435.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Clemons (minor)

In Re: D. Clemons (minor)

Case No(s): 10JA0556

**14-3032**

Attorney/Payee: Bruce H. Bornstein

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$937.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Jaylydell Grayer, Vincent Jackson (fathers)

In Re: B. Myles, D. Jackson (minors)

Case No(s): 05JA1062, 05JA1063

**14-3034**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$431.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): T. McKay (minor)

In Re: T. McKay (minor)

Case No(s): 12JA223

**14-3035**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$800.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Lynetta Benson (mother)

In Re: H. Toney, L. Toney (minors)

Case No(s): 13JA442, 13JA1190

**14-3038**

Attorney/Payee: Ezra Hemphill Attorney at Law

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$375.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Robyn Bray (mother)

In Re: N. Levy (minor)

Case No(s): 09JA00626

**14-3042**

Attorney/Payee: John C. Benson

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$437.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Derrick Knight (father)

In Re: D. Knight (minor)

Case No(s): 02JA1489

**14-3043**

Attorney/Payee: Melinda MacGregor  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$362.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Billy Holmes (father)  
In Re: M. Holmes (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA858

**14-3045**

Attorney/Payee: Melinda MacGregor  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$987.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lola Garner (guardian)  
In Re: B. Hambrick (minor)  
Case No(s) 01JA617

**14-3046**

Attorney/Payee: Eleesha Madeline O'Neill  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$306.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Donald Howell (father)  
In Re: S. Howell (minor)  
Case No(s): 07JA0655

**14-3047**

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$387.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): E. Foreside, P. Bentley (minors)  
In Re: E. Foreside, P. Bentley (minors)  
Case No(s): 08JA00526, 11JA00085

**14-3049**

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$600.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Randall Banks (father)  
In Re: R. Banks, N. Flowers (minors)  
Case No(s): 10JA446, 10JA447

**14-3052**

Attorney/Payee: Judith Hannah  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$262.99  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Marcellas Williams (mother)



In Re: K. Williams (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA453

**14-3053**

Attorney/Payee: Judith Hannah  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$525.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Hayes, S. Hayes (minors)  
In Re: J. Hayes, S. Hayes (minors)  
Case No(s): 95JA2879; 95JA2880

**14-3054**

Attorney/Payee: Judith Hannah  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,190.29  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Maurice Bentley (father)  
In Re: M. Bentley (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA262

**14-3070**

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$750.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Collins, Z. Boston (minors)  
In Re: T. Collins, Z. Boston (minors)  
Case No(s): 09JA00123, 09JA00124

**14-3071**

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$300.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Wendy Mullins (mother)  
In Re: D. Mullins (minor)  
Case No(s): 11JA00467

**14-3074**

Attorney/Payee: Paul Karoll  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$512.76  
Service rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name if respondent(s): Tyree Thompson (father)  
In Re: D. Carter (minor)  
Case No.: 11JA151

**14-3075**

Attorney/Payee: Paul Karoll  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$138.00  
Service rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name if respondent(s): Christian Conners (father)  
In Re: C. Conners (minor)  
Case No.: 10JA313

**14-3076**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$925.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representationName(s) of respondent(s): D. Gaston, D. Gaston (minors)  
In Re: D. Gaston, D. Gaston (minors)  
Case No(s): 01JA1056, 01JA1057

**14-3091**

Attorney/Payee: Gilbert C. Schumm  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$931.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation Name(s) of respondent(s): Domonique Wallace (mother)  
In Re: K. Wallace, P. Wallace, M. Wallace (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA743, 13JA841, 14JA47

**14-3098**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$150.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation Name(s) of respondent(s): Lachonda Dotson (mother)  
In Re: D. Rucker (minor)  
Case No(s): 08JA719

**14-3099**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$862.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation Name(s) of respondent(s): Glenn Baker (father)  
In Re: J. Baker, J. Baker (minors)  
Case No(s): 10JA358, 11JA53

**14-3100**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$425.48  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation Name(s) of respondent(s): Mary Womack (mother)  
In Re: M. Jones (minor)  
Case No(s): 08JA821

**14-3102**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$462.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Stevens, D. Hunt (minors)  
In Re: T. Stevens, D. Hunt (minors)  
Case No(s): 05JA696, 05JA697

**14-3103**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$712.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Kiangela Butler (mother)  
In Re: H. Little (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA245

**14-3104**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$674.41  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Fallon Baumgartner (mother)  
In Re: K. Khora (minor)  
Case No(s): 10JA912

**14-3110**

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$927.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Faustina Esperanza Munoz (mother)  
In Re: J. Rivera, K. Rivera (minors)  
Case No(s): 12JA725, 12JA726

**14-3111**

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$645.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Anthony Robinson (father)  
In Re: A Haynes (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA879

**14-3112**

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$621.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ricardo Marchan (father)  
In Re: R. Marchan, J. Marchan (minors)  
Case No(s): 04JA1224, 04JA1225

**14-3113**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$725.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Tigner, (minor)  
In Re: T. Tigner (minor)  
Case No(s): 00JA1029

**14-3114**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$100.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Darrell Fourte (father)  
In Re: K. McDonald (minor)  
Case No(s): 11JA293

**14-3116**

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida, Attorney  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,025.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation and GAL  
Name(s) of Respondent(s): A. Scott (minor)  
In Re: A. Scott, (minor)  
Case No(s): 06JA0570

**14-3118**

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$462.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Washington (minor)  
In Re: D. Washington (minor)  
Case No(s): 09JA00217

**14-3119**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$175.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Michael Lucio (father)  
In Re: M. Lucio, S. Lucio (minors)  
Case No(s): 01JA1225, 01JA1226

**14-3120**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$168.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): D. McAlsiter, D. Purches (minors/GAL)  
In Re: D. McAlsiter, D. Purches (minors)  
Case No(s): 14JA405, 14JA406

**14-3121**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$337.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Nyia Davis (mother)  
In Re: P. Garrett (minor)  
Case No(s): 01JA161

**14-3122**

Attorney/Payee: Steven O. Ross, P.C.  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$507.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tonisha Adams (mother)  
In Re: K. Adams (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA920

**14-3123**

Attorney/Payee: Samuel N. Warsawsky  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$200.00  
Services Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Charles Clemons (father)  
In Re: T. McDonald (minor)  
Case No(s): 02JA1149

**14-3126**

Attorney/Payee: Samuel N. Warsawsky  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$787.50  
Services Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Darnel Sears (father)  
In Re: D. Sears, D. Sears (minors)  
Case No(S): 09JA430, 09JA431

**14-3127**

Attorney/Payee: Thomas J. Esler  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$625.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): David Roosevelt, Sr. (father)  
In Re: D. Roosevelt, Jr. (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA1164

**14-3128**

Attorney/Payee: Thomas J. Esler  
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$600.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Williams (minor)

In Re: D. Williams (minor)

Case No(s): 04JA01280

**14-3129**

Attorney/Payee: Colleen R. Daly, Attorney at Law, LLC

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$875.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Rashaan Daniels (father)

In Re: S. Daniels, R. Daniels, Jr, N. Daniels, L. Overton (minors)

Case No(s): 13JA787, 12JA1114, 12JA1115, 12JA1117

**14-3130**

Attorney/Payee: Colleen R. Daly, Attorney at Law, LLC

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$375.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): the minor as his Guardian ad Litem

In Re: O. Culpepper (minor)

Case No(s): 08JA00201

**14-3131**

Attorney/Payee: Colleen R. Daly, Attorney at Law, LLC

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$322.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): the minors as their Guardian ad Litem

In Re: R. Williams, J. Williams (minors)

Case No(s): 13JA362, 13JA363

**14-3132**

Attorney/Payee: Colleen R. Daly, Attorney at Law, LLC

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$215.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Michael Sabbs (father)

In Re: M. Sabbs (minor)

Case No(s): 02JA00074

**14-3162**

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,037.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Steve Little (father)

In Re: H. Little (minor)

Case No(s): 12JA245

**14-3163**

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$450.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Earnest Steele (father)  
In Re: T. Steele (minor)  
Case No(s): 08JA153

**14-3164**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silet  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$350.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Sarah Spencer, putative guardian  
In Re: P. Spencer (minor)  
Case No(s): 14JA429

**14-3166**

Attorney/Payee: Patrick K. Schlee  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$281.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lillian Gibson (mother)  
In Re: N. Coleman, N. Coleman, N. Coleman  
Case No(s): 11JA00501, 11JA00502, 12JA00988

**14-3167**

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida, Attorney  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$712.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of Respondent(s): Marcelino Orozco (father)  
In Re: X. Orozco (minor)  
Case No(s): 14JA0137

**14-3171**

Attorney/Payee: Marie J. Taraska  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$402.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tycika Fairley (mother)  
In Re: J. Love (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA770

**14-3172**

Attorney/Payee: Marie J. Taraska  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$457.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Richard Garnett (father)  
In Re: R. Garnett, D. Garnett (minors)  
Case No(s): 13JA306, 13JA307

**14-3175**

Attorney/Payee: John Benson  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$ 325.00  
Service Rendered for Court-Appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Willie Coleman (father)  
In Re: A. Coleman, A. Herron, A. Herron (minors)  
Case No(s): 12JA00435, 12JA00436, 12JA0437

**14-3176**

Attorney/Payee: John Benson  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,100.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Cynthia Sanders (minor)  
In Re: J Sanders (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA01081

**14-3177**

Attorney/Payee: John Benson  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$2,007.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Caprice Hatcher (mother)  
In Re: U Carlson, M. Shore, H. Hatcher, J. Booker  
Case No(s): 13JA607, 13JA608, 13JA609, 13JA610

**14-3181**

Attorney/Payee: Melinda MacGregor  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,300.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Salvador Gutierrez (father)  
In Re: C. Gutierrez (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA934

**14-3182**

Attorney/Payee: Judith Hannah  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$996.17  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Kimberly Harper (natural mother)  
In Re: B. Harper, J. Harper, A. Harper (minors)  
Case No(s): 10JA964; 10JA1124; 12JA569

**14-3183**

Attorney/Payee: Judith Hannah  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$531.74  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Barbara Schutzius (mother)  
In Re: C. Schutzius, M. Jelley, M. Jelley (minors)



Case No(s): 08JA475; 08JA476; 08JA477

**14-3184**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$987.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Tina Hunter (mother)

In Re: L. McDonald, T. Hunter (minors)

Case No(s): 03JA879, 03JA880

**14-3185**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$275.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Deborah Murphy (mother)

In Re: G. Murphy (minor)

Case No(s): 10JA1067

**14-3186**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$543.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Brown, A. Green (minors)

In Re: A. Brown, A. Green (minors)

Case No(s): 07JA584, 06JA136

**14-3187**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$212.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Timothy Kaufman (father)

In Re: E. Kaufman (minor)

Case No(s): 02JA1861

**14-3201**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$925.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Robinson, P. Robinson, S. Robinson, S. Robinson, Z. Robinson (minors)

In Re: T. Robinson, P. Robinson, S. Robinson, S. Robinson, Z. Robinson (minors)

Case No(s): 09JA934, 09JA935, 10JA113, 11JA705, 11JA706

**14-3209**

Attorney/Payee: Law Office of Kent Dean

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$337.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): N. Sutton-Wilson (minor)  
In Re: N. Sutton-Wilson (minor)  
Case No(s): 09JA11

**14-3210**

Attorney/Payee: Law Office of Kent Dean  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$700.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. McGhee (minors)  
In Re: A. McGhee (minors)  
Case No(s): 12JA384

**14-3224**

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$437.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Sandra Cruz (mother)  
In Re: S. Cruz, J. Bernal (minors)  
Case No(s): 05JA89, 05JA92

**14-3226**

Attorney/Payee: Law Office of Kent Dean  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$925.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tanika Humphries (mother)  
In Re: J. Patterson, J. Patterson J. Patterson, J. Patterson, J. Patterson, J. Patterson, J. Humphries, J. Brooks (minors)  
Case No(s): 09JA1035, 09JA1036, 09JA1037, 09JA1038, 09JA1039, 09JA1040, 10JA256, 10JA1065

**14-3227**

Attorney/Payee: Law Office of Kent Dean  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$156.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Taylor (minor)  
In Re: J. Taylor (minor)  
Case No(s): 08JA88

**14-3228**

Attorney/Payee: Law Office of Kent Dean  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$600.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Suarez, A. Pierce, E. Suarez and M. Suarez (minors)  
In Re: D. Suarez, A. Pierce, E. Suarez, M. Suarez (minors)  
Case No(s): 10JA218, 10JA219, 10JA220, 13JA485

**14-3229**

Attorney/Payee: Charles J. Aron  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$275.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tamica Ashford (mother)  
In Re: T. Ashford, G. Clay (minors)  
Case No(s):04JA0707, 04JA0708

**14-3230**

Attorney/Payee: Charles J. Aron  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$418.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ameenah Martin (mother)  
In Re: J. Martin (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA00631

**14-3231**

Attorney/Payee: Charles J. Aron  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$212.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Robert Cannon (father)  
In Re: I. Cannon (minor)  
Case No(s): 08JA0045

**14-3232**

Attorney/Payee: Charles J. Aron  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$497.40  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Ellzey (GAL)  
In Re: A. Ellzey (minor)  
Case No(s): 11JA0331

**14-3233**

Attorney/Payee: Charles J. Aron  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$593.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jay Campbell (father)  
In Re: K. Campbell (minor)  
Case No(s): 11JA0734

**14-3234**

Attorney/Payee: Charles J. Aron  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,031.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Avery Busch (father)  
In Re: A. Busch (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA0515

**14-3235**

Attorney/Payee: Charles J. Aron  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$489.20  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Mullins (GAL)  
In Re: D. Mullins (minor)  
Case No(s): 11JA0467

**14-3236**

Attorney/Payee: Charles J. Aron  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,244.10  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Fanchon Mohorn (mother)  
In Re: J Reed-Green (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA00396

**14-3237**

Attorney/Payee: Ellen Sidney Weisz  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$556.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jennifer Sansone (mother)  
In Re: J. Sansone (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA715

**14-3238**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$525.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Shawntella Moutry (mother)  
In Re: T. Williams (minor)  
Case No(s):13JA975

**14-3239**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$475.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Angelina Mora (mother)  
In Re: A. Silva (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA548

**14-3240**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$875.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Freeman, L. Freeman (minors/GAL)  
In Re: D. Freeman, L. Freeman (minors)

Case No(s): 12JA672, 12JA673

**14-3242**

Attorney/Payee: Steven O. Ross, P.C.

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$405.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Dawntiar Walker (mother)

In Re: D. Walker, D. Jones (minors)

Case No(s): 09JA138, 13JA938

**14-3245**

Attorney/Payee: Steven O. Ross, P.C.

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$515.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Fanas Evans (mother)

In Re: D. Polk-Bey and D. Evans (minors)

Case No(s): 11JA669, 11JA670

**14-3246**

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,100.00

Service rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Nadia Goodman (mother)

In Re: S. Eskridge, S. Goodman (minors)

Case No(s): 13JA1145, 13JA1146

**14-3247**

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,812.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Kennetta Haynes (mother)

In Re: A. Haynes (minor)

Case No(s): 13JA00879

**14-3248**

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,137.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): J.Lipscomb, D. Levenson (minors)

In Re: J. Lipscomb, D. Levenson (minors)

Case No(s): 01JA548, 01JA550

**14-3249**

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$537.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): L. Hernandez, L. Cotto (minors)  
In Re: L. Hernandez, L. Cotto (minors)  
Case No(s): 12JA1272, 12JA1288

**14-3250**

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$450.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Zakar Jeffrey (father)  
In Re: Z. Jeffrey (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA00648

**14-3251**

Attorney/Payee: Marilyn L. Burns  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$512.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Joseph Wright (father)  
In Re: S. Wright-Campbell (minor)  
Case No(s): 09JA00039

**14-3252**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$262.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): James Miner (father)  
In Re: J. Shorty (minor)  
Case No(s): 05JA1175

**14-3253**

Attorney/Payee: Paul S. Kayman  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$425.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Arthur Smith (father)  
In Re: A. Smith, A. Smith, A. Smith, A. Smith, A. Smith (minors)  
Case No(s): 14JA00398, 14JA00399, 14JA00400, 14JA00401, 14JA00402

**14-3254**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$575.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Cordero Davis, John Nash, Elijah Hartfield (fathers)  
In Re: A. Hartfield, J. Nash, J. Nash, J. Nash, C. Davis (minors)  
Case No(s): 13JA586, 13JA587, 13JA588, 13JA589, 13JA590

**14-3255**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe  
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$643.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Antwan Hawkins (minor/GAL)

In Re: A. Hawkins (minor)

Case No(s): 06JA429

**14-3256**

Attorney/Payee: Marcie Claus

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$300.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Felix Robinson and Dale Flag

In Re: M. Robinson, C. Robinson and J. Flag (minors)

Case No(s): 11JA624, 11JA625, 11JA627

**14-3257**

Attorney/Payee: Marcie Claus

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$350.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Trotter (minor)

In Re: D. Trotter (minor)

Case No(s): 10JA723

**14-3258**

Attorney/Payee: Marcie Claus

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$750.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Angelica Lopez (mother)

In Re: M. Sanchez, J. Lopez (minors)

Case No(s): 10JA1085, 12JA1225

**14-3262**

Attorney/Payee: Brenda Sue Shavers

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,843.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Eddie Jenkins, Jr. (father)

In Re: B. Jenkins; T. Jenkins; and D. Jenkins (minors)

Case No(s): 13JA836; 13JA837; 13JA838

**14-3263**

Attorney/Payee: Ezra Hemphill Attorney at Law

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$987.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Joseph Crump (father)

In Re: R. Crump, and R. Crump (minors)

Case No(s): 13JA1011, 13JA1012

**14-3264**

Attorney/Payee: Thomas J. Esler

Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,585.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): R. Stovall (minor)  
In Re: R. Stovall (minor)  
Case No(s): 14JA0004

**14-3270**

Attorney/Payee: Francine N. Green-Kelner  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$2,100.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Darlene Adger (mother)  
In Re: C. Adger, E. Adger (minors)  
Case No(s): 2013JA432, 2013JA433

**14-3281**

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$773.99  
Service rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): E. Hooker (father)  
In Re: J. Smith, N. Smith (minors)  
Case No(s): 12JA63, 12JA66

**14-3282**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$462.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): Guardian ad Litem  
Name(s) of respondent(s): B. Peeples (minor)  
In Re: B. Peeples (minor)  
Case No(s): 10JA00324

**14-3285**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$225.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Steve Williams (father)  
In Re: L. Williams (minor)  
Case No(s): 08JA811

**14-3286**

Attorney/Payee: Melinda MacGregor  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,431.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Sally Starks (guardian)  
In Re: M. Starks, M. Starks, M. Starks (minors)  
Case No(s): 13JA140, 13JA141, 13JA142



**14-3293**

Attorney/Payee: Law Office of Kent Dean  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$362.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Eddie Tisden (father)  
In Re: P. Tisden (minor)  
Case No(s): 11JA1003

**14-3295**

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,492.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Taylor (minor)  
In Re: T. Taylor (minor)  
Case No(s): 07JA00791

**14-3294**

Attorney/Payee: Law Office of Kent Dean  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$287.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): H. House (minor)  
In Re: H. House (minor)  
Case No(s): 09JA1004

**14-3296**

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$675.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Sheilita Kennix (mother)  
In Re: J. Washington (minor)  
Case No(s): 11JA00761

**14-3300**

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Stevens, Ltd.  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,077.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Names(s) of respondent(s): Aaron Bell (natural father)  
In Re: E. Bell, E. Bell, E. Bell, E. Bell, E. Bell, E. Bell, E. Bell (minors)  
Case No(s): 13JA1053, 13JA1054, 13JA1055, 13JA1056, 13JA1057, 13JA1058, 13JA1059

**14-3301**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$831.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Bianca Pearson (mother)  
In Re: A. Scott (minor)

Case No(s):06JA570

**14-3302**

Attorney/Payee: Victoria Almeida, Attorney

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$700.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Kimberly Blake (mother)

In Re: S. Blake (minor)

Case No(s): 09JA0589

**14-3311**

Attorney/Payee: Francine N. Green-Kelner

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,668.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Victor Gonzalez (father)

In Re: G. Gonzalez (minor)

Case No(s): 13JA00483

**14-3312**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$412.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.

Name(s) of respondent(s): Abrielle Calahan (mother)

In Re: A. Calahan (minor)

Case No(s): 13JA1026

**14-3313**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$243.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Lee Turner (father)

In Re: S. Turner (minor)

Case No(s): 11JA176

**14-3314**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$337.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.

Name(s) of respondent(s): Dennis Armstrong (father)

In Re: D. Armstrong, D. Harris (minors)

Case No(s): 12JA415, 12JA424

**14-3315**

Attorney/Payee: Marv Raidbard

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$900.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Alberto Guerrero (father)  
In Re: C. Guerrero (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA1004

**14-3317**

Attorney/Payee: Law Office of Kent Dean  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,950.24  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): L. Wilson (minor)  
In Re: L. Wilson (minor)  
Case No(s): 08JA408

**14-3318**

Attorney/Payee: Law Office of Kent Dean  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$512.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Sanders (minor)  
In Re: J. Sanders (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA1081

**14-3320**

Attorney/Payee: Eleesha Madeline O'Neill  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$250.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Roslyn Morris (mother)  
In Re: M. Alcantar (minor)  
Case No(s): 98JA3611

**14-3322**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,593.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Z. Owens, M. Owens (minors)  
In Re: Z. Owens, M. Owens (minors)  
Case No(s): 13JA1147, 13JA1148

**14-3323**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$662.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Andre Blackman (father)  
In Re: D. Blackman, D. Blackman, D. Blackman, D. Blackman, (minors)  
Case No(s): 12JA1024, 12JA1025, 12JA1026, 13JA22, 14JA492

**14-3324**

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni  
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$690.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Deonte Frazier (father)

In Re: D. Frazier (minor)

Case No(s): 10JA670

**14-3325**

Attorney/Payee: Ildiko Bodoni

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$318.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Ricardo Marchan (father)

In Re: R. Marchan & J. Marchan (minors)

Case No(s): 04JA1224, 04JA1225

**14-3326**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$612.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Rackel Matthews (mother)

In Re: C. Ingram, C. Ingram, T. Matthews (minors)

Case No(s): 12JA 071, 12JA 072, 13JA828

**14-3327**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$218.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Kierra Lymon (mother)

In Re: T. White (minor)

Case No(s): 13JA001

**14-3328**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,025.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Robert Calvin, Jr. (father)

In Re: R. Calvin, M. Calvin (minors)

Case No(s): 13JA560, 13JA599

**14-3329**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$362.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Kendall Hooker (father)

In Re: B. Fernandez (minor)

Case No(s): 13JA00333

**14-3330**

Attorney/Payee: Douglas J. Rathe  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$568.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Darryl Williams Sr. (father)  
In Re: D. William Jr., T. Williams, R. Williams (minors)  
Case No(s): 10JA00526, 10JA00527, 10JA00529

**14-3331**

Attorney/Payee: Douglas J. Rathe  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$368.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Quincy Hannah, James Kelly, John Moore (fathers)  
In Re: J. Moore, J. Kelly, M. Kelly, L. Hannah, J. Hannah, M. Hannah (minors)  
Case No(s): 10JA00623, 10JA00624, 10JA00625, 10JA00626, 10JA00627, 10JA00628

**14-3332**

Attorney/Payee: Douglas J. Rathe  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$193.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Teodosio Montalvan (father)  
In Re: C. Montalvan (minor)  
Case No(s): 10JA00074

**14-3333**

Attorney/Payee: Douglas J. Rathe  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$137.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Michael Banks (father)  
In Re: M. Banks (minor)  
Case No(s): 08JA00808

**14-3367**

Attorney/Payee: Steven O. Ross, P.C.  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$240.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Collier (minor)  
In Re: J. Collier (minor)  
Case No(s): 02JA788

**14-3368**

Attorney/Payee: Steven O. Ross, P.C.  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$490.28  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Brittany Brown (mother)  
In Re: D. Simmons (minor)  
Case No(s): 11JA163

**14-3369**

Attorney/Payee: Thomas J. Esler  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,165.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): M. Howard, K. Howard (minors)  
In Re: M. Howard, K. Howard (minors)  
Case No(s): 13JA0507, 13JA0508

**14-3370**

Attorney/Payee: Donna L. Ryder  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$315.00  
Service rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): L. Hobby, M. Hobby (minors)  
In re: L. Hobby, M. Hobby (minors)  
Case No(s): 09JA264, 09JA265

**14-3371**

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$347.50  
Service rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): C. Coleman (mother)  
In re: D. Coleman (minor)  
Case No(s): 04JA1609

**14-3372**

Attorney/Payee: Donna L Ryder  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$840.00  
Service rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Melody Lindsey (mother)  
In Re: T Lindsey, D Douglas (minors)  
Case No(s): 12JA891, 12JA892

**14-3373**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$250.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): April Jefferson (mother)  
In Re: J. Jefferson (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA00808

**14-3374**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$375.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Danielle Craig (mother)  
In Re: D. Craig (minor)

Case No(s): 12JA00625

**14-3375**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$387.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Perkins the minor as GAL

In Re: A. Perkins (minor)

Case No(s): 14JA00123

**14-3376**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$200.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Eliseo aka Ezekial Argueta (father)

In Re: M. Argueta (minor)

Case No(s): 09JA00585

**14-3377**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$243.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Oliver Poindexter (father)

In Re: O. Poindexter (minor)

Case No(s): 09JA00187

**14-3378**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$437.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Dontae Milton (father)

In Re: S. Milton (minor)

Case No(s): 13JA00877

**14-3379**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$406.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Yvonne Chapman (mother)

In Re: M. Armfield (minor)

Case No(s): 09JA00160

**14-3382**

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Stevens, Ltd.

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$905.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

(GAL & Attorney)

Names(s) of respondent(s): M. Montgomery (minor)

In Re: M. Montgomery (minor)

Case No(s): 13JA439

**14-3383**

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Stevens, Ltd.

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,257.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation (GAL & Attorney)

Names(s) of respondent(s): C. Ingram, C. Ingram, T. Matthews, (minors)

In Re: C. Ingram, C. Ingram, T. Matthews, (minors)

Case No(s): 13JA828, 12JA1071, 12JA1072

**14-3386**

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Stevens, Ltd.

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,345.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation GAL & Attorney

Names(s) of respondent(s): H. Toney, L. Toney (minors)

In Re: H. Toney, L. Toney (minors)

Case No(s):13JA442, 13JA1190

**14-3389**

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Stevens, Ltd.

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$792.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Names(s) of respondent(s): Willie Williams (father)

In Re: I. Williams (minor)

Case No(s):12JA399

**14-3403**

Attorney/Payee: Stuart Holt

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,443.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Antoine Welton (father)

In Re: J. Mc Campbell (minor)

Case No(s): 11JA119



**14-3404**

Attorney/Payee: Stuart Holt  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,093.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Craig (minor)  
In Re: J. Craig (minor)  
Case No(s): 03JA1472

**14-3406**

Attorney/Payee: Brian Danloe  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$2,450.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Cobbs, N. Cobbs (minors)  
In Re: A. Cobbs, N. Cobbs (minors)  
Case No(s): 14JA59, 14JA60

**14-3407**

Attorney/Payee: Stuart Holt  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$512.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Geraldene Knight (Legal Guardian)  
In Re: D. Knight (minor)  
Case No(s): 02JA1489

**14-3411**

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$775.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Kevin McGee (father)  
In Re: C. McGee, K. McGee, K. McGee (minors)  
Case No(s): 10JA1098, 10JA1099, 10JA1103

**14-3420**

Attorney/Payee: Dean C. Morask  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$775.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Michelle Long (mother)  
In Re: E. Long, J. Long (minors)  
Case No(s): 10JA156, 12JA212

**14-3421**

Attorney/Payee: Crystal B. Ashley  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$710.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ralph Owens, Sr. (parent)  
In Re: Ralph Owens, Jr. (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA1042

**14-3426**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$781.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Ray Scott (father)  
In Re: B. Scott, R. Scott (minors)  
Case No(s):10JA428, 10JA429

**14-3427**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,543.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Tommy Jackson, father  
In Re: T. Jackson (minor)  
Case No(s):10JA174

**14-3428**

Attorney/Payee: Samuel N. Warsawsky  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$950.00  
Services Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent (s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Miguel Rizo-Torres (father)  
In Re: M. Rizzo (minor)  
Case No(s):13JA00282

**14-3429**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$737.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Jorge Lomeli (father)  
In Re: J. Lomeli (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA00818

**14-3430**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$318.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Rodney Barnes (father)  
In Re: S. Barnes (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA00961

**14-3431**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$406.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Sid Williams (father)

In Re: S. Williams (minor)  
Case No(s): 08JA00154

**14-3432**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$643.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Darryl Williams (father)  
In Re: D. Williams (minor)  
Case No(s): 14JA00124

**14-3433**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$425.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Najeria Wilson (mother)  
In Re: D. Thomas (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA00987

**14-3434**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$325.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): George Marzette (father)  
In Re: A. Marzette (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA00686

**14-3435**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$200.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Robert Deja (father)  
In Re: C. Deja, D. Deja, M. Deja, A. Deja (minors)  
Case No(s): 11JA00804, 11JA00805, 11JA00806, 11JA00807

**14-3436**

Attorney/Payee: Elizabeth Butler  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$635.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Curtis Rudolph (father)  
In Re: A. Bowman (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA467

**14-3437**

Attorney/Payee: Mark H. Kusatzky  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,460.48  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Names of respondent: Earl Barnes

*Board of Commissioners*

*Journal*

*June 18, 2014*

Case No(s): 11CR8003

**14-3438**

Attorney/Payee: Melinda MacGregor

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,793.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Quanida Watson (mother)

In Re: A. Watson, J. Watson (minors)

Case No(s): 13JA075, 14JA145

**14-3460**

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Stevens, Ltd.

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,085.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Names(s) of respondent(s): Princess Hill (Natural Mother)

In Re: A. Hill, T. Watts (minors)

Case No(s):04JA1111, 04JA1112

**14-3461**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,062.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): S. Eskridge, S. Goodman, (minors)

In Re: S. Eskridge, S. Goodman (minors)

Case No(s):13JA1145, 13JA1146

**14-3462**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,521.95

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): B. Almore (mother)

In Re: M. Richardson (minor)

Case No(s): 11JA935

**14-3463**

Attorney/Payee: Steven Silets

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$468.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): G. Ashford (father)

In Re: J. Harris (minor)

Case No(s):13JA1071

**14-3464**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,131.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Green, D. Jackson, D. Redmond (minors/GAL)

In Re: D. Green, D. Jackson, D. Redmond (minors)

Case No(s): 11JA316, 11JA317, 13JA493

**14-3465**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,693.75

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): M. Armfield, D. Reeves (minors/GAL)

In Re: M. Armfield, D. Reeves (minors)

Case No(s): 09JA160, 09JA161

**14-3466**

Attorney/Payee: Michael G. Cawley, P.C.

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$550.00

Services Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent: legal representation

Name of respondent: Janetra Christian (mother)

In re: T. Christian, J. Latham, C. Christian (minors)

Case Nos: 06JA-491,06JA-492, 06JA493

**14-3489**

Attorney/Payee: Ray Morrissey

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$2,312.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Joel Foster (father)

In Re: J. Foster (minor)

Case No(s): 13JA1175

**14-3492**

Attorney/Payee-Darlene Redmond, Esq.

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$412.50

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): Angel Silva (mother)

In Re: A. Silva (minor)

Case No(s): 13JA548

**14-3493**

Attorney/Payee: Timothy F. Moran

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$700.00

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation.

Name(s) of respondent(s): Jamie Quezada (father)

In Re: E. Quezada, S. Quezada (minors)

Case No(s): 09JA755, 09JA756

**14-3494**

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond, Esq.

