

## **TONI PRECKWINKLE**

PRESIDENT

Cook County Board
of Commissioners

RICHARD BOYKIN

1st District

DENNIS DEER 2nd District

JERRY BUTLER 3rd District

STANLEY MOORE 4th District

DEBORAH SIMS 5th District

EDWARD M. MOODY 6th District

JESUS G. GARCIA 7th District

LUIS ARROYO JR 8th District

PETER N. SILVESTRI 9th District

BRIDGET GAINER 10th District

JOHN P. DALEY 11th District

JOHN A. FRITCHEY

12th District

LARRY SUFFREDIN

13th District

GREGG GOSLIN 14th District

TIMOTHY O. SCHNEIDER

15th District

JEFFREY R. TOBOLSKI 16th District

SETH MORRISON 17th District Bureau of Finance | Office of the Chief Financial Officer

## AMMAR RIZKI

ACTING CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

118 N. CLARK STREET ● Chicago, Illinois 60602 ● (312) 603-4458

## **MEMORANDUM**

Date:

October 10, 2017

To:

Honorable Chairman John Daley, Cook County Board of Commissioners

Honorable Cook County Board of Commissioners

CC:

John Keller, Chief of Staff

Lanetta Haynes Turner, Deputy Chief of Staff Erik Varela, Legislative and Governmental Affairs

From:

Ammar Rizki, Acting Chief Financial Officer, Bureau of Finance

Subject: Debt Impact Note on Item 17-4284 Proposed Ordinance Amendment Authorizing Supplemental Documents Relative to Navistar Recovery Zone Facility Bonds

In accordance with Sec. 2-78 of the Cook County Code of Ordinances, this note is prepared to convey the impact of item #17-4284 Proposed Ordinance Amendment Authorizing Supplemental Documents Relative to Navistar Recovery Zone Facility Bonds on the County's debt. The ordinance will authorize certain new documents related to a series of \$90 million Recovery Zone Facility Bonds issued by the County in 2010 on behalf of Navistar. This Ordinance has no impact to the County's debt.

These documents make certain technical changes and allow Navistar to issue additional debt in exchange for an increase in the fixed rate being paid on these existing bonds. The County neither guarantees nor pays on this debt. The County does not hold this debt. The County is involved solely as a conduit issuer and has no financial interest in the bonds whatsoever. As the conduit issuer, the County must approve of these documents for the transaction to occur. Navistar and 50% plus one of the bondholders have agreed to the changes, as required under the existing documents.