Presenter: Same

Fees: \$256.25

Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation

Name(s) of respondent(s): T. Watson, T. Watson (minors)  
In Re: T. Watson, T. Watson (minors)  
Case No(s): 12JA843, 12JA844

**14-3495**

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond  
Presenter Same  
Fees: \$162.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): William Bagain (father)  
In Re: L. Bagain (minor)  
Case No(s): 09JA859

**14-3496**

Attorney/Payee: Paul Karoll  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$237.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Walter Little (father)  
In the Interest of: J. Little (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA323

**14-3497**

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond, Esq.  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$152.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Lillian Horn (mother)  
In Re: R. Horn (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA217

**14-3500**

Attorney/Payee: Darlene Redmond, Esq.  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$250.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Mary Nix (mother)  
In Re: S. Nix (minors)  
Case No(s): 11JA830

**14-3503**

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,192.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): B. Carter (minor)  
In Re: B. Carter (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA166

**14-3504**

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell  
Presenter: Same

Fees: \$1,842.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Shanae Wright (mother)  
In Re: M. Davis (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JA1029

**14-3505**

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$791.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Arquita May (mother)  
In Re: D. Hayslett, L. Hayslett, S. Hayslett (minors)  
Case No(s): 12JA1262, 12JA1263, 12JA1264

**14-3506**

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,598.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Peter Bikowski (father)  
In Re: M. Bikowski (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA204

**14-3508**

Attorney/Payee: Thomas O'Connell  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,997.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Kevin Wysinger, Erick Peoples (fathers)  
In Re: S. Wysinger, K. Wysinger, P. Simmons, D. Wysinger (minors)  
Case No(s): 09JA28, 09JA29, 09JA30, 09JA678

**14-3509**

Attorney/Payee: Douglas J. Rathe  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$150.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Macklin, Eddie (father)  
In Re: I. Macklin-Sanders (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JA00951

**CHILD PROTECTION CASES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: \$990,334.84**

**CHILD PROTECTION CASES TO BE APPROVED: \$150,188.98**

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Steele that the Child Protection Case Payments be Recommended for approval. The motion carried.**



**14-3023**

Attorney/Payee: Marcie Claus  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$200.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): A. Cannon (minor) Michelle Cannon (mother)  
Case No(s): 13JD38

**14-3044**

Attorney/Payee: Melinda MacGregor  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,225.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): S. Lewis (minor)  
In Re: S. Lewis (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JD02946

**14-3117**

Attorney/Payee: Paul D. Katz, Attorney at Law  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$450.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): D. Delacruz (minor)  
Case No(s): 11JD04805

**14-3180**

Attorney/Payee: Melinda MacGregor  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$862.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Cynthia Sandoval (mother)  
In Re: D. Sandoval (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JD03900

**14-3265**

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Carey  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,275.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Y. Haywood (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JD60102

**14-3266**

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Carey  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,968.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): J. Kelly (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JD60223, 13JD60344

**14-3267**

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Carey  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,575.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): C. Kirby (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JD60167

**14-3268**

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Carey  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$2,081.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): L. Lomax (minor)  
Case No(s): 12JD60437, 12JD60452, 13JD60263, 13JD60264, 13JD60266

**14-3269**

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Carey  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,350.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): L. Payne (minor)  
Case No(s): 11JD60023

**14-3284**

Attorney/Payee: Dean N. Bastounes  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$468.75  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): K. Brown (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JD02558

**14-3391**

Attorney/Payee: Stephen Jaffe  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$431.25  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): Shawana Spaulding (mother)  
In Re: A. Spaulding (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JD280

**14-3459**

Attorney/Payee: Michael D. Stevens, Ltd.  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$1,542.50  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Names(s) of respondent(s): Treeva Harris (natural mother)  
In Re: J. Williams (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JD3158

**14-3491**

Attorney/Payee: Timothy F. Moran  
Presenter: Same  
Fees: \$850.00  
Service Rendered for court-appointed representation of indigent respondent(s): legal representation  
Name(s) of respondent(s): K. Curtis (minor)  
Case No(s): 13JD3586

**JUVENILE JUSTICE CASES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT:           \$98,073.94**

**JUVENILE JUSTICE CASES TO BE APPROVED:   \$14,280.00**

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Steele that the Juvenile Justice Case Payments be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**SPECIAL COURT CASES**

**14-3405**

Firm: Rock, Fusco & Connelly, LLC  
Special State's Attorney(s): John J. Rock  
Case Name: United States of America, et al. v. Triad Isotopes, Inc., et al.  
Case No.(s): 11 C 8098  
Time period: 01/01/2014 - 04/30/2014  
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$15,408.82  
Paid to Date: \$0.00  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: 05/20/2014

**14-3401**

Compliance/Complaint Administrator: Mary T. Robinson, Compliance Administrator  
Case Name: Shakman v. Democratic Organization of Cook County, et al.  
Case No.(s): 69 C 2145  
Date of This Order: 05/19/2014  
Unopposed Petition Number: 106  
This Court Ordered Amount of this petition: \$51,689.46  
Paid to Date: \$6,845,949.27  
Notes: N/A

**COMMISSIONERS COLLINS, MURPHY AND VICE CHAIRMAN SIMS VOTED NO ON THE ABOVE ITEM.**

**14-3571**

Compliance/Complaint Administrator: Cardelle Spangler, Cook County Recorder of Deeds Compliance Administrator  
Case Name: Shakman v. Cook County Recorder of Deeds  
Case No.(s): 69 C 2145  
Date of This Order: 05/29/14  
Unopposed Petition Number: 90  
This Court Ordered Amount of this petition: \$9,917.23

Paid to Date: \$1,091,618.75

Notes: N/A

**COMMISSIONERS COLLINS, MURPHY AND VICE CHAIRMAN SIMS VOTED NO ON THE ABOVE ITEM.**

**14-3572**

Compliance/Complaint Administrator: Cardelle Spangler, Cook County Recorder of Deeds Compliance Administrator

Case Name: Shakman v. Cook County Recorder of Deeds

Case No.(s): 69 C 2145

Date of This Order: 05/29/2014

Unopposed Petition Number: 91

This Court Ordered Amount of this petition: \$10,281.68

Paid to Date: \$1,101,900.43

Notes: N/A

**COMMISSIONERS COLLINS, MURPHY AND VICE CHAIRMAN SIMS VOTED NO ON THE ABOVE ITEM.**

**14-3583**

Firm: N/A

Attorney: Steven Mihajlovic (court appointed Guardian ad Litem)

Case Name: In re the Estate of Wil Gaines

Case No.(s): 14P2432

Time period: April 23, 2014-June 2, 2014

This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$1,187.50

Paid to Date: \$0

Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

**14-3526**

Firm: Locke, Lord, Bissell & Liddell, LLP

Attorney(s): Roger R. Fross

Case Name: Shakman v. County of Cook

Case No.(s): 69 C 2145

Date of This Order: 05/19/2014

Time period: 01/01/2014 - 03/31/2014

This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$96,605.72

Paid to Date: \$2,155,266.92

Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

**COMMISSIONERS COLLINS, MURPHY AND VICE CHAIRMAN SIMS VOTED NO ON THE ABOVE ITEM.**

**14-3524**

Firm: Locke, Lord, Bissell & Liddell, LLP

Attorney(s): Roger R. Fross

Case Name: Shakman v. Cook County Assessor

Case No.(s): 69 C 2145

Date of This Order: 05/19/2014  
Time period: 01/01/2014 - 03/31/2014  
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$19,964.00  
Paid to Date: \$438,695.38  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A

**COMMISSIONERS COLLINS, MURPHY AND VICE CHAIRMAN SIMS VOTED NO ON THE ABOVE ITEM.**

**SPECIAL COURT CASES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: \$1,524,226.87**

**SPECIAL COURT CASES TO BE APPROVED: \$205,054.41**

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Steele that the Special Court Case Payments be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**SPECIAL CRIMINAL COURT CASES**

**14-3574**

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor  
Special State's Attorney(s): Stuart A. Nudelman, Myles P. O'Rourke, Andrew N. Levine, Rafael A. Bombino, Robert E. Williams, Brian J. Stefanich  
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor  
Case No.(s): 2003 Misc. 4  
Time period: 03/01/2014 - 03/31/2014  
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$65,781.42  
Paid to Date: \$2,463,243.53

**14-3575**

Firm: Office of the Special Prosecutor  
Special State's Attorney(s): Stuart A. Nudelman, Myles P. O'Rourke, Andrew N. Levine, Rafael A. Bombino, Robert E. Williams, Brian J. Stefanich  
Case Name: Appointment of Special Prosecutor  
Case No.(s): 2003 Misc. 4  
Time period: 04/01/2014 - 04/30/2014  
This Court Ordered Amount for fees and expenses: \$61,342.57  
Paid to Date: \$2,463,243.53

**SPECIAL CRIMINAL COURT CASES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: \$538,280.07**

**SPECIAL CRIMINAL COURT CASES TO BE APPROVED: \$127,123.99**

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Steele that the Special Criminal Court Case Payments be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS**

**14-3343**

Employee: Evelyn Alonzo  
Job Title: Case Processor  
Department: State's Attorney Office  
Date of Incident: 06/06/2002  
Incident/Activity: Repetitive trauma  
Accidental Injuries: Arthropathy of her thumbs and bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome  
Petition and Order No: 03 WC 41488  
Claim Amount: \$44,214.56  
Attorney: Daniel L. Collins, of Krol, Bongiorno & Given, Ltd.  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: 02/18/2014  
Prior/pending claims: None

**14-3344**

Employee: Carol A. Begley  
Job Title: Correctional Officer  
Department: Department of Corrections  
Date of Incident: 05/17/2010  
Incident/Activity: defective chair collapsed, causing fall  
Accidental Injuries: Lower back injury  
Petition and Order No: 11 WC 14967  
Claim Amount: \$10,000.00  
Attorney: James J. Nawrocki of Goldberg, Weisman & Cairo, Ltd.  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Prior/pending claims: 12/14/2000 (\$42,075.00); 08/24/2002 (\$15,000.00); 02/27/2006 (\$27,359.89)

**14-3345**

Employee: Barbara Bush  
Job Title: Administrative Assistant  
Department: Clerk of the Circuit Court  
Date of Incident: 08/26/2010  
Incident/Activity: Tripped and fell  
Accidental Injuries: Lower back injury  
Petition and Order No: 11 WC 25799  
Claim Amount: \$3,600.00  
Attorney: Robert I. Briskman of Briskman, Briskman & Greenberg  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Prior/pending claims: None

**14-3346**

Employee: Mario Castaneda  
Job Title: Correctional Officer  
Department: Department of Corrections  
Date of Incident: 07/20/2010  
Incident/Activity: Motor vehicle collision  
Accidental Injuries: Left knee injury  
Petition and Order No: 10 WC 29204  
Claim Amount: \$17,426.00  
Attorney: James J. Marszalek of Marszalek and Marszalek  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Prior/pending claims: None

**14-3347**

Employee: Federico Garcia  
Job Title: Telecom Electrician  
Department: Central Services  
Date of Incident: 03/26/2012  
Incident/Activity: Drilling through a brick wall  
Accidental Injuries: Right rotator cuff tear and biceps tendon tear requiring surgery  
Petition and Order No: 13 WC 00746  
Claim Amount: \$52,980.70  
Attorney: Jack E. Gilhooly of Krol, Bongiorno & Given, Ltd.  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: 01/14/2014  
Prior/pending claims: None

**14-3349**

Employee: Unnecia Gathright  
Job Title: Correctional Officer  
Department: Department of Corrections  
Date of Incident: 04/24/2012  
Incident/Activity: Motor vehicle collision  
Accidental Injuries: Bulging disc C5-C6 and L3-L4  
Petition and Order No: 12 WC 16035  
Claim Amount: \$24,873.40  
Attorney: Michael P. Casey of the Vrdolyak Law Group, L.L.C.  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Prior/pending claims: None

**14-3352**

Employee: Grace Gibson  
Job Title: Clerk  
Department: Office of the Chief Judge  
Date of Incident: 02/26/2008

Incident/Activity: Fixing a copier machine  
Accidental Injuries: Right knee ligament sprain  
Petition and Order No: 08 WC 10246  
Claim Amount: \$10,600.77  
Attorney: Santiago J. Echeveste of Briskman, Briskman & Greenberg  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Prior/pending claims: None

**14-3353**

Employee: Frank Judon  
Job Title: Correctional Officer  
Department: Department of Corrections  
Date of Incident: 01/21/2009  
Incident/Activity: Restraining a combative inmate  
Accidental Injuries: Right thumb injury  
Petition and Order No: 09 WC 08201  
Claim Amount: \$5,051.87  
Attorney: Richard S. Volpe of Grazian & Volpe  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Prior/pending claims: None



**14-3357**

Employee: Marie Justin  
Job Title: Nurse  
Department: Stroger Hospital  
Date of Incident: 02/16/2011  
Incident/Activity: Slipped and fell  
Accidental Injuries: Back and left shoulder injury  
Petition and Order No: 12 WC 14514  
Claim Amount: \$6,721.40  
Attorney: Robert B. Pawlowski of Rubens and Kress  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Prior/pending claims: 06/04/2010 (\$0.00)

**14-3360**

Employee: John LaCasha  
Job Title: Electrician  
Department: Department Facilities Management  
Date of Incident: 11/13/2008  
Incident/Activity: Steel door closed on his right hand  
Accidental Injuries: Fractured right ring finger  
Petition and Order No: 09 WC 10169  
Claim Amount: \$2,692.12  
Attorney: Cameron B. Clark of Rubin & Clark Law Offices, Ltd.  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Prior/pending claims: None

**14-3361**

Employee: Hilliard Mondane  
Job Title: Engineer Assistant  
Department: Highway Department  
Date of Incident: 07/28/2009  
Incident/Activity: Lifting a heavy bag  
Accidental Injuries: Back injury  
Petition and Order No: 10 WC 06505  
Claim Amount: \$12,500.00  
Attorney: Joel M. Bell of Teplitz & Bell  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Prior/pending claims: None

**14-3362**

Employee: William J. Moran  
Job Title: Deputy Sheriff

Department: Sheriff Department  
Date of Incident: 05/26/2011  
Incident/Activity: Motor vehicle accident  
Accidental Injuries: Right foot, shoulder, back and neck injuries  
Petition and Order No: 11 WC 21257  
Claim Amount: \$24,850.00  
Attorney: William H. Martay of Sandman, Levy & Petrich  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Prior/pending claims: None

**14-3363**

Employee: Nicholas Peters  
Job Title: Counselor  
Department: Juvenile Temporary Detention Center  
Date of Incident: 11/01/2013  
Incident/Activity: intervened in altercation among residents  
Accidental Injuries: Right knee injury  
Petition and Order No: 13 WC 37045  
Claim Amount: \$5,980.44  
Attorney: Nicholas A. Rubino of William Buddy Meyers & Associates  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Prior/pending claims: None

**14-3364**

Employee: Thomas Smith  
Job Title: Juvenile Counselor  
Department: Juvenile Temporary Detention Center  
Date of Incident: 02/04//2008 and 10/28/2008  
Incident/Activity: Struck with a chair, slipped on a wet floor  
Accidental Injuries: Lumbar sprains  
Petition and Order No: 08 WC 08490 and 08 WC 48864  
Claim Amount: \$4,000.00  
Attorney: Gerald J. Doll of Goldberg, Weisman & Cairo, Ltd.  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Prior/pending claims: None

**14-3365**

Employee: Jerome Ware  
Job Title: Police Officer  
Department: Sheriff's Police Department  
Date of Incident: 01/27/2010  
Incident/Activity: defective chair collapsed, causing fall

Accidental Injuries: Neck and back injuries  
Petition and Order No: 10 WC 05316  
Claim Amount: \$2,500.00  
Attorney: Mark D. Tompkins of Briskman, Briskman & Greenberg  
Date of Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Prior/pending claims: None

**WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014**

**TO PRESENT:** **\$3,289,650.12**

**WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS TO BE APPROVED:** **\$227,991.26**

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Schneider, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski that the Workers' Compensation Claims be Recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**SUBROGATION RECOVERIES**

**14-3271**

Responsible Party: Nicolas Rivera (owner and driver), 2504 S. Sawyer Avenue, Chicago, IL  
Damage: Department of Correction's vehicle  
Date of Accident: December 14, 2013  
Location: 2658 S. California Avenue, Chicago, IL  
Amount: \$650.76  
Claim Number: 20050841  
Department: Department of Corrections  
Account: (499-444)

**14-3272**

Responsible Party: Ben Wolf (owner and driver), 1606 Quail Court, Unit #7, Arlington Heights, IL  
Damage: Court Services vehicle  
Date of Accident: February 28, 2014  
Location: Palatine Road near Sanders Road, Arlington Heights, IL  
Amount: \$1,079.98  
Claim Number: 20050849  
Department: Court Services  
Account: (499-444)

**14-3468**

Responsible Party: Julio Hernandez Duran, (owner and driver),  
2712 S. Springfield Avenue, Chicago, Illinois  
Damage: Highway Department vehicle  
Date of Accident: 04/29/2014  
Location: I-55 near LaGrange Road, Bedford Park, Illinois  
Amount: \$1,925.00  
Claim Number: 20050851

Department: Highway Department  
Account: (500-444)

**14-3469**

Responsible Party: Navy Express, (owner) and Jozef Stoch, (driver), 8509 S. 83rd Avenue, Hickory Hills, Illinois  
Damage: Sheriff's Police vehicle  
Date of Accident: 11/15/2013  
Location: 2402 S. Blue Island, Chicago, Illinois  
Amount: \$928.65  
Claim Number: 20050835  
Department: Sheriff's Police Department  
Account: (499-444)

**SUBROGATION RECOVERIES APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014**

**TO PRESENT: \$54,817.78**

**SUBROGATION RECOVERIES TO BE APPROVED: \$4,584.39**

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that the Subrogation Recoveries be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**SELF-INSURANCE CLAIMS**

**14-3334**

Claimant: Lisa Wertz  
Claim Payment: \$100.00  
Claim Number: 97010854  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2014 Kia Forte  
Date of Accident: 02/24/2014  
Location: Lake Cook Rd near Arlington Heights Rd, Arlington Heights, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Lake Cook Rd near Arlington Heights Rd in Arlington Heights and struck a pothole causing damages to her vehicle's tire and rim.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3335**

Claimant: Andre Kisliak  
Claim Payment: \$100.00  
Claim Number: 97010776  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2010 BMW  
Date of Accident: 03/01/2014  
Location: Buffalo Grove Rd near Dundee Rd in Buffalo Grove, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Buffalo Grove Rd near Dundee Rd in Buffalo Grove and struck a pothole causing damages to his vehicle's tire and rim.

Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3336**

Claimant: Lynnette Freeman  
Claim Payment: \$50.00  
Claim Number: 97010778  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2008 Mercedes  
Date of Accident: 02/24/14  
Location: Kedzie Ave near 183rd in Hazel Crest, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Kedzie Ave near 183rd in Hazel Crest and struck a pothole causing damages to her vehicle's right tires and rim.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3337**

Claimant: Kathy Giannino  
Claim Payment: \$100.00  
Claim Number: 97010748  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2010 Mercedes  
Date of Accident: 02/26/2014  
Location: Sauk Trail near Cicero Ave., Richton Park, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Sauk Trail near Cicero Ave in Richton Park and struck a pothole causing damages to her vehicle's right tires.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3338**

Claimant: Susan Silverman  
Claim Payment: \$50.00  
Claim Number: 97010702  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2012 BMW 535Xi  
Date of Accident: 03/06/2014  
Location: Winnetka Rd near Hibbard Rd, Northfield, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Winnetka Rd near Hibbard Rd in Northfield and struck a pothole causing damages to her vehicle's right front tire and rim.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3339**

Claimant: Jeffrey Rotman  
Claim Payment: \$110.00  
Claim Number: 97010614  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2011 Nissan

Date of Accident: 01/12/2014  
Location: Buffalo Grove Rd near Lake Cook Rd, Buffalo Grove, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Buffalo Grove Rd near Lake Cook Rd in Buffalo Grove and struck a pothole causing damages to his right front tire.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3340**

Claimant: Matthew Scallon  
Claim Payment: \$100.00  
Claim Number: 97010813  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2004 Chrysler  
Date of Accident: 03/14/2014  
Location: Euclid Ave near Wolf Rd, Mt. Prospect, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Euclid Ave near Wolf Rd in Mt. Prospect and struck a pothole causing damages to his vehicle's left drive axle.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3341**

Claimant: Ridas Giedraitis  
Claim Payment: \$50.00  
Claim Number: 97010701  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2002 Toyota Solara  
Date of Accident: 01/14/2014  
Location: Buffalo Grove Rd near Golfview Terrace., Wheeling, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Buffalo Grove Road near Golfview Terrace in Wheeling and struck a pothole causing damages to his right tires.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3342**

Claimant: David Delise  
Claim Payment: \$50.00  
Claim Number: 97010700  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2003 Hyundai Santa Fe  
Date of Accident: 02/20/2014  
Location: Dempster Ave near Marshall Dr., Des Plaines, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Dempster Ave near Marshall Dr. in Des Plaines and struck a pothole causing damages to his vehicle's front bumper.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3348**

Claimant: Sylvia Hilger  
Claim Payment: \$100.00  
Claim Number: 97010824  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2005 Chrysler PT Cruiser  
Date of Accident: 03/22/2014  
Location: Central Ave near 103rd St., Oak Lawn, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Central Ave near 103rd Street in Oak Lawn and struck a pothole causing damage to her right tires.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3350**

Claimant: Clary Butler  
Claim Payment: \$100.00  
Claim Number: 97010703  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2009 Mercedes C300  
Date of Accident: 02/21/2014  
Location: 2775 Sanders Rd, Northbrook, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant struck a pothole at 2775 Sanders Rd in Northbrook causing damage to his vehicle's right tires and valve stem.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3351**

Claimant: Virginia Shipman  
Claim Payment: \$ 50.00  
Claim Number: 97010885  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2007 Buick  
Date of Accident: 03/16/2014  
Location: Kedzie near 183rd, Homewood, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Kedzie near 183rd in Homewood and struck a pothole causing damages to her vehicle's right front rim.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3355**

Claimant: Nick Tanev  
Claim Payment: \$100.00  
Claim Number: 97010641  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2005 Volvo  
Date of Accident: 02/01/2014  
Location: Old Orchard Rd near I-94, Skokie, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Old Orchard Rd near I-94 in Skokie and struck a pothole causing damages to his vehicle's right front tire.

Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3358**

Claimant: Nancy Jajou  
Claim Payment: \$100.00  
Claim Number: 97010876  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2008 Dodge  
Date of Accident: 01/11/2014  
Location: Crawford near Mulford, Skokie, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Crawford near Mulford in Skokie and struck a pothole causing damages to her front bumper, radiator and right front rim.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3359**

Claimant: Francine Karlin  
Claim Payment: \$50.00  
Claim Number: 97010802  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2011 Honda Accord  
Date of Accident: 03/14/2014  
Location: Old Orchard Rd near Gross Point Rd, Evanston, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Old Orchard Rd near Gross Point Rd in Evanston and struck a pothole causing damages to her right front tire.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3384**

Claimant: Joe Dolan  
Claim Payment: \$100.00  
Claim Number: 97010879  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2007 Acura RL  
Date of Accident: 04/05/14  
Location: Lake Cook Rd near Rand Rd, Palatine, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Lake Cook Rd near Rand Rd in Palatine and struck a pothole causing damages to his left front tire and rim.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3385**

Claimant: Paul Berkovich  
Claim Payment: \$50.00  
Claim Number: 97010883  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways



Property Damage: 2009 Mercedes

Date of Accident: 03/10/2014

Location: Techny Rd near Deercrest Lane, Northbrook, IL

Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Techny Rd near Deercrest Lane in Northbrook and struck a pothole causing damages to his right front tire.

Investigated by: CCMSI

Account: 542-846

**14-3387**

Claimant: Jennifer Solita

Claim Payment: \$50.00

Claim Number: 97010899

Department: Department of Transportation and Highways

Property Damage: 2011 Mercedes

Date of Accident: 02/24/14

Location: Crawford Ave near Touhy, Lincolnwood, IL

Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Crawford Ave near Touhy in Lincolnwood and struck a pothole causing damages to her right front tire and rim.

Investigated by: CCMSI

Account: 542-846

**14-3388**

Claimant: Marie Chiapetta

Claim Payment: \$50.00

Claim Number: 97010908

Department: Department of Transportation and Highways

Property Damage: 2005 Honda Civic

Date of Accident: 04/17/2014

Location: Schaumburg Rd near Meacham, Schaumburg, IL

Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Schaumburg Rd near Meacham in Schaumburg and struck a pothole causing damages to her right tires.

Investigated by: CCMSI

Account: 542-846

**14-3392**

Claimant: Christopher Scheirich

Claim Payment: \$50.00

Claim Number: 97010905

Department: Department of Transportation and Highways

Property Damage: 2013 Dodge

Date of Accident: 02/24/2014

Location: Arlington Heights Rd at Biesterfield, Elk Grove Village, IL

Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Arlington Heights Rd at Biesterfield in Elk Grove Village and struck a pothole causing damages to his left tires and rims.

Investigated by: CCMSI

Account: 542-846

**14-3393**

Claimant: Jose Respardo  
Claim Payment: \$700.52  
Claim Number: 97010808  
Department: State's Attorney  
Property Damage: 2013 Ford K1500  
Our Driver: Alfonso Bautista  
Unit/Plate No.: 0353  
Prior Accidents: 0  
Date of Accident: 01/09/2014  
Location: Archer Ave near Cicero Ave, Chicago, IL  
Incident/Activity: Cook County State's Attorney's vehicle was travelling on Archer Avenue near Cicero Avenue in Chicago and failed to stop in time, striking the claimant's vehicle and causing damage to the rear bumper.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3396**

Claimant: Kristen Gnoffo  
Claim Payment: \$50.00  
Claim Number: 97010774  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2010 Chevrolet  
Date of Accident: 03/10/2014  
Location: Arlington Heights Rd near Biesterfield Rd, Elk Grove, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Arlington Heights Rd near Biesterfield Rd in Elk Grove Village and struck a pothole causing damages to her tires.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3399**

Claimant: Barbara Merchant  
Claim Payment: \$50.00  
Claim Number: 97010855  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2013 Mazda 2  
Date of Accident: 01/14/2014  
Location: Old Orchard Rd near I-94, Skokie, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Old Orchard Rd near I-94 in Skokie and struck a pothole causing damages to her tire.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3402**

Claimant: Mike Gorsky  
Claim Payment: \$50.00  
Claim Number: 97010856

Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2005 Lexus  
Date of Accident: 02/26/2014  
Location: Lake Cook Rd near Lexington, Wheeling, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Lake Cook Rd near Lexington in Wheeling and struck a pothole causing damages to his tires.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3408**

Claimant: Fred Edelman  
Claim Payment: \$66.00  
Claim Number: 97010599  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2003 Mazda  
Date of Accident: 01/13/2014  
Location: Crawford near Jarvis, Skokie, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Crawford near Jarvis in Skokie and struck a pothole causing damages to his tire.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3409**

Claimant: Murray Lessinger  
Claim Payment: \$100.00  
Claim Number: 97010822  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2012 Audi S5  
Date of Accident: 02/22/14  
Location: Winnetka Rd near Hibbard, Winnetka, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Winnetka Rd near Hibbard in Winnetka and struck a pothole causing damages to his right tire.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3410**

Claimant: Janice Sabel  
Claim Payment: \$50.00  
Claim Number: 97010895  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2014 Nissan  
Date of Accident: 04/16/2014  
Location: Schaumburg Rd near Meacham Rd, Schaumburg, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Schaumburg Rd near Meacham Rd in Schaumburg and struck a pothole causing damages to right front tire.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3412**

Claimant: Michael Gragg  
Claim Payment: \$50.00  
Claim Number: 97010830  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2009 Chevy Malibu  
Date of Accident: 04/03/2014  
Location: 175th St near Oak Park Ave, Tinley Park, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on 175th St near Oak Park Ave in Tinley Park and struck a pothole causing damages to his left rear tire.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3415**

Claimant: Mark Swanson  
Claim Payment: \$50.00  
Claim Number: 97010901  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2006 Scion X6  
Date of Accident: 01/12/2014  
Location: Roselle Rd near Palatine Rd, Inverness, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Roselle Rd near Palatine Rd in Inverness and struck a pothole causing damages to his right front tire.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3416**

Claimant: Jerome Miller  
Claim Payment: \$100.00  
Claim Number: 97010749  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2004 Chrysler  
Date of Accident: 02/22/2014  
Location: Vollmer Rd near Cicero, Matteson, IL  
Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Vollmer Rd near Cicero in Matteson and struck a pothole causing damages to his right tires.  
Investigated by: CCMSI  
Account: 542-846

**14-3417**

Claimant: Harold Rabin  
Claim Payment: \$100.00  
Claim Number: 97010831  
Department: Department of Transportation and Highways  
Property Damage: 2014 Mazda 6  
Date of Accident: 03/08/2014  
Location: Lake Cook Rd near Waukegan Rd, Northbrook, IL

Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Lake Cook Rd near Waukegan Rd in Northbrook and struck a pothole causing damages to his tire.

Investigated by: CCMSI

Account: 542-846

**14-3418**

Claimant: Kiki Kazazakis

Claim Payment: \$50.00

Claim Number: 97010791

Department: Department of Transportation and Highways

Property Damage: 2009 Mercedes CLS

Date of Accident: 02/21/2014

Location: 131st St near Tanglewood St, Palos Park, IL

Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on 131st St near Tanglewood St in Palos Park and struck a pothole causing damages to her right front tire.

Investigated by: CCMSI

Account: 542-846

**14-3419**

Claimant: State Farm a/s/o Mary Lou Pickrum

Claim Payment: \$2,977.24

Claim Number: 97010591

Department: Oak Forest Hospital of Cook County

Property Damage: Ford Explore 2013

Our Driver: Arnold Moten

Unit/Plate No.: M169319

Prior Accidents: 2

Date of Accident: 01/25/2014

Location: 15900 S. Cicero, Parking Lot 8A, Oak Forest, IL

Incident/Activity: Oak Forest Hospital snow plow was plowing the parking lot at 15900 S. Cicero Ave in Oak Forest and struck the claimant's vehicle causing damages to her front bumper cover, side support, right fender, right fender liner and windshield moldings.

Investigated by: CCMSI

Account: 542-846

**14-3422**

Claimant: Craig Levin

Claim Payment: \$50.00

Claim Number: 97010897

Department: Department of Transportation and Highways

Property Damage: 2010 Acura

Date of Accident: 04/23/2014

Location: Schaumburg Rd near Meacham, Schaumburg, IL

Incident/Activity: Claimant was travelling on Schaumburg Rd near Meacham in Schaumburg and struck a pothole causing damages to his left front tire.

Investigated by: CCMSI

Account: 542-846

**14-3423**

Claimant: The Village of Oak Lawn  
 Claim Payment: \$1,930.59  
 Claim Number: 97010711  
 Department: Sheriff's Police Department  
 Property Damage: Light Pole  
 Our Driver: Jose Madrid  
 Unit/Plate No.: 4803  
 Prior Accidents: 0  
 Date of Accident: 01/07/2014  
 Location: 91st St at 52nd St. Oak Lawn, IL  
 Incident/Activity: Cook County Sheriff's Police vehicle was travelling eastbound on 91st Street at 52nd Street in Oak Lawn and slid into a utility light pole causing damages.  
 Investigated by: CCMSI  
 Account: 542-846

**14-3424**

Claimant: Farmers Insurance a/s/o of Annette Anton-Mally  
 Claim Payment: \$1,520.74  
 Claim Number: 97010648  
 Department: Sheriff's Police Department  
 Property Damage: 2005 Toyota Camry  
 Our Driver: Jose Madrid  
 Unit/Plate No.: 4803  
 Prior Accidents: 0  
 Date of Accident: 01/07/2014  
 Location: 91st St at 52nd St. Oak Lawn, IL  
 Incident/Activity: Cook County Sheriff's Police vehicle was travelling eastbound on 91st Street at 52nd Street in Oak Lawn and slid into claimant's vehicle causing damages to its front bumper, impact absorber, grille, and hood of the claimant's vehicle.  
 Investigated by: CCMSI  
 Account: 542-846

**SELF-INSURANCE CLAIMS APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT:           \$40,740.59**

**SELF-INSURANCE CLAIMS TO BE APPROVED:                                       \$9,405.09**

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that the Self Insurance Claims be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS**

**14-3088**

Case: Payne v. Dart, et al.  
 Case No: 13 C 3341  
 Settlement Amount: \$7,000.00  
 Payable to: Venita Reed

Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegation of civil rights violations.

**14-3089**

Case: Johnson v. Dart, et al.  
Case No: 13 C 4766  
Settlement Amount: \$800.00  
Payable to: Kevin Johnson  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegations of civil rights violations

**14-3216**

Case: Dear v. Dart, et al.  
Case No: 13 C 4488  
Settlement Amount: \$500.00  
Payable to: Demiskic Dear  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation.

**14-3217**

Case: Zaczyk v. Allen  
Case No: 12 C 7211  
Settlement Amount: \$375.00  
Payable to: Matthew Zaczyk  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation.

**14-3279**

Case: Adeolu v. Dart, et al.  
Case No: 13 M6 3148  
Settlement Amount: \$500.00  
Payable to: Richard Adeolu  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegation of improper vehicle removal.

**14-3316**

Case: Porche v. Herrera  
Case No: 12 C 7623  
Settlement Amount: \$700.00  
Payable to: Mr. Monzura Porche  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation.

**14-3397**

Case: Nelson v. Dart, et al.  
Case No: 13 C 5367

Settlement Amount: \$1,000.00  
Payable to: Chester Nelson  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegation of civil rights violations.

**14-3398**

Case: Gaines v. Dart, et al.  
Case No: 13 C 2766  
Settlement Amount: \$4,400.00  
Payable to: The Santilli Law Group and Asia Gaines  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation.

**14-3414**

Case: Brewer v. Dart, et al.  
Case No: 12 L 7093  
Settlement Amount: \$4,000.00  
Payable to: Reginald Brewer  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation.

**13-3442**

Case: Kugelberg v. Cook County, et al.  
Case No: 09 L 3949  
Settlement Amount: \$45,000.00  
Payable to: Law Offices of Michael S. Hedrick LLC and Isaac Kugelberg  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Premises liability

**14-3443**

Case: Patterson v. Cook County, et al.  
Case No: 13 C 1055  
Settlement Amount: \$1,750.00  
Payable to: Mr. Mark Twain Patterson and Christopher Barber of Steptoe & Johnson LLP  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation.

**14-3471**

Case: Harrington v. Cook County, et al.  
Case No: 13 C 2829  
Settlement Amount: \$750.00  
Payable to: Harold H. Harrington  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation.

**14-3472**

Case: Turner v. Dart, et al.  
Case No: 12 C 8007



Settlement Amount: \$700.00  
Payable to: Gregory Turner  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation.

**14-3479**

Case: White v. Cook County  
Case No: 13 C 1204  
Settlement Amount: \$29,714.98  
Payable to: Law Office of Julie O. Herrera  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegation of discrimination.

**14-3480**

Case: White v. Cook County  
Case No: 13 C 1204  
Settlement Amount: \$40,285.02  
Payable to: Lillian White  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegations of discrimination.

**14-3487**

Case: Boston v. Dart, et al.  
Case No: 13 C 798  
Settlement Amount: \$40,000.00  
Payable to: Maurice Boston and Thomas G. Morrissey, Ltd.  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation.

**14-3488**

Case: Wilkerson v. Dart, et al.  
Case No: 13 C 798  
Settlement Amount: \$15,000.00  
Payable to: Quinn Wilkerson and Thomas G. Morrissey, Ltd.  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegation of a civil rights violation.

**14-3511**

Case: Luna v. Dart  
Case No: 07 C 7143  
Settlement Amount: \$15,000.00  
Payable to: David Luna  
Litigation Subcommittee Approval: N/A  
Subject matter: Allegations of civil rights violations.

**PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014 TO PRESENT: \$16,814,455.52**

**PROPOSED SETTLEMENTS TO BE APPROVED:**

**\$207,475.00**

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski that the Proposed Settlements be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**PATIENT/ARRESTEE CLAIMS**

**14-3425**

The Department of Risk Management is submitting invoices totaling \$6,008.26 for payment of medical bills for services rendered to patients while in the custody of the Cook County Sheriff's Office. Bills are submitted for payment after review and repricing. Individual checks will be issued by the Comptroller in accordance with the submitted report prepared by the Department of Risk Management.

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski that the Patient/Arrestee Claims be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**EMPLOYEES' INJURY COMPENSATION CLAIMS:**

**14-3545**

The Department of Risk Management is submitting invoices totaling \$543,684.66 for payment of workers compensation costs incurred by employees injured on duty including settlements within the grant of authority conveyed by the Cook County Board of Commissioners to the Department of Risk Management. Individual checks will be issued by the Comptroller in accordance with the submitted report prepared by the Department of Risk Management.

**EMPLOYEES' INJURY COMPENSATION CLAIMS APPROVED FISCAL YEAR 2014**

**TO PRESENT:**

**\$4,130,017.44**

**EMPLOYEES' INJURY COMPENSATION CLAIMS TO BE APPROVED:**

**\$543,684.66**

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski that the Employees' Injury Compensation Claims be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**REVENUE REPORT**

**14-3457**

Department: Comptroller's Office

Request: Receive and File

Report Title: Revenue Report

Report Period: Period Ending April 30, 2014

Summary: Submitting for your information, the Revenue Report for the period ended 4/30/2014 for the Corporate, Public Safety and Health Funds, as presented by the Bureau of Finance.

**A motion was made by Commissioner Goslin, seconded by Commissioner Steele, to Received and File the Revenue Report. The motion carried.**

\*\*\*\*\*

**14-1557**

PROPOSED ORDINANCE 14-1557  
(substituted 3/12/2014)

Sponsored by: LARRY SUFFREDIN, and EDWIN REYES, County Commissioners

**AN ORDINANCE ALLOWING FOR THE CREATION OF A MUNICIPAL OR SPECIAL DISTRICT INSPECTOR GENERAL**

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners that Chapter 46 Law Enforcement, Article II, Sheriff, Division 4, Municipal Inspector General of the Cook County Code, Sections 46-93 – 46-101 is hereby enacted as follows:

**Sec. 46-93. Title**

This amendment shall be known and cited as the Cook County Municipal Inspector General Ordinance.

**Sec. 46-94. Definitions**

*Municipality* shall mean any city, village, town or other municipal entity, except the city of Chicago, whether such city, village, town or municipal entity is located partially or wholly within Cook County.

*Compliance Audit* shall have the meaning ascribed to it in the Illinois State Auditing Act and any additional auditing powers declared in Section 46-97 below.

*Financial Audit* shall have the meaning ascribed to it in the Illinois State Auditing Act and any additional auditing powers declared in Section 46-97 below.

*Performance Audit* shall have the meaning ascribed to it in the Illinois State Auditing Act and any additional auditing powers declared in Section 46-97 below.

*Comptroller* means the Comptroller of the State of Illinois.

*Sheriff* means the Cook County Sheriff.

*Special District* includes any

(1) Cemetery Association established and organized pursuant to 70 ILCS 105/1, *et. seq.*;

(2) Drainage District established and organized pursuant to 70 ILCS 605/1, *et. seq.*;

- (3) Mosquito Abatement District established and organized pursuant to 70 ILCS 1005/1, *et. seq.*;
- (4) River Conservancy District established and organized pursuant to 70 ILCS 2105/1, *et. seq.*;
- (5) Sanitary District established and organized pursuant to 70 ILCS 2805/0.01, *et. seq.*, 70 ILCS 2405/1, *et. seq.* or 70 ILCS 2805/1 *et. seq.*;
- (6) Street Lighting District established and organized pursuant to 70 ILCS 3305/1, *et. seq.*;
- (7) Water Commission established and organized pursuant to 65 ILCS-135-1, *et. seq.*; that operates and is located within the boundaries of Cook County, Illinois and to which the President of the Cook County Board of Commissioners appoints the members of the governing body of the Special District.

**Sec. 46-95. Establishment of a Municipal Inspector General or Special District Inspector General.**

If any Municipality fails to file two consecutive annual audit reports or annual financial reports with the Comptroller as required pursuant to the Governmental Account Audit Act, 50 ILCS 310/01 *et. seq.*, and the Comptroller has not caused an audit of such Municipality's finances to be performed within the twelve months of the close of the fiscal year of the second consecutive unfiled annual audit report or annual financial report, the Cook County Board President may, upon a majority vote of the Cook County Board, create the Office of Municipal Inspector General for such Municipality and appoint the Sheriff to such Office of Municipal Inspector General for a period of at least 90 days; however, the term of Municipal Inspector General may be extended by a majority vote of the Cook County Board.

If any Special District fails to file two consecutive annual The Cook County Board President may, upon a majority vote of the Cook County Board, create the Office of Municipal Inspector General for any Special District that fails to file two consecutive full, complete, unabridged and unedited audited financial statements with the Cook County Treasurer as required pursuant to the Cook County Taxing District Debt Disclosure Act, Sec. 2-243 of the Cook County Code, the Cook County Board President, may upon a majority vote of the Cook County Board, may create the Office of Special District Inspector General for such Special District and appoint the Sheriff to such Office of Special District Inspector General for a period of at least 90 days; however the term of Special District Inspector General may be extended by a majority vote of the Cook County Board.

**Sec. 46-96. Appointment.**

If the Office of Municipal Inspector General or Special District Inspector General is created, the Sheriff shall be appointed as the Municipal Inspector General or Special District Inspector General; however the Sheriff may conduct any investigation or audit in consultation or coordination with the Comptroller, ~~or~~ the Cook County Office of the Comptroller, or the Cook County Treasurer as determined by the Sheriff.

**Sec. 46-97. Powers and Duties.**

In addition to the rights and responsibilities conferred to the Sheriff under Section 3-6021 of the Counties Code as the conservator of the peace for Cook County, the Municipal Inspector General or Special District Inspector General may:

- (a) Exercise a general supervision over all the officers of the subject Municipality or Special District charged in any manner with the receipt, collection, or disbursement of the municipal or Special District revenue, or with the collection and return of the municipal or Special District revenue, or with the collection and return of the municipal or Special District revenue into the treasury.
- (b) Have custody and control of all municipal or Special District documents, books and papers designated by the corporate authorities.
- (c) Have the right to prepare and submit to the Municipality's or Special District's corporate authorities and Cook County Board a report of the Municipal Inspector General's or Special District Inspector General's estimate, as nearly as may be, of the money necessary to defray the expenses of the Municipality or Special District in the current fiscal year. For the purpose of making this report, the Municipal Inspector General or Special District Inspector General is authorized to require all officers to submit statements of the condition and expenses of their respective offices or departments, with any proposed Municipal or Special District improvements and the probable expense of those improvements, all unperformed contracts, and the amount of all unexpended appropriations of the preceding year.
- (d) Prepare an annual audit or annual financial report required under state law or Cook County ordinance for the most recent fiscal year and any proceeding year, and file such audit or financial report with the Comptroller or Cook County Treasurer. For the purpose of making this report, the Municipal Inspector General or Special District Inspector General is authorized to take custody and control of all municipal or Special District documents, books and papers designated by the corporate authorities for the most recent fiscal year or any proceeding years.
- (e) Complete or order any Compliance Audit, Financial Audit, or Performance Audit for the current year or any proceeding years, as deemed necessary, including, but not limited to, an audit of the subject Municipality's or Special District's:
  - 1. Criminal investigation reports;
  - 2. administrative investigation reports;
  - 3. firearm tracing records;
  - 4. officer ethics;
  - 5. the carry and use of weapons;
  - 6. search and seizure procedures;
  - 7. procedures for arrests with and without warrants;
  - 8. alternatives to arrest;
  - 9. the use of officer discretion;
  - 10. strip searches and body cavity searches;
  - 11. profiling;

12. use of reasonable force;
13. use of deadly force;
14. use of authorized less than lethal weapons;
15. reporting uses of force;
16. weapons and ammunition;
17. weapons proficiency and training;
18. crime analysis;
19. purchasing and requisitions;
20. department property;
21. inventory and control;
22. issue and reissue;
23. recruitment;
24. training attendance;
25. lesson plans;
26. remedial training;
27. officer training record maintenance;
28. department animals;
29. response procedures;
30. pursuit of motor vehicles;
31. roadblocks and forcible stops;
32. missing or mentally ill persons;
33. use of equipment;
34. use of vehicle lights and sirens;
35. equipment specifications and maintenance;
36. vehicle safety restraints;
37. authorized personal equipment;
38. protective vests and high risk situations;

39. mobile data access;
40. in-car video and audio;
41. case file management;
42. investigative checklists;
43. informants;
44. cold cases;
45. polygraphs;
46. shift briefings;
47. interviews of witnesses and suspects;
48. line-ups and show-ups;
49. confidential information;
50. juvenile operations;
51. offenders, custody, and interrogation;
52. crime prevention and community interface;
53. critical incident response and planning;
54. hostage negotiation;
55. search and rescue;
56. special events;
57. personnel, equipment, and facility inspections;
58. victim/witness rights, preliminary contact, and follow up;
59. next of kin notification;
60. traffic stops and approaches;
61. speed-measuring devices;
62. DUI procedures;
63. traffic collision reporting and investigation;
64. citation inventory, control and administration;
65. escorts;

66. towing procedures;
67. detainee searches and transportation;
68. search and inventory of vehicles;
69. escape prevention procedures and detainee restraint;
70. sick, injured, and disabled detainees;
71. vehicle safety;
72. holding facility standards;
73. collection and preservation of evidence including but not limited to:
  - i. photos;
  - ii. video;
  - iii. fingerprints;
  - iv. computers;
  - v. records;
  - vi. DNA samples;
  - vii. controlled substances;
  - viii. weapons;
  - ix. and/or physical evidence;
74. police report standards and format;
75. submission of evidence to laboratories;
76. follow up of outstanding cases; and/or
77. application for charges with the State's Attorney, United States Attorney, Attorney General, or other prosecuting authority.

**Sec. 46-98. Audit Expenses.**

The expenses of any audit and investigation conducted or ordered by the Municipal Inspector General or Special District Inspector General pursuant to this Ordinance shall be paid by the subject Municipality or Special District. Payment shall be ordered by the subject Municipality or Special District out of the funds of the Municipality or Special District and such Municipality or Special District authorities shall make provision for payment. Contracts for the performance of audits required by this Ordinance may be entered into without competitive bidding. If the audit is made by a licensed public accountant retained by the Municipal Inspector General or Special District Inspector General or the State Comptroller, the subject municipality or Special District shall pay for the audit.



**Sec. 46-99. Cooperation.**

During the term of the Municipal Inspector General or Special District Inspector General, it shall be the duty of every officer, employee, contractor, subcontractor and licensee of the Municipality or Special District to cooperate with the Municipal Inspector General or Special District Inspector General in the management and day-to-day operations of governmental functions and law enforcement duties and public safety initiatives overseen by the Municipal Inspector General or Special District Inspector General.

**Sec. 46-100. Auditing Duties.**

This Ordinance does not relieve the subject Municipality, Special District, Comptroller, any other governmental entity or any officer of such entity of the duties required by law with respect to the auditing of public funds or the disbursement of public funds.

**Sec. 46-101. Severability.**

If any provision, clause, section, part or application of this chapter to any person or circumstance is declared invalid by any court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity shall not affect, impair or invalidate the remainder hereof or its application to any other person or circumstance. It is hereby declared to be the legislative intent of the Cook County Board that this chapter would have been adopted had such invalid provision, clause, section, part or application not been included herein.

**Effective date:** This ordinance shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

<b>Legislative History:</b>	2/19/14	Board of Commissioners referred to the Finance Committee
<b>Legislative History:</b>	3/12/14	Finance Committee accepted as substituted
<b>Legislative History:</b>	3/12/14	Finance Committee recommended for deferral
<b>Legislative History:</b>	3/12/14	Board of Commissioners deferred
<b>Legislative History:</b>	4/9/14	Finance Committee recommended for deferral
<b>Legislative History:</b>	4/9/14	Board of Commissioners deferred
<b>Legislative History:</b>	5/21/14	Finance Committee recommended for deferral
<b>Legislative History:</b>	5/21/14	Board of Commissioners deferred

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Steele that the Proposed Ordinance be recommended for deferral. The motion carried.**

PROPOSED CONTRACT

**14-2821**

Department: Recorder of Deeds  
Vendor: Paradigm Solutions, Inc., Chicago, Illinois  
Request: Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute  
Good(s) or Service(s): Project Management Services (PMP) for "Core Application" technology solution.

Contract Value: \$589,000.00

Contract period: 4/1/2014 - 3/31/2016 with two (2) one (1) year renewal options

Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact: FY 2014 \$133,000.00, FY 2015 228,000.00, FY 2016 228,000.00

Accounts: 527-260

Contract Number(s): 1441-13490

Concurrences:

The Vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprises Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

Summary: These services will be required for the duration of drafting the RFP, vendor selection, implementation of a new state of the art Recording, Cashiering, Indexing, Accounting, and Information Reporting “core application”. This includes, but not limited to training of CCRD personnel. The time frame for full implementation may be 1.5 to 2 years. The new CCRD application is expected to be in effect for at least 10 years or more after completion.

This is a Comparable Government Procurement pursuant to Section 34-140 of the Procurement Code. Paradigm Solutions, Inc. was previously awarded a contract by the City of Chicago through a competitive Request for Proposals process. Cook County wishes to leverage this procurement effort.

**Legislative History:** 5/21/14 Board of Commissioners referred to the Finance Committee

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Murphy that this Contract be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**ADJOURNMENT**

**Vice Chairman Sims, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, moved to adjourn the meeting. The motion carried and the meeting was adjourned.**

**SECTION 2**

**YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITH REGARD TO THE MATTERS NAMED HEREIN:**

Court Orders (pages 1-51)	Recommended for Approval
Workers’ Compensation (pages 51-56)	Recommended for Approval
Subrogation Recoveries (page 56-57)	Recommended for Approval
Self-Insurance Claims (pages 57-68)	Recommended for Approval
Proposed Settlements (pages 68-71)	Recommended for Approval
Patient/Arrestee Claims (page 71)	Recommended for Approval
Employees’ Injury Compensation (page 72)	Recommended for Approval
Revenue Report (page 72)	Recommended for Receiving and Filing
File ID 14-1557 (pages 73-79)	Recommended for Deferral
File ID 14-2821 (pages 79-80)	Recommended for Approval

Respectfully submitted,

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE

JOHN P. DALEY, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DeLEON, Secretary

**Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, moved that the Report of the Committee on Finance be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.**

**14-3564**

**REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND BUILDING**

June 18, 2014

**ATTENDANCE**

**Present:** Chairman Silvestri, Vice Chairman Murphy, Commissioners Butler, Collins, Daley, Fritchey, García, Gorman, Goslin, Schneider, Sims, Steele, Tobolski and Moore (14)

**Absent:** Commissioners Gainer, Reyes and Suffredin (3)

**PUBLIC TESTIMONY**

**The Secretary announced that there were no public speakers.**

**SECTION 1**

**SPECIAL USE/UNIQUE USE**

**14-3485**

**Presented by:** ANDREW PRZYBYLO, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

**RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS**

**Request:** Special Use for Unique Use SU 14-01

**Township:** Leyden

**County District:** 16

**Property Address:** 10509, 10510 & 10513 W Fullerton Avenue, Melrose Park, Illinois

**Property Description:** The Subject Property consists of approximately .95 acres located on the Southeast corner of Fullerton Avenue approximately 180 feet east of Geneva Avenue in Leyden Township, Unincorporated Cook County

**Owner:** Mark & Patrizia Seraphin, 10509 Fullerton Avenue, Melrose Park, Illinois

**Applicant:** Ross & Jack's Landscaping, Inc., 257 Cardinal Drive, Bloomingdale, Illinois

**Current Zoning:** R-5 Single Family Residence District

**Intended use:** Applicant seeks a Special Use for Unique Use to continue to operate a landscaping business, Ross & Jack's Landscaping, Inc., including storage of company trucks and equipment in Section 32 of Leyden Township.

**Recommendation:** That the application be granted.

**Conditions:** None

**Objectors:** City of Northlake-by Resolution, Leyden High School Dist. 212-by Resolution, Leyden Township-by Resolution (see case details)

**History:**

Zoning Board Hearing: 4/14/2014

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 5/21/2014

County Board extension granted: N/A

---

**A motion was made by Vice Chairman Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Steele, that this Zoning Board of Appeals Recommendation be not recommended for approval. Commissioner Tobolski called for a roll call. The motion failed.**

**Nays:** Chairman Silvestri, Vice Chairman Murphy, Commissioners Collins, Daley, Fritchey, Gorman, Goslin, Schneider, Sims, Steele, Tobolski and Moore (12)

**Present:** Commissioner Butler (1)

**Absent:** Commissioners Gainer, García, Reyes and Suffredin (4)

**VARIATIONS**

**14-3513**

**Presented by:** ANDREW PRZYBYLO, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

**RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS**

**Request:** Variation V-14-12

**Township:** Lyons

**County District:** 17

**Property Address:** 1402 W 54th St., LaGrange Highlands, Illinois.

**Property Description:** The Subject Property consists of approximately 0.39 acres, located on the North side of 54th Court approximately 280 feet West of Willow Springs Road in Lyons Township.

**Owner:** Karl Schreiber, 422 Ravine Road, Hinsdale, Illinois

**Applicant:** Karl Schreiber, same as above.

**Current Zoning:** R-4 Single Family Residence District

**Intended use:** Applicant seeks a variance to the 2001 Cook County Zoning Ordinance to reduce the lot area from minimum required 20,000 sq. ft. to an existing 17,061 sq. ft. to construct a new single family residence.

**Recommendation:** That the Application be granted.

**Conditions:** None

**Objectors:** None

**History:**

Zoning Board Hearing: 5/21/2014

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 5/21/2014

County Board extension granted: N/A

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Goslin, seconded by Vice Chairman Murphy, that this Zoning Board of Appeals Recommendation be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**14-3514**

**Presented by:** ANDREW PRZYBYLO, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

**RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS**

**Request:** Variation V-14-09

**Township:** Bremen

**County District:** 6

**Property Address:** 14254 Luna Avenue, Midlothian, Illinois

**Property Description:** The Subject Property consists of approximately 0.48 acres, located on the West side of Luna Avenue approximately 130 feet North of West of 143rd Street in Section 4 of Bremen Township Property

**Owner:** Michael Molinari, 14254 Luna Avenue, Midlothian, Illinois

**Applicant:** Michael Molinari, same as above

**Current Zoning:** R-4 Single Family Residence District

**Intended use:** Applicant seeks a variance to the 2001 Cook County Zoning Ordinance, to reduce the right interior side yard setback from the minimum required 15 feet to an existing 10 feet to construct a detached garage.

**Recommendation:** That the Application be granted.

**Conditions:** None

**Objectors:** None

**History:**

Zoning Board Hearing: 5/7/2014

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 5/7/14

County Board extension granted: N/A

---

A motion was made by Vice Chairman Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Goslin, that this Zoning Board of Appeals Recommendation be recommended for approval. The motion carried.

**14-3515**

**Presented by:** ANDREW PRZYBYLO, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

**RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS**

**Request:** Variation V 14-11

**Township:** Maine

**County District:** 9

**Property Address:** 9412 Greenwood Drive, Des Plaines, Illinois.

**Property Description:** The Subject Property consists of approximately 0.36 acres, located on the Southwest corner of Greenwood Drive in Section 14 of Maine Township.

**Owner:** John Lawson, 9412 Greenwood Drive, Des Plaines, Illinois.

**Applicant:** Andrew Venamore, 612 Academy Northbrook, Illinois.

**Current Zoning:** R-5 Single Family Residence

**Intended use:** Applicant seeks a variance to the 2001 Cook County Zoning Ordinance, to reduce the front yard setback from the minimum required 30 feet to an existing ~~2 feet~~ 6 feet to construct a two car detached garage intended use

**Recommendation:** That the Application be Granted as Amended.

**Conditions:** The construction of the new garage is to be moved to the North so that the apron is entirely on the asphalt drive.

**Objectors:** None

**History:**

Zoning Board Hearing: 5/7/2014

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 5/7/2014

County Board extension granted: N/A

---

A motion was made by Commissioner Goslin, seconded by Vice Chairman Murphy, that this Zoning Board of Appeals Recommendation be recommended for approval. The motion carried.

**14-3516**

**Presented by:** ANDREW PRZYBYLO, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

**RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS**

**Request:** Variation V 14-13

**Township:** Orland

**County District:** 17

**Property Address:** 13766 Natchez Trail, Orland Park, Illinois

**Property Description:** The Subject Property consists of approximately 0.29 acres, located on the corner of Natchez Trail and Stagecoach Road in Section 6 of Orland Township.

**Owner:** Paul & Mary Vladovich 13766 Natchez Trail, Orland Park, Illinois,

**Applicant:** Same as Above

**Current Zoning:** R-5 Single Family Residence District

**Intended use:** Applicant seeks a variance to the 2001 Cook County Zoning Ordinance to: (1) increase the height of fence in the corner side yard from maximum allowed 3 feet to proposed 6 feet, (2) reduce the corner side yard setback from minimum required 15 feet to an existing 10.77 feet and (3) reduce interior side yard setback from minimum required 10 feet to an existing 9 feet.

**Recommendation:** That the Application be granted.

**Conditions:** None

**Objectors:** None

**History:**

Zoning Board Hearing: 5/21/2014

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 5/21/2014

County Board extension granted: N/A

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Goslin, seconded by Vice Chairman Murphy, that this Zoning Board of Appeals Recommendation be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**14-3517**

**Presented by:** ANDREW PRZYBYLO, Secretary, Zoning Board of Appeals

**RECOMMENDATION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS**

**Request:** Variation V-14-14

**Township:** Leyden

**County District:** 16

**Property Address:** 901 Rowlett Melrose Park, Illinois



**Property Description:** The Subject Property consists of approximately 0.45 acres, located on the Northeast corner of Rowlett Avenue and Diversey Avenue in Section 29 of Leyden Township.

**Owner:** Ann Mineo, 901 Rowlett Melrose Park, Illinois.

**Applicant:** Andrew Venamore, 612 Academy Northbrook, Illinois.

**Current Zoning:** R-5 Single Family Residence District

**Intended use:** Applicant seeks a variance to the 2001 Cook County Zoning Ordinance to reduce the left interior side yard setback from minimum required 10 feet to 7 feet for a proposed detached garage.

**Recommendation:** That the Application be granted.

**Conditions:** None

**Objectors:** None

**History:**

Zoning Board Hearing: 5/21/2014

Zoning Board Recommendation date: 5/21/2014

County Board extension granted: N/A

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Vice Chairman Murphy, that this Zoning Board of Appeals Recommendation be recommended for approval. The motion carried.**

**ADJOURNMENT**

**A motion was made by Vice Chairman Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Steele, that this committee be adjourned. The motion carried.**

**SECTION 2**

**YOUR COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING ACTION WITH REGARD TO THE MATTERS NAMED HEREIN:**

File Id Number 14-3485	Not Recommended for Approval
File Id Number 14-3513	Recommended for Approval
File Id Number 14-3514	Recommended for Approval
File Id Number 14-3515	Recommended for Approval
File Id Number 14-3516	Recommended for Approval

Respectfully submitted,

COMMITTEE ON ZONING AND BUILDING

PETER N. SILVESTRI, Chairman

ATTEST: MATTHEW B. DELEON, Secretary

---

Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, moved that the Report of the Committee on Zoning and Building be approved and adopted. The motion carried unanimously.

**BUREAU OF FINANCE**  
**OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER**

**14-3645**

**Presented by:** IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

**PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDMENT**

**AN ORDINANCE AMENDING MASTER BOND ORDINANCE NUMBER 11-O-69 ADOPTED ON THE 27TH DAY OF JULY, 2011, AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY TO ENTER INTO A REVOLVING LINE OF CREDIT TO FINANCE CAPITAL PROJECTS AND APPROVING A FORM OF INDENTURE FOR SUCH FINANCING**

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Commissioners (the “*Board*”) of the County of Cook, Illinois (the “*County*”), heretofore adopted on the 27th day of July, 2011, Ordinance Number 11-O-69 entitled, “An Ordinance providing for the issuance of one or more series of General Obligation Bonds of the County of Cook, Illinois” (the “*Bond Ordinance*”); and

**WHEREAS**, the Board amended the Bond Ordinance on the 7th day of September, 2011, pursuant to amending Ordinance Number 11-O-70 (the “*First Amending Ordinance*”) entitled, “An Ordinance Amending Ordinance Number 11-O-69 adopted on the 27th day of July, 2011, by the Board of Commissioners of The County of Cook, Illinois”; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board further amended the Bond Ordinance on the 1st day of May, 2012, pursuant to amending Ordinance Number 12-O-21 (the “*Second Amending Ordinance*”) entitled, “An Ordinance Amending Ordinance Number 11-O-69 adopted on the 27th day of July, 2011, as previously amended, to make technical clarifications and revisions regarding credit facilities and other variable rate debt instruments”; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board further amended the Bond Ordinance on the 16th day of October, 2012, pursuant to amending Ordinance Number 12-O-45 (the “*Third Amending Ordinance*”) entitled, “An Ordinance Amending Ordinance Number 11-O-69 adopted on the 27th day of July, 2011, as previously amended, by the Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, Illinois”; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board further amended the Bond Ordinance on the 13th day of November, 2013, pursuant to amending Ordinance Number 13-1961 (the “*Fourth Amending Ordinance*”) entitled, “An Ordinance amending Master Bond Ordinance Number 10-O-69 adopted on the 27th day of July, 2011, as previously amended, to name additional financing teams and authorize the issuance of certain refunding bonds” (the Bond Ordinance, as amended by the First Amending Ordinance, the Second Amending Ordinance, the Third Amending Ordinance and the Fourth Amending Ordinance, being the “*Master Bond Ordinance*”); and

**WHEREAS**, the Master Bond Ordinance authorizes the issuance of one or more series of general obligation bonds to finance Capital Projects (the “*Capital Project Bonds*”) in a not to exceed aggregate principal amount of \$295,000,000, for such Capital Projects as are expressly approved by the Board; and

**WHEREAS**, traditionally the County has financed its Capital Projects primarily through long-term borrowing; and

**WHEREAS**, the County has determined that tax-exempt, revolving lines of credit (each, a “*Revolver*”) issued by a bank or banks will provide a cost efficient means to finance its Capital Projects on a tax-exempt basis and that such Revolvers are expected to ultimately be refinanced with tax-exempt long-term bonds; and

**WHEREAS**, under the Master Bond Ordinance, any amounts drawn under a Revolver (“*Advances*”) are Variable Rate Bonds and are Direct Purchase Bonds purchased by the bank providing such Revolver; and

**WHEREAS**, the County has determined that a Revolver will assist the County in achieving its goals of eliminating negative arbitrage in project funds, minimizing costs associated with undrawn balances, achieving the lowest rate available in the current short-term market and mitigating structural, credit, liquidity and operational risk; and

**WHEREAS**, in order to achieve the County’s aforementioned goals, it may be necessary and desirable for the County to issue additional Variable Rate Bonds by entering into an agreement or agreements with a bank or banks (each a “*Revolver Bank*”) to provide a Revolver or Revolvers to the County; and

**WHEREAS**, in order to clarify that Advances are Variable Rate Bonds and Direct Purchase Bonds under the Master Bond Ordinance which may be directly purchased by a Revolver Bank and that such Advances are also Capital Project Bonds under the Master Bond Ordinance, the Board has heretofore and it hereby expressly is determined that it is advisable and necessary to amend the Master Bond Ordinance, for the purposes stated herein; and

**WHEREAS**, the County has determined to enter into an agreement with PNC Bank, National Association (“*PNC*”) pursuant to which PNC will provide a Revolver to the County (the “*PNC Revolver*”); and

**WHEREAS**, any Advances drawn pursuant to the PNC Revolver will be secured by a Trust Indenture by and between the County and a trustee to be selected by the County, securing \$125,000,000 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2014B (the “*2014B Indenture*”) in accord with such financial policies as are approved by the Board and contained within the annual budget resolution; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board has heretofore and it hereby expressly is determined that it is advisable and necessary to (a) enter into the 2014B Indenture, the form of which has been prepared and is present at this meeting and (b) to enter into an agreement with PNC and execute any related documents (collectively, the “*PNC Revolver Agreement*”) in forms approved by the Chief Financial Officer of the County (the “*Chief Financial Officer*”) pursuant to which PNC will provide the PNC Revolver to the County.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Board of Commissioners of The County of Cook, Illinois, as follows:

**Sec. 1. Definitions in Master Bond Ordinance.**

Section 1. B of the Master Bond Ordinance is amended to include the following defined terms:

“*Advances*” means amounts drawn under a Revolver. Advances are Variable Rate Bonds and Direct Purchase Bonds.

“*Revolver*” means a tax-exempt revolving line of credit issued by a bank or banks to the County.

“*2014B Indenture*” means a Trust Indenture by and between the County and a trustee to be selected by the County securing \$125,000,000 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2014B.

**Sec. 2. Bond Details in Master Bond Ordinance.**

The first and second paragraphs of Section 3 of the Master Bond Ordinance are hereby amended and restated as follows:

To pay the cost of the Purposes, the Bonds shall be issued from time to time in one or more Series, all as may be determined by the Chief Financial Officer, provided that (i) the aggregate principal amount of any Refunding Bonds issued pursuant to this Ordinance shall not exceed \$1,400,000,000; (ii) the aggregate principal amount of the Insurance Reserve Project Bonds, if any, issued pursuant to this Ordinance shall not exceed \$125,000,000; (iii) the aggregate principal amount of the Restructuring Project Bonds, if any, issued pursuant to this Ordinance shall not exceed \$120,000,000; and (iv) the aggregate principal amount of the Capital Project Bonds, if any, issued pursuant to this Ordinance shall not exceed \$295,000,000, provided (a) such authorized aggregate amount of Capital Project Bonds, if any, shall be subject to reduction to the amount necessary to pay the costs of the Capital Projects as may be hereafter approved by the Corporate Authorities for the Capital Projects, plus capitalized interest, if any, and costs of issuance; and (b) for any Revolvers, the total amount outstanding of Revolvers on any given date is the amount included for purposes of the not to exceed \$295,000,000 limit for Capital Projects Bonds described in this clause (iv). The Bonds shall be designated substantially as “[Taxable] General Obligation [Variable Rate Demand][Refunding][Capital Appreciation] Bonds, Series [\_\_\_\_],” with such additions or modifications as shall be determined to be necessary by the Chief Financial Officer at the time of the sale of the Bonds to reflect the purpose of the issue, the order of sale of the Bonds, whether the Bonds are Current Interest Bonds, Variable Rate Bonds, Capital Appreciation Bonds or Convertible CABs, and any other authorized features of the Bonds determined by the Chief Financial Officer as desirable to be reflected in the title of the Bonds being issued and sold.

Provided that no Bond (except for debt issued pursuant to the 2014B Indenture) shall be dated later than November 30, 2014, (i) any Bonds issued as Current Interest Bonds shall be dated as of August 1, 2011, or such later date at or prior to the date of issuance thereof as may be provided in the relevant Bond Order, (ii) any Bonds issued as Capital Appreciation Bonds shall be dated the date of issuance thereof, and (iii) any Bonds issued as Variable Rate Bonds shall be dated such date not earlier than August 1, 2011, and not later than the date of issuance thereof, as shall be provided in the relevant Indenture (any such date for any Bonds being a “*Dated Date*”).

### **Sec. 3. Direct Purchase Bonds Section in Master Bond Ordinance.**

Section 11.I. of the Master Bond Ordinance is hereby amended and restated as follows:

In connection with any Series of Bonds issued or to be issued pursuant to this Ordinance or any other bonds of the County previously issued by the County, the President or the Chief Financial Officer is hereby authorized, notwithstanding the other provisions of this Ordinance or any previous ordinance of the County, to issue or remarket all or any portion of such bonds as Direct Purchase Bonds, without the participation of an Underwriter, with or without the use of a placement agent arrangement or bank loan agreement, and with or without the use of an offering document with respect to such bonds, all to the extent permitted hereunder and by applicable law. The President and the Chief Financial Officer are each authorized to do all such things and to execute and deliver all such additional documents, agreements and certificates as shall be necessary in connection with the issue or remarketing of Direct Purchase Bonds. Direct Purchase Bonds may also include Advances. Further, with respect to Direct Purchase Bonds, the provisions of the Master Bond Ordinance and any other bond ordinance of the County relating to book-entry, forms of bonds, retention of a bond trustee or use of a trust indenture, redemptions, tenders, establishment of interest rates, and any other provisions not deemed appropriate for Direct Purchase Bonds by the President or the Chief Financial Officer may be modified and other provisions, related or unrelated, may be agreed to as determined by the President or the Chief Financial Officer prior to the issue or remarketing of such bonds.

### **Sec. 4. Revolvers, Advances and the PNC Revolver Agreement.**

The final maturity date for any Revolver including any renewals of any such Revolver shall not be later than July 1, 2024 and the interest rate borne on any Advance shall not exceed 10%.

The Chief Financial Officer is hereby authorized, on behalf of the County to approve the final provisions of the PNC Revolver Agreement consistent with this Sec. 4 which may include, but are not limited to provisions on fees, covenants, indemnification of PNC and its related entities and each of their respective directors, officers and employees, events of default, remedies, interest rates on Advances, repayment terms for Advances and conditions precedent to Advances. The PNC Revolver shall have a maximum principal stated amount of not to exceed \$125,000,000 and shall have the terms as set forth in the PNC Revolver Agreement. The Chief Financial Officer is hereby authorized, on behalf of the County, to execute and deliver, and the County Clerk is hereby authorized, on behalf of the County, to attest and impress the official seal of the County upon, the PNC Revolver Agreement.

The President, the Chief Financial Officer, the Deputy Chief Financial Officer of the County, the Comptroller of the County and the Deputy Comptroller of the County (each, an "Authorized Officer") are each authorized to execute on behalf of the County any documents relating to Advances, the PNC Revolver, the 2014B Indenture and the PNC Revolver Agreement; provided however, that any requests for Advances and other documents relating to requests for Advances (including, but not limited to the forms as set forth as Exhibits B-D to the 2014B Indenture) must be signed by any two (2) of the Authorized Officers.

**Sec. 5. Indenture.**

The Chief Financial Officer is hereby authorized, on behalf of the County to execute and deliver, and the County Clerk is hereby authorized, on behalf of the County, to attest and impress the official seal of the County upon, the 2014B Indenture. The 2014B Indenture shall be in substantially the form present at this meeting and attached as *Exhibit A* and hereby approved. The Chief Financial Officer's execution of the 2014B Indenture will constitute conclusive evidence that the executed 2014B Indenture is in substantially the form present at this meeting.

**Sec. 6. Prior Inconsistent Proceedings.**

All Ordinances, Resolutions, motions or orders, or parts thereof, in conflict with the provisions of this Ordinance, are to the extent of such conflict hereby repealed.

**Effective date:** This Ordinance Amendment shall be in effect immediately upon adoption

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Ordinance Amendment be referred as amended to the Finance Committee. The motion carried.**

**BUREAU OF FINANCE**  
**DEPARTMENT OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT SERVICES**

**14-2565**

**Presented by:** ANDREA GIBSON, Director, Department of Budget and Management Services

**PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT AMENDMENT**

**Department:** Department of Budget & Management Services

**Other Part(ies):** Forest Preserve District of Cook County

**Request:** Authorization to amend and execute an intergovernmental agreement between the County of Cook and the Forest Preserve District of Cook County for the reimbursement of payment for certain services rendered by various County departments and the Forest Preserve.

**Goods or Services:** The County and the District wish to amend the Agreement to provide for reimbursement

for the provision of certain additional services rendered by County departments and for payment for services rendered from the Forest Preserve.

**Agreement Number:** N/A

**Agreement Period:** 1/1/2014, retroactively, to 12/31/2014, with additional one year annual renewal provisions

**Fiscal Impact:** \$250,000.00

**Accounts:** 501-253, 490-298

**Summary:** In an effort to encourage consolidation and cooperation where appropriate, Cook County and the Forest Preserve District of Cook County entered into an Intergovernmental Agreement on 8/21/2012 authorizing the parties to perform various services for the other in accordance with the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act. The parties agreed to provide various services and to provide reimbursement for said services. The Intergovernmental Agreement was first amended on 8/25/2013 to allow for additional cooperation. This Second Amended and Restated Intergovernmental Agreement with the Cook County Forest Preserve

District consolidates prior agreements into one Intergovernmental Agreement, so the parties can refer to one agreement detailing the cooperative services and reimbursement costs and expands the cooperation to address technology related services, landscaping and various other services. Upon approval by the Board of Commissioners, the President shall execute the Intergovernmental Agreement.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Intergovernmental Agreement Amendment be approved. The motion carried.**

**BUREAU OF FINANCE**  
**OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COMPTROLLER**

**14-3520**

**Presented by:** LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

**REPORT**

**Department:** Comptroller's Office

**Request:** Receive and File

**Report Title:** Bills and Claims Report

**Report Period:** 4/24/2014 - 5/21/2014

**Summary:** This report to be received and filed is to comply with the Amended Procurement Code Chapter 34-125 (k).

The Comptroller shall provide to the Board of Commissioners a report of all payments made pursuant to contracts for supplies, materials and equipment and for professional and managerial services for Cook County, including the separately elected Officials, which involve an expenditure of \$150,000.00 or more, within two (2) weeks of being made. Such reports shall include:

1. The name of the Vendor;
  2. A brief description of the product or service provided;
  3. The name of the Using Department and budgetary account from which the funds are being drawn; and
  4. The contract number under which the payment is being made.
- 

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be received and filed. The motion carried.**

**BUREAU OF FINANCE**  
**DEPARTMENT OF RISK MANAGEMENT**

**14-3591**

**Presented by:** DEANNA ZALAS, Director, Department of Risk Management

**PROPOSED TRANSFER OF FUNDS**

**Department:** Risk Management

**Request:** Transfer funds from account 542-263 (Legal Services) to 542-258 (Malpractice Insurance) for Property Insurance renewal.

**Reason:** Policy term extended to 18 months to align all excess coverage.

**From Account(s):** 542-263, \$800,000.00

**To Account(s):** 542-258, \$800,000.00

**Total Amount of Transfer:** \$800,000.00

**On what date did it become apparent that the receiving account would require an infusion of funds in order to meet current obligations? What was the balance in the account on that date, and what was the balance 30 days prior to that date?**

As per Board action in February 2014, the medical malpractice and municipal coverage was extended on 2/28/2014 for an 18 month period through 6/30/2015. The property renewal is for the period of 7/1/2014 through 6/30/2015.

**How was the account used for the source of transferred funds identified? List any other accounts that were also considered (but not used) as the source of the transferred funds.**

An analysis of alternative self-insurance fund accounts, in consultation with Budget department.

**Identify any projects, purchases, programs, contracts, or other obligations that will be deferred, delayed, or canceled as a result of the reduction in available spending authority that will result in the account that funds are transferred from.**

As current, Legal Services, Account 263, is tracking lower than expected, 33% spent with 50% of FY2014 completed.

**If the answer to the above question is “none” then please explain why this account was originally budgeted in a manner that caused an unobligated surplus to develop at this point in the fiscal year.**

Legal Services spending is tracking lower than budgeted due to the dynamic nature of claims.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Transfer of Funds be approved. The motion carried.**

**BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION**  
**OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER**

**14-3551**

**Presented by:** CARL BYRD, Chief Administrative Officer, Bureau of Administration

**REPORT**

**Department:** Bureau of Administration

**Request:** Receive and File

**Report Title:** Cook County Vehicle Collision Policy

**Report Period:** N/A

**Summary:** The purpose of the Cook County Vehicle Collision Policy is to provide uniform structure and procedures for the reporting and response to incidents involving Cook County drivers and vehicles.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be received and filed. The motion carried.**

**14-3596**

**Presented by:** CARL BYRD, Chief Administrative Officer, Bureau of Administration

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Office of the Chief Administrative Officer

**Vendor:** Konica Minolta Business Solutions U.S.A., Inc, Ramsey, New Jersey

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Leasing of a Black and White High Speed Digital Press

**Contract Value:** \$164,550.00



**Contract period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2019

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014 \$16,455.00, FY 2015 \$32,910.00, FY 2016 \$32,910.00, FY 2017 \$32,910.00, FY 2018 \$32,910.00, FY 2019 \$16,455.00

**Accounts:** 011-579630

**Contract Number(s):** 1355-12898

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

**Summary:** This contract is to lease a black and white high speed digital press. Formal competitive bid procedures were followed in accordance with Cook County's Procurement Code. Konica Minolta Business Solutions U.S.A. Inc. was the lowest responsible and responsive bidder

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Contract be approved as amended. The motion carried.**

**BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION**  
**OFFICE OF THE MEDICAL EXAMINER**

**14-3447**

**Presented by:** STEPHEN J. CINA, M.D., Chief Medical Examiner

**PROPOSED INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT**

**Department(s):** Cook County Medical Examiner

**Other Part(ies):** University of Illinois Chicago on behalf of Jane Addams College of Social Work, 1040 W. Harrison St. Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** As part of its ongoing instruction and preparation of its students through classroom and laboratory experiences, College seeks relevant, supervised experiences in practice settings for its students who are in good academic standing. The Facility is able to provide a practice setting, supervised experience, and related educational facilities for these students ("placement").

**Good(s) or Service(s):** The Jane Addams College of Social Work is providing grief support services for the residents of Cook County that have come in contact with the Medical Examiner's Office.

**Agreement period:** 8/1/2014 and continue for one year, and shall automatically renew from year to year thereafter for a period not to exceed ten (10) years unless terminated by either party.

**Fiscal Impact:** None

**Accounts:** N/A

**Agreement Number(s):** UIC ref. no. CN-00006391

**Summary/Notes:** College shall provide the basic preparation of the students through classroom instruction and practice and shall provide the educational direction for the placement. College shall designate a faculty or staff member as a liaison to the Facility to provide consultation regarding student placements, supervision, and periodic review of student progress toward meeting the College's educational objectives.

College shall take all reasonable steps to inform student(s) that they must adhere to the following requirements during the placement:

Student shall adhere to all policies, procedures, and standards established by the Facility, and shall do so under the specific instruction of supervisory staff of the Facility. College or facility may immediately remove any student deemed to be clinically unsafe to patients, employees, or others. Party who took the action to remove the student shall notify the other Party of said action as soon as possible but in no event later than 48 hours after said removal. Facility reserves the right to prohibit the return of any such students unless a corrective action plan satisfactory to Facility has been proposed and its compliance assured by the College. Facility further reserves the right to request removal of any student whose conduct is contrary to Facility's standards of conduct as set forth in its policies and procedures.

Unless otherwise agreed by Facility and College, Facility shall assume responsibility for providing supervision of student(s) and cooperate in providing systematic written review of the student performance in the placement. Facility and College shall mutually agree upon appropriate responsibilities of the supervisor. The Facility shall provide meaningful and appropriate learning experiences to student to achieve the College's educational objectives for the placement. The Facility shall provide access to records, appropriate space, and other Facility resources as may be required.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Interagency Agreement be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3473**

**Presented by:** STEPHEN J. CINA, M.D., Chief Medical Examiner

**PROPOSED INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT**

**Department(s):** Cook County Medical Examiner

**Other Part(ies):** University of Illinois Chicago on behalf of Jane Addams College of Social Work, 1040 W. Harrison St. Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** As part of its ongoing instruction and preparation of its students through classroom and laboratory experiences, College seeks relevant, supervised experiences in practice settings for its students who are in good academic standing. The Facility is able to provide a practice setting, supervised experience, and related educational facilities for these students ("placement").

**Good(s) or Service(s):** The Jane Addams College of Social Work is providing grief support services for the residents of Cook County that have come in contact with the Medical Examiner's Office.

**Agreement period:** 8/1/2014 and continue for one year, and shall automatically renew from year to year thereafter for a period not to exceed ten (10) years unless terminated by either party.

**Fiscal Impact:** None

**Accounts:** N/A

**Agreement Number(s):** UIC ref. no. CN-00006391

**Summary/Notes:** College shall provide the basic preparation of the students through classroom instruction and practice and shall provide the educational direction for the placement. College shall designate a faculty or staff member as a liaison to the Facility to provide consultation regarding student placements, supervision, and periodic review of student progress toward meeting the College's educational objectives.

College shall take all reasonable steps to inform student(s) that they must adhere to the following requirements during the placement:

Student shall adhere to all policies, procedures, and standards established by the Facility, and shall do so under the specific instruction of supervisory staff of the Facility. College or facility may immediately remove any student deemed to be clinically unsafe to patients, employees, or others. Party who took the action to remove the student shall notify the other Party of said action as soon as possible but in no event later than 48 hours after said removal. Facility reserves the right to prohibit the return of any such students unless a corrective action plan satisfactory to Facility has been proposed and its compliance assured by the College. Facility further reserves the right to request removal of any student whose conduct is contrary to Facility's standards of conduct as set forth in its policies and procedures.

Unless otherwise agreed by Facility and College, Facility shall assume responsibility for providing supervision of student(s) and cooperate in providing systematic written review of the student performance in the placement. Facility and College shall mutually agree upon appropriate responsibilities of the supervisor. The Facility shall provide meaningful and appropriate learning experiences to student to achieve the College's educational objectives for the placement. The Facility shall provide access to records, appropriate space, and other Facility resources as may be required.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Interagency Agreement be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3486**

**Presented by:** STEPHEN J. CINA, M.D., Chief Medical Examiner

**PROPOSED INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT**

**Department(s):** Cook County Medical Examiner

**Other Part(ies):** Northwestern McGaw Center for Graduate Medical Education, Chicago, Illinois and Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** To authorize the Cook County Medical Examiner to enter into an Agreement with Northwestern McGaw Center for Graduate Medical Education and Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago Pathology Residency Programs to utilize the Medical Examiner's Office to provide the requisite clinical experience for the Residents' education program.

**Good(s) or Service(s):** This Agreement shall establish and define a cooperative relationship among the County

on behalf of the ME Office and McGaw with respect to clinical experiences and program activities which may be conducted within the ME Office.

**Agreement period:** This Agreement shall commence on 7/1/2014 and shall continue through 6/30/2019 unless terminated sooner in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement. This Agreement may be extended for additional periods upon written agreement of McGaw, Member and the County.

**Fiscal Impact:** None.

**Accounts:** N/A

**Agreement Number(s):** None.

**Summary/Notes:** As the Sponsoring Institution of the GME Programs, McGaw shall be responsible for the overall direction of the GME Programs and for enforcement of the Requirements. McGaw, acting through the Program Director, is responsible for ensuring that Residents' experience at the ME Office shall comply with all applicable Requirements. The ME Office shall provide all accommodations necessary for the clinical training of Residents to satisfy the Requirements and to provide adequate attending physicians to supervise the clinical education of the Residents during such rotation, subject to review and approval as to educational issues by the GMEC.

McGaw shall be responsible for determining the adequacy of the educational experience of Residents in theoretical training, basic skills and professionalism and will assign to the ME Office only those Residents who have satisfactorily completed appropriate prerequisites for each clinical assignment. McGaw also shall be responsible for ensuring that Residents have and maintain a valid State of Illinois medical license and, where relevant, appropriate visa status. In addition, all Residents shall have the life support certification required by the GME Program and the Resident's specific assignment.

All Residents assigned to the ME Office shall comply with all policies, procedures, rules and regulations of the ME Office with respect to all activities conducted at the ME Office. The Cook County Medical Examiner shall have the authority to terminate immediately the assignment of any Resident who fails to comply with these policies, procedures, rules and regulations or who conducts herself or himself in an improper or unprofessional manner or in a manner which, in the judgment of the Cook County Medical Examiner, threatens or potentially threatens the health, safety or welfare of staff, visitors or other Residents. In such event, notice shall be provided to McGaw and Member as soon as is practicable.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Interagency Agreement be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3510**

**Presented by:** STEPHEN J. CINA, M.D., Chief Medical Examiner

**PROPOSED INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT**

**Department(s):** Cook County Medical Examiner

**Other Part(ies):** Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood, Illinois

**Request:** To allow the Cook County Medical Examiner (ME Office) to enter into an agreement with Loyola

University Medical Center (LUMC) to provide the requisite clinical experience for the Residents' education program.

**Good(s) or Service(s):** This Agreement shall establish and define a cooperative relationship between the County on behalf of the ME Office and LUMC with respect to clinical experiences and program activities which may be conducted within the ME Office.

**Agreement period:** This Agreement shall commence on 7/1/2014, and shall continue through 6/30/2017, unless terminated sooner in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement. This Agreement may be extended for additional periods upon written agreement of LUMC and the County.

**Fiscal Impact:** None.

**Accounts:** None.

**Agreement Number(s):** None.

**Summary/Notes:** As the Sponsoring Institution of the GME Programs, LUMC shall be responsible for the overall direction of the GME Programs and for enforcement of the Requirements. LUMC, acting through the Program Director, is responsible for ensuring that Residents' experience at the ME Office shall comply with all applicable Requirements. The ME Office shall provide all accommodations necessary for the clinical training of Residents to satisfy the Requirements and to provide adequate attending physicians to supervise the clinical education of the Residents during such rotation, subject to review and approval as to educational issues by the GMEC.

LUMC shall be responsible for determining the adequacy of the educational experience of Residents in theoretical training, basic skills and professionalism and will assign to the ME Office only those Residents who have satisfactorily completed appropriate prerequisites for each clinical assignment. LUMC also shall be responsible for ensuring that Residents have and maintain a valid State of Illinois medical license and, where relevant, appropriate visa status. In addition, all Residents shall have the life support certification required by the GME Program and the Resident's specific assignment.

All Residents assigned to the ME Office shall comply with all policies, procedures, rules and regulations of the ME Office with respect to all activities conducted at the ME Office. The Cook County Medical Examiner shall have the authority to terminate immediately the assignment of any Resident who fails to comply with these policies, procedures, rules and regulations or who conducts herself or himself in an improper or unprofessional manner or in a manner which, in the judgment of the Cook County Medical Examiner, threatens or potentially threatens the health, safety or welfare of staff, visitors or other Residents.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Interagency Agreement be approved. The motion carried.**

**BUREAU OF ADMINISTRATION**  
**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS**

**14-3133**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Project Type:** Motor Fuel Tax Project Second Supplemental Improvement Resolution

**Request:** Refer to the Committee of Roads and Bridges

**Project:** Resurfacing existing concrete pavement, bridge repair etc. and engineering costs for account closure.

**Location:** Devon Avenue, Rohlwing Road to Park Boulevard in the Villages of Elk Grove and Itasca

**Section:** 01-B1113-06-RP

**County Board District:** 15

**Centerline Mileage:** 0.70 miles

**Fiscal Impact:** \$925,000.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Board Approved Date and Amount:** \$1,378,000.00 (Original amount 5/1/2001: \$750,000.00; Previous Increase 9/5/2002: \$628,000.00)

**Increased Amount:** \$925,000.00

**Total Adjusted Amount:** \$2,303,000.00

**Summary:** On 5/1/2001 the Cook County Board of Commissioners approved the original Improvement Resolution which appropriated \$750,000.00 towards completion of the project and on 9/5/2002 and additional \$682,000.00 was approved.

This Second supplement is required for engineering and additional work not anticipated in the original contract and necessary for accounting closure.

**14-3133  
SUPPLEMENTAL RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE**

**PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**State of Illinois  
2<sup>nd</sup> Supplemental Resolution for Improvement by County  
Under the Illinois Highway Code**

**BE IT RESOLVED**, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

County Highway B11, Devon Avenue, beginning at a point near Rohlwing Road and extending along said route in an easterly direction to a point near Park Boulevard, a distance of approximately 0.70 miles; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the type of improvement shall be the resurfacing of existing concrete pavement along Devon Avenue; rehabilitation and repairs of the Devon Avenue bridge over I-290; and shall include full depth concrete patching, adjustments or reconstruction of existing drainage structures, median and curb and gutter repair, concrete removal, deck slab repair (partial), jack and remove existing bearings, concrete structures, formed concrete repair, concrete superstructure, reinforcement bars, bridge deck latex concrete overlay, furnishing and erecting structural steel, elastomeric bearing assembly, protective shield, structural steel repair, concrete bridge deck scarification, deck slab repair, traffic control and protection, landscaping, pavement marking, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances and shall be designated as Section: 01-B1113-06-RP MFT; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that there is hereby appropriated the additional sum of Nine Hundred Twenty-Five Thousand and NO/100 Dollars, (\$925,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the construction of this improvement; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Supplemental Improvement Resolution (Highway) be referred to the Roads and Bridges Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3134**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Project Type:** Motor Fuel Tax Project Supplemental Improvement Resolution

**Request:** Refer to the Committee of Roads and Bridges

**Project:** Appropriating additional funds for the improvement milling and resurfacing existing pavement etc. and engineering cost for account closure.

**Location:** 138th Street at Halsted Street in the Village of Riverdale

**Section:** 04-B5332-02-CH

**County Board District:** 5

**Centerline Mileage:** 0.97 miles

**Fiscal Impact:** \$145,000.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Board Approved Date and Amount:** 7/13/2004, \$600,000.00

**Increased Amount:** \$145,000.00

**Total Adjusted Amount:** \$745,000.00

**Summary:** On 7/13/2004, the Cook County Board of Commissioners approved \$600,000.00 for this improvement. This supplement is required for engineering and additional work not anticipated in the original contract and is necessary for accounting closures.

**14-3134**

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE**

**PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**State of Illinois**

**Supplemental Resolution for Improvement by County  
Under the Illinois Highway Code**

**BE IT RESOLVED**, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

County Highway B53, 138<sup>th</sup> Street at its intersection with Halsted Street; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the type of improvement shall be channelization of the intersection at Halsted Street; pavement patching along 138<sup>th</sup> Street from Ashland Avenue to Halsted Street; and shall include milling and resurfacing the existing pavement, concrete median removal and replacement with pavement patching, traffic signal modernization, drainage additions and adjustments, pipe culvert removal and replacement, guardrail removal and replacement, traffic control and protection, landscaping, pavement marking, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances and shall be designated as Section: 04-B5332-02-CH MFT; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that there is hereby appropriated the additional sum of One Hundred Forty-Five Thousand and NO/100 Dollars, (\$145,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the construction of this improvement; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.



Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Supplemental Improvement Resolution (Highway) be referred to the Roads and Bridges Committee. The motion Carried.**

**14-3135**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Project Type:** Motor Fuel Tax Project Supplemental Improvement Resolution

**Request:** Refer to the Committee of Roads and Bridges

**Project:** Bituminous concrete, patching, milling, resurfacing, etc. and account closure.

**Location:** Central Road, Freeman Road to Roselle Road in the Villages of Hoffman Estates and Schaumburg.

**Section:** 98-A6108-03-FP

**County Board District:** 14, 15

**Centerline Mileage:** 2.20

**Fiscal Impact:** \$341,000.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Board Approved Date and Amount:** 10/6/1998, \$4,100,000.00

**Increased Amount:** \$341,000.00

**Total Adjusted Amount:** \$4,441,000.00

**Summary:** On 10/6/1998, the Cook County Board of Commissioners approved an Improvement Resolution appropriating \$4,100,000.00 towards this improvement. This second supplemental improvement is required for engineering not anticipated in the original improvement and is necessary for accounting closure.

**14-3135**

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESOLUTION**

Sponsored by

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE  
PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**State of Illinois  
Supplemental Resolution for Improvement by County  
Under the Illinois Highway Code**

**BE IT RESOLVED**, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

County Highway A61, Central Road, beginning at a point near Freeman Road and extending along said route in an easterly direction to a point near Roselle Road, a distance of approximately 2.20 miles; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the type of improvement shall be reconstruction of Central Road from Freeman Road to Ela Road with bituminous concrete materials and full-depth paved shoulders; patching, milling and resurfacing with bituminous pavement from Ela Road to Roselle Road; and shall include combination curb and gutter removal and replacement, drainage additions and adjustments, removal of unsuitable material and replacement with cast-in-place lightweight fill, removal and replacement of two concrete box culverts, traffic signal modernization, guardrail removal and replacement, traffic control and protection, landscaping, pavement marking, video inspection of existing culverts and storm sewers, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances and shall be designated as Section: 98-A6108-03-FP MFT; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that there is hereby appropriated the additional sum of Three Hundred Forty-One Thousand and NO/100 Dollars, (\$341,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the construction of this improvement; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Supplemental Improvement Resolution (Highway) be referred to the Roads and Bridges Committee. The motion Carried.**

**14-3136**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Project Type:** Motor Fuel Tax Project Supplemental Improvement Resolution

**Request:** Refer to the Committee of Roads and Bridges

**Project:** Full depth concrete patching of the existing pavement etc. and engineering costs for account closure.

**Location:** Roselle Road, Hillcrest Boulevard to Euclid Avenue in the Villages of Inverness, Palatine and Schaumburg

**Section:** 03-V6041-11-RP

**County Board District:** 14, 15

**Centerline Mileage:** 1.72 miles

**Fiscal Impact:** \$1,531,000.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Board Approved Date and Amount:** 11/18/2013 \$1,200,000.00

**Increased Amount:** \$1,531,000.00

**Total Adjusted Amount:** \$2,731,000.00

**Summary:** On 11/18/2003, the Cook County Board of Commissioners approved an Improvement Resolution appropriating \$1,200,000.00 for this improvement. This supplemental is required for engineering costs not anticipated and is necessary for accounting closures.

**14-3136**

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE**

**PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**State of Illinois**

**Supplemental Resolution for Improvement by County  
Under the Illinois Highway Code**

**BE IT RESOLVED**, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

County Highway V60, Roselle Road, beginning at a point near Hillcrest Boulevard and extending along said route in a northerly direction to a point near Euclid Avenue, a distance of approximately 1.72 miles; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the type of improvement shall be full depth concrete patching of the existing pavement; resurfacing of the existing pavement with hot-mix asphalt from Central Road to Euclid Avenue including removal/scarification of the existing concrete median; removal and replacement of the existing concrete median with concrete pavement for the extension of the northbound left turn lane along Roselle Road at Central Road; and

shall include adjustments or reconstruction of the existing drainage structures, removal and replacement of concrete curb and gutter and concrete median surface, traffic signal modifications, traffic control and protection, pavement marking, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances and shall be designated as Section: 03-V6041-11-RP MFT; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that there is hereby appropriated the additional sum of One Million Five Hundred Thirty-One Thousand and NO/100 Dollars, (\$1,531,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the construction of this improvement; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Supplemental Improvement Resolution (Highway) be referred to the Roads and Bridges Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3137**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Project Type:** Motor Fuel Tax Project Supplemental Improvement

**Request:** Refer to the Committee of Roads and Bridges

**Project:** Reconstructing of existing bituminous pavement to municipal standard, etc., engineering and work for account closure.

**Location:** Howard Street, Tierny Drive to the west frontage road of the Edens Expressway in the Villages of Niles and Skokie

**Section:** 02-A8626-02-FP

**County Board District:** 13

**Centerline Mileage:** 0.46 miles

**Fiscal Impact:** \$43,000.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Board Approved Date and Amount:** 6/4/2002 \$2,500,000.00

**Increased Amount:** \$43,000.00

**Total Adjusted Amount:** \$2,543,000.00

**Summary:** On 6/4/2002, the Cook County Board of Commissioners approved an Improvement Resolution appropriating \$2,500,000.00 towards this improvement. This supplemental improvement is required for engineering and work not anticipated in the original improvement and is necessary for accounting closure.

**14-3137**

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE**

**PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**State of Illinois**

**Supplemental Resolution for Improvement by County**

**Under the Illinois Highway Code**

**BE IT RESOLVED**, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

County Highway A86, Howard Street, beginning at a point near Tierny Drive and extending along said route in an easterly direction to a point near the west frontage road of the Edens Expressway, a distance of approximately 0.46 miles; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the type of improvement shall be reconstruction of the existing bituminous pavement to municipal standards and shall include a continuous median/left turn lane, curb and gutter, enclosed drainage system, full-depth concrete median removal, tree removal, sidewalks, right-of-way, traffic control and protection, landscaping, pavement marking, signing, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances and shall be designated as Section: 02-A8626-02-FP MFT; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that there is hereby appropriated the additional sum of Forty-Three Thousand and NO/100 Dollars, (\$43,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the construction of this improvement; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Supplemental Improvement Resolution (Highway) be referred to the Roads and Bridges Committee. The motion carried.

**14-3138**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Project Type:** Motor Fuel Tax Project Second Improvement Resolution

**Request:** Refer to the Committee of Roads and Bridges

**Project:** Joseph J. Schwab Road

**Location:** Joseph J. Schwab Road, Algonquin Road to the Union Pacific Railroad Underpass in the City of Des Plaines

**Section:** 05-A8521-03-FP

**County Board District:** 17

**Centerline Mileage:** 0.53miles

**Fiscal Impact:** \$760,000.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund 600-585 Account

**Board Approved Date and Amount:** 1/20/2005 \$1,300,000.00

**Increased Amount:** \$760,000.00

**Total Adjusted Amount:** \$2,060,000.00

**Summary:** On 1/20/2005, the Cook County Board of Commissioners approved an Improvement Resolution appropriating \$1,300,000.00 for this improvement. This second supplemental improvement is required of engineering and work not anticipated in the original improvement and is necessary for account closure.

**14-3138**

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE**

**PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**State of Illinois  
Supplemental Resolution for Improvement by County  
Under the Illinois Highway Code**

**BE IT RESOLVED**, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

County Highway A85, Joseph J. Schwab Road, beginning at a point near Algonquin Road and extending along said route in a northwesterly direction to a point near the Union Pacific Railroad underpass, a distance of approximately 0.53 miles; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the type of improvement shall be reconstruction of the existing pavement and shall include paved shoulders, drainage improvements including storm sewer installation and ditch regrading, guardrail removal and replacement, tree removal, tree planting, culvert removal and replacement with a concrete box culvert at Farmers Creek, right-of-way acquisition, traffic control and protection, landscaping, pavement marking, signing, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances and shall be designated as Section: 05-A8521-03-FP MFT; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that there is hereby appropriated the additional sum of Seven Hundred Sixty Thousand and NO/100 Dollars, (\$760,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the construction of this improvement; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Supplemental Improvement Resolution (Highway) be referred to the Roads and Bridges Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3139**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Project Type:** Motor Fuel Tax Project Supplemental Improvement Resolution

**Request:** Refer to the Committee of Roads and Bridges

**Project:** Milling and resurfacing the existing pavement, etc. engineering and additional work for account

closure

**Location:** Central Avenue, Sauk Trail to Lincoln Highway in the Villages of Matteson and Richton Park

**Section:** 06-W3902-04-RS

**County Board District:** 5, 6

**Centerline Mileage:** 1.52 miles

**Fiscal Impact:** \$28,000.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Board Approved Date and Amount:** 9/7/2006, \$675,000.00

**Increased Amount:** \$28,000.00

**Total Adjusted Amount:** \$703,000.00

**Summary:** On 9/7/2006, the Cook County Board of Commissioners approved an Improvement Resolution appropriating \$675,000.00 for this improvement. This second supplemental is required for engineer and additional work not anticipated in this project and is necessary for accounting closure.

**14-3139**

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE**

**PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**State of Illinois**

**Supplemental Resolution for Improvement by County  
Under the Illinois Highway Code**

**BE IT RESOLVED**, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

County Highway W39, Central Avenue, beginning at a point near Sauk Trail and extending along said route in a northerly direction to a point near Lincoln Highway, a distance of approximately 1.52 miles; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the type of improvement shall be milling and resurfacing the existing pavement and shall include full depth patching, combination concrete curb and gutter removal and replacement, placement of aggregate shoulder, drainage additions and adjustments, traffic control and protection, landscaping, pavement marking, signing, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances and shall be designated as Section: 06-W3902-04-RS MFT; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,



**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that there is hereby appropriated the additional sum of Twenty-Eight Thousand and NO/100 Dollars, (\$28,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the construction of this improvement; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Supplemental Improvement Resolution (Highway) be referred to the Roads and Bridges Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3140**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Other Part(ies):** Village of Roselle, Illinois

**Request:** Approval

**Goods or Services:** Engineering review of construction plans

**Location:** Roselle Road at the Elgin-O'Hare Expressway Village of Roselle and unincorporated Schaumburg Township

**Section:** 12-6HISP-36-ES

**County Board District:** 15

**Agreement Number(s):** N/A

**Agreement Period:** N/A

**Fiscal Impact:** \$8,000.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Summary:** Village of Roselle will conduct an engineering review of construction plans prepared by the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority for proposed improvements along the Elgin-O'Hare Expressway. The purpose of the review is to identify and assess possible impacts to Roselle Road and the adjacent areas within the Village and unincorporated Schaumburg Township. The County will reimburse the Village of Roselle for its share of costs for

the engineering review, estimated total County share \$8,000.00, under Cook County Section: 12-6HISP-36-ES. This agreement has been examined and approved by this Department and by the State's Attorney's Office.

**14-3140**

**RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKINKLE**

**PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**RESOLVED**, by the members of The Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, on behalf of the County of Cook, to authorize and direct its President to execute, by original signature or authorized signature stamp, two (2) copies of an Intergovernmental Agreement with the Village of Roselle, attached, wherein the Village will conduct an engineering review of construction plans prepared by the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority for proposed improvements along the Elgin-O'Hare Expressway to assess possible impacts to Roselle Road and the adjacent communities, including areas within the Village and unincorporated Schaumburg Township; that the County of Cook will pay for a share of the engineering costs incurred by the Village and shall reimburse the Village of Roselle for its share of costs for said engineering review (estimated County share \$8,000.00) under County Section: 12-6HISP-36-ES; and, the Department of Transportation and Highways is authorized and directed to return one executed copy of said Agreement with this Resolution attached to the Village of Roselle to evidence the formal Agreement hereby resulting, and be bound by the terms thereof on behalf of the County.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Intergovernmental Agreement (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3141**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Project Type:** Motor Fuel Tax Project Improvement Resolution

**Request:** Approval of appropriation of Motor Fuel Tax Funds

**Project:** Pedestrian facility enhancements

**Location:** Busse Road at Lonquist Boulevard in the Village of Mount Prospect

**Section:** 13-W7140-02-TL

**County Board District(s):** 15

**Centerline Mileage:** N/A

**Fiscal Impact:** \$40,000.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund: (600-585 Account)

**Summary:** This improvement, as proposed, will consist of the installation of a new Rapid Rectangular Flashing Beacon (RRFB) System, sidewalk removal and replacement, ADA compliant sidewalk ramps, pedestrian signage, crosswalk pavement markings, landscaping, traffic control and protection and other necessary highway appurtenances.

This appropriation reflects the County's obligation to reimburse the Village of Mount Prospect for its share of improvement costs through an Intergovernmental Agreement approved by your Honorable Body on 4/9/2014. This improvement is needed to ensure the safety of pedestrians and is necessitated in accordance with the County's Complete Streets Policy.

**14-3141  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE**

**PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**State of Illinois  
Resolution for Improvement by County  
Under the Illinois Highway Code**

**BE IT RESOLVED**, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

Busse Road (CH W71), at Lonnquist Boulevard; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the type of improvement shall be pedestrian facility enhancements and shall include installation of a new Rapid Rectangular Flashing Beacon (RRFB) System, sidewalk removal and replacement, ADA compliant sidewalk ramps, pedestrian signage, crosswalk pavement markings, landscaping and other necessary highway appurtenances and shall be designated as Section: 13-W7140-02-TL MFT; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the improvement shall be constructed by the Village of Mount Prospect; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that there is hereby appropriated the sum of Forty Thousand and NO/100 Dollars, (\$40,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the construction of this improvement; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Improvement Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3142**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT AMENDMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Other Part(ies):** Illinois Department of Transportation and Highways

**Request:** Approval

**Goods or Services:** Local Agency 1st Amendment for Federal Participation

**Location:** 170th (167th) Street, South Park Avenue (Chicago Road) to west of the Bishop Ford Freeway (I-94) in the Village of South Holland

**Section:** 08-B5934-04-RP

**Centerline Miles:** 1.17 miles

**County Board District:** 6

**Agreement Number:** N/A

**Agreement Period:** N/A

**Fiscal Impact:** Fiscal Impact: \$6,800,000.00 (\$4,354,000.00 to be reimbursed from FHWA and \$56,000.00 to be reimbursed from the State of Illinois)

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Summary:** Cook County will be the lead agency to let, award a contract and supervise construction for improvements along 170th (167th) Street from South Park Avenue (Chicago Road) to west of the Bishop Ford Freeway (I-94), to be identified as Cook County Section: 08-B5934-04-RP Federal Project Number M-9003(742). This road will be constructed through the Village of South Holland.

Previously, your Honorable Body approved a Local Agency Agreement for Federal Participation on 2/1/2012 in the amount of \$6,800,000.00 for construction of the 170th (167th) Street improvements. Subsequently, the State of Illinois has offered to provide funding for a portion of the project. This Amendment reflects the State of Illinois funding up to a maximum \$56,000.00 and provides for reimbursement to Cook County toward the project

construction costs. The County of Cook will be responsible for the amended remaining balance of costs, either directly or through other funding sources, and the County share is reduced to the amount of \$2,390,000.00 (originally estimated \$2,446,000.00).

**14-3142  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE**

**PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**RESOLVED**, that the President of the Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, on behalf of the County of Cook, is hereby authorized and directed by the Members of said Board, to execute by original signature or authorized signature stamp, six (6) copies of a LOCAL AGENCY AMENDMENT #1 FOR FEDERAL PARTICIPATION, M-9003(742) with the State of Illinois, said Amendment attached, wherein Cook County will be the lead agency to let, award a contract and supervise construction for improvements along 170<sup>th</sup> (167<sup>th</sup>) Street from South Park Avenue (Chicago Road) to west of the Bishop Ford Freeway (I-94), to be identified as Cook County Section: 08-B5934-04-RP (estimated construction cost \$6,800,000.00); that the Division of Cost table is amended to reflect State of Illinois funding for reimbursement to Cook County up to a maximum \$56,000.00 and the County of Cook shall be responsible for the amended remaining balance estimated as \$2,390,000.00 (originally estimated \$2,446,000.00); and, the Department of Transportation and Highways is authorized and directed to return five (5) copies of this Resolution with Amendment to the Illinois Department of Transportation and implement a contract at the Department's discretion.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Improvement Resolution (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3143**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED CONTRACT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department(s):** Transportation and Highways

**Vendor:** Collins Engineers, Inc. of Chicago, Illinois.

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract.

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Construction Engineering and Inspection Services

**Location:** Various locations in Cook County

**Section:** 14-8CENG-03-EG

**Contract Value:** \$4,400,000.00

**Contract period:** 6/25/2014 - 6/24/2016 with one (1) one (1) year renewal option.

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** \$4,400,000.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund 600-585 Account

**Contract Number(s):** 1455-13422

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** This contract includes but is not limited to pre-construction phase review of plans, specifications and site conditions, performing original cross-sectioning and surveys of existing conditions, attend various preconstruction meetings and prepare minutes, and setting up project management system for use as project proceeds to completion. During construction phase provide on-site monitoring of daily construction activities to ensure compliance with contract documents, review and monitor contractor's progress and schedule, conduct weekly progress meetings and document discussion in the form of meeting minutes, facilitate Cook County Department of Transportation and Highways responses to correspondence and submittals, perform required Quality Assurance, develop punch lists, provide project close-out documentation and coordinate project with outside agencies, business owners or other stakeholders involved in the project as needed and other duties as required. During post construction phase oversee the completion of all punch list work performed by contractor, complete final inspection and acceptance reports, perform final cross-sectioning, complete and submit contractor evaluations, submit documentation of final quantities including material delivery and inspection tickets, deliver completed as-built plans, daily logs, project diary, field books and all other project documentation required for project close-out and other duties as required.

Collins Engineers, Inc. of Chicago, Illinois is recommended for award. Request for Qualifications (RFQ) procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3144**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED AGREEMENT FOR REIMBURSEMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Other Part(ies):** Village of Crestwood, Illinois

**Request:** Approval

**Goods or Services:** County will design and construct improvements along Central Avenue from 135th Street to 127th Street.

**Location:** Central Avenue, 135th Street to 127th Street in the Village of Crestwood

**Section:** 12-W3913-04-RS

**County Board District:** 6

**Centerline Mileage:** 1.01 miles

**Agreement Period:** N/A

**Agreement Number(s):** N/A

**Fiscal Impact:** \$37,298.00 (50% reimbursable from the Village of Crestwood)

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Summary:** Reimbursement Agreement with the Village of Crestwood wherein the County will design and construct improvements along Central Avenue from 135th Street to 127th Street, including new sidewalk installation. The Village will reimburse the County for fifty (50%) percent of the new sidewalk construction costs, estimated total Village share \$18,649.00.

This Agreement is necessitated by the improvement to ensure safety of pedestrians and to provide a safe, comfortable and sustainable highway.

The Agreement has been examined and approved by this Department and by the State's Attorney's Office. County will design and construct improvements along Central Avenue from 135th Street to 127th Street, including new sidewalk installation.

**14-3144  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE**

**PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**RESOLVED** by the members of The Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, on behalf of the County of Cook, to authorize and direct its President to execute, by original signature or authorized signature stamp, two (2) copies of a Reimbursement Agreement with the Village of Crestwood, said agreement attached, wherein the County will install new sidewalk for the Village as part of the County's roadway construction project along Central Avenue from 135<sup>th</sup> Street to 127<sup>th</sup> Street, Section: 12-W3913-04-RS; that the Village of Crestwood shall reimburse the County of Cook for fifty (50%) percent of the construction costs for said sidewalk, estimated total Village cost \$18,649.00; and, the Department of Transportation and Highways is authorized and directed to return one (1)

executed copy of this Resolution with Agreement to the Village of Crestwood and implement the terms of the Agreement.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Agreement for Reimbursement (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3145**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**REPORT**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Request:** Receive and file.

**Report Title:** Bureau of Construction Progress Report

**Report Period:** Ending 4/30/2014

**Summary:** Submitting a copy of the Construction Bureau of Progress report ending 4/30/2014.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Report be received and filed. The motion carried.**

**14-3146**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED CONTRACT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department(s):** Transportation and Highways

**Vendor:** Baxter and Woodman, Inc. of Crystal Lake, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract.

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Building and Zoning Permit Review Services

**Location:** Various locations within Cook County

**Commissioner District:** 1, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17



**Section:** 13-6BZPR-01-ES

**Contract Value:** \$375,000.00

**Contract period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2016 with three (3) one (1) year renewal options

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** \$375,000.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Contract Number(s):** 1323-13116

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

**Summary:**

Request for Qualifications (RFQ) procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Baxter and Woodman, Inc. is recommended for this Building and Zoning Permit Review Services contract.

The contract consists of providing professional engineering services to complete the technical review, tracking and processing of Building and Zoning permit requests. Engineering services include review of site grading, roadway design, drainage and floodplain impacts, utility and regulatory agency involvement, and other related elements associated with work proposed within unincorporated Cook County and various locations. The County will compensate the Consultant for its professional engineering services the sum not to exceed \$375,000 on a work order basis.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3148**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Other Part(ies):** Illinois Toll Highway Authority, Downers Grove Illinois

**Request:** Approval

**Goods or Services:** Design engineering, construction and construction engineering costs.

**Location:** Bartlett Road over the Jane Addams Memorial Tollway (I-90) in the Villages of Hoffman Estates and South Barrington

**Section:** 14-V4741-07-GS

**County Board District:** 14, 15

**Agreement Number(s):** N/A

**Agreement Period:** N/A

**Fiscal Impact:** \$372,556.79

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund 600-585 Account

**Summary:** Intergovernmental Agreement with the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority wherein the Toll Authority will design and construct bridge and roadway improvements along Bartlett Road over the Jane Addams Memorial Tollway (I-90), including bridge removal and replacement, roadway widening and reconstruction, retaining walls, a multi-use path on the bridge and extending along a portion of the roadway, provisions along Bartlett Road for a future multi-use path by others, a storm sewer system and drainage structures north of the bridge as part of its I-90 corridor project in the Villages of Hoffman Estates and South Barrington.

The County will reimburse the Toll Authority for its share of preliminary and design engineering, construction and construction engineering costs for the improvements, estimated total County share \$372,556.79, under Cook County Section: 14-V4741-07-GS. This improvement was initiated by the Illinois State Toll Highway Authority and will protect the public investment in the highway system infrastructure and provide a safe and sustainable highway in accordance with the Department's mission statement.

The Agreement has been examined and approved by this Department and by the State's Attorney's Office.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Intergovernmental Agreement (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3150**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED CHANGE IN PLANS AND EXTRA WORK (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Other Part(ies):** G & V Construction, Inc. Bensenville, Illinois

**Action:** Refer to the Committee of Roads and Bridges

**Section:** 08-B5934-04-RP

**Contract Number(s):**

Cook County No. 12-28-016

IDOT Contract No.: 10218

Federal Project No.: M-9003(742)

Federal Job No.: C-91-187-11

**Location:** 170th (167th) Street; South Park Avenue to Bishop Ford Freeway in the Village of South Holland

**Board District:** 6

**Good(s) or Service(s):** A new item was required for removal and replacement of sod to restore areas of disturbed sod on private property where sidewalk was constructed, and extra detour signage at Thornwood Avenue to maintain safety at construction zone.

**Fiscal Impact:** \$3,851.55 increase

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-600 Account)

**Summary:**

On 4/3/2012, your Honorable Body awarded a contract to G&V Construction, Inc., Bensenville, Illinois for the aforesaid improvement to be completed in accordance with the plans and specifications.

A new item was required for removal and replacement of sod to restore areas of disturbed sod on private property where sidewalk was constructed, and extra detour signage at Thornwood Ave. to maintain safety at construction zone. It is therefore respectfully requested that the accompanying change in plans and extra work be adopted.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Change in Plans and Extra Work (Highway) be referred to the Roads and Bridges Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3152**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED AGREEMENT FOR REIMBURSEMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Other Part(ies):** AT & T Illinois (AT&T) aka Illinois Bell Telephone Company an Illinois Corporation  
Oak Brook, Illinois

**Request:** Approval

**Goods or Services:** The County will redesign a proposed relief storm sewer to avoid conflict with AT&T facilities and said redesign involves additional costs to the County for design engineering and construction material costs as part of proposed improvements.

**Location:** Quentin Road, Medford Drive to Illinois Avenue in the Village of Palatine

**County Board District:** 14

**Section:** 13-V6243-04-SS

**Centerline Mileage:** 0.20 miles

**Agreement Period:** N/A

**Agreement Number(s):** N/A

**Fiscal Impact:** \$89,256.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Summary:** Reimbursement Agreement with AT&T Illinois wherein the County will redesign a proposed relief storm sewer to avoid conflict with AT&T facilities and said redesign involves additional costs to the County for design engineering and construction material costs as part of proposed improvements along Quentin Road from Medford Drive to Illinois Avenue. AT&T will reimburse the County for its share of said additional costs, estimated AT&T cost \$89,256.00.

This Agreement is necessitated by the improvement which is needed to ensure safe, comfortable and sustainable highways in accordance with the Department's mission statement. The Agreement has been examined and approved by this Department and by the State's Attorney's Office.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Agreement for Reimbursement (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3154**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED CONTRACT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department(s):** Transportation and Highways

**Vendor:** Plote Construction, Inc. of Hoffman Estates, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract.

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Pavement Resurfacing Enhancement Program North 2014

**Location:**

Euclid Avenue, Plum Grove Road to Hicks Road in the City of Rolling Meadows  
Illinois Road, Happ Road to Edens Expressway in the Village of Wilmette  
Illinois Road, Skokie Road to Locust Road in the Villages of Northfield and Wilmette  
Locust Road, Illinois Road to Hill Road in the Villages of Wilmette and Winnetka  
Naperville Road, West Bartlett Road to Lake Street in the Village of Bartlett  
Techny Road, Sanders Road to Pflingsten Road in the Village of Northfield  
Willow Road, Waterman Avenue to Shoenbeck Road in the Village of Arlington Heights and the City of Prospect Heights

**Board Districts:** 13, 14, 15, 17

**Section:** 13-PREPN-01-RS

**Contract Value:** \$2,595,071.35

**Contract period:** 6/25/2014 - 6/24/2016

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** \$2,595,071.35

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Contract Number(s):** 1455-13427

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Office concurs.

**Summary:**

The contract consists of furnishing all labor, materials and equipment required for Pavement Resurfacing Enhancement Program North 2014. This includes but is not limited to hot-mix asphalt surface removal, hot-mix asphalt resurfacing, frame and lid adjustments, guardrail upgrades and maintenance, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp replacement, traffic control and protection, pavement markings, restoration, and all appurtenant work required on a work order basis.

Competitive bidding procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Plote Construction, Inc. was the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Contract (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3155**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED CONTRACT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department(s):** Transportation and Highways

**Vendor:** D. Construction Inc. of Coal City, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract.

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Pavement Resurfacing Enhancement Program South 2014

**Location:**

Cottage Grove Avenue, Lincoln Highway to Glenwood-Lansing Road in the City of Chicago Heights and in the Villages of Ford Heights and Glenwood

Kedzie Avenue, 158th Street to 155th Street in the City of Markham

Steger Road, Harlem Avenue to Cicero Avenue in the Village of Richton Park

139th Street, Coopers Grove Road to Harrison Avenue in the City of Blue Island

**Board Districts:** 5, 6

**Section:** 13-PREPS-01-RS

**Contract Value:** \$1,785,391.57

**Contract period:** 6/25/2014 - 6/24/2016

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** \$1,785,391.57

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax (600-585 Account)

**Contract Number(s):** 1428-13429

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:**

This contract consists of furnishing all labor, materials and equipment required for Pavement Resurfacing Enhancement Program South 2014. This includes but is not limited to hot-mix asphalt surface removal, hot-mix asphalt resurfacing, frame and lid adjustments, guardrail upgrades and maintenance, sidewalk removal and ADA compliant ramp replacement, traffic control and protection, pavement markings, restoration, and all appurtenant work required on a work order basis. D Construction, Inc. of Coal City, Illinois is the lowest, responsible and responsive bidder.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Contract (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3156**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED CONTRACT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department(s):** Transportation and Highways

**Vendor:** Landmark Contractors, Inc. of Huntley, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract.

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Constructing and installing parkway enhancements including street lighting, sidewalks, ADA ramps, landscaping, minor drainage improvements, crosswalk and intersection pavement markings.

**Location:** Kedzie Avenue, 139th Street to 135th Street, 139th Street, Kedzie Avenue to Utica Avenue in the Villages of Robbins and Blue Island and Bremen Township

**Board District:** 5

**Section:** 13-W4612-07-LS

**Contract Value:** \$2,035,543.48

**Contract period:** N/A

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** \$2,035,543.48

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Contract Number(s):** 1428-13237

**Federal Project No.:** TE-HPP-1375(002)

**Federal Job No.:** C-91-288-13

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer Concurs

**Summary:** The contract consists of constructing and installing parkway enhancements including street lighting, sidewalks, ADA ramps, landscaping, minor drainage improvements, crosswalk and intersection pavement markings. Landmark Contractors, Inc. of Huntley, Illinois is the lowest, responsible, responsive bidder.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Contract (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3157**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED SUPPLEMENTAL IMPROVEMENT RESOLUTION (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Project Type:** Motor Fuel Tax Project Supplemental Improvement

**Request:** Refer to the Committee of Roads and Bridges

**Project:** Pavement widening, reconstruction, replacement of existing bridge and engineering costs for account closure.

**Location:** Potter Road, Ballard to Evanston-Elgin (Golf) Road in the Cities of Des Plaines and Park Ridge and Unincorporated Maine Township

**Section:** 85-W8140-01-RP

**County Board District:** 9, 17

**Centerline Mileage:** 1.9 miles

**Fiscal Impact:** \$13,600,000.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Board Approved Date and Amount:** 1/7/1985 \$2,300,000.00

**Increased Amount:** \$13,600,000.00

**Total Adjusted Amount:** \$15,900,000.00

**Summary:** As the design plans progressed, the project became increasingly complicated by involvement with the Cities of Des Plaines and Park Ridge, the Township of Maine, and the Illinois Department of Transportation. This coordination caused lengthy delays to the project due to numerous requests for design plan changes. Further, there were many issues to resolve including utility conflicts, parking accommodations and easements/right-of-way acquisition.

Subsequently, the project scope was expanded to extend the southern limit of the improvement from Ballard Road to Dempster Street (0.26 miles). Further, similar improvements were added along intersecting Ballard Road (0.32 miles) and along intersecting Emerson Street (0.13 miles). Further, a portion of the scheduled Greenwood Avenue detour route was determined to be in too poor condition to be safely utilized as a detour route. Thus, Greenwood Avenue was improved from Carol Street to Davis Street (0.45 miles) by milling and resurfacing the existing pavement, including full-depth patching with curb and gutter repairs.

Additional funding was required due to the increased length of the project (from 0.74 miles to a net length of 1.90 miles) and expansion of the improvement scope to include reconstruction of the adjoining sections of Ballard Road and Emerson Street, removal and replacement of the existing Potter Road bridge over Prairie Creek which required undercutting of the existing creek bed to provide a suitable foundation for the new box culvert, removal and replacement of existing drainage structures, removal and replacement of the traffic signals for three intersections, water main improvements for three separate agencies, removal of an unknown existing water main in conflict with proposed water main installation and the compensation of premium time for the Contractor to expedite completion due to utility conflicts, additional concrete pavement removal not originally included in the plans, additional temporary pavement needed for winter safety, additional earth excavation needed to properly construct the new pavement, additional trench backfill for the storm sewer, geotechnical fabric for ground stabilization to properly prepare the sub-grade for the proposed pavement, reconstruction of intersecting streets to the curb return, pavement markings, sidewalks, landscaping with tree plantings, detour routing including Greenwood Avenue improvements, additional construction engineering due to the expanded project scope and significantly higher construction costs due to the lapse in time from the 1985 Improvement Resolution approval to the 2008 contract letting date. This project was accepted following a final inspection on July 21, 2011 and this supplement is necessary for accounting cost closure.

**14-3157**

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE**

**PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**State of Illinois**

**Supplemental Resolution for Improvement by County  
Under the Illinois Highway Code**

**BE IT RESOLVED**, by the County Board of Commissioners of Cook County, Illinois, that the following described County Highway be improved under the Illinois Highway Code:

County Highway W81, Potter Road, beginning at a point near Ballard Road and extending along said route in a northerly direction to a point near Evanston-Elgin (Golf) Road, a distance of approximately 0.74 miles; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the type of improvement shall be reconstruction and widening of the existing two-lane pavement to four lanes with center bi-directional turn lane/median along Potter Road; and, reconstruction



of the adjoining sections of Ballard Road and Emerson Street; and, removal of the existing Potter Road bridge over Prairie Creek and replacement with a double 10 ft. x 6 ft. box culvert along with headwalls and wingwalls; and shall include removal and replacement of existing drainage structures, removal and replacement of the traffic signals for three intersections, reconstruction of intersecting streets to the curb return, pavement markings, right-of-way, sidewalks, landscaping with sodding and tree plantings, detour routing, construction staging, signing, traffic control and protection, engineering and other necessary highway appurtenances and shall be designated as Section: 85-W8140-01-RP MFT; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the improvement shall be constructed by contract; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that there is hereby appropriated the additional sum of Thirteen Million Six Hundred Thousand and NO/100 Dollars, (\$13,600,000.00) from the County's allotment of Motor Fuel Tax Funds for the construction of this improvement; and,

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Clerk is hereby directed to transmit two certified copies of this resolution to the District Office of the Illinois Department of Transportation.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Supplemental Improvement Resolution (Highway) be referred to the Roads and Bridges Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3321**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department(s):** Transportation and Highways

**Vendor:** Preform Traffic Control Systems, Ltd.in the Village of Elk Grove

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Pavement Markings Various Locations 2014-2015

**Original Contract Period:** 7/10/2012 - 7/9/2014

**Section No.:** 12-8PVMK-35-GM

**Proposed Contract Period Extension:** 7/10/2014 - 7/9/2015

**Section No.:** 14-8PVMK-36-GM

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** \$2,335,700.00

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 7/10/2012, \$2,335,700.00

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**This Increase Requested:** \$1,167,850.00

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** FY 2014 \$1,167,850.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Contract Number(s):** 12-90-094

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** This is a renewal and increase for a one (1) year period of Contract No. 12-90-094 between Cook County and Preform Traffic Control Systems, Ltd. of Elk Grove Village, Illinois. Contract No. 12-90-094, Pavement Markings, consists of furnishing and installing various types of paint and preformed plastic pavement markings to maintain center line, edge line, lane line and other incidental traffic control markings on various roads in Cook County. These maintenance services are necessary for the Department to maintain pavement markings on the roadway network to ensure public safety and traffic control.

Contract No. 12-90-094 expires on 7/9/2014 and includes a provision allowing for the extension and increase of the contract for three (3) additional, one (1) year periods.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Contract Amendment (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3354**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED CHANGE IN PLANS AND EXTRA WORK (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Other Part(ies):** Path Construction Company, Arlington Heights, Illinois

**Action:** Refer to the Committee of Roads and Bridges.

**Section:** 12-W4612-06-BR

**Contract Number(s):** 1355-12834

**Location:** Kedzie Ave. Bridge over N.I.R.C. near 141st Street in the Village of Robbins and City of Blue Island  
Location of project

**Board District:** 5

**Good(s) or Service(s):** A new item is required for bar splicers to connect the reinforcement steel between stage 1 to stage 2 of bridge joint replacement

**Fiscal Impact:** \$203,532.40 increase

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (Account 600-600)

**Summary:**

This change represents the difference between the estimated quantities and actual field quantities of work performed with additional quantities required for additional engineer's field office due to the extension of the contract, additional concrete superstructure due to deeper existing concrete diaphragms and additional structural repair of concrete (depth equal or less than 5") required after inspection of the structure found additional unsound concrete. The quantities as shown on the contract documents were estimated for bidding purposes only.

A new item is required for bar splicers to connect the reinforcement steel between stage 1 to stage 2 of bridge joint replacement.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Change in Plans and Extra Work (Highway) be referred to the Roads and Bridges Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3366**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED CONTRACT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department(s):** Transportation and Highways

**Vendor:** Preform Traffic Control Systems, Ltd. of Elk Grove Village, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract.

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Striping Intersections and Crosswalks

**Location:** Various Locations throughout Cook County

**Section:** 14-8STIC-35-GM

**Contract Value:** \$1,987,400.00

**Contract period:** 6/30/2014 - 6/29/2016 with three (3) one (1) year renewal options.

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** Fiscal Impact \$1,987,400.00

**Accounts:** Motor Fuel Tax Fund Account 600-585

**Contract Number(s):** 1428-13407

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** This contract consists of furnishing and installing various types of paint, thermoplastic, and modified urethane pavement and median markings, and raised reflective pavement markers and replacement markers to maintain striping for intersections and crosswalks on various roads in Cook County. These maintenance services are necessary for the Department to maintain striping for intersections and crosswalks on the roadway network to ensure public safety and traffic control. Preform Traffic Control Systems, Ltd. of Elk Grove Village, Illinois is the lowest, responsible and responsive bidder

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3475**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED CONTRACT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department(s):** Transportation and Highways

**Vendor:** Plote Construction, Inc., Hoffman Estates, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract.

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Repaving and resurfacing improvement

**Location:** Group 2 - 2014 Edens Expressway Frontage Roads West, Oakton Street to Mango Avenue, Mango Avenue to Central Avenue, Central Avenue to Linder Avenue, Section: 13-26339-01-RS, Edens Expressway Frontage Road East, Parkside Avenue to Central Avenue in the Village Morton Grove.

**Board Districts:** 13

**Section:** 13-26339-01-RS 13-26339-02-RS

**Contract Value:** \$1,069,378.25

**Contract period:** 7/25/2014 - 10/31/2014

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** \$1,069,378.25

**Accounts:** 71820010

**Contract Number(s):** 1428-13529

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer Concurs

**Summary:** This contract consists of the rehabilitation and resurfacing of existing pavement, curb and gutter improvements, sidewalk ADA improvement and minor drainage improvements. Plote Construction, Inc. of Hoffman Estates, Illinois is the lowest, responsible and responsive bidder.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3623**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department(s):** Various Cook County Agencies

**Vendor:** Automotive Tech Center, Village of Oak Park, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to Increase contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Automobile Maintenance and Repair for Zone Two (2)

**Original Contract Period:** 11/1/2012 - 10/30/~~2014~~2015

**Proposed Contract Period Extension:** N/A

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** \$438,100.00

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 10/16/2012, \$289,100.00

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** 4/3/2014, \$149,000.00

**This Increase Requested:** \$100,000.00

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** FY 2014 \$41,180.00, FY 2015 \$58,820.00

**Accounts:** Various 444 Accounts

**Contract Number(s):** 11-53-185B

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

**Summary:** Contract Number 11-53-185B was awarded through the competitive bid process in accordance with

the Cook County Procurement Code. This increase will allow for a continuation of services which provide automobile maintenance and repair for Cook County-owned vehicles in Zone Two (2).

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract Amendment (Highway) be approved as amended. The motion carried.**

**14-3624**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department(s):** Various Cook County Agencies

**Vendor:** Auto Experts of Oak Park in the Village of Oak Park, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to increase contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Automobile Maintenance and Repair for Cook County Zone Three (3)

**Original Contract Period:** 11/1/2012 - 10/30/2015

**Proposed Contract Period Extension:** N/A

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** \$339,100.00

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 10/16/2012, \$289,100.00

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** 5/24/2014,\$50,000.00

**This Increase Requested:** \$160,000.00

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** FY 2014 \$65,880.00, FY 2015 \$94,120.00

**Accounts:** Various 444 Accounts

**Contract Number(s):** 11-53-185C

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** Contract Number 11-53-185C was awarded through the competitive bid process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. This increase will allow for a continuation of services which provide automobile maintenance and repair for Cook County-owned vehicles in Zone Three (3).

---

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Contract Amendment (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

**14-3625**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department(s):** Various Cook County Agencies

**Vendor:** Gordon's Auto Repair, in the City of Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to increase contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Automobile Maintenance and Repair for Zone Four (4)

**Original Contract Period:** 7/15/2012 - 7/14/2015

**Proposed Contract Period Extension:** N/A

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** \$318,003.00

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 7/10/2012, \$258,003.00

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** 5/27/2014, \$60,000.00

**This Increase Requested:** \$259,000.00

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** FY 2014 \$129,500.00, FY 2015 \$129,500.00

**Accounts:** Various 444 Accounts

**Contract Number(s):** 11-53-185D

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** Contract Number 11-53-185D was awarded through the competitive bid process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. This increase will allow for a continuation of services which provide automobile maintenance and repair for Cook County-owned vehicles in Zone four (4).

---

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract Amendment (Highway) be approved. The motion carried.

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department(s):** Various Cook County Agencies

**Vendor:** Gordon's Automotive Repair, ~~in the Village of Alsip~~ Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to increase contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Automobile Maintenance and Repair for Zone Five (5) E

**Original Contract Period:** 7/15/2012 - 7/14/2015

**Proposed Contract Period Extension:** N/A

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** \$312,075.00

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 7/10/2012 for \$312,075.00

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**This Increase Requested:** \$210,000.00

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** FY 2014 \$105,000.00, FY 2015 \$105,000.00

**Accounts:** Various 444 Accounts

**Contract Number(s):** 11-53-185E

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

**Summary:** Contract Number 11-53-185E was awarded through the competitive bid process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. This increase will allow for a continuation of services which provide automobile maintenance and repair for Cook County-owned vehicles in Zone Five (5).

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract Amendment (Highway) be approved as amended. The motion carried.**

**14-3649**

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**REQUEST TO AMEND A PREVIOUSLY APPROVED ITEM**



**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Request:** Requesting authorization for the Cook County Board of Commissioners to approve as amended

**Item Number:** 14-2526

**Previously Approved Date:** 5/21/2014

The amendment is indicated by the underscored and stricken language.

This letter of correction amends a previous Maintenance Resolution communication approved by your Honorable Board on 5/21/2014 as Board Item 14-2526. The previous communication listed the amount as ~~\$100,000.00~~ and should have correctly listed the amount as \$175,000.00.

**Presented by:** JOHN YONAN, P.E., Superintendent, Department of Transportation and Highways

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION, MAINTENANCE (TRANSPORTATION AND HIGHWAYS)**

**Department:** Transportation and Highways

**Request:** Approval

**Type of Project:** Motor Fuel Tax Project Transportation and Highways Maintenance Resolution appropriating funds for the purchase of furnishing 2,080 tons of bituminous hot patch materials in County Maintenance District 5.

**Section:** 14-HBIT5-01-GM

**Maintenance District(s):** 5

**County Board District(s):** 4, 5, 6

**Fiscal Impact:** ~~\$100,000.00~~ \$175,000.00

**Account(s):** Motor Fuel Tax Fund (600-585 Account)

**Summary:** This improvement, as proposed, will consist of preparing and furnishing 2,080 tons of bituminous hot patch materials to County maintenance forces in Maintenance District 5. This Maintenance resolution will appropriate funds for the purchase of bituminous hot patch materials for use in southeastern County Highway maintenance operations for the two-year period ending 7/9/2016

These materials are needed to enable the Department to protect the public investment in the highway system and provide a safe, efficient and sustainable highway.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Amendment to a Previously Approved Item be approved. The motion carried.**

**BUREAU OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**  
**DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND ZONING**

**14-3108**

**Presented by:** TIMOTHY P. BLEUHER, Commissioner, Department of Building and Zoning

**PROPOSED REDUCED FEE PERMIT**

**Department:** Building and Zoning

**Other Part(ies):** Forest Preserves of Cook County

**Request:** Approval of a fee waiver request

**County District:** 17

**Township:** Palos

**Property:** 9900 S. 104th Avenue, Willow Springs, Illinois

**Permit Number:** 132165

**Description:** Site Work at Farm Pond Trail outside Little Red School House Nature Center

**Original Permit Fee:** \$6,455.58

**Percent Waived:** 10

**Amount Waived and Fiscal Impact:** \$645.56

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Reduced Fee Permit be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3212**

**Presented by:** TIMOTHY P. BLEUHER, Commissioner, Department of Building and Zoning

**PROPOSED REDUCED FEE PERMIT**

**Department:** Building and Zoning

**Other Part(ies):** Forest Preserves of Cook County

**Request:** Approval of a fee waiver request

**County District:** 9

**Township:** Leyden

**Property:** Indian Boundary Golf Course, 8600 W. Forest Preserve Drive, Chicago, Illinois

**Permit Number:** 140172

**Description:** Roof Maintenance Building

**Original Permit Fee:** \$2,205.00

**Percent Waived:** 5

**Amount Waived and Fiscal Impact:** \$110.25

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Reduced Fee Permit be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3400**

**Presented by:** TIMOTHY P. BLEUHER, Commissioner, Department of Building and Zoning

**PROPOSED REDUCED FEE PERMIT**

**Department:** Building and Zoning

**Other Part(ies):** Forest Preserves of Cook County

**Request:** Approval of a fee waiver request

**County District:** 15

**Township:** Elk Grove

**Property:** Northwest Resource Management HQ, 640 Cosman Road., Elk Grove Village, Illinois

**Permit Number:** 140109

**Description:** Renovation of Storage Barn

**Original Permit Fee:** \$6,077.01

**Percent Waived:** 5

**Amount Waived and Fiscal Impact:** \$303.85

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Reduced Fee Permit be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-2753**

**Presented by:** JOHN COOKE, Director, Office of Capital Planning and Policy

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT (CHANGE ORDER)**

**Department(s):** Office of Capital Planning and Policy

**Vendor:** The Lombard Company, Alsip, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to increase contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Construction Services

**Original Contract Period:** 145 days after Notice to Proceed (NTP) - 9/20/2012 - 2/12/2013

**Proposed Contract Period Extension:** N/A

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** \$3,490,891.00

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 7/24/2012, \$3,170,000.00

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** (4/17/2013, \$199,402.00 - Extended contract to 6/13/2013 - Change Order 3)

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** (11/27/2012, \$38,673.00 - Change Order 1) 2012, (03/26/2013 \$82,816.00 - Change Order 2)

**This Increase Requested:** \$32,617.00

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** FY 2014 \$32,617.00

**Accounts:** County Physical Plant - 20000

**Contract Number(s):** 12-18-306

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** The Contractor for this project will provide all construction services required for Change Order 4, which includes relocation of the existing fire department hose cabinet, additional exit signage, installation of a medical gas zone shut-off valve box, additional fixtures for the Radiology and Lab Tech staff, and power back-up for the patient management system equipment.

This work is required in order to address life safety issues, comply with the current building code standards, and to meet the operational requirements of the space for the hospital staff.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Change Order be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3015**

**Presented by:** JOHN COOKE, Director, Office of Capital Planning and Policy

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Office of Capital Planning and Policy

**Vendor:** Nagle Hartray Architecture Limited, Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Architectural and Engineering Services

**Contract Value:** \$640,142.64

**Contract period:** 7/7/2014 - 9/22/2016

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014 \$640,142.64

**Accounts:** 7000 - County Building, 118 N. Clark Street

**Contract Number(s):** 1455-13398

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

**Summary:** The Recorder of Deeds (approx. 48,500 sq.ft.) occupies partial floors from the basement to 3rd floor in the County Building. The 1st level Basement has a history of water infiltration issue presented by constant dampness on East and South exterior foundation walls underneath the public sidewalks, and concrete structural assembly shows possible moisture related spalling and delamination at various locations throughout.

It is the Office of Capital Planning and Policy's intent to identify inefficiency in functional operation and space allocation through this contract for professional architectural & engineering service. This may result in consolidation of Recorder of Deeds to achieve more efficient space utilization, assess and remediate water infiltration, address and prevent further deterioration of structural elements, installation of new fire protection system within the area of contract. Request for Proposals (RFP) were solicited through a vendor pool selected by a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) process in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3584**

**Presented by:** JOHN COOKE, Director, Office of Capital Planning and Policy; ~~SHANNON E. ANDREWS,~~  
Chief Procurement Officer

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President and JOHN P. DALEY, ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, EDWIN REYES, PETER N. SILVESTRI, ROBERT STEELE and JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, Cook County Board Commissioners

**PROPOSED ORDINANCE**

**THE COOK COUNTY BUILDING ENERGY BENCHMARKING ORDINANCE**

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Part I General Ordinances, Chapter 2 Administration, Article I In General, Section 2-8 Cook County Building Energy Benchmarking, is hereby enacted as follows:

**Section 2-8. Cook County Building Energy Benchmarking.**

(a) *Definitions.* The following terms used in this section, shall have the meanings set forth below:

*Benchmarking* means to track and input a building's energy consumption data and other relevant building information for twelve (12) consecutive months, as required by the benchmarking tool, to quantify the building's energy use.

*Benchmarking Tool* means the website-based software, commonly known as "ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager," developed and maintained by the United States Environmental Protection Agency to track and assess the relative energy use of buildings nationwide. This term also applies to any successor system thereto, including any change or addition made to such tool by the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

*Building* means any structure built for the support, shelter or enclosure of persons, animals, chattels, or movable property of any kind, and which is permanently affixed to the land. A fence is not a building. For purposes of this section, a building is one that is owned and operated by or on behalf of Cook County.

*Certificate of Occupancy* means the certificate issued by the Zoning Administrator, Building Commissioner, or Cook County Department of Building and Zoning allowing building occupancy or use.

*Chief Sustainability Officer* means the Chief Sustainability Officer or if none has been designated, the Director of Environmental Control.

*County Building* means any Group 1 County building or Group 2 County building as defined by this section. The term "County building" does not include any building with more than 10 percent occupancy use classified as open air assembly units, storage units, or parking structures.

*Director* means the Director of the Cook County Office of Capital Planning and Policy.

*Energy* means electricity, natural gas, fuel oil, steam, or other product sold by a utility for use in a building, or renewable on-site electricity generation, for purposes of powering or fueling end uses in a building.

*Energy Manager* means the Cook County Energy Manager.

*Energy Performance Score* means the 1 to 100 numerical score produced by the Benchmarking Tool, also known as ENERGY STAR score, or any successor score thereto. The energy performance score assesses a building's energy performance relative to similar buildings, based on source energy use, operating characteristics, and geographical location.

*Group 1 County Building* means any building or group of buildings owned and operated by, or on behalf of, Cook County or for which the County regularly pays all of the annual utility bills, containing at least 250,000 gross square feet, as identified by the Director.

*Group 2 County Building* means any building or group of buildings owned and operated by or on behalf of Cook County or for which the County regularly pays all of the annual utility bills, containing at least 35,000 but less than 250,000 gross square feet, as identified by the Director.

*Gross Square Feet* means the total number of square feet measured between the exterior surfaces of the enclosing fixed walls of a building. The term Gross Square Feet includes vent shafts, elevator shafts, flues, pipe shafts, vertical ducts, stairwells, light wells, basement space, mechanical or electrical rooms, and interior parking.

(b) *Schedule.*

(1) By August 1, 2014, and by June 1st each year thereafter, the County Energy Manager shall benchmark all Group 1 County Buildings for the previous calendar year.

(2) By June 1, 2015, and by June 1st each year thereafter, the County Energy Manager shall benchmark all Group 2 County Buildings for the previous calendar year.

(c) *Verification.*

(1) The Director shall verify all benchmarking information for each building.

(2) Benchmarking information shall include at least the following:

(i) Building address;

(ii) Energy use intensity (EUI);

(iii) Annual greenhouse gas emissions; and

(iv) The Energy Performance Score that compares the energy use of the building to that of similar buildings, where available.

(3) As of June 2016, Benchmarking shall also include Water Use data.

(d) *Reporting and Disclosure.*

(1) The Director and the Chief Sustainability Officer shall prepare and submit an annual report to the President of the Cook County Board of Commissioners reviewing and evaluating energy and water efficiency in County buildings, including the most recent reported Energy Benchmarking Information that compares the energy and water use of the building to that of similar buildings, where available, the actual energy and water use of each covered building, and the greenhouse gas emissions associated with the energy use. Said report shall also list any building that the Director exempts from the benchmarking requirement with an explanation, pursuant to subsection (e) below.

(2) The Director and the Chief Sustainability Officer are authorized to make reported benchmarking information readily available to the public.

(e) *Exemptions.* The Director may exempt from the benchmarking requirement any building for which any of the following apply:

(1) The building had average physical occupancy of less than 50 percent throughout the calendar year for which benchmarking is required; or

(2) The building is new construction and the building's certificate of occupancy was issued during the calendar year for which benchmarking is required.

Secs. 2-89-2.40. Reserved.

**Effective date:** This Ordinance Amendment shall be in effect immediately upon passage and approval.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Ordinance be referred as amended to the Environmental Control Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3613**

**Presented by:** JOHN COOKE, Director, Office of Capital Planning and Policy

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Office of Capital Planning and Policy

**Vendor:** NORESCO, LLC, Des Plaines, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Engineering Services

**Contract Value:** \$300,000.00

**Contract period:** 7/1/2014 - 12/31/2014

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014 \$300,000.00

**Accounts:** 20000 - County Physical Plant

**Contract Number(s):** 1328-13107

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Office concurs

**Summary:** The focus of this project is to provide a comprehensive investment grade audit at the County corporate complex buildings (118 N Clark and 69 W Washington) and Provident Hospital.

The Investment Grade Audit (IGA) is the first phase of a Guaranteed Energy Savings Performance Contract and the final report will include a specific set of recommended energy conservation measures (ECMs) that will be incorporated into performance contract for installation and implementation. The ECMs will save a minimum of 20% of energy usage at all the facilities and address the repair or replacement of failing critical infrastructure and building automation systems. Request for Proposal (RFP) procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**



**14-3614**

**Presented by:** JOHN COOKE, Director, Office of Capital Planning and Policy

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Office of Capital Planning and Policy

**Vendor:** NORESCO, Des Plaines, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Engineering Services

**Contract Value:** \$300,000.00

**Contract period:** 7/1/2014 - 12/31/2014

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014 \$300,000.00

**Accounts:** 20000 - County Physical Plant

**Contract Number(s):** 1323-13101

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

**Summary:** The focus of this project is to provide a comprehensive investment grade audit at four (4) suburban courthouses (Bridgeview, Skokie, Markham, and Rolling Meadows) and four (4) highway maintenance facilities (Districts 1, 2, 4, and 5).

The Investment Grade Audit (IGA) is the first phase of a Guaranteed Energy Savings Performance Contract and the final report will include a specific set of recommended energy conservation measures (ECMs) that will be incorporated into a performance contract for installation and implementation. The ECMs will save a minimum of 20% of energy usage at all the facilities and address the repair or replacement of failing critical infrastructure and building automation systems. Request for Proposal Procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**

**BUREAU OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**  
**DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**

**14-3552**

**Presented by:** HERMAN BREWER, Chief, Bureau of Economic Development

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President and ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, Cook County Board Commissioners

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION**

**#1 Rawls Road Properties, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST**

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b Temporary Emergency Economic Recovery Modification Program ("TEERM") that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Rawls Road Properties LLC and Resolution No. R-33-14 from the City of Des Plaines for an abandoned industrial facility located at 70 Rawls Road, Des Plaines, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 17, Permanent Index Number 09-19-301-021-0000; and

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS**, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value. Qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b TEERM can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

**WHEREAS**, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for the purpose of Class6b ; and

**WHEREAS**, in the case of abandonment of over 12 months and no purchase for value by a disinterested buyer, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS**, Class 6b TEERM requires a Resolution by the County Board validating the property is deemed abandoned for the purpose of Class 6b TEERM; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building has been abandoned for 18 months, at the time of application, with no purchase for value and that special circumstances are present; and

**WHEREAS**, the re-occupancy will create an estimated 10 to 15 full-time jobs; retained 20 full-time jobs and create 10-20 construction jobs; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Des Plaines states the Class 6b TEERM is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for over 24 months and there will be no purchase for value; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook,

that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 70 Rawls Road, Des Plaines, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b TEERM ; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Resolution be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development. The motion carried.**

**14-3555**

**Presented by:** HERMAN BREWER, Chief, Bureau of Economic Development

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION**

**46 MANNHEIM, LLC OR ASSIGNEE 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST**

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from 46 Mannheim, LLC and Resolution No. 13-63 from the Village of Bellwood for an abandoned industrial facility located at 46 Mannheim Road, Bellwood, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 1, Permanent Index Number 15-08-209-004-0000 and 15-08-209-005-0000; and

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS**, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

**WHEREAS**, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

**WHEREAS**, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS**, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for 18

months at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will retain three to ten (3-10) full-time jobs and five (5) construction jobs; and

**WHEREAS**, the Village of Bellwood states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for less than 24 months; will be purchased for value pending approval of the Class 6b; and is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 46 Mannheim Road, Bellwood, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Resolution be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development. The motion carried.**

**14-3557**

**Presented by:** HERMAN BREWER, Chief, Bureau of Economic Development

**REQUEST TO AMEND A PREVIOUSLY APPROVED ITEM**

**Department:** Department of Planning and Development

**Request:** Requesting authorization for the Cook County Board of Commissioners to approve as amended

**Item Number:** 14- 0710

**Previously Approved Date:** 2/19/2014

The amendment is indicated by the underscored and stricken language.

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 8 that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for a commercial facility; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Siam Marina Properties, LLC and Resolution No. 2013-R-003 from the Village Tinley Park for an abandoned commercial facility located at 16846 Oak Park Avenue, Tinley Park, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 6 17, and Permanent Index Number ~~28-30-107-001-0000~~ 28-30-107-011-0000 and 28-30-107-020-0000; and

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS**; industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 8 can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 8 will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

**WHEREAS**, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 8; and

**WHEREAS**, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS**, Class 8 requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for 17 months at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will create 8 full-time jobs and 7 part-time jobs; and

**WHEREAS**, the Village of Tinley Park states the Class 8 is necessary for development to occur and that special circumstances exist which include the subject property has been vacant for less than 24 months and there has been a purchase for value; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, State of Illinois, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 16846 Oak Park Avenue, Tinley Park, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 8; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Amendment to a Previously Approved Item be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3558**

**Presented by:** HERMAN BREWER, Chief, Bureau of Economic Development

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners and ELIZABETH "LIZ" DOODY GORMAN, Cook County Board Commissioners

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION**

**Rawls Road Properties, LLC # 2 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST**

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b Temporary Emergency Economic Recovery Modification Program (“TEERM”) that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Rawls Road Properties LLC and Resolution No. R-9014 from the City of Des Plaines for an abandoned industrial facility located at 111 Rawls Road, Des Plaines, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 17, Permanent Index 09-19-301-028-000; and.

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS**, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value. Qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b TEERM can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

**WHEREAS**, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for the purpose of Class 6b ; and

**WHEREAS**, in the case of abandonment of over 12 months and no purchase for value by a disinterested buyer, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS**, Class 6b TEERM requires a Resolution by the County Board validating the property is deemed abandoned for the purpose of Class 6b TEERM; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building has been abandoned for 13 months, at the time of application, with no purchase for value and that special circumstances are present; and

**WHEREAS**, the re-occupancy will create an estimated 17 new full-time jobs and one (1) part-time job; retain 17 full-time jobs and one (1) part-time jobs and 10-20 construction jobs; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Des Plaines states the Class 6b TEERM is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal Resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for over 24 months and there will be no purchase for value; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor’s Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County’s Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class6b incentive on the subject property.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 111 Rawls Road, Des Plaines, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b TEERM ; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified

copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Resolution be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development. The motion carried.**

**14-3579**

**Presented by:** MICHAEL JASSO, Director, Department of Planning and Development

**PROPOSED GRANT AWARD**

**Department:** Department of Planning and Development

**Grantee:** Cook County Bureau of Economic Development

**Grantor:** U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

**Request:** Authorization to accept grant

**Purpose:** To support various community development, homeless and social services, affordable housing, and economic development activities in suburban Cook County.

**Grant Amount:**

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG): \$9,742,667.00

Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG): \$756,420.00

HOME Investment Partnerships: \$4,460,614.00

**Grant Period:**

CDBG: 10/1/2014 - 9/30/2015

ESG: 10/1/2014 - 9/30/2015

HOME: 10/1/2014 - 9/30/2019

**Fiscal Impact:** N/A

**Accounts:** N/A

**Concurrences:**

The Budget Department has received all requisite documents and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any.

**Summary:** Transmitted herewith are the proposed funding sources and uses (including specific project funding recommendations as applicable) for the 2014 Program Year for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG); Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) (formerly known as the Emergency Shelter Grant); and HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) programs.

These funds are provided to Cook County via an annual formula grant through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and are restricted for use within suburban Cook County. The Cook County Community Development Advisory Council (CDAC) is expected to approve these recommendations at a public hearing which will be held on 6/10/2014.

The 2014 Program Year funding allocations are as follows:

CDBG:           \$9,742,667  
ESG:            \$756,420  
HOME:          \$4,460,614

CDBG funding may be utilized to support various community development activities for the benefit of low and moderate-income persons. Please see the attached summary of proposed uses including specific project recommendations.

ESG funding may be utilized to support various shelter and service activities for the benefit of homeless persons or persons at-risk of homelessness. Please see the attached summary of proposed uses including specific project recommendations.

HOME funding may be utilized to support affordable housing development activities for the benefit of low-income persons. Please see the attached summary of proposed uses. Given the cyclical nature of affordable housing development, specific project recommendations will continue to be submitted to the Board for consideration on a rolling basis throughout the year as required.

The proposed sources and uses of all program funds will be incorporated into the County’s Annual Action Plan, which will be made available for public comment prior to submittal to HUD by 8/15/2014.

I respectfully request approval of the recommended proposed funding sources, uses, and project recommendations as specified for the 2014 CDBG, ESG, and HOME funds; and that the Bureau Chief of Economic Development or his/her designee be authorized to execute, on behalf of the County of Cook any and all documents necessary to further the programs approval herein, including but not limited to, sub recipient agreements, intergovernmental agreements, amendments, and modifications thereto, loan documents, lien assignments, releases of mortgages and liens, and mortgage assumptions.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Grant Award be referred to the Finance Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3580  
RESOLUTION**

**Sponsored by**

**THE HONORABLE TONI PRECKWINKLE**

**PRESIDENT OF THE COOK COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS**

**ACCEPTING A GRANT FROM THE ILLINOIS HOUSING DEVELOPMENT  
AUTHORITY’S ABANDONED RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY  
MUNICIPAL RELIEF PROGRAM**

**WHEREAS**, Cook County (the “Sponsor”) has been awarded a grant (the “Grant”) in the amount of \$250,000.00 from the Illinois Housing Development Authority (the “Authority”), program administrator of the Abandoned Residential Property Municipal Relief Program (the “Program”), as that Program is authorized by Section 7.31 of the Illinois Housing Development Act, 20 ILCS 3805/1 et seq. and the rules promulgated under the Act codified at 47 Ill. Adm. Code 381, as may be amended from time to time.



**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Sponsor shall enter into the Agreement with the Authority wherein the Authority agrees to make the Grant to the Sponsor, which shall be used by the Sponsor to assist with the maintenance and demolition of abandoned properties within the Sponsor's area, all in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the President of the Sponsor or his or her designee and the County Clerk of the Sponsor are hereby authorized and empowered to execute and deliver in the name of or on behalf of the Sponsor the Agreement and any and all amendments, modifications and supplements thereto, and to execute and deliver such additional documents, instruments and certificates, as may be necessary or desirable for the Sponsor to perform its obligations under the Agreement; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the President of the Sponsor or his or her designee and the County Clerk be and hereby are authorized and directed to take such additional actions, to make further determinations, to pay such costs and to execute and deliver such additional instruments (including any amendments, Agreements or supplements) as he or she deems necessary or appropriate to carry into effect the foregoing Resolutions; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Sponsor hereby ratifies, authorizes and confirms and approves all documents and instruments executed.

Approved and adopted this 18th day of June 2014.

TONI PRECKWINKLE, President  
Cook County Board of Commissioners

Attest: DAVID ORR, County Clerk

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Resolution be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3581**

**Presented by:** MICHAEL JASSO, Director, Department of Planning and Development

**PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT AMENDMENT**

**Department:** Planning and Development

**Other Part(ies):** City of Chicago Heights

**Request:** Approval of an Amendment to the existing Joint Cooperation Agreement between Cook County and the City of Chicago Heights

**Goods or Services:** Since 1993, Cook County and Chicago Heights have agreed to cooperate in undertaking essential community development and housing assistance activities. Chicago Heights is a member of the Cook County Urban County, whereby Cook County undertakes activities benefiting Chicago Heights and its residents via federal grant resources from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

**Agreement Number:** N/A

**Agreement Period:** Original effective date of 6/22/1993, with automatic renewals every three years unless one party wishes to terminate.

**Fiscal Impact:** As a result of this agreement, additional HUD entitlement funding flows to Cook County via the annual HUD formula grants. The amount of funding varies each year.

**Accounts:** Not applicable

**Summary:** Transmitted herewith is an Amendment to the existing Joint Cooperation Agreement between Cook County and the City of Chicago Heights related to membership in Cook County's Urban County for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funding. The Joint Cooperation Agreement, originally executed in 1993, typically automatically renews every three years during the triennial Urban County requalification period that Cook County is required to undertake by HUD, the source of the DBG funding. For this cycle, HUD is requiring that new language be added to all cooperation agreements. This amendment adds this new required language to the existing agreement between Cook County and Chicago Heights. The Office of the State's Attorney has approved the Amendment as to form.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Intergovernmental Agreement Amendment be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development. The motion carried.**

**14-3681**

**Presented by:** HERMAN BREWER, Chief, Bureau of Economic Development

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, Cook County Board of Commissioners and JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI, Cook County Board Commissioners

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION**

**URANUS HOLDINGS, LLC 6B PROPERTY TAX INCENTIVE REQUEST**

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has adopted a Real Property Assessment Classification 6b that provides an applicant a reduction in the assessment level for an industrial facility; and

**WHEREAS**, the County Board of Commissioners has received and reviewed an application from Uranus Holdings, LLC and Resolution No. 14-R-1 from the Village of Berkeley for an abandoned industrial facility located at 5300 St. Charles Road, Berkeley, Cook County, Illinois, Cook County District 16, Permanent Index Number 15-08-100-014-0000; and

**WHEREAS**, Cook County has defined abandoned property as buildings and other structures that, after having been vacant and unused for at least 24 months, are purchased for value by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest; and

**WHEREAS**, industrial real estate is normally assessed at 25% of its market value, qualifying industrial real estate eligible for the Class 6b can receive a significant reduction in the level of assessment from the date that new construction or rehabilitation has been completed, or in the case of abandoned property from the date of substantial re-occupancy. Properties receiving Class 6b will be assessed at 10% of the market value for 10 years, 15% for the 11th year and 20% in the 12th year; and

**WHEREAS**, in the instance where the property does not meet the definition of abandoned property, the municipality or the Board of Commissioners, may determine that special circumstances justify finding that the property is abandoned for purpose of Class 6b; and

**WHEREAS**, in the case of abandonment of less than 24 months and purchase for value, by a purchaser in whom the seller has no direct financial interest, the County may determine that special circumstances justify finding the property is deemed abandoned; and

**WHEREAS**, Class 6b requires the validation by the County Board of the shortened period of qualifying abandonment in cases where the facility has been abandoned for less than 24 consecutive months upon purchase for value; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners has determined that the building was abandoned for 15 months at the time of application, and that special circumstances are present; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant estimates that the re-occupancy will create 65 full-time jobs and will retain 85 full-time jobs; and

**WHEREAS**, the Village of Berkeley states the Class 6b is necessary for development to occur on this specific real estate. The municipal resolution cites the special circumstances include that the property has been vacant for less than 24 months; there has been a purchase for value and the site is in need of substantial rehabilitation; and

**WHEREAS**, the applicant acknowledges that it must provide an affidavit to the Assessor's Office stipulating that it is in compliance with the County's Living Wage Ordinance prior to receiving the Class 6b incentive on the subject property.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the President and Board of Commissioners of the County of Cook, that the President and Board of Commissioners validate the property located at 5300 St. Charles Road, Berkeley, Cook County, Illinois, is deemed abandoned with special circumstances under the Class 6b; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the County Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Office of the Cook County Assessor.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Resolution be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development. The motion carried.**

**BUREAU OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**  
**REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT DIVISION**

**14-3539**

**Presented by:** ANNA ASHCRAFT, Director, Real Estate Management Division

**PROPOSED LEASE AGREEMENT**

**Department:** Real Estate Management

**Request:** Approval of a (New) Lease Agreement

**Landlord:** County of Cook

**Tenant:** State of Illinois, Department of Central Management Services

**Location:** George W. Dunne Cook County Office Building 69 West Washington, Suite 400, Chicago, Illinois

**Term/Extension Period:** 8/1/2014 - 7/31/2019, subject to completion of improvements

**Space Occupied:** 15,222 rentable square feet

**Monthly Rent:**

Lease Year	Base Rent (psf)	Annual Base Rent	Monthly Base Rent
1	\$16.50	\$251,163.00	\$20,930.25
2	\$17.00	\$258,774.00	\$21,564.50
3	\$17.51	\$266,537.28	\$22,211.44
4	\$18.04	\$274,604.88	\$22,883.74
5	\$18.58	\$282,824.76	\$23,568.73

**Option Period:**

6	\$19.14	\$291,349.08	\$24,279.09
7	\$19.71	\$300,025.68	\$25,002.14
8	\$20.30	\$309,006.60	\$25,750.55
9	\$20.91	\$318,292.08	\$26,524.34
10	\$21.54	\$327,881.88	\$27,323.49

**Fiscal Impact:** Revenue Generating

**Accounts:** N/A

**Option to Renew:** One five (5) year option (Lease Years six through ten)

**Termination:** By either party with 180 days prior written notice.

**Utilities Included:** Heat, air conditioning and water included. Tenant pays for electric metered to Premises.

**Summary/Notes:**

**Operating Expenses:** In addition to Base Rent, Tenant shall pay its proportionate share of operating expenses for the building, currently about \$8.96 per square foot or \$136,389.00 annually.

**Improvement Allowance:** The County is providing an allowance of \$25.00 per rentable square foot (\$380,550.00) toward improvements to the Premises. Tenant will be responsible for the cost of any other improvements to the Premises.

The 4th floor has been used for temporary County needs since HIDTA vacated the space in November 2012. Staff on the floor will be relocated to their permanent space making this space available for leasing.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Lease Agreement be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3540**

**Presented by:** ANNA ASHCRAFT, Director, Real Estate Management Division

**PROPOSED LEASE AGREEMENT**

**Department:** Real Estate Management

**Request:** Approval of a (New) Lease Agreement

**Landlord:** County of Cook

**Tenant:** State of Illinois, Department of Central Management Services

**Location:** George W. Dunne Cook County Office Building 69 West Washington, Suite 401, Chicago, Illinois

**Term/Extension Period:** 8/1/2014 - 7/31/2019, subject to completion of improvements

**Space Occupied:** 13,034 rentable square feet

**Monthly Rent:**

Lease Year	Base Rent (psf)	Annual Base Rent	Monthly Base Rent
1	\$16.50	\$215,061.00	\$17,921.75
2	\$17.00	\$227,577.96	\$18,464.83
3	\$17.51	\$228,225.36	\$19,018.78
4	\$18.04	\$235,133.40	\$19,594.45
5	\$18.58	\$242,171.76	\$20,180.98

**Option Period:**

6	\$19.14	\$249,470.76	\$20,789.23
7	\$19.71	\$256,900.20	\$21,408.35
8	\$20.30	\$264,590.16	\$22,049.18
9	\$20.91	\$272,541.00	\$22,711.75
10	\$21.54	\$280,752.36	\$23,396.03

**Fiscal Impact:** Revenue Generating

**Accounts:** N/A

**Option to Renew:** One five (5) year option (Lease Years six through ten)

**Termination:** By either party with 180 days prior written notice

**Utilities Included:** Heat, air conditioning and water included. Tenant pays for electric metered to Premises

**Summary/Notes:**

**Operating Expenses:** In addition to Base Rent, Tenant shall pay its proportionate share of operating expenses for the building, currently about \$8.96 per square foot or \$116,785.00 annually.

**Improvement Allowance:** The County is providing an allowance of \$25.00 per rentable square foot (\$325,850) toward improvements to the premises. Tenant will be responsible for the cost of any other improvements to the Premises.

The 4th floor has been used for temporary County needs since HIDTA vacated the space in November 2012. Staff on the floor will be relocated to their permanent space making this space available for leasing.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Lease Agreement be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3541**

**Presented by:** ANNA ASHCRAFT, Director, Real Estate Management Division

**PROPOSED LICENSE AGREEMENT (INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT)**

**Department:** Real Estate Management

**Licensor:** County of Cook

**Licensee:** State of Illinois Department of Central Management Services

**Using Agency:** State of Illinois Military Affairs Division

**Request:** Approval of an Intergovernmental Agreement for License

**Location:** Oak Forest Hospital Campus

**Agreement Period:** An initial term of 364 days, with two options to renew for six months each

**Termination:** Either party may terminate on 30 days' notice after the initial 364 day term

**Space Occupied:** Approximately 220,000 square feet

**Fiscal Impact:** Revenue Generating

**License Fee:** \$6,333.00 monthly, for a total of \$75,996 for the initial term

**Accounts:** N/A

**Summary:** The State of Illinois has requested temporary use of approximately 220,000 square feet of the existing vacant land and paved parking area at the Oak Forest Health Center. This interim requirement is needed to facilitate relocation of various types of military vehicles and equipment from the State's storage facility in Robbins, Illinois during construction work and repaving. The licensed areas are not needed for parking for the County's use of the campus.

The State shall be responsible for ordinary maintenance and repair within the licensed area, and will restore the licensed areas at the end of the term to the condition existing prior to the State's use.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this License Agreement (IGA) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3544**

**Presented by:** ANNA ASHCRAFT, Director, Real Estate Management Division

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Real Estate Management

**Vendor:** MB Real Estate Services Inc., Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Property Management Services for Records Center and other Properties

**Contract Value:** \$150,000.00

**Contract period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2017 with two (2) one (1) renewal options

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY2014, \$20,834.00; FY2015, \$50,000.00; FY2016, \$50,000.00; FY2017, \$29,166.00

**Accounts:** 529-260

**Contract Number(s):** 1388-13091

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** Competitive request for proposal procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. An RFP was solicited on 10/30/2013 for Property Management Services. An evaluation committee reviewed proposals and selected MB Real Estate Services Inc., based upon established evaluation criteria.

MB Real Estate Services Inc. will develop operating and capital budgets for approval and all building expenses will be funded by the County and paid by MB Real Estate Services Inc.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Contract be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Real Estate and Business and Economic Development. The motion carried.**

**DEPARTMENT OF FACILITIES MANAGEMENT**

**14-3502**

**Presented by:** JOHN COOKE, Interim Director, Department of Facilities Management

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Facilities Management

**Vendor:** Valdes, LLC, Glenview, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Purchase of Freon/Refrigerant

**Contract Value:** \$497,630.00

**Contract period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2016 with one (1) one (1) year renewal option

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014, \$103,672.98; FY 2015, \$248,814.96; FY2016, \$145,142.06.

**Accounts:** 200-333

**Contract Number(s):** 1435-13491

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer Concurs

**Summary:** This contract is for the purchase of Freon for various DFM Facilities. Formal competitive bid procedures were followed in accordance with Cook County's Procurement Code. Valdes, LLC of Glenview, Illinois was the lowest, responsible and responsive bidder.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3521**

**Presented by:** JOHN COOKE, Interim Director, Department of Facilities Management

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Facilities Management

**Vendor:** Christy Webber & Company, Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Landscaping services

**Contract Value:** \$1,446,839.25

**Contract period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2016

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014 \$360,920.00, FY 2015 \$725,000.25, FY2016 \$360,919.00

**Accounts:** 200-235, 891-235, 897-235

**Contract Number(s):** 1484-13343



**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** This contract is to provide Cook County Department of Facilities Management with comprehensive landscaping services for various Cook County facilities including Stroger Hospital complex and Highway facilities. Competitive bidding procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Christy Webber & Company was the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY AND EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT**

**14-3553**

**Presented by:** MICHAEL MASTERS, Executive Director, Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

**PROPOSED ORDINANCE AMENDMENT**

**AUTHORIZATION TO ENTER INTO MEMORANDA OF UNDERSTANDING AND VARIOUS AGREEMENTS**

**BE IT ORDAINED**, by the Cook County Board of Commissioners, that Chapter 26 Emergency Management and Services, Section 26-35 of the Cook County Code of the Cook County Code is hereby amended as follows:

**Sec. 26-35. Agreements with other political subdivisions and nongovernmental organizations.**

(a) Mutual Aid, Intergovernmental or Interagency Agreements. The Executive Director of DHSEM may negotiate Mutual Aid Agreements and Intergovernmental or Interagency Agreements with other political subdivisions and taxing districts of the State as well as agreements with nongovernmental organizations, provided such agreements are consistent with the State Emergency Operations Plan and emergency management program, ~~but no such agreement shall be effective until it has been approved by the County Board.~~ Negotiated Mutual Aid Agreements, Intergovernmental Agreements or Interagency Agreements shall be approved by the County Board in advance of execution by the Executive Director. The Executive Director shall be authorized to enter into Memoranda of Understanding, setting forth the agreed roles of the parties thereto with respect to preparedness and response activities within Cook County without further approval by the Board.

(b) Agreements and/or Memoranda of Understanding Related to Training and Curriculum Development. The Executive Director or his/her designee is authorized to negotiate and execute agreements or Memoranda of Understanding ("MOU") with other political subdivisions or governmental units, nonprofit entities, and volunteer groups, and private parties for the purpose of procuring and providing training and curriculum development for first responder agencies and other stakeholders throughout Cook County, as appropriated, and including provisions providing indemnification. Said agreements or MOUs shall not require additional authorization from the County Board when limited to the purpose of procuring and/or providing training and/or curriculum development for first responder agencies and other stakeholders.

(c)Agreements and/or Memoranda of Understanding to Advance Additional Partnership Opportunities. In order to facilitate and advance additional partnership opportunities to effectuate effective and efficient emergency response and coordination activities, the Executive Director shall be authorized to negotiate and execute MOUs or agreements with other political subdivisions, governmental units, nonprofit entities, volunteer groups and private parties, setting forth the agreed roles of the parties thereto with respect to preparedness and response activities within Cook County, without further approval by the County Board. These agreements or MOUs shall not bind Cook County to indemnification provisions, nor shall such MOUs obligate Cook County to make any direct payments to a third party. Said agreements or MOUs may not require a separate Mutual Aid Agreement, Intergovernmental Agreement or Interagency Agreements; however, should the agreement or MOU require the County to indemnify the other party, County Board authorization will be required in advance of execution.

(d) The Executive Director shall further maintain a record of all agreements or MOUs entered into pursuant to the authority afforded in subsection (b) and (c) and make available to the President or the Cook County Board of Commissioners a quarterly report listing the various agreements or MOUs entered into pursuant to this authority at their request.

**Effective date:** This Ordinance Amendment shall be in effect immediately upon adoption.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Ordinance Amendment be referred to the Homeland Security and Emergency Management Committee. The motion Carried.**

**14-3602**

**Presented by:** MICHAEL MASTERS, Executive Director, Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management

**PROPOSED CONTRACT (VEHICLE PURCHASE)**

**Department(s):** Homeland Security and Emergency Management

**Vendor:** Tri-Angle Fabrication and Body Company, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Chevrolet Emergency Response Vehicles

**Contract Value:** \$454,465.00

**Contract period:** 6/27/2014 -6/26/2015 with two (2) one (1) year renewal options

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014, \$245,000.00; FY 2015, \$209,465.00

**Accounts:** 717- 549

**Contract Number(s):** 1450-13267

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

The Vehicle Steering Committee concurs with this recommendation.

**Summary:** The Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management is requesting approval for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into Contract #1450-13267 for the purchase of Chevrolet emergency response vehicles. Competitive bidding procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. Tri-Angle Fabrication and Body Company, Inc. was the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Contract (Vehicle Purchase) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3607**

**Presented by:** MICHAEL MASTERS, Executive Director, Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT**

**Department(s):** Homeland Security and Emergency of the Circuit Court Management, Cook County Sheriff's Office, Transportation and Highways, Cook County Clerk's Office

**Vendor:** Acorn Garage, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Provide maintenance, repairs, parts and labors for buses and heavy duty trucks in Zone 1 for various Cook County agencies

**Original Contract Period:** 5/7/2012 - 5/6/2014 with two (2) one year extensions

**Proposed Contract Period Extension:** 5/7/2014 - 5/6/2015

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** \$384,155.00

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 5/1/2012, \$384,155.00

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**This Increase Requested:** \$608,000.00

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** FY 2014 \$308,000.00, Grant Funded Amount: \$300,000.00

**Accounts:** 769-444

**Contract Number(s):** 11-53-130A

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** The Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management, Cook County Sheriff's Office, Department of Transportation and Highways and the Cook County Clerk's of the Circuit Court Office is requesting for the Chief Procurement Officer to exercise the first of two renewal options for this Countywide contract with Acorn Garage, Inc. for maintenance, repairs, parts and labor services for buses and heavy duty trucks.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Contract Amendment be approved as amended. The motion carried.**

**BUREAU OF HUMAN RESOURCES**

**14-3444**

**Presented by:** TRACEY LADNER, Chief, Bureau of Human Resources

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Bureau of Human Resources

**Vendor:** Jackson Lewis, P.C. Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Legal Consultation and Representation

**Contract Value:** \$453,705.00

**Contract period:** 6/18/2014 - 5/15/2015

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014 \$453,705.00

**Accounts:** 490-261

**Contract Number(s):** 13-90-048

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

**Summary:** The Bureau of Human Resources seeks to engage legal services for labor and employment representation from Jackson Lewis P.C. This request is based on Cook County's need for a unique ability possessed by the attorneys at Jackson Lewis, P.C. These attorneys have been an integral part of the current collective bargaining negotiations since 2012. This contract would allow for a continuation of expertise and services.

---

A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Moore, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.

Commissioner Daley voted “present” on the above item.

**14-3597**

**Presented by:** LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller; TRACEY LADNER, Chief, Bureau of Human Resources

**REPORT**

**Department:** Bureau of Human Resources

**Request:** Receive and File

**Report Title:** Human Resources Biweekly Activity Reports for Pay Periods 8, 9 and 10

**Report Period:** Pay Period 8: 3/23/2014 - 4/5/14, Pay Period 9: 4/6/2014 - 4/19/2014 and Pay Period 10: 4/20/2014 - 5/3/2014.

**Summary:** Submitting the Human Resources Activity Reports covering the pay periods listed above.

---

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Report be received and filed. The motion carried.

**BUREAU OF TECHNOLOGY**  
**CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER**

**14-3062**

**Presented by:** SIMONA ROLLINSON, Chief Information Officer, Bureau of Technology

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT (TECHNOLOGY)**

**Department(s):** Bureau of Technology

**Vendor:** AVAYA, Inc., Fairfax, Virginia

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to extend and increase contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Telecommunication products, professional services, and maintenance services

**Current Contract Period:** 8/1/2008 - 7/31/2014

**Proposed Contract Extension Period:** 8/1/2014 - 7/31/2015

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** \$13,568,500.48

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 7/22/2008, \$9,100,000.00

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** 4/20/2011 (\$0 increase; one-year extension); 7/24/2012 (\$4,468,500.48 increase; two-year extension)

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**This Increase Requested:** \$2,566,575.04

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** FY 2014: \$1,009,143.04; FY 2015: \$1,557,432

**Accounts:** 490/220; 499/220; 717/441

**Contract Number(s):** 08-41-333

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprises Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** Under the proposed contract extension, Cook County would procure the maintenance for 89 telecommunication sites and support ongoing telephone equipment needs. Well-functioning telecommunications equipment is vital to the critical operations of the County such as Public Safety, Law Enforcement, Health & Hospital Systems and Emergency Management. If approved, this extension would ensure continuity of telecommunications operations and help prevent major service disruptions. The Bureau of Technology plans to work with the Office of the Chief Procurement Officer to identify procurement options for long term telecommunication solutions.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract Amendment (Technology) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3063**

**Presented by:** SIMONA ROLLINSON, Chief Information Officer, Bureau of Technology

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT (TECHNOLOGY)**

**Department(s):** Bureau of Technology

**Vendor:** Spinnaker Support, LLC, Denver, Colorado

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to extend and increase contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Payroll tax software patch and JD Edwards ("JDE") maintenance

**Current Contract Period:** 6/19/2013 - 6/30/2014

**Proposed Contract Extension Period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2015; with no extension option.

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** \$452,273.00

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 6/19/2013, \$390,000.00

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** 6/2/2014 (\$62,273.00 increase; no extension)

**This Increase Requested:** \$327,044.00

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** FY 2014: \$186,475.00, FY 2015: \$140,569.00

**Accounts:** 009-441

**Contract Number(s):** 1318-12771

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprises Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

**Summary:** The Bureau of Technology seeks to extend the following services from Spinnaker: (a) a payroll tax software patch through 12/31/2014; (b) maintenance services for the County's JD Edwards World A7.3 Payroll module through 12/31/2014; and (c) maintenance services for the County's JD Edwards OneWorld Financials module through 6/30/2015.

The County seeks to extend the payroll tax software patch and payroll maintenance through 12/31/2014. By that time, the County plans to have migrated the payroll module Denovo's current cloud-based JDE version, which will eliminate the need for both Spinnaker's software patch and payroll maintenance.

The County seeks to extend Spinnaker's JD Edwards OneWorld Financials maintenance services through 6/30/2015. Within the next year, the Bureau of Technology plans to work with the Office of the Chief Procurement Officer to issue an RFP and award a competitively bid contract for JD Edwards OneWorld maintenance services.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract Amendment (Technology) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3560**

**Presented by:** SIMONA ROLLINSON, Chief Information Officer, Bureau of Technology

**PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT**

**Department:** Cook County Bureau of Technology

**Other Part(ies):** State of Illinois' Central Management Services, Springfield, Illinois

**Request:** BOT respectfully requests that the County Board authorize the entering into and execution of an intergovernmental agreement with the State of Illinois Central Management Services

**Goods or Services:** An indefeasible right of use to eight dark fiber optic cable strands extending from approximately I-57 and South Halsted St. to 350 E. Cermak Rd.

**Agreement Number(s):** N/A

**Agreement Period:** 6/18/2014 - 6/17/2034

**Fiscal Impact:** \$182,544.00 {[FY2014: \$40,944.00 (715-579 Account)] [FY2015: \$7,080.00; FY2016: \$7,080.00; FY2017: \$7,080.00; FY2018: \$7,080.00; FY2019: \$7,080.00; FY2020: \$7,080.00; FY2021: \$7,080.00; FY2022: \$7,080.00; FY2023: \$7,080.00; FY2024: \$7,080.00; FY2025: \$7,080.00; FY2026: \$7,080.00; FY2027: \$7,080.00; FY2028: \$7,080.00; FY2029: \$7,080.00; FY2030: \$7,080.00; FY2031: \$7,080.00; FY2032: \$7,080.00; FY2033: \$7,080.00; FY2034: \$7,080.00 (499-220 Account)]}

**Accounts:** 715-579; 499-220

**Summary:** Under the IGA, Cook County will receive a 20-year IRU for eight strands of dark fiber optic cable from the Illinois Century Network, which the State of Illinois' Central Management Services manages. The dark fiber will run from approximately I-57 and South Halsted Street to 350 E. Cermak Road in Chicago. These fibers will enable the County to take the next step in creating a high-speed 10 Gigabit broadband network connecting Oak Forest Health Clinic and Markham Courthouse to the County's downtown campus. In exchange, the County will pay CMS a one-time upfront fee of \$40,944 and an annual maintenance fee of \$7,080 in each year of the 20-year agreement. Additionally, Cook County will pay its proportionate share of any non-routine maintenance and repair costs, along with CMS and other entities that hold IRUs in the same fiber path.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Intergovernmental Agreement be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3561**

**Presented by:** SIMONA ROLLINSON, Chief Information Officer, Bureau of Technology

**PROPOSED INTERGOVERNMENTAL AGREEMENT**

**Department:** Cook County Bureau of Technology

**Other Part(ies):** City of Chicago and other members of the Chicago Utility Alert Network Membership Agreement

**Request:** BOT respectfully requests that the County Board authorize the Bureau of Technology's Chief Information Officer to execute an application for Cook County to participate in the Chicago Utility Alert Network.

**Goods or Services:** Membership in the Chicago Utility Alert Network (CUAN) for public notification and alerting services for the County's underground broadband fibers and other entities' utility lines

**Agreement Number(s):** N/A

**Agreement Period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2015 and annual renewals as appropriate

**Fiscal Impact:** \$4,000.00

**Accounts:** 499-220

**Summary:** If authorized to do so, the County's Chief Information Officer will execute an application that will



allow the County to enter into the Chicago Utility Alert Network (CUAN) Membership Agreement, more commonly known as DIGGER. The DIGGER network is the City of Chicago's equivalent of JULIE (the statewide underground facility notification service). As with JULIE elsewhere in the State, excavators and public safety officials in Chicago use DIGGER as a comprehensive record of underground facilities in Chicago's public way and private property. This system also notifies all members of planned and emergency immediate excavations. Since Cook County now owns and operates underground fiber optic cables as part of its broadband initiative, the County seeks to enter into the CUAN/DIGGER agreement. Allowing the County's CIO to execute the CUAN/DIGGER agreement will allow the County to follow the State of Illinois' model in registering governmental underground broadband fibers in Chicago. Doing so will also enable the public to be alerted to the existence of the County's underground fiber, will protect the County's investment in its broadband network, and will decrease the likelihood of accident associated with unregistered underground cables.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Fritchey, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Intergovernmental Agreement be approved. The motion carried.**

**OFFICE OF THE CHIEF JUDGE**  
**JUVENILE TEMPORARY DETENTION CENTER**

**14-3474**

**Presented by:** EARL L. DUNLAP, Transitional Administrator, Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

**Vendor:** Finer Foods, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Dry and Canned Goods

**Contract Value:** \$2,123,686.20

**Contract period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2016 with two (2) one (1) year renewal options.

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014, \$442,642.96; FY 2015, \$1,062,343.08; FY 2016, \$618,700.16

**Accounts:** 440-310

**Contract Number(s):** 1453-13340

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer Concurr

**Summary:** The current contract for Dry and Canned Goods will expire on 6/30/2014. Formal competitive bid procedures were followed in accordance with Cook County's Procurement Code. On 3/14/2014, the Chief Procurement Officer solicited bids. Finer Foods was the lowest responsive and responsible bidder meeting the

solicitation requirements.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Collins, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3476**

**Presented by:** EARL L. DUNLAP, Transitional Administrator, Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

**Vendor:** Black Dog, Corporation, Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Milk Products

**Contract Value:** \$352,255.00

**Contract period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2016 with two (2) one (1) year renewal options

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014, \$73,386.45; FY 2015, \$176,127.48; FY 2016, \$102,741.07

**Accounts:** 440-310

**Contract Number(s):** 1453-13382

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** The current contract for Milk Products will expire on 6/30/2014. Formal competitive bid procedures were followed in accordance with Cook County's Procurement Code. On 3/14/2014, the Chief Procurement Officer solicited bids. Black Dog Corporation was the lowest responsive and responsible bidder meeting the solicitation requirements.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Collins, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3477**

**Presented by:** EARL L. DUNLAP, Transitional Administrator, Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

**Vendor:** Finer Foods, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Frozen Food Products

**Contract Value:** \$845,532.15

**Contract period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2016 with two (2) one (1) year renewal options.

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014 \$176,152.53 FY 2015 \$422,766.12 FY2016 \$246,613.50

**Accounts:** 440-310

**Contract Number(s):** 1453-13381

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** The current contract for Frozen Food Products will expire on 6/30/2014. Formal competitive bid procedures were followed in accordance with Cook County's Procurement Code. On 3/14/2014, the Chief Procurement Officer solicited bids. Finer Foods was the lowest responsive and responsible bidder meeting the solicitation requirements

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Collins, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3478**

**Presented by:** EARL L. DUNLAP, Transitional Administrator, Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

**Vendor:** Finer Foods, Inc., Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Fresh Produce Products

**Contract Value:** \$221,898.50

**Contract period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2016 with two (2) one (1) year renewal options

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014, \$46,228.85; FY 2015, \$110,949.26; FY2016 \$64,720.39

**Accounts:** 440-310

**Contract Number(s):** 1453-13378

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** The current contract for Fresh Produce Products will expire on 6/30/2014. Formal competitive bid procedures were followed in accordance with Cook County's Procurement Code. On 3/14/2014, the Chief Procurement Officer solicited bids. Finer Foods was the lowest responsive and responsible bidder meeting the solicitation requirements.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Collins, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3482**

**Presented by:** EARL L. DUNLAP, Transitional Administrator, Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

**Vendor:** Black Dog, Corporation, Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Bread and Pastry Goods

**Contract Value:** \$388,123.04

**Contract period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2016 with two (2) one (1) year renewal options

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014, \$80,858.11; FY 2015, \$194,062.40; FY 2016, \$113,202.53

**Accounts:** 440-310

**Contract Number(s):** 1453-13339

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** The current contract for Bread and Pastry Goods will expire on 06/30/2014. Formal competitive bid procedures were followed in accordance with Cook County's Procurement Code. On 3/14/2014, the Chief

Procurement Officer solicited bids. Black Dog Corporation was the lowest responsive and responsible bidder meeting the solicitation requirements.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Collins, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3483**

**Presented by:** EARL L. DUNLAP, Transitional Administrator, Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Juvenile Temporary Detention Center

**Vendor:** Black Dog, Corporation, Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Fruit Juices

**Contract Value:** \$379,817.08

**Contract period:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2016 with two (2) one (1) year renewal options

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014 \$79,128.59 FY 2015 \$189,908.52, FY 2016 \$110,779.97

**Accounts:** 440-310

**Contract Number(s):** 1453-13367

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** The current contract for Fruit Juices will expire on 6/30/2014. Formal competitive bid procedures were followed in accordance with Cook County's Procurement Code. On 3/14/2014, the Chief Procurement Officer solicited bids. Black Dog, Corporation was the lowest responsive and responsible bidder meeting the solicitation requirements.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Collins, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**

**CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT**

**14-3523**

**Presented by:** DOROTHY BROWN, Clerk of the Circuit Court

**REPORT**

**Department:** Clerk of the Circuit Court

**Request:** Transmitting a Communication

**Report Title:** Independent Auditor's Report of the Financial Statements of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County

**Report Period:** For the Fiscal Year That Ended 11/30/2013

**Summary:** submitting herewith is the Independent Auditor's Report of the Financial Statements of the Office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County for the year that ended 11/30/2013.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.**

**PUBLIC DEFENDER**

**14-1655**

**Presented by:** ABISHI C. CUNNINGHAM, JR., Public Defender of Cook County

**PROPOSED GRANT AWARD**

**Department:** Public Defender

**Grantee:** Public Defender

**Grantor:** Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority

**Request:** Authorization to accept grant

**Purpose:** To acquire three (3) social workers or social service/community outreach workers to work with youth that are processed through the Cook County Juvenile Court system each year. Staff will target this highly vulnerable and educationally disengaged population by assessing our client's needs and strengths, or advocate for services while a youth is under supervision or probation. In addition, Staff will help gather information such as mental health history, family situation, educational engagement, current services being received, services needed, or any other relevant information. This information along with testimony or other documentation from the social workers would be used to support arguments regarding programming and services verses detainment, mitigation to combat transfer to adult court, or alternative solutions to violating a youth's probation.

In essence, the social workers would act as advocates for the youth as they move through their supervision, reengaging youth in school, connecting them to needed services, and finding potential alternative placements when a youth is having a crisis at home. All of these efforts would help to keep a youth from violating probation while also giving them the support they need to complete their supervision and achieve positive outcomes in the future.

**Grant Amount:** \$387,212.00

**Grant Period:** 7/1/2014 - 9/30/2016

**Fiscal Impact:** N/A

**Accounts:** N/A

**Concurrences:**

The Budget Department has received all requisite documents and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any.

**Summary:** To acquire three (3) social workers or social service/community outreach workers to work with youth that are processed through the Cook County Juvenile Court system each year. Staff will target this highly vulnerable and educationally disengaged population by assessing our client's needs and strengths, or advocate for services while a youth is under supervision or probation. In addition, Staff will help gather information such as mental health history, family situation, educational engagement, current services being received, services needed, or any other relevant information. This information along with testimony or other documentation from the social workers would be used to support arguments regarding programming and services versus detainment, mitigation to combat transfer to adult court, or alternative solutions to violating a youth's probation.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Collins, seconded by Commissioner Goslin, that this Grant Award be approved. The motion carried.**

**RECORDER OF DEEDS**

**14-3559**

**Presented by:** KAREN A. YARBROUGH, Recorder of Deeds

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Recorder of Deeds

**Vendor:** Property Info-REI Data, Inc. Houston, Texas

**Request:** Authorization for the ~~Chief Procurement Officer~~ to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** CCRD maintains a public search website allowing the Purchaser access to certain document images filed with the Recorder and to data from the bulk database index information system.

**Contract Value:** None. Revenue Generating

**Contract period:** 8/1/2014 - 7/31/2017

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** \$600,000.00 Annual Revenue, FY 2014, \$550,000.00; FY 2015, \$600,000.00; FY2016, \$600,000.00; FY 2017, \$400,000.00

**Accounts:** Recorder Revenue Fee; General Fund Revenue

**Contract Number(s):** N/A

**Summary:** The Purchaser will have access to copies of data for a bulk purchase and the Purchaser will deposit funds with Recorder of Deeds for fees in advance of receiving the Data requested. The company previously paid the County \$500,000/year for this access. The ordinances renew the agreement for 3 years at a new flat rate of \$600,000/year. Total anticipated revenue is \$2.150 million over the life of the contract including revenue received to date.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Contract be approved as amended. The motion carried.**

**OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF**  
**DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**

**14-2751**

**Presented by:** THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT**

**Department(s):** The Cook County Department of Corrections

**Vendor:** Keefe Commissary Network, LLC, St. Louis, Missouri

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Commissary Management Services

**Original Contract Period:** 8/1/2013- 7/31/2014

**Proposed Contract Period Extension:** 8/1/2014-7/31/2015

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** None. Revenue Generating

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 7/31/2013

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** NONE

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**This Increase Requested:** N/A

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** N/A

**Accounts:** 239-235

**Contract Number(s):** 13-53-063

**Concurrences:**



The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

**Summary:** A Request for Proposal (RFP) process was followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. On 3/8/2013, an RFP was solicited for inmate commissary services for the Cook County Department of Corrections (CCDOC). Keefe Commissary Network, LLC was selected to provide commissary management services to the CCDOC, because they were deemed the most responsive to the needs of the CCDOC.

This contract was awarded for a one (1) year term with two (2) one (1) year renewal options. The Cook County Department of Corrections is exercising the first renewal option.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-2880**

**Presented by:** THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT**

**Department(s):** Cook County Department of Corrections (DOC)

**Vendor:** Progressive Industries Inc., Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Canvas Deck Shoes

**Original Contract Period:** 8/15/2012 - 8/14/2014

**Proposed Contract Period Extension:** 8/15/2014 - 8/14/2015

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** \$443,816.00

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 7/24/2012

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** 3/31/2014 \$ 149,000.00

**This Increase Requested:** \$147,408.00

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** FY 2014 \$ 49,136.00 and FY 2015 \$98,272.00

**Accounts:** 239-320

**Contract Number(s):** 12-45-205

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** The Cook County Sheriff's Office is exercising the first renewal option for Contract # 12-45-205. The request is for the continued purchase of canvas deck shoes for the Cook County Department of Corrections.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that this Contract Amendment be withdrawn. The motion carried.**

**OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF**  
**FISCAL ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT SERVICES**

**14-2536**

**Presented by:** THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT**

**Department(s):** Cook County Sheriff

**Vendor:** Wright Express Financial Services, Portland, Maine

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Motor vehicle fuel card purchases

**Original Contract Period:** 7/1/2013 - 6/30/2014

**Proposed Contract Period Extension:** 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2015

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** \$4,750,000.00

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 6/19/2013, \$4,750,000.00

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**This Increase Requested:** \$4,750,000.00

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** FY 2014 \$600,000.00, FY 2015 \$4,150,000.00

**Accounts:** 499-445

**Contract Number(s):** 1390-12720

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** Contract Number 1390-12720 was awarded in 2013 through the use of a Comparable Government Procurement agreement through a State of Illinois contract, pursuant to section 34-140 of the Cook County Procurement Code. This request to renew will increase and extend this contract to provide for fuel card purchases for the Cook County Sheriff's Office and other County offices.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-2562**

**Presented by:** THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

**PROPOSED CONTRACT (VEHICLE PURCHASE)**

**Department(s):** Cook County Sheriff

**Vendor:** BCR Automotive Group LLC, d/b/a Roesch Ford, Bensenville, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Heavy Duty Tow Trucks

**Contract Value:** \$352,072.00

**Contract period:** 6/25/2014 - 6/24/2016

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** \$352,072.00

**Accounts:** 717/214-549

**Contract Number(s):** 1411-13432

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

The Vehicle Steering Committee concurs with this recommendation.

**Summary:** Competitive bidding procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. On 4/30/2014 bids were solicited for the purchase of three (3) heavy duty tow trucks. BCR Automotive Group LLC, d/b/a/ Roesch Ford was the lowest, responsive and responsible bidder meeting the solicitation requirements.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract (Vehicle Purchase) be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-2563**

**Presented by:** THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

**PROPOSED CONTRACT (VEHICLE PURCHASE)**

**Department(s):** Cook County Sheriff

**Vendor:** Sutton Ford, Inc., Matteson, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Ford Transit Wagons, Model X2Z (Model Year 2015)

**Contract Value:** \$251,346.60

**Contract period:** 6/25/2014 through 6/24/2015

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** \$251,346.60

**Accounts:** 717/214-549

**Contract Number(s):** 1411-13443

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

The Vehicle Steering Committee concurs with this recommendation.

**Summary:** Competitive bidding procedures were followed in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code. On 5/6/2014 bids were solicited for Contract No. 1411-13443, for the purchase of nine (9) Model Year 2015 Ford Transit Wagons Model X2Z.

Sutton Ford, Inc., was the lowest responsive and responsible bidder and is recommended for award.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract (Vehicle Purchase) be approved as amended. The motion carried.**

**14-3241**

**Presented by:** THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT**

**Department(s):** Chicago HIDTA

**Vendor:** Kurt F. Schmid, Palos Park, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to renew and increase contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** The Executive Director for the Chicago HIDTA

**Original Contract Period:** 7/24/2012 - 7/23/2013

**Proposed Contract Period Extension:** 7/24/2014 - 7/23/2015

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** \$344,400.00

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 7/24/2012 \$172,200.00

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** 7/24/2013 - 7/23/2014, \$172,200.00

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**This Increase Requested:** \$172,200.00

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** FY 2014 \$71,750.00, FY 2015 \$100,450.00

**Accounts:** 655-260

**Contract Number(s):** 12-45-280

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** Cook County Sheriff's - Chicago High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA) is exercising the second renewal option for Consulting Services, Executive Director for HIDTA.

The Executive Board of the Chicago HIDTA is charged with selecting an individual to serve as the Executive Director. The Executive Board is comprised of agency heads from the various federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies participating in the HIDTA program. Due to Mr Schmid's extensive law enforcement background and familiarity with the HIDTA program, and having served as the National HIDTA Director with the Office of National Drug Control and Policy, he was selected by the Executive Board to serve as the Executive Director for this Program.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Gorman, that this Contract Amendment be approved. The motion carried.**

**OFFICE OF THE STATE'S ATTORNEY CIVIL ACTIONS BUREAU**

**14-3203**

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney, Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

**PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING**

**Department:** State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

**Request:** Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

**Case Name:** Charles Sheppard v. CCHHS, et al.

Case Number: 14 C 2664

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation . The motion carried.**

**14-3394**

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney, Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

**PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING**

**Department:** State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

**Request:** Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

**Case Name:** Troy Rush v. Thomas Dart, et al.

Case Number: 13 C 8849

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation . The motion carried.**

**14-3395**

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney, Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

**PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING**

**Department:** State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

**Request:** Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

**Case Name:** Rico Adams v. County of Cook

Case Number: 13 L 11844

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.**

**14-3413**

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney, Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

**PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING**

**Department:** State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

**Request:** Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

**Case Name:** Mary Ellen Zydowsky v. Murphy, et al.

**Case Number:** 14 L 4561

---

<b>REFERRED TO THE FINANCE SUBCOMMITTEE ON LITIGATION</b>
---

**14-3456**

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney, Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

**PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING**

**Department:** State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

**Request:** Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

**Case Name:** Melissa Sue Banys v. Officer Koustopangos

**Case Number:** 14 C 1645

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.**

**14-3484**

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney, Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

**PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING**

**Department:** State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

**Request:** Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

**Case Name:** Anthony McGee v. Tom Dart, et al.

**Case Number:** 14 C 3495

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.**

**14-3490**

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney, Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

**PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING**

**Department:** State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

**Request:** Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

**Case Name:** Cesar Maldonado v. Officer Garcia, et al.

**Case Number:** 13 C 8981

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.**

**14-3578**

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney, Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

**PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING**

**Department:** State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

**Request:** Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

**Case Name:** Kimberly Flanagan v. Office of the Chief Judge, et al.

**Case Number:** 11 C 8849

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.**

**14-3586**

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney, Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

**PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING**

**Department:** State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

**Request:** Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

**Case Name:** Ronald Johnson v. Tom Dart, et al.

**Case Number:** 14 C 2550

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.**

**14-3587**

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney, Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

**PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING**

**Department:** State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau



**Request:** Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

**Case Name:** Tyshawn Carter v. Cook County Sheriff

**Case Number:** 14 L 935

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.**

**14-3588**

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney, Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

**PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING**

**Department:** State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

**Request:** Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

**Case Name:** Aaron Roundtree v. Cook County, et al.

**Case Number:** 13 C 5679

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.**

**14-3589**

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney, Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

**PROPOSED LITIGATION PENDING**

**Department:** State's Attorney's Office, Civil Actions Bureau

**Request:** Refer to the Board and/or the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation

**Case Name:** Keewon Taylor v. Sgt. Harris, et al.

**Case Number:** 13 L 11233

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Litigation Pending be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.**

**14-3601**

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney, Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT**

**Department(s):** Office of the State's Attorney, Office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court, Department of

Revenue

**Vendor:** Penn Credit Corporation, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to extend contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Debt Collection Services

**Original Contract Period:** 8/1/2011 - 7/31/2014

**Proposed Contract Period Extension:** 8/1/2014 - 7/31/2015

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** Revenue Generating (17.5% contingency fee, 25% if litigated)

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 7/27/2011

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**This Increase Requested:** N/A

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** N/A

**Accounts:** N/A

**Contract Number(s):** 10-50-1072A

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** This contract was approved by the Cook County Board of Commissioners on 7/27/2011 and expires on 7/31/2014. The extension will allow for the complete contract negotiation, project planning, and transition (if necessary) of the new Countywide Debt Collection Vendors selected pursuant to a Request for Proposal currently under review for release. The extension will provide time for the using agencies to have continuation of service, especially for existing placed accounts in the collection process cycle, including the litigation process through the Department of Administrative Hearings. The time period for extension would be from 8/1/2014 through 7/31/2015.

Neither the amended contract nor the extension prevents the County or Board from exercising an earlier termination period.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Contract Amendment be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.**

**14-3605**

**Presented by:** DANIEL F. GALLAGHER, Deputy State's Attorney, Chief, Civil Actions Bureau

**PROPOSED CONTRACT AMENDMENT**

**Department(s):** Office of the State’s Attorney, Office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court, Department of Revenue

**Vendor:** Harris & Harris, Ltd., Chicago, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to extend contract

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Debt Collection Services

**Original Contract Period:** 8/1/2011 - 7/31/2014

**Proposed Contract Period Extension:** 8/1/2014 - 7/31/2015

**Total Current Contract Amount Authority:** Revenue generating (17.25% contingency fee)

**Original Approval (Board or Procurement):** 7/27/2011

**Previous Board Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**Previous Chief Procurement Officer Increase(s) or Extension(s):** N/A

**This Increase Requested:** N/A

**Potential Fiscal Impact:** N/A

**Accounts:** N/A

**Contract Number(s):** 10-50-1072B

**Concurrences:**

The vendor has met the Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs

**Summary:** This contract was approved by the Cook County Board of Commissioners on 7/27/2011 and expires on 7/31/2014. The extension will allow for the complete contract negotiation, project planning, and transition (if necessary) of the new Countywide Debt Collection Vendors selected pursuant to a Request for Proposal currently under review for release. The extension will provide time for the using agencies to have continuation of service, especially for existing placed accounts in the collection process cycle, including the litigation process through the Department of Administrative Hearings. The time period for extension would be from 8/1/2014 through 7/31/2015.

Neither the amended contract nor the extension prevents the County or Board from exercising an earlier termination period.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Tobolski, that this Contract Amendment be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Litigation. The motion carried.**

**NEW ITEMS**

**In accordance with Cook County Code Section 2-107(z)(1) Amendment or suspension of rules, Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, moved to suspend Section 2-107(h)(1) Prior notice to public; agendas. The motion carried unanimously.**

**JUSTICE ADVISORY COUNCIL**

**14-2958**

**Presented by:** JULIANA STRATTON, Executive Director, Justice Advisory Council

**PROPOSED GRANT AWARD**

**Department:** Cook County Justice Advisory Council

**Grantee:** Cook County Justice Advisory Council

**Grantor:** Illinois Department of Natural Resources

**Request:** Authorization to accept grant

**Purpose:** The purpose of the grant is to support summer employment opportunities for high-risk and court-involved suburban youth of Cook County.

**Grant Amount:** \$566,400.00

**Grant Period:** 6/1/2014 - 9/30/2014

**Fiscal Impact:** \$150,000.00

**Accounts:** 499-298

**Concurrences:**

The Budget Department has received all requisite documents and determined the fiscal impact on Cook County, if any.

**Summary:** The Cook County Justice Advisory Council (JAC) seeks to sub-contract with the South-Southwest Suburban United Way to employ 194 at-risk youth, with a specific focus on the recruitment and employment of court-involved and youth on probation. The JAC will target youth of suburban Cook County, with recruitment strategies specifically targeting youth from the most disadvantaged municipalities. In accordance with the grant requirements, we will employ youth between the ages of 14 to 18 years of age for youth positions and 19 years and older for youth supervisors. There will be 174 youth worker positions at a rate of \$9/hour, and 20 supervisor positions (one supervisor for every ten youth workers) at \$12/hour. All youth staff employed under this grant will complete 320 hours of conservation or recreational work over the course of 60 days of summer employment beginning in June 2014 through August 2014. The Illinois Department of Natural Resources will cover all of the

youth wages in the amount of \$566,400, the remaining program costs will be covered by County Match funds in the amount of \$150,000.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Collins, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Grant Award be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3092**

**Presented by:** JULIANA STRATTON, Executive Director, Justice Advisory Council

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Department(s):** Cook County Justice Advisory Council

**Vendor:** South Southwest Suburban United Way, Matteson, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** The Cook County Justice Advisory Council (JAC) wishes to sub-contract with South-Southwest Suburban United Way to employ 194 at-risk youth, with a specific focus on the recruitment and employment of court-involved and youth on probation. South Southwest Suburban United Way will provide the administrative supports to train and hire youth employees, track their work hours, and appropriately compensate for summer youth employment.

**Contract Value:** \$716,400.00

**Contract period:** 6/1/2014 - 8/30/2014

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014 \$150,000.00

**Accounts:** 784-260

**Contract Number(s):** 1490-13727

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

**Summary:** The Cook County Justice Advisory Council (JAC) seeks to sub-contract with South-Southwest to employ 194 at-risk youth, with a specific focus on the recruitment and employment of court-involved and youth on probation. The JAC will target youth of suburban Cook County, with recruitment strategies specifically targeting youth from the most disadvantaged municipalities. In accordance with the grant requirements, we will employ youth between the ages of 14 to 18 years of age for youth positions and 19 years and older for youth supervisors. There will be 174 youth worker positions at a rate of \$9/hour, and 20 supervisor positions (one supervisor for every ten youth workers) at \$12/hour. All youth staff employed under this grant will complete 320 hours of conservation or recreational work over the course of 60 days of summer employment beginning in June 2014 through August 2014

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Collins, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Contract be approved. The motion carried.**

**14-3744**

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

**PROPOSED APPOINTMENT**

**Appointee(s):** Todd Connor

**Position:** Member

**Department/Board/Commission:** Cook County Commission on Human Rights

**Effective date:** Immediate

**Expiration date:** 7/23/2016, or until a successor is appointed

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Appointment be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3745**

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

**PROPOSED APPOINTMENT**

**Appointee(s):** Nilda Soler

**Position:** Commissioner

**Department/Board/Commission:** Housing Authority of Cook County Board of Commissioners

**Effective date:** Immediate

**Expiration date:** 7/23/2019, or until a successor is appointed

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Appointment be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3748**

**Sponsored by:** LARRY SUFFREDIN, County Commissioner

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION**

**REQUESTING A HEARING OF THE LEGISLATION AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS COMMITTEE TO DISCUSS PENDING LEGISLATION BEFORE THE ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY AND THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS**

**WHEREAS**, the Illinois General Assembly and the United States Congress are currently considering numerous bills that effect the services and operation of Cook County; and

**WHEREAS**, the County's intergovernmental relations staff and hired lobbyists are actively monitoring the legislation that effects Cook County's services and operations; and

**WHEREAS**, the Cook County Board of Commissioners wishes to be updated on the status of certain bills pending before the Illinois General Assembly and the United States Congress so that it may take positions and communicate such positions to the legislation bodies on the relevant proposed legislation.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners does hereby request that a meeting of the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee be convened to discuss the status of pending legislation in the Illinois General Assembly and the United States Congress; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the intergovernmental relations staff and all hired lobbyists of the President of the Cook County Board of Commissioners appear before the Committee and be prepared to update the Committee on legislation pending before the General Assembly and the United States Congress that affects Cook County's services and operations.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Suffredin, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Resolution be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3764**

**Sponsored by:** BRIDGET GAINER, County Commissioner

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION**

**RESOLUTION URGING THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS TO OPPOSE CUTS IN FUNDING TO THE FEDERAL FOOD AND NUTRITION PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES**

**WHEREAS**, more than 15 percent of Illinoisans struggle to provide enough food for their families and more than 22 percent of Illinoisans do not have consistent access to adequate food; and

**WHEREAS**, Illinois ranks 24th in the nation for childhood hunger and 21 percent of children live in poverty; and

**WHEREAS**, there are children in every county of the State of Illinois who suffer from a lack of adequate food and nutrition; and

**WHEREAS**, no child deserves to go without food and underfed children suffer from increased risk of chronic diseases, increased rates of behavioral problems, decreased academic achievement, and long-term social and economic impacts; and

**WHEREAS**, 95,000 participate in the summer meals program; and

**WHEREAS**, 32 percent of low-income families find themselves without enough food in summer months; and 43 percent of low-income families find it hard to make ends meet in the summer months; and low-income families spend an additional \$300 per month in the summer months to provide food for their families; and

**WHEREAS**, 5,427,555 summer meals were provided through the Summer Food Service Program and Seamless Summer Option, and these meals were distributed through 2,238 sites, including 1,601 sites in Cook County, of which only 905 were open to the community and did not require enrollment; and

**WHEREAS**, Summer Food Service Program sites are an ideal model for summer food delivery and provide on-site adult supervision and enrichment activities for children; however, more SFSP sites are needed that are open to the community; and

**WHEREAS**, Summer Food Service Programs should be encouraged to operate as open sites that are readily available to the community so that all children can access healthy, nutritious meals during the summer; and

**WHEREAS**, eligible providers should be encouraged to participate in the Child and Adult Care Food Program Afterschool Meals Program; and

**WHEREAS**, it should be optimal for schools participating in the School Breakfast Program to utilize alternative delivery models, such as Breakfast in the Classroom, Grab ‘n’ Go, and Second Chance Breakfast, to provide breakfast after the bell to all students at no cost to students.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Commissioners of the Cook County Board do hereby urge Congress to develop a 2015 Child Nutrition Reauthorization that protects and strengthens the federal food and nutrition safety net for children and families, including School Breakfasts and Summer Meals and that we urge legislators on Capitol Hill to oppose cuts in funding to federal food and nutrition programs for children and families through the annual federal budget process or any other measures; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that suitable copies of this Resolution be delivered to the members of the Illinois Congressional delegation, the President of the United States and the United States Secretary of Agriculture.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Tobolski, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Resolution be referred to the Legislation and Intergovernmental Relations Committee. The motion carried.**

**BUREAU OF FINANCE**  
**OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COMPTROLLER**

**14-3701**

**Presented by:** IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance; LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

**REPORT**

**Department:** Bureau of Finance, Office of the County Comptroller



**Request:** Refer to Audit Committee

**Report Title:** Cook County Comprehensive Financial Report (CAFR) for the year ended 11/30/2013

**Report Period:** 12/1/2012 - 11/30/2013

**Summary:** Annual audit of Cook County's financial statements prepared by the Office of the Cook County Comptroller and audited by McGladrey LLP in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the USA.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3702**

**Presented by:** IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance; LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

**REPORT**

**Department:** Bureau of Finance, Office of the County Comptroller

**Request:** Refer to Audit Committee

**Report Title:** Cook County Illinois Report to the County President, Board of Commissioners and the Audit Committee, 5/30/2014

**Report Period:** 12/1/2012 - 11/30/2013

**Summary:** This report, presented by McGladrey LLP, is intended solely for the County President, Board of Commissioners and the Audit Committee and summarizes certain matters required by professional standards to be communicated to them in their oversight responsibility for the County's financial reporting process.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3703**

**Presented by:** IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance; LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

**REPORT**

**Department:** Bureau of Finance, Office of the County Comptroller

**Request:** Refer to Audit Committee

**Report Title:** Cook County Illinois Report on Federal Awards (In accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996, and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133) for the Fiscal Year ended 11/30/2013

**Report Period:** 12/1/2012 - 11/30/2013

**Summary:** Annual audit, performed by Washington, Pittman & McKeever, LLC, designed to meet the needs of all federal grantor agencies is performed in accordance with the Single Audit Act of 19984, as amended in 1996, and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3704**

**Presented by:** IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance; LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

**REPORT**

**Department:** Bureau of Finance, Office of the County Comptroller

**Request:** Refer to Audit Committee

**Report Title:** Cook County Health and Hospitals System of Illinois (An Enterprise Fund of Cook County Illinois), Financial Report, 11/30/2013

**Report Period:** 12/1/2012 - 11/30/2013

**Summary:** This is the annual audit of CCHHS, for the year ended 11/30/2013, performed by McGladrey LLP in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the USA.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3705**

**Presented by:** IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance; LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

**REPORT**

**Department:** Bureau of Finance, Office of the County Comptroller

**Request:** Refer to Audit Committee

**Report Title:** Cook County Health and Hospitals System Report to the Audit and Compliance Committee, 5/30/2014

**Report Period:** 12/1/2012 - 11/30/2013

**Summary:** This report, presented by McGladrey LLP, is intended solely for the CCHHS Audit and Compliance Committee and summarizes certain matters required by professional standards to be communicated to them in their oversight responsibility for the CCHHS's financial reporting process.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.**

**14-3706**

**Presented by:** IVAN SAMSTEIN, Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance; LAWRENCE WILSON, County Comptroller

**REPORT**

**Department:** Bureau of Finance, Office of the County Comptroller

**Request:** Refer to Audit Committee

**Report Title:** Cook County Illinois Actuarial Study of the Workers Compensation and Liability Self-Insured Programs as of 11/30/2013

**Report Period:** As of 11/30/2013

**Summary:** Annual Actuarial Study completed by Aon Global Risk Consulting.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.**

**BUREAU OF HUMAN RESOURCES**

14-3751

**Presented by:** TRACEY LADNER, Chief, Bureau of Human Resources

**Sponsored by:** TONI PRECKWINKLE, President, Cook County Board of Commissioners

**PROPOSED RESOLUTION**

**PREVAILING WAGE RATES FOR COUPE EMPLOYEES**

**WHEREAS**, the County is obligated to pay the prevailing rate for these categories of employees pursuant to the state statute and the collective bargaining agreement between the County of Cook and the Union(s); and

**WHEREAS**, the unions representing this category of employees have been properly certified that the below listed rates are the prevailing rates for the effective date(s) set forth herein; and

**WHEREAS**, the Annual Appropriation Bill creates Accounts 490-115, 499-115 and 899-115 for Appropriation Adjustments for the Corporate, Public Safety and Health Funds if necessary; and

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the prevailing wages and salaries of the following positions be fixed as follows:

<b><u>Job Code</u></b>	<b><u>Hourly Job Classification</u></b>	<b><u>Effective Wage RateDate</u></b>
1402Building Construction Plan Examiner I	\$42.52	6/1/13
1404Building & Zoning Inspector I	\$42.52	6/1/13
1411Elevator Inspector	\$49.90	1/1/14
1412Fire Prevention Inspector	\$42.52	6/1/13
1413Elevator Mechanic	\$49.90	1/1/14
1415Building & Zoning Inspector II	\$42.52	6/1/13
1420Zoning Plan Examiner I	\$42.52	6/1/13
2225Ventilating Inspector	\$44.51	6/1/13
2307Boilermaker/Blacksmith	\$42.38	1/1/14
2310Boilermaker/Welder	\$42.38	1/1/14
2311Bricklayer	\$41.58	6/1/13
2312Bricklayer Foreman	\$45.74	6/1/13
2317Carpenter	\$42.52	6/1/13
2318Carpenter Foreman	\$45.02	6/1/13
2320Glazier	\$40.00	6/1/13
2321Lather	\$42.52	6/1/13
2323Electrical Plan Examiner	\$46.00	6/3/13
2324Electrician	\$43.00	6/3/13
2326Electrician Foreman	\$46.00	6/3/13
2327Chief Electrical Inspector	\$49.00	6/3/13
2328Electrical Equipment Technician	\$43.00	6/3/13
2329Electrical Mechanic	\$43.00	6/3/13
2330Electrical Inspector	\$46.00	6/3/13
2331Machinist	\$43.92	7/1/13
2334Master Locksmith	\$42.90	6/1/13
2335Architectural Iron Worker Frm.	\$45.40	6/1/13
2336Architectural Iron Worker	\$42.90	6/1/13
2339Machinist Foreman	\$46.42	7/1/13
2340Tinsmith	\$41.21	6/1/13
2341Tinsmith Foreman	\$44.51	6/1/13
2342Pipe Coverer	\$46.95	6/1/13
2343Refrigerator Man	\$46.00	6/1/13
2344Steamfitter	\$46.00	6/1/13

2345	Steamfitter Foreman	\$49.00	6/1/13
2346	Electrical Equipment Foreman	\$46.00	6/3/13
2348	Chief Plumbing Inspector	\$51.99	6/3/13
2349	Plumbing Plan Examiner	\$48.05	6/3/13
2350	Plumber	\$46.05	6/3/13
2352	Plumber Foreman	\$48.05	6/3/13
2353	Plumbing Inspector	\$48.05	6/3/13
2354	Painter	\$40.75	6/1/13
2356	Painter Foreman	\$45.84	6/1/13
2361	Plasterer	\$46.25	7/1/13
2363	Plasterer Helper	\$37.00	6/1/13
2366	Maintenance Worker	\$24.92	6/1/13
2367	Maintenance Worker Foreman	\$26.09	6/1/13
2368	Pipe Coverer Foreman	\$49.45	6/1/13
2378	Telecommunications Electrician Frm.	\$46.00	6/3/13
2379	Telecommunications Electrician	\$43.00	6/3/13
2388	Pipe Coverer Material Handler	\$35.21	6/1/13
2390	Biomedical Electrical Technician	\$43.00	6/3/13
2391	Biomedical ElectricalTech. Frm.	\$46.00	6/3/13
2392	Laborer	\$37.00	6/1/13
2393	Laborer I	\$37.00	6/1/13
2394	Laborer II	\$37.40	6/1/13
2395	Laborer Foreman	\$38.10	6/1/13
2396	Laborer Foreman (Highway)	\$38.10	6/1/13
2431	Marble Polisher	\$30.52	6/1/13
4013	Chief Telecommunications Electrician	\$49.00	6/3/13

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, that the Cook County Board of Commissioners does hereby refer to the Finance Subcommittee on Labor for review and recommendation the prevailing rates and salary adjustments pursuant to statue statute.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Murphy, seconded by Commissioner Silvestri, that this Resolution be referred to the Finance Subcommittee on Labor. The motion carried.**

**OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF**

**DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS**

**14-3758**

**PROPOSED CONTRACT**

**Presented by:** THOMAS J. DART, Sheriff of Cook County

**Department(s):** Cook County Department of Corrections

**Vendor:** Cornell Interventions, Inc., Woodridge, Illinois

**Request:** Authorization for the Chief Procurement Officer to enter into and execute

**Good(s) or Service(s):** Alternative Temporary Housing

**Contract Value:** \$3,898,200.00

**Contract period:** Three (3) year contract and its term shall begin on the date of execution of County contract documents.

**Potential Fiscal Year Budget Impact:** FY 2014 \$324,850.00, FY 2015 \$1,299,400.00, FY 2016 \$1,299,400.00 and FY 2017 \$974,550.00

**Accounts:** 239-298

**Contract Number(s):** 1488-13688

**Concurrences:**

Vendor has met the Minority and Women Business Enterprise Ordinance.

The Chief Procurement Officer concurs.

**Summary:** The Chief Procurement Officer issued a Request For Proposal (RFP) in accordance with the Cook County Procurement Code, for Alternative Temporary Housing Program for Participants court ordered to Electronic Monitoring who have no place to stay. The above vendor is recommended for award based on its' qualifications and extensive experience with other public agencies, including Cook County and the State of Illinois.

Cornell Interventions, Inc., in addition to providing temporary housing, will provide mental health and substance abuse treatment services. The vendor will also be providing initial assessment evaluations, educational materials and programming, exit program evaluation and reentry services. Cornell Interventions, Inc., will enroll eligible participants who receive mental and substance abuse treatment services into any applicable Federal, State or local healthcare programs. In addition to housing, the vendor will provide three balanced meals per day and coordinate the participant's transportation needs.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Silvestri, seconded by Commissioner Daley, that this Contract be referred to the Law Enforcement Committee. The motion carried.**

**OFFICE OF THE COUNTY TREASURER**

14-3674

**Presented by:** MARIA PAPPAS, Cook County Treasurer

**REPORT**

**Department:** Treasurer

**Request:** Refer to Audit Committee

**Report Title:** Independent Auditor’s Report

**Report Period:** 11/30/2013 and 2012

**Summary:** Submitting herewith, the Independent Auditor’s Report of the Financial Statements of the Cook County Treasurer’s Office as of 11/30/2013 and 2012.

---

**A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Murphy, that this Report be referred to the Audit Committee. The motion carried.**

**BID OPENING**

May 21, 2014

Honorable President and Members  
Board of Commissioners of Cook County  
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Wednesday, May 21, 2014 at 10:00 A.M. in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<b><u>CONTRACT NO.</u></b>	<b><u>DESCRIPTION</u></b>	<b><u>USING DEPARTMENT</u></b>
1430-13603	Transcription service reports	Office of the Independent Inspector General
1426-13705	Installation of mechanical dock leveler	Department of Facilities Management
1426-13698	Office furniture	Judiciary

---

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective department for review and consideration.

**BID OPENING**

May 23, 2014

Honorable President and Members  
Board of Commissioners of Cook County

Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Friday, May 23, 2014 at 10:00 A.M. in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<u>CONTRACT NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>USING DEPARTMENT</u>
1428-13580	Digital cameras and accessories	Medical Examiner's Office

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective department for review and consideration.

**BID OPENING**

May 28, 2014

Honorable President and Members  
Board of Commissioners of Cook County  
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Wednesday, May 28, 2014 at 10:00 A.M. in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<u>CONTRACT NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>USING DEPARTMENT</u>
1484-13343	Landscaping services	Department of Facilities Management
1445-13530	Walk behind auto scrubbers	Department of Facilities Management
1411-13443R	2014 Ford Model X2Z transit wagon	Sheriff's Vehicle Services
1450-13267	Chevrolet emergency response vehicles	Department of Homeland Security and Emergency Management
1481-13692	Preventive monthly maintenance service for laundry room equipment	Juvenile Detention Temporary Center



1481-13685	Ford E-150 automotive vehicle cargo van	Social Services
1426-13693	Office furniture	Office of the Chief Procurement Officer

---

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective department for review and consideration.

**BID OPENING**

May 30, 2014

Honorable President and Members  
Board of Commissioners of Cook County  
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Friday, May 30, 2014 at 10:00 A.M. in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<b><u>CONTRACT NO.</u></b>	<b><u>DESCRIPTION</u></b>	<b><u>USING DEPARTMENT</u></b>
1445-13423R	Maintenance of refrigeration equipment	Department of Facilities Management

---

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective department for review and consideration.

**BID OPENING**

June 4, 2014

Honorable President and Members  
Board of Commissioners of Cook County  
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Wednesday, June 4, 2014 at 10:00 A.M. in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<b><u>CONTRACT NO.</u></b>	<b><u>DESCRIPTION</u></b>	<b><u>USING DEPARTMENT</u></b>
----------------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------------

1435-13582	Cadaver body bags	Medical Examiner's Office
1426-13764	5 gallon pure drinking water	Social Service

---

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective department for review and consideration.

**BID OPENING**

June 6, 2014

Honorable President and Members  
Board of Commissioners of Cook County  
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Friday, June 6, 2014 at 10:00 A.M. in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<b><u>CONTRACT NO.</u></b>	<b><u>DESCRIPTION</u></b>	<b><u>USING DEPARTMENT</u></b>
1445-13644R	Commercial rooftop cooling and heating units	Department of Facilities Management
1481-13721	Parking validation passes for use of self parking garage	Clerk of the Circuit Court

---

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective department for review and consideration.

**BID OPENING**

June 11, 2014

Honorable President and Members  
Board of Commissioners of Cook County  
Chicago, Illinois 60602

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the rules of this Board, I hereby submit for your consideration, bids which were opened under my supervision on Wednesday, June 11, 2014 at 10:00 A.M. in the County Building, Chicago, Illinois.

Very truly yours,

SHANNON E. ANDREWS, Chief Procurement Officer, overseeing the Bid Opening.

<u>CONTRACT NO.</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>USING DEPARTMENT</u>
1450-13620	2014 Dodge Ram tradesman crew cab 5500 chassis	Department of Home Security and Emergency Management
1450-13622	Prime mover flat bed emergency management vehicles	Department of Home Security and Emergency Management
1445-13690	2014 Ford Fusion SE hybrid FWD	County Clerk's Office

---

By consensus, the bids were referred to their respective department for review and consideration.

**ADJOURNMENT**

A motion was made by Commissioner Daley, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that the meeting do now adjourn to meet again at the same time and same place on June 18, 2014, in accordance with County Board Resolution 14-0007.

The motion prevailed and the meeting stood adjourned.

---



---

County Clerk